Published online 2021 November 3.

Effects of the Two Doses of Dexmedetomidine on Sedation, Agitation, and Bleeding During Pediatric Adenotonsillectomy

Amir Shafa 💿 1, Hastisadat Aledavud 2, *, Hamidreza Shetabi 💿 1 and Sedige Shahhosseini 💿 1

¹Anesthesiology Department, Isfahan University of medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran ²School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Corresponding author: School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. Email: hasti.aledavood2@gmail.com

Received 2021 August 20; Revised 2021 October 13; Accepted 2021 October 17.

Abstract

Background: Due to the importance of dexmedetomidine and its different dosages, here, we aimed to investigate and compare the effectiveness of the doses of 1 μ g/kg and 2 μ g/kg of dexmedetomidine in sedation, agitation, and bleeding in pediatrics undergoing adenotonsillectomy.

Methods: This double-blinded randomized clinical trial was performed on 105 pediatric patients that were candidates for adenotonsillectomy. Then, the patients were divided into three groups receiving dexmedetomidine at a dose of 2 μ g/kg, diluted dexmedetomidine at 1 μ g/kg, and normal saline. The drugs were administered 15 minutes before operations via the intravenous method. The duration of extubation, mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), and SPO₂ in the recovery were recorded. We also collected data regarding patients' sedation and agitation every 15 minutes.

Results: Our data showed no significant differences between the groups of patients regarding MAP, HR, and SPO₂. However, the mean sedation score was significantly higher in patients receiving dexmedetomidine (2 μ g/kg), and this score was lowest in the control group at the time of entrance to the recovery room. The patients that received dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 μ g/kg had the lowest agitation score after 45 minutes of being in the recovery room, and the patients treated with dexmedetomidine at a dose of 2 μ g/kg had the lowest agitation score after 60 minutes of being in the recovery compared to other groups of patients.

Conclusions: The use of the doses of $1 \mu g/kg$ and $2 \mu g/kg$ of dexmedetomidine was associated with proper sedation and a significant reduction in agitation. The patients also had lower amounts of bleeding. We recommend that anesthesiologists should pay more attention to dexmedetomidine at a dose of $2 \mu g/kg$, especially in pediatric surgical procedures.

Keywords: Sedation, Agitation, Dexmedetomidine, Tonsillectomy, Pediatrics

1. Background

Adenotonsillectomy is one of the most common surgeries in children. The two main indications for this surgery are upper airway obstruction and infection. The obstruction may be in the nasopharynx or the oropharynx (1, 2). The prevalence of childhood adenotonsillectomy was 2.5 per 1000 person-years based on epidemiologic results (3, 4). Perioperative complications of adenotonsillectomy include hemorrhage, respiratory decompensation, velopharyngeal incompetence, and subglottic stenosis (5). Bleeding and unstable hemodynamics are two critical complications of adenotonsillectomy that should be prevented (6).

Because tonsillar hypertrophy is more common in children, especially at the age of 3 to 6 years, and the risk of bleeding during and after surgery is high, maintaining stable hemodynamic stability during surgery is very important (7). Prolonged surgery and hemodynamic instability are associated with more complications in children (8).

Controlled hypotension should be used with caution to minimize the risk of damage to vital organs. Important risks that may arise from using controlled hypotension include the possibility of coronary, cerebral, or renal circulatory failure (9).

Dexmedetomidine is a central alpha 2 adrenergic agonist with both sedative and analgesic effects. Dexmedetomidine is an anxiolytic, sedative, and pain medication and is notable for its ability to provide sedation without risk of respiratory depression (10, 11). The use of this drug in children has increased due to its neuroprotective properties. According to the available findings, dexmedetomidine is one of the few drugs that does not cause cognitive impairment after anesthesia in children (12, 13).

Various studies have reported the benefits of using dexmedetomidine in surgery, including induction of controlled hypotension and reduced bleeding. With

Copyright © 2021, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

controlled hypotension following the administration of dexmedetomidine, blood pressure and the heart rate (HR) are decreased, and a safe operative procedure can be conducted (14-16).

Another important aspect of surgical procedures, especially in pediatrics, is the intensity of sedation and agitation during recovery. Increased agitation can seriously influence the pediatric experience of surgical procedures; therefore, proper management and control of agitation via suitable sedation can play a critical role in pediatric anesthesia (17-19). It has been indicated that dexmedetomidine could provide acceptable sedation and prevent agitation in children undergoing surgeries.

So far, studies have investigated the use of dexmedetomidine in reducing bleeding and agitation during various operations, and different dosages have been confirmed in this regard. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has compared the effects of two different doses of 1 μ g/kg and 2 μ g/kg of dexmedetomidine on these issues during adenotonsillectomy among pediatrics. We should also note that the dose of 2 μ g/kg of dexmedetomidine is currently used in clinics, and its beneficial effects have been indicated, but due to possible complications of this dosage, including bradycardia, we aimed to investigate the usage of dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 μ g/kg.

2. Objectives

The aim of the present study was to evaluate and compare these two distinct doses of dexmedetomidine in pediatrics.

3. Methods

This double-blinded randomized clinical trial was performed in 2020 in Imam Hossein pediatrics hospital affiliated with the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. The study population consisted of pediatric patients aged 3 -10 years that were candidates for adenotonsillectomy. The study protocol was approved by the research committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, and the Ethics Committee confirmed the research protocol (Ethics code: IR.MUI.MED.REC.1398.729 and the Iranian registry of clinical trials (IRCT) code: IRCT20200325046853N1).

3.1. Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were the age of 3 - 10 years, being a candidate for adenotonsillectomy, the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification equal to I or II, and signing the written informed consent to participate in this study by the parents. Patients with the following criteria did not enter the study: having a previous medical disease (such as cardio-pulmonary, renal, endocrine, etc.), disorders making the patients vulnerable to bleeding, impaired pre-operative coagulation tests, including prothrombin time (PT), partial thromboplastin time (PTT), and international normalizing ratio (INR), and having metabolic disorders, such as phenylketonuria or galactosemia.

3.2. Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria were any complications during the surgery, including abnormal bleeding and hemodynamic instability.

The informed consent was taken from the parents after a full explanation about the methods and drugs that were used in this study, their complications, and benefits.

The sampling method was non-probability sequential, in which all cases who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study until the sample size was completed. A total number of 105 patients entered the study. Demographic data of all cases, including age, gender, and weight were collected. Patients were separated from their parents after pre-medication with intravenous midazolam (0.1 mg/kg) and brought to the operating room. They were then anesthetized with fentanyl (2 μ g/kg), sodium thiopental (5 mg/kg), and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg), and intubated with an orotracheal tube with an appropriate size, and connected to a ventilator and anesthetized with a 1% isoflurane retainer for the maintenance of anesthesia.

In order to comply with the double-blind conditions of the study, the doses of 2 μ g/kg and 1 μ g/kg of diluted dexmedetomidine in a volume of 10 cc were inserted in the syringes. Another syringe was made without dexmedetomidine and contained only 10 cc of normal saline. The specialist labeled the syringes A, B, and C and provided them to the researcher on a daily basis. Thus, until the data collection was completed, the researcher and the data registrar did not know the type of drugs in the syringes. Even the data analyst was unaware of the type of groups. The drugs were administered 15 minutes before the beginning of the operations via the intravenous (IV) method.

Then, the patients were divided into three groups using random allocation software. Group A received 1 μ g/kg diluted dexmedetomidine prepared by Elixir Pharmaceutical Company in a volume of 10 cc slowly over ten minutes, 15 minutes before surgery by IV method. Group B received 2 μ g/kg diluted dexmedetomidine in a volume of 10 cc slowly over ten minutes, and group C received 10 cc normal saline slowly over 10 minutes. Mean arterial pressure (MAP), HR, and SPO₂ were recorded every 15 minutes before induction and during anesthesia; the volume of bleeding during surgery was also recorded on a CC basis. Patients were extubated after surgery and transferred to the recovery room. The duration of extubation (from the time of discontinuation of anesthesia to the time of extubation of patients) was recorded, and in the recovery room, MAP, HR, and SPO₂ were recorded every 15 minutes. The duration of stay in the recovery room based on Modified Aldrete Score (20) was recorded in three groups and compared with each other.

In the recovery room, the patient's degree of agitation was recorded every 15 minutes by the nurse observer of the patient's condition according to the Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence delirium (PAED) criteria in three groups and compared (21). According to this score, the states of inconsolable, restlessness, awareness of surroundings, purposeful actions, and having eye contact were scored from 0 to 4, and higher scores indicated worse conditions. A score equal to or greater than 10 was considered as the emergence of delirium and was treated with propofol at a dose of 1 mg/kg. We also measured the amounts of propofol usage in patients. The Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS) was recorded in three groups every 15 minutes (22). Based on this score, the score of the patients varies from 1 (awake, anxious, agitated, or restless) to 6 (asleep, no response to light, glabella tap, or loud noise).

3.3. Statistical Analysis

The obtained data were entered into the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 24. We used an independent t-test and repeated measures ANOVA to compare data at different time points in different groups. A P-value < 0.05 was considered as the significance threshold.

4. Results

In the present study, 105 pediatrics were entered based on inclusion criteria. The mean age of the pediatrics was 6.39 ± 2.05 years. Also, 57 patients (54.3%) were boys and 48 patients (45.7%) were girls. The mean weight of patients was 21.78 \pm 6.54 kg. The age, gender, and weight of the subjects in the three groups showed no significant difference (P > 0.05 for all items) (Table 1). Table 2 compares the MAP, HR, and SPO₂ values at different time points among three groups. Based on the evaluations, we observed no significant differences between groups of patients regarding the mentioned variables (P > 0.05) (Table 2). Evaluation of sedation and agitation scores in patients showed significant differences among the groups. Based on our data, the mean sedation score was significantly higher in group B and this score was lower in the control group at the time of entrance to the recovery room (P= 0.031). We observed no differences between the patients regarding the sedation score. Evaluation of agitation score also showed that group A had the lowest agitation score after 45 minutes of being in the recovery room (P= 0.041), and group B had the lowest agitation score after 60 minutes compared to other groups of patients (P= 0.003) (Table 3). Based on our data, the mean recovery duration was significantly lower in group A compared to other cases (P= 0.007), and the amount of bleeding was significantly lower in group B (P= 0.001). No significant differences were observed between patients regarding extubation duration (P= 0.807) and propofol usage (P= 0.182) (Table 4).

The mean recovery duration was significantly lower in group A compared to other cases (P= 0.007), and the amounts of bleeding were significantly lower in group B (P= 0.001).

5. Discussion

During surgical procedures, especially in pediatrics, sustaining stabilized hemodynamics, reducing the duration of recovery, reducing the amounts of bleeding, and proper control of the sedation and agitation during the recovery should be critically managed.

The present study evaluated the use of dexmedetomidine at two different dosages compared to the placebo for the management of these surgical procedure complications. Based on our results, the use of dexmedetomidine had no significant effects on the patient's hemodynamics, but the mean sedation score was significantly higher in group B, and this score was lowest in the group C at the time of entrance to the recovery room showing the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine (2 μ g/kg) in providing proper sedation. Assessments of agitation also showed that the administration of dexmedetomidine $(1 \mu g/kg)$ led to a reduced agitation score after 45 minutes of the recovery, and group B had the lowest agitation score after 60 minutes. We also showed that the mean recovery duration was significantly lower in group A and the amounts of bleeding were significantly lower in group B.

The use of dexmedetomidine in reducing agitation after surgical procedures has been previously evaluated. In the present study, we showed that both 1 μ g/kg and 2 μ g/kg dosages of dexmedetomidine reduced the agitation score of pediatrics and the patients that received the dose of 2 μ g/kg had lower scores within 60 minutes. It has been indicated that a single dose injection of dexmedetomidine during the surgeries could reduce the post-operation agitation of pediatrics.

A study by Guler et al. was conducted on 60 children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. They injected dexmedetomidine at a dose of 0.5 μ g/kg, and the sedation and agitation scores of the pediatrics were assessed.

lable 1. Comparison of Age, Gender, and Weight of the Three Groups "						
Variables		P-Value				
variables	Α	В	С	i vaide		
Age(y)	6.5 ± 2.0	6.6 ± 2.01	6.0 ± 2.1	0.496		
Weight (kg)	21.9 ± 6.8	21.6 ± 5.4	21.7 ± 7.3	0.976		
Gender				15 (43)		
Male	21(60)	16 (46)	20 (57)			
Female		14 (40)	19 (54)			

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm SD and No. (%).

Table 2. Comparison of Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), Heart Rate (HR), and SPO₂ at Different Time Points ^a

Variables		Time									
		0	15 min	30 min	45 min	60 min	Recovery 0	Recovery 15	Recovery 30	Recovery 45	Recovery 60
МАР											
	A	81.4 ± 12.5	81.0 ± 12.3	$^{80.8\pm}_{40.9}$	84.4 ± 11.7	81.2 ± 12.2	83.5 ± 12.1	83.0 ± 11.2	82.2 ± 10.9	82.6 ± 10.9	82.8 ± 11.7
	В	81.3 ± 8.7	80.6 ± 9.3	79.4 ± 7.7	79.1 ± 7.4	78.2 ± 7.0	81.5 ± 7.1	82.2 ± 6.6	81.3 ± 7.2	81.9 ± 7.1	83.3 ± 7.5
	С	80.0 ± 9.9	79.9 ± 9.1	80.6 ± 9.5	$^{80.4\pm}_{9.33}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80.3 \pm \\ 9.04 \end{array}$	80.7 ± 9.0	80.9 ± 9.1	80.8 ± 9.3	81.0 ± 9.2	80.9 ± 8.7
	P4	0.851	0.897	0.815	0.626	0.413	0.47	0.644	0.801	0.776	0.528
HR											
	A	$^{114.4}\pm$ 20.9	111.8 ± 21.6	$^{110.8\pm}_{19.4}$	$^{110.4}\pm$ 20.6	$^{108.4\pm}_{18.7}$	121.6 ± 19.8	$^{119.8\pm}_{20.2}$	116.2 ± 19.8	116.0 ± 19.1	115.9 ± 18.4
	В	$^{112.7}\pm \\20.2$	111.2 ± 20.2	108.4 ± 20.9	$^{105.6\pm}_{21.0}$	$^{104.6\pm}_{19.9}$	$^{119.0\pm}_{23.9}$	$^{118.4\pm}_{25.3}$	114.7 ± 24.1	115.4 ± 22.7	114.3 ± 22.2
	С	110.9 ± 23.1	$^{109.5\pm}_{22.5}$	$^{108.8\pm}_{21.8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 107.2 \pm \\ 22.0 \end{array}$	$^{104.3\pm}_{21.9}$	$^{118.9\pm}_{22.0}$	$^{116.7\pm}_{23.9}$	$^{112.5\pm}_{24.5}$	111.8 ± 24.1	113.6 ± 23.5
	P4	0.798	0.902	0.881	0.634	0.641	0.851	0.855	0.796	0.692	0.9
SPO ₂											
	А	97.2 ± 1.0	97.4 \pm 1.0	98.3 ± 0.5	98.0 ± 0.9	97.6 ± 1.0	98.8 ± 1.4	96.7 ± 1.1	97.6 ± 1.2	98.3 ± 0.6	97.8 ± 0.7
	В	96.9 ± 1.0	97.4 ± 0.7	98.0 ± 0.6	$98.2\pm\\0.83$	98.0 ± 0.8	95.6 ± 1.6	96.6 ± 1.5	97.6 ± 0.9	98.0 ± 0.8	98.0 ± 0.8
	С	96.8 ± 0.7	97.8 ± 0.6	98.2 ± 0.5	98.2 ± 0.6	97.9 \pm 0.9	95.4 ± 1.5	96.5 ± 1.1	97.7 ± 0.7	98.2 ± 0.6	98.3 ± 0.6
	P4	0.165	0.089	0.189	0.575	0.129	0.564	0.777	0.821	0.247	0.07

 $^{\rm a}$ Values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

Based on their reports, the agitation score, frequency of complications, such as cough or vomiting, and bleeding volume were significantly lower in patients receiving dexmedetomidine (0.5 μ g/kg) (23). Sato et al. showed that the administration of dexmedetomidine at a dose of 0.3 μ g/kg could reduce the agitation levels in pediatrics undergoing surgical procedures, but they also mentioned that further studies using high doses are required (24).

The findings of the present study were in line with these reports showing the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine in reducing the post-operative agitation scores; however, the important point is that none of the previous studies have compared two different dosages of dexmedetomidine and there is still doubt regarding the most effective dosage of this drug.

In 2019, Zhang in China showed that dexmedetomidine at a dose of 0.3 μ g/kg could be helpful in reducing the agitation and providing suitable sedation in pediatrics (25). These data are consistent with the findings of our study. We found that both doses (1 μ g/kg and 2 μ g/kg) of dexmedetomidine are effective; however, the dose of 2 μ g/kg caused a longer effect on lowering the agitation than

Fable 3. Comparison of Sedation and Agitation Scores in Patients During Recovery ^{a, b}							
Variables	Recovery 0	Recovery 15	Recovery 30	Recovery 45	Recovery 60		
Sedation							
Group A	4.2 ± 2.2	3.2 ± 1.8	4.0 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 1.1		
Group B	4.4 ± 2.3	3.2 ± 2.1	4.5 ± 1.31	3.3 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.9		
Group C	3.0 ± 2.2	3.1 ± 2.1	4.3 ± 1.0	3.4 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 0.9		
P4	0.031	0.984	0.207	0.116	0.503		
Agitation							
Group A	10.1 ± 1.5	9.7 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 1.1	6.2 ± 1.3		
Group B	10.4 ± 1.6	9.9 ± 2.3	8.4 ± 1.5	6.7 ± 1.5	5.4 ± 1.5		
Group C	10.1 ± 1.4	10.6 ± 1.8	8.6 ± 1.6	7.3 ± 1.6	6.6 ± 1.3		
P4	0.538	0.21	0.661	0.041	0.003		

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

^bThe mean sedation score was significantly higher in group B, and this score was lower in the control group at the time of entrance to the recovery room (P= 0.031). Group A had the lowest agitation score after 45 minutes of the recovery (P= 0.041), and group B had the lowest agitation score after 60 minutes of the recovery compared to other groups of patients (P= 0.003).

Table 4. Comparison of Different Variables Among Groups ^a				
Variables	Mean \pm SD	P-Value		
Extubation duration (min)		0.807		
А	10.0 ± 3.3			
В	10 ± 3.2			
Placebo	9.5 ± 3.2			
Recovery duration (min)		0.007		
А	48.8 ± 6.6			
В	51.4 ± 7.5			
Placebo	54.4 ± 7.3			
Bleeding volume (ml)		0.001		
А	69.2 ± 20.4			
В	56.1 ± 21.4			
Placebo	76.7 ± 26.8			
Propofol (mg)		0.182		
А	48.8 ± 4.28			
В	50.4 ± 3.96			
Placebo	59.7 ± 6.27			

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

the dose of 1 μ g/kg and dexmedetomidine at 2 μ g/kg provided a better sedation score in pediatric patients.

Another finding of the present study was a reduction in recovery duration and bleeding volume in pediatric patients that received dexmedetomidine at the doses of 1 μ g/kg and 2 μ g/kg, respectively.

These findings could also have clinical importance, especially in surgical procedures that are associated with high bleeding volumes. Phan and Nahata evaluated the clinical uses of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients. A review on previous studies on dexmedetomidine showed that most studies have compared a single dose of $1 \mu g/\text{kg}$ or 0.5 $\mu g/\text{kg}$ of dexmedetomidine with the placebo and reported its beneficial effects. They also showed that dexmedetomidine could provide a better surgeon's satisfaction due to reduced bleeding in the surgical field (26). Other studies have also mentioned that the administration of dexmedetomidine could reduce the bleeding volume and also provide better sedation (27-29). However, these studies have administered a single dose of dexmedetomidine. The main point of our study was the comparison of two different dosages of dexmedetomidine in pediatrics.

5.1. Limitation

One of the limitations of the current study was not evaluating the surgeon's satisfaction with the surgical field vision. However, our results showed significant effects of dexmedetomidine in providing proper sedation and reducing agitation in children.

5.2. Conclusions

Considering that dexmedetomidine at a dose of $1 \mu g/kg$ is associated with decreased agitation and shorter time spent in the recovery room and regarding the complications of dexmedetomidine that could be observed using both dosages, we recommend that anesthesiologists should pay more attention to the beneficial characteristics of dexmedetomidine at a dose of $1 \mu g/kg$, especially in pediatric surgical procedures.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Aryan Rafiee Zadeh, as well as all healthcare professionals of the Al-Zahra hospital, for their cooperation and support.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: A. S. conceived and designed the evaluation and drafted the manuscript. H. A. participated in designing the evaluation, performed parts of the statistical analysis, and helped to draft the manuscript. H. S. re-evaluated the clinical data, revised the manuscript, performed the statistical analysis, and revised the manuscript. S. S. collected the clinical data, interpreted them, and revised the manuscript.

ClinicalTrialRegistrationCode:IRCT20200325046853N1.

Conflict of Interests: None declared.

Ethical Approval: The study protocol was approved by the Research Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences and was also confirmed by the Ethics committee (Ethics code: IR.MUI.MED.REC.1398.729)

Funding/Support: This study was granted by Isfahan university of medical sciences.

Informed Consent: The informed consent was taken from the parents after a full explanation about the methods and drugs that were used in this study, their complications, and benefits.

References

- De Luca Canto G, Pacheco-Pereira C, Aydinoz S, Bhattacharjee R, Tan HL, Kheirandish-Gozal L, et al. Adenotonsillectomy Complications: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(4):702-18. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-1283. [PubMed: 26391937].
- Boudewyns A, Abel F, Alexopoulos E, Evangelisti M, Kaditis A, Miano S, et al. Adenotonsillectomy to treat obstructive sleep apnea: Is it enough? *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2017;**52**(5):699–709. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23641. [PubMed: 28052557].
- Tran AHL, Horne RSC, Rimmer J, Nixon GM. Adenotonsillectomy for paediatric sleep disordered breathing in Australia and New Zealand. *Sleep Med.* 2021;78:101–7. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2020.12.010. [PubMed: 33421669].
- Farhood Z, Isley JW, Ong AA, Nguyen SA, Camilon TJ, LaRosa AC, et al. Adenotonsillectomy outcomes in patients with Down syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngoscope*. 2017;**127**(6):1465–70. doi: 10.1002/lary.26398. [PubMed: 28055122].
- Pinto Filho WA, Silveira LDHJ, Vale ML, Fernandes CR, Gomes JA. Gabapentin in Improvement of Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in Oncologic Pediatric Patients: A Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2019;9(5). e91197. doi: 10.5812/aapm.91197. [PubMed: 31903327]. [PubMed Central: PMC6935294].
- Gehrke T, Scherzad A, Hagen R, Hackenberg S. Risk factors for children requiring adenotonsillectomy and their impact on postoperative complications: a retrospective analysis of 2000 patients. *Anaesthesia*. 2019;**74**(12):1572–9. doi: 10.1111/anae.14844. [PubMed: 31508815].

- Borgstrom A, Nerfeldt P, Friberg D. Adenotonsillotomy Versus Adenotonsillectomy in Pediatric Obstructive Sleep Apnea: An RCT. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(4). doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-3314. [PubMed: 28320866].
- Sharma K, Kumar M, Gandhi R. Effect of Single-Dose Dexmedetomidine on Intraoperative Hemodynamics and Postoperative Recovery during Pediatric Adenotonsillectomy. *Anesth Essays Res.* 2019;**13**(1):63– 7. doi: 10.4103/aer.AER_178_18. [PubMed: 31031482]. [PubMed Central: PMC6444974].
- Sirimontakan T, Artprom N, Anantasit N. Efficacy and Safety of Pediatric Procedural Sedation Outside the Operating Room. Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(4). e106493. doi: 10.5812/aapm.106493. [PubMed: 33134153]. [PubMed Central: PMC7539052].
- Lee S. Dexmedetomidine: present and future directions. *Korean J Anes*thesiol. 2019;72(4):323–30. doi: 10.4097/kja.19259. [PubMed: 31220910]. [PubMed Central: PMC6676029].
- Akhondzadeh R, Ghomeishi A, Soltani F, Khoshooei A. The Effect of Different Doses of Isoflurane on Hemodynamic Changes and Bleeding in Patients Undergoing Endoscopic Sinus Surgery under General Anesthesia. Anesth Pain Med. 2019;9(1). e57864. doi: 10.5812/aapm.57864. [PubMed: 30881904]. [PubMed Central: PMC6413045].
- Sato K, Kimura T, Nishikawa T, Tobe Y, Masaki Y. Neuroprotective effects of a combination of dexmedetomidine and hypothermia after incomplete cerebral ischemia in rats. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2010;**54**(3):377-82. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2009.02139.x. [PubMed: 19860751].
- Akhondzadeh R, Olapour A, Rashidi M, Elyasinia F. Comparison of Sedation with Dexmedetomidine Alfentanil Versus Ketamine-Alfentanil in Patients Undergoing Closed Reduction of Nasal Fractures. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2020;**10**(4). e102946. doi: 10.5812/aapm.102946. [PubMed: 33134144]. [PubMed Central: PMC7539046].
- Modir H, Modir A, Rezaei O, Mohammadbeigi A. Comparing remifentanil, magnesium sulfate, and dexmedetomidine for intraoperative hypotension and bleeding and postoperative recovery in endoscopic sinus surgery and tympanomastoidectomy. *Med Gas Res*. 2018;8(2):42– 7. doi: 10.4103/2045-9912.235124. [PubMed: 30112164]. [PubMed Central: PMC6070837].
- Javaherforooshzadeh F, Monajemzadeh SA, Soltanzadeh M, Janatmakan F, Salari A, Saeed H. A Comparative Study of the Amount of Bleeding and Hemodynamic Changes between Dexmedetomidine Infusion and Remifentanil Infusion for Controlled Hypotensive Anesthesia in Lumbar Discopathy Surgery: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2018;8(2). 66959. doi: 10.5812/aapm.66959. [PubMed: 30009153]. [PubMed Central: PMC6035495].
- Oriby ME. Comparison of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Oral Ketamine Versus Intranasal Midazolam Premedication for Children Undergoing Dental Rehabilitation. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2019;9(1). doi: 10.5812/aapm.85227. [PubMed Central: PMC6412317].
- Oriby ME, Elrashidy A. Comparative effects of total intravenous anesthesia with propofol and remifentanil versus inhalational sevoflurane with dexmedetomidine on emergence delirium in children undergoing strabismus surgery. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2021;**11**(1). doi: 10.5812/aapm.109048. [PubMed Central: PMC8236675].
- Amri P, Nahrini S, Hajian-Tilaki K, Hamidian M, Alipour SF, Hamidi SH, et al. Analgesic Effect and Hemodynamic Changes Due to Dexmedetomidine Versus Fentanyl During Elective Colonoscopy: A Double-Blind Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2018;8(6). e81077. doi: 10.5812/aapm.81077. [PubMed: 30719412]. [PubMed Central: PMC6347670].
- Janatmakan F, Nesioonpour S, Javaherforoosh Zadeh F, Teimouri A, Vaziri M. Comparing the Effect of Clonidine and Dexmedetomidine on Intraoperative Bleeding in Spine Surgery. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2019;9(1). e83967. doi: 10.5812/aapm.83967. [PubMed: 30881906]. [PubMed Central: PMC6408748].

- Valasareddy SK, Segaran SK, George SK, Ranjan RV, Titu OG, Anil PR. Recovery Profile Using Modified Aldrete Score in Post Anaesthesia Care Unit After Sevoflurane or Desflurane Anaesthesia: A Prospective Randomised Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2018. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2018/35345.11963.
- Mason KP. Paediatric emergence delirium: a comprehensive review and interpretation of the literature. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017;**118**(3):335–43. doi: 10.1093/bja/aew477. [PubMed: 28203739].
- Rasheed AM, Amirah MF, Abdallah M, P JP, Issa M, Alharthy A. Ramsay Sedation Scale and Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale: A Cross-sectional Study. *Dimens Crit Care Nurs*. 2019;38(2):90–5. doi: 10.1097/DCC.00000000000346. [PubMed: 30702478].
- Guler G, Akin A, Tosun Z, Ors S, Esmaoglu A, Boyaci A. Single-dose dexmedetomidine reduces agitation and provides smooth extubation after pediatric adenotonsillectomy. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2005;15(9):762–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2004.01541.x. [PubMed: 16101707].
- 24. Sato M, Shirakami G, Tazuke-Nishimura M, Matsuura S, Tanimoto K, Fukuda K. Effect of single-dose dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation and recovery profiles after sevoflurane anesthesia in pediatric

ambulatory surgery. J Anesth. 2010;**24**(5):675-82. doi: 10.1007/s00540-010-0976-4. [PubMed: 20585813].

- Zhang YZ, Wang X, Wu JM, Song CY, Cui XG. Optimal Dexmedetomidine Dose to Prevent Emergence Agitation Under Sevoflurane and Remifentanil Anesthesia During Pediatric Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy. *Front Pharmacol.* 2019;**10**:1091. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01091. [PubMed: 31607927]. [PubMed Central: PMC6761387].
- Phan H, Nahata MC. Clinical uses of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients. *Paediatr Drugs*. 2008;**10**(1):49–69. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200810010-00006. [PubMed: 18162008].
- Plambech MZ, Afshari A. Dexmedetomidine in the pediatric population: a review. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2015;81(3):320–32. [PubMed: 24824958].
- Tobias JD, Berkenbosch JW, Russo P. Additional experience with dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients. *South Med J.* 2003;**96**(9):871– 5. doi: 10.1097/01.SMJ.0000053557.75799.09. [PubMed: 14513982].
- Li H, Zhang L, Shi M, Yang S, Li S, Gao S. Impact of Dexmedetomidine on Pediatric Agitation in the Postanesthesia Care Unit. J Perianesth Nurs. 2018;33(1):53-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jopan.2016.03.005. [PubMed: 29362047].