Published online 2019 February 24.

**Research Article** 

# Comparing the Effect of Clonidine and Dexmedetomidine on Intraoperative Bleeding in Spine Surgery

Farahzad Janatmakan<sup>1</sup>, Sholeh Nesioonpour<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh Javaherforoosh Zadeh<sup>2</sup>, Alireza Teimouri<sup>3</sup> and Mahshid Vaziri<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Pain Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Tehran Medical Science Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> *Corresponding author*: Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Department of Anesthesia, Pain Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. Email: drvaziri83@yahoo.com

Received 2018 September 05; Revised 2018 November 14; Accepted 2018 November 21.

### Abstract

**Background:** Discopathy is one of the most common spinal surgeries. Hemodynamic control is important in bleeding reduction during the surgery. Clonidine and dexmedetomidine both are  $\alpha^2$  agonists that help stabilize hemodynamics and prevent the increase of intraoperative bleeding.

**Objectives:** In this study, the effects of clonidine and dexmedetomidine were compared in bleeding reduction during spinal surgery.

**Methods:** This randomized, double-blind clinical trial was conducted in 120 patients aged 20 to 50 years with ASA class I or II, undergoing spinal surgery. Patients were randomly divided into three groups. Group C received oral clonidine 0.2 mg, 90 minutes before entering the room. Group D received dexmedetomidine 0.5  $\mu$ /kg 15 minutes before anesthesia induction and 0.25  $\mu$ /kg/h infusion during operation. Group P received placebo as the control group.

**Results:** There was a significant reduction in intraoperative blood loss in patients who received clonidine ( $289 \pm 130$ ) and dexmedetomidine ( $344 \pm 145$ ) compared to the control group ( $462 \pm 15$ ) (P< 0.05), with a more dramatic reduction in the clonidine group (P< 0.001).

Keywords: Spine Surgery, Blood Loss, Hemodynamic, Clonidine, Dexmedetomidine

#### 1. Background

Blood loss reduction and hemodynamic stability are important in spinal surgeries. In some cases, blood loss increases to the extent that transfusion of blood and blood products is necessary (1).

Blood loss reduction helps achieve stable hemodynamics in the patient and provide a blood-free surgical field and better visibility for the surgeon. The latter is of crucial importance in spinal surgeries due to close proximity to the nervous system. Furthermore, improved visibility reduces the duration of operation and subsequently leads to less blood loss (2). In addition, blood loss reduction decreases the need for transfusion of blood and blood products, which, in turn, reduces its side effects such as hemolytic and non-hemolytic transfusion reactions.

One way to prevent hemodynamic instability and reduce intraoperative blood loss is to use  $\alpha^2$  agonist drugs such as clonidine and dexmedetomidine (3). The administration of these drugs either orally before the surgery or intravenously during the surgery has proven to be useful in maintaining hemodynamic stability (4). The  $\alpha^2$  agonist drugs are sympatholytic and affect the central receptors to reduce peripheral norepinephrine release by the stimulation of prejunctional inhibitory  $\alpha^2$  adrenoceptors (5).

# 2. Objectives

In this study, we compared the effects of oral clonidine premedication and intraoperative dexmedetomidine in blood loss reduction in elective spinal surgery.

### 3. Methods

This randomized, double-blind clinical trial was carried out in 120 patients undergoing spine surgery. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran,

Copyright © 2019, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

with IRCT registration number IRCT2016011225982N1. The inclusion criteria were having an age of 20 to 50 years, ASA class I or II, and spine surgery. The exclusion criteria included patients with hypertension, diabetes, pregnancy, coagulation disorders, ischemic heart disease, history of beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers intake, drug or alcohol abuse, and the operating time of over 150 minutes.

The patients were randomly divided into three groups. Group C received oral clonidine 0.2 mg tablets, 90 minutes before entering the room and 100 cc saline as placebo 15 minutes before anesthesia induction. Group D received placebo pills and 0.5  $\mu$ /kg dexmedetomidine 15 minutes before anesthesia induction for 10 minutes in 100 cc saline infusion and 0.25  $\mu$ /kg/h continuous infusions during the operation. Group P received placebo tablets and 100 cc saline infusion 15 minutes before anesthesia induction and during the operation as the control group.

All patients were monitored before starting anesthesia with standard monitoring (ECG monitoring, pulse oximetry, and noninvasive blood pressure monitoring). They received 7 cc/kg ringer solution using a peripheral IV line. General anesthesia was induced with midazolam 0.05 mg/kg, fentanyl 3 mcg/kg, sodium thiopental 5 mg/kg, and atracurium0.5 mg/kg. The anesthesia was maintained using 1% isoflurane in the oxygen and N<sub>2</sub>O mixture. In addition to standard monitoring, a radial arterial line was inserted. Heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressure were monitored before intubation as the baseline and after intubation, 15, 30, and 60 minutes after starting the surgery, and after extubation.

The amount of intraoperative blood loss was estimated based on the volume of blood in the suction bottle and the number of the blood-soaked gauze pads (20 mL for a completely blood-soaked gauze and 50 mL for a completely blood-soaked long gauze). Recording of the vital signs and the amount of blood loss in each patient was done by an assistant anesthesiologist who was unaware of the study groups.

#### 3.1. Statistical Analysis Methods

The data are reported based as means  $\pm$  standard deviation. The significance level was set at P < 0.05. In order to compare the obtained data between the groups, the normal distribution of data was studied using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and chi-square tests. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 19).

#### 4. Results

In this clinical study, two patients from group D were excluded due to severe bradycardia and one patient from

group P due to hypertension and using nitroglycerin during the surgery.

The demographic characteristics such as age, gender, weight, and ASA class were not significantly different between the three groups and the duration of surgery was the same in the study groups (109.55  $\pm$  11.46, 107.31  $\pm$  12.59, 107.63  $\pm$  889 minutes, respectively; P = 0.2935) (Table 1).

The changes in heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial blood pressure in the three groups were compared. No statistically significant difference was observed between the groups of clonidine and dexmedetomidine at different stages of the surgery (P > 0.05), whereas there was a significant difference between the mentioned groups and the control group (P < 0.05) (Tables 2-5).

The results showed the amount of intraoperative bleeding was significantly different between the three groups. There was a significant reduction in intraoperative blood loss in patients who received clonidine (289  $\pm$  130 mL) and dexmedetomidine (344  $\pm$  145 mL) compared to the control group (462  $\pm$  15 mL)(P=0.001)(Table 6).

## 5. Discussion

Intraoperative bleeding was one of the main variables in this study. Therefore, the effect of dexmedetomidine and clonidine on intraoperative bleeding was compared in spinal surgeries (1).

Javaherforooshzadeh et al. evaluated the amount of bleeding and hemodynamic changes between dexmedetomidine infusion and remifentanil infusion for controlled hypotensive anesthesia in lumbar discopathy surgery; they found no significant difference regarding hemodynamic changes and blood loss (6).

Somayaji and Raveendra evaluated the effect of dexmedetomidine on blood loss in functional endoscopic sinus surgery. The results showed hemodynamic stability with minimizing intraoperative blood loss (7).

Rahimzadeh et al. showed lower intraoperative blood pressure, heart rate, and bleeding in patients receiving dexmedetomidine versus remifentanil for posterior spinal fusion surgery (8).

Singh investigated the effects of prescribing clonidine compared to atenolol for creating better visibility during surgery, reducing the amount of intraoperative blood loss, and maintaining hemodynamic stability in nose surgeries under general anesthesia. The results showed a lower amount of blood loss in the group taking clonidine (P < 0.001) and thus, better visibility during the operation was achieved (9).

Taghipour Anvari et al. conducted a study on the effect of clonidine premedication on decreasing intraoperative bleeding in spinal surgeries. In this study, the amounts of

Fable 1. Demographic Profile and Duration of Surgeries (Means $\pm$ SD)					
Variable	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Age, y	$39.9 \pm 8.37$	$40.1\pm2.31$	$42.0\pm4.54$	0.1833	
Sex, female: male	29: 11	34:6	32: 8	0.6055	
Weight, Kg	$67.65\pm5.32$	$68.30\pm4.12$	$67.81 \pm 6.32$	0.8215	
ASA grade, I: II	33: 7	36:4	34: 6	0.7069	
Duration of surgery, min	109.55 $\pm$ 11.46	$107.31 \pm 12.59$	$107.63\pm889$	0.2935	

Abbreviations: Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group.

<b>Table 2.</b> Changes in the Heart Rate (bpm) in the Three Groups (Means $\pm$ SD)					
Heart Rate (bpm)	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Baseline	$81.70\pm6.31$	$94.35\pm6.48$	$88.30 \pm 8.59$	0.71738	
After intubation	$82.95\pm6.68$	$81.40\pm6.71$	$112.25\pm6.85$	0.00001	
15 minutes after starting surgery	$76.10\pm7.21$	$74.65 \pm 7.03$	$93.66 \pm 10.34$	0.00009	
30 minutes after starting surgery	$73.80 \pm 10.25$	$70.95 \pm 8.51$	$83.60 \pm 11.03$	0.00051	
60 minutes after starting surgery	$\textbf{71.15} \pm \textbf{11.01}$	$68.10 \pm 9.24$	$85.80\pm9.62$	0.00023	
After extubation	$77.75\pm8.03$	$71.95\pm8.68$	$109.55\pm7.34$	0.00001	

Abbreviations: Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group.

Fable 3. Changes in Systolic Arterial Pressure (mmHg) in the Three Groups (Means $\pm$ SD)					
SAP	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Baseline	$126.92\pm7.32$	$138.05\pm8.12$	$134.80 \pm 8.82$	0.46557	
After intubation	$118.80\pm6.49$	$111.43\pm6.45$	$142.50\pm8.62$	0.00001	
15 minutes after starting surgery	$104.50\pm9.36$	$101.30\pm6.20$	$114.05\pm7.13$	0.00073	
30 minutes after starting surgery	$98.80\pm 6.68$	$92.35 \pm 7.82$	$104.10\pm7.35$	0.00031	
60 minutes after starting surgery	$98.95 \pm 7.82$	$95.85 \pm 8.37$	$109.35\pm7.03$	0.00012	
After extubation	$110.55\pm7.34$	$106.60\pm10.57$	$138.75 \pm 10.46$	0.00001	

Abbreviations: Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group; SAP, systolic arterial pressure.

<b>Table 4.</b> Changes in Diastolic Arterial Pressure (mmHg) in the Three Groups (Means $\pm$ SD)					
DAP	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Baseline	$77.11 \pm 9.83$	$81.41 \pm 7.35$	$82.20\pm9.77$	0.97670	
After intubation	$75.80\pm10.31$	$74.35 \pm 3.64$	$83.25 \pm 10.67$	0.00011	
15 minutes after starting surgery	$\textbf{71.85} \pm \textbf{6.23}$	$68.35 \pm 8.86$	$77.35 \pm 9.15$	0.00327	
30 minutes after starting surgery	$65.10\pm 6.28$	$66.40 \pm 8.11$	$76.10\pm9.60$	0.00531	
60 minutes after starting surgery	$69.55\pm6.44$	$67.85 \pm 8.25$	$74.25 \pm 7.21$	0.00102	
After extubation	$70.05\pm7.01$	$72.85 \pm 9.49$	$81.25 \pm 9.39$	0.00001	

Abbreviations: DAP, diastolic arterial pressure; Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group.

intraoperative bleeding and prescribed narcotic were significantly lower in the group receiving clonidine than in the placebo group (P < 0.001) (10).

The study by Ibraheim et al. supported this claim by comparing the results of prescribing esmolol and

dexmedetomidine in intraoperative bleeding and hemodynamic changes in patients undergoing scoliosis operation. The results indicated that adding dexmedetomidine and esmolol to anesthetic drugs would result in equally stabilized hemodynamics and reduced the intra-

Table 5. Changes in Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg) in the Three Groups (Means $\pm$ SD)					
МАР	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Baseline	$92.50\pm9.54$	$94.25\pm8.03$	$94.60\pm9.63$	0.79895	
After intubation	$85.85 \pm 8.83$	$81.25\pm7.87$	$102.45\pm9.57$	0.00017	
15 minutes after starting surgery	$74.75 \pm 11.10$	$76.50\pm6.85$	$101.40 \pm 8.18$	0.00001	
30 minutes after starting surgery	$73.75\pm6.07$	$73.70\pm6.84$	$87.15\pm8.36$	0.00694	
60 minutes after starting surgery	$71.70\pm6.49$	$69.15\pm6.66$	$79.95 \pm 6.70$	0.00701	
After extubation	$85.60\pm6.68$	$79.10\pm8.93$	$96.75\pm7.99$	0.00001	

Abbreviations: Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

Table 6. Intraoperative Bleeding (mL) in the Three Groups (Means $\pm$ SD)					
	Group C	Group D	Group P	P Value	
Intraoperative bleeding, mL	$289.3\pm130$	344.7±145	$462.3\pm115$	0.00101	

Abbreviations: Group C, clonidine group; Group D, dexmedetomidine group; Group P, control group.

operative bleeding in patients undergoing scoliosis operation. The amount of bleeding was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group than in the group taking esmolol (P < 0.001) (11).

Ebneshahidi and Mohseni performed a study on the effects of oral clonidine on reducing the intraoperative blood loss and maintaining hemodynamic stability in cesarean sections under general anesthesia. The results showed that the amount of intraoperative blood loss and using opioid were significantly lower in the group receiving clonidine compared to the control group receiving placebo pills (P < 0.001) (12).

The findings of our study showed that dexmedetomidine and clonidine are both effective in lowering intraoperative bleeding compared to the control group. Clonidine was more effective than dexmedetomidine and the difference between the two groups was significant (P < 0.001).

Other factors that affect intraoperative bleeding are the surgeon's experience, the patient's position during the operation, and putting the proper rolls. In our study, all these factors were taken into account and remained unchanged in various groups; therefore, their effects on the findings were not significant. Additionally, patients with bleeding disorders were not included in the study. Therefore, the most effective ways that decreased the intraoperative bleeding were preventing excessive sympathetic activity, reducing the effects of sudden catecholamine release, and maintaining hemodynamic stability during the operation.

#### 5.1. Conclusions

This survey indicates that the use of both dexmedetomidine and clonidine, as  $\alpha^2$  agonist drugs, was equally effective in maintaining the hemodynamic stability in patients during the operation. These drugs do not have severe side effects with appropriate dose administration and good monitoring. Additionally, the use of these drugs resulted in less intraoperative bleeding. In this study, clonidine effect on bleeding reduction was more significant than the effect of dexmedetomidine.

#### Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Farahzad Janatmakan. Analysis and interpretation of data: Mahshid Vaziri. Manuscript preparation: Mahshid Vaziri, Farahzad Janatmakan, Sholeh Nesioonpour, Fatemeh Javaherforoosh Zadeh, and Alireza Teimouri. Data collection: Mashid Vaziri. Critical revision: Farahzad Janatmakan.

Clinical Trial Registration: IRCT2016011225982N1.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this study.

**Ethical Considerations:** The ethics committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran, approved the study (IR.AJUMS.REC. 1394.454).

**Funding/Support:** The financial support was provided by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences.

#### References

- Cole JW, Murray DJ, Snider RJ, Bassett GS, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG. Aprotinin reduces blood loss during spinal surgery in children. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2003;**28**(21):2482–5. doi: 10.1097/01.BRS.0000090835.45437.7F. [PubMed: 14595168].
- 2. Szpalski M, Gunzburg R, Sztern B. An overview of blood-sparing techniques used in spine surgery during the perioperative period.

*Eur Spine J.* 2004;**13 Suppl 1**:S18–27. doi: 10.1007/s00586-004-0752-y. [PubMed: 15480823]. [PubMed Central: PMC3592191].

- 3. Jabalameli M, Hashemi M, Soltani H, Hashemi SJ. Oral clonidine premedication decreases intraoperative bleeding in patients undergoing endoscopic sinus surgery. *J Res Med Sci*. 2005;1:25–30.
- Ayoglu H, Yapakci O, Ugur MB, Uzun L, Altunkaya H, Ozer Y, et al. Effectiveness of dexmedetomidine in reducing bleeding during septoplasty and tympanoplasty operations. *J Clin Anesth*. 2008;20(6):437–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2008.04.008. [PubMed: 18929284].
- Wijeysundera DN, Naik JS, Beattie WS. Alpha-2 adrenergic agonists to prevent perioperative cardiovascular complications: A metaanalysis. *Am J Med*. 2003;114(9):742–52. [PubMed: 12829201].
- 6. Javaherforooshzadeh F, Monajemzadeh SA, Soltanzadeh M, Janatmakan F, Salari A, Saeed H. A comparative study of the amount of bleeding and hemodynamic changes between dexmedetomidine infusion and remifentanil infusion for controlled hypotensive anesthesia in lumbar discopathy surgery: A double-blind, randomized, clinical trial. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2018;8(2). e66959. doi: 10.5812/aapm.66959. [PubMed: 30009153]. [PubMed Central: PMC6035495].
- Somayaji A, Raveendra U. Effect of dexmedetomidine on blood loss and quality of surgical field in functional endoscopic sinus surgery: A double blinded prospective controlled study. Karnataka Anaesth J.

2016;**2**(3):90-8. doi: 10.4103/kaj.kaj\_14\_17.

- Rahimzadeh P, Faiz SH, Alimian M, Mohammadian Erdi A. Remifentanil versus dexmedtomidine for posterior spinal fusion surgery. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2015;29:215. [PubMed: 26478873]. [PubMed Central: PMC4606949].
- Singh A. A study to evaluate the effectiveness of clonidine vs atenolol in providing optimal surgical field in nasal surgeries under general anaesthesia. J Anesth Clin Res. 2014;5(3). doi: 10.4172/2155-6148.1000396.
- Taghipour Anvari Z, Afshar-Fereydouniyan N, Imani F, Sakhaei M, Alijani B, Mohseni M. Effect of clonidine premedication on blood loss in spine surgery. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2012;1(4):252–6. doi: 10.5812/aapm.2197. [PubMed: 24904810]. [PubMed Central: PMC4018704].
- Ibraheim OA, Abdulmonem A, Baaj J, Zahrani TA, Arlet V. Esmolol versus dexmedetomidine in scoliosis surgery: Study on intraoperative blood loss and hemodynamic changes. *Middle East J Anaesthesiol*. 2013;22(1):27–33. [PubMed: 23833847].
- Ebneshahidi A, Mohseni M. Premedication with oral clonidine decreases intraoperative bleeding and provides hemodynamic stability in cesarean section. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2011;1(1):30–3. doi: 10.5812/kowsar.22287523.1337. [PubMed: 25729653]. [PubMed Central: PMC4335754].