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Abstract

Background: Warts are common skin disorders that are treated by a variety of methods. Therefore, researchers are searching for effective and less-complicated methods, especially for treatment-resistant warts. In this regard, the present study compared two methods, CO₂ laser and trichloroacetic acid, to treat warts in patients who visited Khanevadeh Hospital from October 2013 to October 2014.

Objectives: The present study aimed to compare two treatment methods, trichloroacetic acid, and CO₂ laser, in patients with warts who visited Khanevadeh Hospital regarding side effects and efficacy of the treatment.

Methods: The present interventional study, which had a randomized, double-blind clinical trial type, examined 60 patients with warts who visited Khanevadeh Hospital from October 2013 to October 2014, and determined and compared the side effects and efficacy of two treatment methods, CO₂ laser, and Trichloroacetic acid.

Results: The complete treatment effectiveness rate was 20% in the trichloroacetic acid group and 56.7% in the CO₂ laser group, indicating a statistically significant difference (P = 0.005). There was no special side effect in any treatment methods.

Conclusions: According to research results, the efficacy of CO₂ laser was higher in treating warts than trichloroacetic acid; hence, its use is recommended in treating patients with warts because of its efficacy and safety.
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1. Background

Warts, as very common disorders, can even be debilitating and very painful in some cases. They are thick hyperkeratotic lesions created by the human papillomavirus (HPV) (1) and are more common in children and young adults. Their prevalence is 2 - 20% in children in different societies (2). Diagnosis and treatment of the disease in terms of cosmetics, prevention of the further spread of warts, and differential diagnoses, are crucial in this regard (3). Various treatments are used to remove warts, including two main treatment groups, topical and surgical (4). Topical treatments are not often accepted by patients owing to the need for skin allergy and continuous use (3, 4).

Furthermore, surgical treatments have become ineffective owing to the fear of surgery, complications, such as surgical scars, and the possibility of wart recurrence (3, 4). Therefore, researchers seek to find effective and less-complicated treatment methods for warts, especially treatment-resistant ones (3). Some of these treatment methods include CO₂ laser and trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (5, 6). However, none of the treatment methods had 100% effectiveness, and there is still a possibility of recurrence of warts even with all treatment methods (7).

A review study by Ockenfels and Hammes in Germany in 2008 reported that CO₂ laser was the best ablative treatment method for warts, especially warts resistant to other treatments, and it had up to 75% therapeutic effectiveness (8). In a study by Azizjalali et al. in Iran in 2012 (9), 160 patients with genital warts were classified into two groups, those receiving CO₂ laser and cryotherapy with TCA and 95% of patients in the CO₂ group, and 46.2% in the TCA group had improvement. Furthermore, the recurrence rate was lower in patients treated with the laser than in the...
TCA group (9). Takac conducted a study in Croatia in 2000 and investigated the effectiveness of CO$_2$ laser in the treatment of warts, obtaining good results in patients with relatively shorter complete remission periods and no side effects, especially pain after the treatment (10). Serour and Somekh examined 40 children with treatment-resistant warts in Israel in 2003 and treated them with a CO$_2$ laser (11). In a study by Lim and Goh in Singapore in 1992, 40 patients with warts in Israel in 2003 and treated them with a CO$_2$ laser, obtaining good results in patients with relapse (10). Serour and Somekh examined 40 children with treatment-resistant warts in Israel in 2003 and treated them with a CO$_2$ laser (11).

According to the Helsinki Accords, the study was conducted with consent and written consent from all patients. After data collection, data were analyzed by SPSS 22. Statistical tests, including the Fisher’s test, chi-square test, and independent t-test, were used in this study, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered significant for interpreting relationships among variables.

4. Results

A total of 60 patients with warts were evaluated in the study, and all of them were male. Their mean age was 21 years, with a standard deviation of 2.5 years. Regarding wart location, 33.3% were on foot, 63.3% on the hands, and 3.3% on the neck. The number of wart lesions was matched in the two groups before starting the treatment. The average number of lesions was 3.7 in the laser-treated group and 3.73 in the TCA-treated group. In terms of the complete remission and return of skin scars or creation of scars without the presence of a warty lesion.

According to the Helsinki Accords, the study was conducted with consent and written consent from all patients. After data collection, data were analyzed by SPSS 22. Statistical tests, including the Fisher’s test, chi-square test, and independent t-test, were used in this study, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered significant for interpreting relationships among variables.

5. Discussion

Researchers are looking for effective and less complicated ways to treat warts. The CO$_2$ laser and TCA are among these methods (5, 6). Since each of these methods has its efficacy and side effects, identifying and introducing effective treatment requires conducting interventional clinical trials. Therefore, the present study compared the effects of CO$_2$ laser and TCA on the treatment of warts in...
patients who visited Khanevadeh Hospital from October 2013 to October 2014. It was found that the complete efficacy rate was 56.7% in the laser group and 20% in the TCA group, indicating a statistically significant difference. The two treatment methods showed no side effects. In a survey by Azizjalali et al. in Iran in 2012, 106 patients with external genital warts were divided into two groups. Each group consisted of 80 patients treated with CO$_2$ laser or cryotherapy. Clearance and recurrence rates were evaluated for three months. Complete clearance was achieved in 76 lesions (95%) treated by CO$_2$ laser and 37 lesions (46.2%) treated by cryotherapy, which was significantly different ($P < 0.001$). In the CO$_2$ laser group, lesions required only one treatment to be removed, while in the cryotherapy group, lesions required two (12%) even up to three (12.2%) treatments for some patients to be removed completely. Laser therapy was associated with less recurrence rate than cryotherapy (0.05% vs. 0.18%) (9). In our study, the CO$_2$ laser showed better efficacy than TCA. In a study by Qayum et al. in Pakistan in 2022, 90 patients with anogenital warts were treated with 100% TCA and had an efficacy of 82.2%, which was higher than our study, but 100% TCA was used in this study (16).

In a randomized controlled trial investigating Verruca plana in children and adults, weekly applications of TCA were compared with weekly cryotherapy sessions over
eight weeks. The investigators observed total remission in 85.7% of participants treated with 10% TCA, 92.6% treated with 25% TCA, and 92% treated with cryotherapy (17). However, the response rate was lower in our study. A recent small randomized controlled trial comparing CO₂ laser with cryotherapy demonstrated no significant difference in the resolution of warts in both groups; the remission rate was 89.7% in the laser group and 70.4% in the cryotherapy group (P = 0.069) (18). The response rate of the laser group in our study was 56.7%. Scarring, hypopigmentation, postoperative pain, and prolonged wound healing are adverse effects of CO₂ laser (19). In our study, no complication was observed in any patient.

Pezeshkpoor et al. compared the efficacy of 80% TCA and 35% TCA in the treatment of common warts. At the end of the six-week study, 46.7% of participants achieved a good response (> 75% of lesions cleared) in the 80% TCA group compared to 12% in the 35% TCA group (13). The results were consistent with our study. Newer modalities of laser therapy, such as pulsed dye lasers (PDL), are more subtle and can destroy the dilated superficial capillaries rather than the tissue itself and reduce complications. Pulsed dye lasers therapy has been used to treat simple and recalcitrant common, palmar, plantar, and flat warts, with variable remission rates ranging from 47% to 100% (19). In this study, we used a CO₂ laser.

In light of the findings of this study, lasers were far more effective than TCA in treating warts; therefore, lasers can be recommended for wart treatment due to their safety and efficacy.
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