Published online 2021 April 28.

Research Article

The Relationship Between Major Dietary Patterns and Disease Severity Among Migraine Patients

Mansoureh Togha^{1, 2}, Shiva Nematgorgani³, Faezeh Khorsha^{4,*}, Khadijeh Mirzaei⁴, Atieh Mirzababaei⁵, Zeinab Ghorbani⁵, Mir Saeed Yekaninejad⁶ and Ali Asghar Okhovat²

¹Department of Neurology, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Headache Department, Iranian Center of Neurological Research, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran

⁵School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) Tehran, Iran

⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran

^c Corresponding author: Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran. Email: khorsha.sayeh@gmail.com

Received 2020 March 30; Accepted 2021 March 12.

Abstract

Background: Migraine is a chronic medical problem and sometimes progressive disorder characterized by recurrent episodes of headache. Nutritional factors can reduce and prevent the severity and frequency of migraine.

Objectives: This study aimed to assess the relationship between major dietary patterns and disease severity among migraine patients.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 266 females (18-50 years old) who attend neurology clinics of Sina and Khatam Alanbia hospitals, and a professional headache clinic, both in Tehran, Iran, for episodic migraine diagnosis in 2016. The participants' data was gathered using a general questionnaire and medical history. Dietary intake was assessed using a 147-item semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Anthropometric measurements were taken for all cases. visual analog scale (VAS) and migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) questionnaires were used by a neurologist for assessing migraine disability and pain severity, respectively. Also, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify major dietary patterns. The association between dietary patterns and disease severity was evaluated using multinomial logistic regression.

Results: Using the PCA, two major dietary patterns, including the healthy and unhealthy diet, were identified. More adherence to the healthy dietary pattern (high in fruits, fruit juices, and dried fruits, vegetables, whole grains, liquid oil, brains, beans, low-fat dairy, and white meat) was associated with a lower VAS score after adjusting for energy intake, BMI, water intake, and increased salt intake (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 0.96 - 3.44, P-trend < 0.005). The intensity of migraine headache increased by 82% in the lowest adherence to this pattern compared to the most adherence. There was no significant association between healthy and unhealthy dietary patterns with MIDAS before and after controlling for confounding variables.

Conclusions: This study showed that adherence to a healthy dietary pattern reduces the severity of pain in migraine patients. However, further studies are needed to evaluate the relationship between the unhealthy dietary pattern and the severity of migraine symptoms.

Keywords: Dietary Pattern, Visual Analog Scale, Migraine Disability Assessment

1. Background

Migraine is an episodic disorder that is believed to be due to a mixture of nervous factors and autonomic changes (1). The prevalence of migraine in women and men is 18.2% and 6.5%, respectively (2). In 90% of cases, migraine occurs up to age 40 years (3). Migraines involve unilateral headaches which last from 4 -72 hours, occur with moderate to severe intensity, are increased by physical activity, and can involve nausea, as well as sensitivity to light and sound (4, 5). It has been shown that factors such as dietary intake, time of day, hormonal changes, hunger, stress, family history, depression, and irregular sleep patterns are strongly associated with the incidence of migraine headaches (6).

Various factors are effective in the pathogenesis of migraines, but the main cause has yet to be known. Some of these theories refer to the role of nerve damage in the brain, as well as the density and pressure of brain ner-

Copyright © 2021, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

vous systems in migraine occurrence. Other factors that are thought to play a role include hyperhomocysteinemia, vitamin D deficiency, production of inflammatory factors and prostaglandins, production of serotonin from platelets, stimulation of norepinephrine production, and increased sensitivity to nitric oxide (7, 8).

Several previous studies have shown that some dietary components such as processed or fermented foods, chocolate, caffeine, salty foods, dairies, seafoods, citrus fruits, food additives (aspartame, monosodium glutamate, and nitrates), and tyramine-containing foods stimulate migraine attacks (6, 9, 10). On the other hand, it has been shown that specific nutrients, including magnesium, coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10), vitamin D, and omega-3 fatty acids, may improve the condition of these patients and decrease the severity and frequency of migraine attacks (11). Also, some studies have shown that adherence to vegetarian, low-fat, and elimination diets is effective in reducing the number and severity of migraine attacks, as well as decreasing the visual analog scale (VAS) (12).

Although several dietary factors have been associated with migraine, there are limited studies regarding the relationship between overall dietary pattern and migraine (13-15). Since foods influence each other, and it is difficult to study the effect of one specific food precisely, recently researchers have suggested dietary pattern analysis to investigate the relationship between nutritional intake and incidence of diseases (16, 17). Generally, dietary patterns can be considered as a network that involve the inter-relationship between different food items and dietary habits of a population. Successful strategies to treat or reduce the severity and frequency of headaches would confer substantial benefits to afflicted individuals.

2. Objectives

The present study aimed to evaluate the association between major dietary patterns and disease severity among patients with migraine.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Population

The present cross-sectional study included 256 female patients referred to two neurology clinics in Tehran, Iran, for episodic migraine diagnosis in 2016. More detailed information about the study population and migraine diagnosis has been provided in previous studies (18). In summary, we included females (18 - 50 years old) with BMI between 18.5 to 30 and diagnosis of migraine according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders 3 (ICHD3) criteria. The migraine was diagnosed by a neurologist, and all participants were referred to the neurology clinic for the first time. Subjects with diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and other chronic diseases and those using antihypertensive, anti-lipid, and anti-hyperglycemic drugs were excluded from the study. The methodology of this study was confirmed by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran (Project No. 95-01-103-31348).

3.2. Anthropometric Measurements

All participants were subjected to weight, height, waist circumference (WC), and hip circumference (HC) measurement. A digital scale (Seca) was used to measure weight with a precision of 100 gr. Also, a Seca stadiometer was used to measure the height of the participants with a precision of 0.1 cm. The BMI was obtained by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of height (m^2). The WC and HC were assessed using a flexible tape with 0.5 cm accuracy. WC was measured between the iliac crest bone and the lowest rib. HC measurements were performed in the broadest area. WC was divided by HC to calculate waist to hip ratio (WHR). Anthropometric measurements were applied with the minimum cloth and without shoes.

3.3. Dietary Intake Assessment and Dietary Patterns

A 147-item semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to estimate dietary intakes in the last year (19). The reliability and validity of this questionnaire were approved in the Iranian adult population by Hosseini Esfahani et al. in a previous study (20). The FFQ data were analyzed by the Nutritionist-4 software.

3.4. Migraine Diagnosis

A neurologist diagnosed episodic migraine in participants according to the ICHD3 criteria (21). These criteria categorize episodic migraine into with aura and without aura types. Details for migraine characterization have previously been explained (18).

3.5. Migraine Severity Assessment

Participants were asked to complete a 30-day headache diary to collect the information of the time of migraine attack onset, duration of the headache, and headache frequency. The functional disabilities due to migraine in the last three months were assessed using the migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) tool (22). The reliability and validity of this questionnaire were confirmed in the Iranian population in a previous study (23). This questionnaire categorizes migraine patients into four groups according to the extent of the migraine effect on functionality. Grade I was assigned to participants who scored less than 5 (without or low disability), grade II to scores between 6 to 10 (mild disability), grade III to scores between 11 and 20 (moderate disability), and grade IV to scores more than 21 (severe disability). To assess the severity of headaches, a 10 cm VAS was used, and subjects were asked to rate their perception of pain (24). Participants with VAS scores between 1 and 3 were assigned to mild pain group, scores between 4 to 7 to moderate pain group, and scores more than 8 to severe pain group.

3.6. Statistical Analyses

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify major dietary patterns. Due to the large number of food items in the FFQ, 23 food groups were created according to the similarity of their components (25). If the nutrient composition of a food item was significantly different from other food items or consuming it represented a certain food habit, that food could form a group by itself (such as tea and coffee, or egg). Migraine trigger foods (such as cheese, foods containing caffeine, various meats, and pickles due to their high levels of biological amines), foods containing additives, and processed foods were put into separate groups as much as possible (6, 21). However, segregating certain food groups (such as different kinds of vegetables and fruits) did not change the findings of the current study.

The varimax rotation was used to obtain a simple matrix to extract major dietary patterns with better interpretability. The suitability of data to perform PCA was assessed by the Anti-Image table, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy test, and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity. According to scree plots and the components' interpretability, factors with eigenvalues ≥ 2.88 were extracted as major dietary patterns. The scores for each person were obtained based on the intake and loading factors of 23 different food groups for each of the two major dietary patterns (Table 1) (26). For simplicity, loading factors less than 0.3 are not shown in the table. After determining the adherence score to each of the two dietary patterns, the scores were converted into tertiles to perform an inter-tertile comparison. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of quantitative variables. To compare quantitative variables with normal or nonnormal distribution across dietary patterns or migraine severity categories, the ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Also, to investigate the association between qualitative variables, the chi-square test was used. Multinomial logistic regression was performed to examine the relationship between dietary patterns as the independent variable with migraine outcomes as the dependent variable. Moreover, in the adjusted model of logistic regression, the effect of confounding variables (energy intake, BMI, the amount of water intake, and adding salt to food) was controlled. The SPSS software version 24 (IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY) was used to analyze study data.

4. Results

PCA resulted in two dietary patterns: unhealthy and healthy dietary patterns. In the unhealthy dietary pattern, while the intake of high-energy drinks, high-fat dairy, snacks, organ meats, processed foods, cheese, meat, nuts, and solid fats was higher, the intake of low-fat dairy, vegetables, foods with caffeine, and pickles was lower. In contrast, the healthy dietary pattern characterizes by a higher intake of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, vegetable oil, nuts, beans, low-fat dairy, white meat, and lower consumption of solid fats, refined grains, and salt. Factors that had a load factor greater than 0.3 are used to determine the type of dietary pattern. A load factor below 0.3 is omitted for naming the food pattern. These two factors explain 39.5% of the total variance overal. The load factors of food items available in each pattern are shown in Table 1. Negative loading factors show the inverse association, and positive values show the direct association between food items and dietary patterns. The relationship between the MIDAS and dietary patterns is given in Table 2. According to this table, MIDAS categories were not associated with healthy (OR = 0.81; 95% CI = 0.1, 62.05; P = 0.11) and unhealthy dietary pattern (OR = 0.88; 95% CI = 0.1, 69/13, P = 0.11). In Table 3, logistic regression did not show any significant relationship between healthy (OR = 0.75; 95% CI = 0.1, 56.01; P = 0.055) and unhealthy dietary patterns (OR = 0.89, 95% CI = 0.1, 66.19; P = 0.45) with MIDAS, after controlling for confounding variables. Table 4 provides the association between dietary patterns adherence and migraine headache severity (VAS). By the default suitability of odds ratio (OR), it is assumed that the difference in the OR does not vary from one category to the other. According to this table, the possibility of increasing the severity of migraine headaches is less in people in the first tertile of unhealthy dietary pattern versus people in the third tertile. This relationship also exists for the second tertile of unhealthy dietary pattern compared to the third tertile. The association of this unhealthy dietary pattern was significant with VAS at first before adjusting (OR = 0.53; 95% CI = 0.30, 0.93; P-trend = 0.02), but as shown in Table 5, after adjusting for underlying factors, no significant association was found (OR = 0.53; 95% CI = 0.23, 1.20; P-trend = 0.16). According to Table 5, in the unadjusted model of logistic regression, the association between VAS and the healthy dietary pattern was not statistically significant (P-trend = 0.14). However, the odds of severe migraine

Food Groups	Healthy Dietary Pattern	Unhealthy Dietary Pattern	
High-energy drinks		0.085	
High-fat dairy		0.812	
Snacks and refreshments		0.806	
Pastries and sweet snacks		0.796	
Organ meats		0.766	
Low-fat dairy	0.416	-0.672	
Fast food		0.608	
Cheese		0.438	
Red meat		0.434	
Foods containing caffeine		-0.359	
Pickles		-0.349	
Fruits, fruit juices, and dried fruits	0.738		
Vegetables	0.565	-0.558	
Solid fats	-0.552	0.348	
Whole grains	0.547		
Liquid oil	0.544		
Refined grains	-0.511		
Brains	0.504	0.499	
Beans	0.500		
White meat	0.409		
Salt	-0.378		
Egg			
Spices and condiments			
Percentage of variance explained	14.51	24.53	

Table 1. Load Factor of Food Groups and the Percentage of Variance Explained by Each Dietary Pattern^a

^a The load factor is considered equal to and greater than 0.3.

headache of subjects in 1st tertile of the healthy dietary pattern were 1.82 (95% CI = 0.96, 3.44) times that of the subjects in the last tertile, after adjusting for the effect of confounding variables (P-trend = 0.02).

5. Discussion

The present study investigated the association between major dietary patterns and disease severity in women with migraine. Generally, two major dietary patterns, including the healthy and unhealthy dietary patterns, were identified. The results of the study revealed that more adherence to the healthy dietary pattern is associated with lower severity in migraine headaches. Although there was a direct association between VAS and following the unhealthy dietary pattern, this relationship was confounded by other variables. Moreover, this study did not find any association between migraine disability and dietary patterns.

Rezazadeh et al. studied women aged 20-50 in Tehran, Iran, and found two dietary patterns: healthy and unhealthy (27). In another study conducted on Tehranian adults, three patterns were identified: western, healthy, and mixed. The western and healthy dietary patterns were similar to unhealthy and healthy dietary patterns in our study, respectively (28). Also, there was some similarity between the healthy dietary pattern in the present study with other studies in the adult population globally (29, 30). On the other hand, there are many commonalities between the unhealthy dietary pattern in the present study and the western dietary pattern in other studies (29, 31). It should be noted that dietary patterns are comparable if the food

Table 2. The Results of Multiple Logistic Regressions to Examine the Effect of Diet on MIDAS (Severe Disability- Non-Severe Disability)			
	OR (95%CI)	P-Value	
Unhealthy dietary pattern	0.88 (0.1 - 69.13)	0.32	
Healthy dietary pattern	0.81(0.1-62.05)	0.11	

Table 3. The Results of Multiple Logistic Regression to Examine the Effect of Dietary Pattern on MIDAS After Considering the Confounding Factors

	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P-Value		Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P-Value
Unhealthy dietary pattern	0.89 (0.1 - 66.19)	0.45	Healthy dietary pattern	0.75 (0.1 - 56.01)	0.055
Energy intake	1.07 (0.1 - 80.42)	0.63	Energy intake	1.03 (0.1 - 77.37)	0.80
BMI	1.26 (1.1 - 17.35)	< 0.000	BMI	1.27 (1.1 - 18.36)	< 0.001
Water consumption	0.93 (0.1 - 85.02)	0.15	Water consumption	0.94 (0.1 - 85.03)	0.20
Adding salt to food	1.32 (0.2 - 72.44)	0.36	Adding salt to food	0.73 (0.1 - 39.36)	0.33

Table 4. The Results of Multiple Logistic Regression to Examine the Effect of Diet on VAS^a

Variable	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P-Value	P-Trend	
Unhealthy dietary pattern			0.02	
1st tertile	0.53 (0.30 - 0.93)	0.02		
2nd tertile	0.47 (0.27 - 0.84)	0.01		
3rd tertile	Reference group			
Healthy dietary pattern			0.14	
1st tertile	1.50 (0.87 - 2.68)	0.14		
2nd tertile	1.06 (0.61 - 1.85)	0.82		
3rd tertile	Reference group			

^a Data are expressed as frequency (percentage)

Table 5. The Results of Multiple Logistic Regression to Examine the Effect of Dietary Pattern on VAS after Considering the Confounding Factors

	OR(95% CI)	P-Value	P - Trend		OR (95% CI)	P-Value	P-Trend
Unhealthy dietary pattern			0.16	Healthy dietary pattern			0.02
1st tertile	0.53 (0.23 - 1.20)	0.12		1st tertile	1.82 (0.96 - 3.34)	0.06	
2nd tertile	0.55 (0.26 - 1.14)	0.11		2nd tertile	1.38 (0.74 - 2.57)	0.30	
3rd tertile	Re	ference		3rd tertile	Re	ference	
Energy intake	1.61 (1.14 - 2.26)	0.006		Energy intake	1.84 (1.40 - 2.43)	< 0.001	
BMI	1.20 (1.12 - 1.28)	< 0.001		BMI	1.20(1.12 - 1.28)	< 0.001	
Water consumption	0.89 (0.80 - 0.99)	0.03		Water consumption	0.88(0.79-0.98)	0.02	
Adding salt to food	4.20 (2.26 - 7.79)	< 0.001		Adding salt to food	4.34(2.27-8.33)	< 0.001	

group classification is exactly the same (32).

Also, the research topic can play a role in categorizing dietary patterns, which can then cause differences in dietary pattern classification (33). Since all the subjects of the current study had migraine, being in this situation could affect their dietary patterns. This was shown in a study carried out on the relationship between dietary patterns

and migraine by Rist et al. (34), which observed lower intakes of caffeinated coffee in subjects with non-migraine headaches but did not observe this in migraine patients. Additionally, this group's consumption of chocolate and burgers was greater than subjects without headaches. On the other hand, migraine patients consumed less dairy products than non-migraine ones. However, this study showed that dietary patterns of people with migraine are different in a healthy society; this could be attributed to the fact that migraine patients change their dietary patterns over time due to headaches being caused by certain foods. One study revealed that among normal-weight people (BMI between 18.5 to 24.5), the patients with migraines had lower food quality. Lower intakes of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, beans, and legumes were reported in women diagnosed with migraine compared to healthy counterparts (30). This suggests that dietary patterns obtained from women with migraine may be different from those of healthy subjects. In this study, it was assumed that adherence to different dietary patterns (healthy and unhealthy) could have a significant correlation with the severity of migraines. According to the results of the study, adherence to the unhealthy dietary pattern before and after controlling for confounding variables does not have a significant effect on MIDAS, and as a result, this hypothesis is rejected.

Vegetables intake was lower in the unhealthy dietary pattern. It has been suggested that there is an active agent in some vegetables, including cabbage, broccoli, spinach, beets, parsley, and carrots, that may act as an antagonist for calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), a protein responsible for intense inflammation in the meninges. Therefore, higher intakes of this agent may prevent migraine attacks, and in some cases, it has been reported to be more effective compared to anti-migraine medications (35). Of the other foods that can be introduced as a migraine trigger, fermented and processed foods, pickles, and seafood can be named. Monosodium glutamate is one of the factors which stimulates migraine. The histamine in seafood, meats, and dairy products, and tyramine in different foods (such as red wine, strong or aged cheeses, smoked fish, some fruits, certain beans, and onions) can contribute to triggering migraines. In addition, caffeine, alcohol, and nitrates in meat products are also effective in creating headaches (36). This non-significant association between adherence to a healthy dietary pattern and migraine disability could be attributed to the fact that migraine can be stimulated by some foods in a healthy diet. For example, foods such as seafood, dairy products, figs, beans, citrus, avocados, bananas, and onions, which are involved in triggering migraines, are placed in the healthy diet. As a result, the effect of beneficial foods may be lost with the simultaneous consumption of foods that are migraine drivers. However, in the present study, foods that are known to be migraine drivers in various studies were categorized into separate groups at the primary analysis stage. However, there was no difference in the study results.

Studies have shown changes in the dietary patterns of migraine sufferers over time due to their problems (36).

Dietary changes can also occur with taking drugs. These changes have been observed particularly when receiving topiramate, valproic acid, and tricyclic antidepressants (37, 38). As a result, people who have more severe migraines and use more drugs may have more dietary changes.

5.1. Conclusions

Overall, our results showed that the unhealthy dietary pattern may affect the severity of migraine headaches, but this relationship is influenced by other confounding factors, too. Also, the healthy dietary pattern after controlling for confounding variables reduced the severity of pain. In this study, there was no significant relationship between food patterns and the disability of migraine. According to the explanations given, migraine can change the dietary intake and eventually affect the association between migraine disease severity and dietary pattern in scientific studies. In addition, relying on self-reported data could also have a high impact on this relationship. The lack of correlation between other indicators of migraine severity with food patterns may be due to the presence of foods in the healthy diet that normally trigger a migraine and thus neutralize the beneficial effects of this food pattern. In general, following a healthy diet and lower consumption of unhealthy foods by modifying these diets and eliminating stimulants may play a significant role in migraine outcomes; however, this relationship must be confirmed in future studies.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: M. T. and KH. M. and A. O. and F. KH.; Analysis and interpretation of data: S.Y.; Drafting of the manuscript: F. KH and A. M. and SH. N. and Z. GH.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interests.

Ethical Approval: The methodology of this study was confirmed by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Project No. 95-01-103-31348).

Funding/Support: Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran funded this study.

References

- 1. Pizza V, Mainenti M, Iannuzzi S, Agresta A, Cassano D, d'Amato C, et al. Food intolerance in migraine. *Pharmacologyonline*. 2013;**1**:18–24.
- Di Lorenzo C, Coppola G, Sirianni G, Di Lorenzo G, Bracaglia M, Di Lenola D, et al. Migraine improvement during short lasting ketogenesis: a proof-of-concept study. *Eur J Neurol.* 2015;**22**(1):170–7. doi: 10.1111/ene.12550. [PubMed: 25156013].
- 3. Aminoff. M, Greenberg D, Simon R. *Clinical neurology*. 9th ed. McGraw-Hill Education; 2015.

- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache S. The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). *Cephalalgia*. 2013;33(9):629–808. doi: 10.1177/0333102413485658. [PubMed: 23771276].
- Rockett FC, de Oliveira VR, Castro K, Chaves ML, Perla Ada S, Perry ID. Dietary aspects of migraine trigger factors. *Nutr Rev.* 2012;**70**(6):337– 56. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2012.00468.x. [PubMed: 22646127].
- Finocchi C, Sivori G. Food as trigger and aggravating factor of migraine. *Neurol Sci.* 2012;33 Suppl 1:S77-80. doi: 10.1007/s10072-012-1046-5. [PubMed: 22644176].
- Oterino A, Toriello M, Valle N, Castillo J, Alonso-Arranz A, Bravo Y, et al. The relationship between homocysteine and genes of folaterelated enzymes in migraine patients. *Headache*. 2010;**50**(1):99–168. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2009.01484.x. [PubMed: 19619240].
- 8. Mottaghi T, Khorvash F, Askari G, Maracy MR, Ghiasvand R, Maghsoudi Z, et al. The relationship between serum levels of vitamin D and migraine. J Res Med Sci. 2013;**18**(Suppl 1). S66.
- Özturan A, Şanlıer N, Coşkun Ö. [The relationship between migraine and nutrition]. *Turk Noroloji Dergisi*. 2016;22(2):44–50. Turkey. doi: 10.4274/tnd.37132.
- Finkel AG, Yerry JA, Mann JD. Dietary considerations in migraine management: does a consistent diet improve migraine? *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2013;17(11):373. doi: 10.1007/s11916-013-0373-4. [PubMed: 24068338].
- Sun-Edelstein C, Mauskop A. Foods and supplements in the management of migraine headaches. *Clin J Pain*. 2009;25(5):446–52. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31819a6f65. [PubMed: 19454881].
- Bunner AE, Agarwal U, Gonzales JF, Valente F, Barnard ND. Nutrition intervention for migraine: a randomized crossover trial. *J Headache Pain*. 2014;15:69. doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-15-69. [PubMed: 25339342]. [PubMed Central: PMC4227630].
- Kelman L. The triggers or precipitants of the acute migraine attack. *Cephalalgia*. 2007;**27**(5):394–402. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01303.x. [PubMed: 17403039].
- Hauge AW, Kirchmann M, Olesen J. Characterization of consistent triggers of migraine with aura. *Cephalalgia*. 2011;31(4):416–38. doi: 10.1177/0333102410382795. [PubMed: 20847084].
- Ulrich V, Olesen J, Gervil M, Russell MB. Possible risk factors and precipitants for migraine with aura in discordant twin-pairs: a population-based study. *Cephalalgia*. 2000;20(9):821–5. doi: 10.1046/j.1468-2982.2000.00135.x. [PubMed: 11167911].
- Hu FB, Rimm E, Smith-Warner SA, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary patterns assessed with a food-frequency questionnaire. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1999;**69**(2):243–9. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/69.2.243. [PubMed: 9989687].
- Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. 2002;**13**(1):3-9. doi: 10.1097/00041433-200202000-00002. [PubMed: 11790957].
- Mirzababaei A, Khorsha F, Togha M, Yekaninejad MS, Okhovat AA, Mirzaei K. Associations between adherence to dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet and migraine headache severity and duration among women. *Nutr Neurosci.* 2020;23(5):335–42. doi: 10.1080/1028415X.2018.1503848. [PubMed: 30064351].
- 19. Willett W. Nutritional epidemiology. 3rd ed. Oxford university press; 2012.
- Esfahani FH, Asghari G, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Reproducibility and relative validity of food group intake in a food frequency questionnaire developed for the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *J Epidemiol.* 2010;**20**(2):150–8. doi: 10.2188/jea.je20090083. [PubMed: 20154450]. [PubMed Central: PMC3900814].
- Levin M. The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition (ichd iii) - changes and challenges. *Headache*. 2013;53(8):1383-

95. doi: 10.1111/head.12189.

- Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Dowson AJ, Sawyer J. Development and testing of the migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) questionnaire to assess headache-related disability. *Neurology*. 2001;**56**(6 Suppl 1):S20– 8. doi: 10.1212/wnl.56.suppl_1.s20. [PubMed: 11294956].
- Ghorbani A, Chitsaz A. Comparison of validity and reliability of the Migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) versus headache impact test (HIT) in an Iranian population. *Iran J Neurol.* 2011;**10**(3-4):39–42. [PubMed: 24250844]. [PubMed Central: PMC3829228].
- 24. Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B. The validation of visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. *Pain*. 1983;**17**(1):45–56. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(83)90126-4.
- 25. Esmaillzadeh A, Azadbakht L, Kimiagar M. [Dietary pattern analysis: a new approach to identify diet-disease relations]. *Iran J Nut Sci Food Technol*. 2007;**2**(2):71–80. Persian.
- 26. Kim JO, Mueller CW. Factor analysis: Statistical methods and practical issues. sage; 1978.
- Rezazadeh A, Rashidkhani B. The association of general and central obesity with major dietary patterns of adult women living in Tehran, Iran. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo). 2010;56(2):132–8. doi: 10.3177/jnsv.56.132. [PubMed: 20495295].
- 28. Jazayeri AA, Hosseini EF, Mehrabi YE, Azizi F. [Change in food patterns of Tehrani adults and its association with changes in their body weight and body mass index in District 13 of Tehran: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study]. *Iran J Nut Sci Food Technol.* 2008;**2**(4):67–80. Persian.
- Hu FB, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Prospective study of major dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000;**72**(4):912-21. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/72.4.912. [PubMed: 11010931].
- Lopez-Garcia E, Schulze MB, Fung TT, Meigs JB, Rifai N, Manson JE, et al. Major dietary patterns are related to plasma concentrations of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004;**80**(4):1029–35. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/80.4.1029. [PubMed: 15447916].
- Ahmadi Taheri S, Ramazani Ahmadi AH, Javadi M, Barikani A. [Comparison of dietary patterns during pregnancy in the mothers of the infants with low birth weight and normal weight]. *Iran J Obstet Gynecol Infertil*. 2018;21(1):80–9. Persian.
- 32. Esmaillzadeh A. [The dominant dietary patterns and its relationship with inflammatory biomarkers and components syndrome Metabolic in Tehran Teacher's Women (thesis)]. Tehran, Iran: Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences; 2006. Persian.
- 33. Sobhani R, Pouraram H. [A.R.D. Dietary patterns and relationship with weight status in children aged from primary school in Bijar]. *Iran J Nut Sci Food Technol.* 2015;**2**(11):35–46. Persian.
- Rist PM, Buring JE, Kurth T. Dietary patterns according to headache and migraine status: a cross-sectional study. *Cephalal*gia. 2015;**35**(9):767-75. doi: 10.1177/0333102414560634. [PubMed: 25424709]. [PubMed Central: PMC4442763].
- Jain MM, Kumari N, Rai G. A novel formulation of veggies with potent anti-migraine activity. *Int J Comput Biol Drug Des.* 2015;8(1):54–61. doi: 10.1504/IJCBDD.2015.068787. [PubMed: 25869319].
- Hasselmark L, Malmgren R, Hannerz J. Effect of a carbohydrate-rich diet, low in protein-tryptophan, in classic and common migraine. *Cephalalgia*. 1987;7(2):87–92. doi: 10.1046/j.1468-2982.1987.0702087.x. [PubMed: 3607871].
- Jackson JL, Shimeall W, Sessums L, Dezee KJ, Becher D, Diemer M, et al. Tricyclic antidepressants and headaches: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;**341**:c5222. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c5222. [PubMed: 20961988]. [PubMed Central: PMC2958257].
- Taylor FR. Weight change associated with the use of migrainepreventive medications. *Clinical therapeutics*. 2008;**30**(6):1069–80. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2008.06.005. [PubMed: 18640463].