Published online 2022 December 13.

Case Report

Unusual Association of Non-paraneoplastic Variant of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome with Predominant B-cell Inflammatory Myopathy

Maher Kurdi 回^{1, 2, *}

¹Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine in Rabigh, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia ²Neuromuscular Unit, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

^{*} Corresponding author: Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine in Rabigh, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Email: ahkurdi@kau.edu.sa

Received 2022 September 20; Revised 2022 November 08; Accepted 2022 November 11.

Abstract

Introduction: Myasthenia gravis disease (MGD) and inflammatory myopathy (IM) are commonly reported in the literature and usually appear with thymic pathology. Lambert-Eton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) associated with IM is extremely rare. Case Presentation: We report a 42-year-old female patient who presented with proximal muscle weakness of the upper and lower limbs, normal creatinine kinase (CK) level, and positive acetylcholine and voltage-gated calcium channel receptor antibodies. There were no oculobulbar symptoms and no history of thymoma, and the electrophysiological tests were unremarkable. Muscle biopsy revealed focal perimysial and perivascular inflammation, predominantly B-cell lymphocytes, in a non-necrotizing muscle. Conclusions: LEMS associated with IM, particularly B-cell inflammation, has never been reported in the absence of cancer history. Clinical investigations and myopathological features can help establish the diagnosis.

Keywords: Myasthenia Gravis Disease, Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome, Inflammatory Myopathy, Muscle Biopsy

1. Introduction

Myasthenia gravis disease (MGD), Lambert-Eton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS), and inflammatory myopathy (IM) are three distinct autoimmune disorders that occur in primary or tumor-associated variants (1). The coexistence of MGD and IM is commonly reported in the literature and usually appears with thymic pathology (2, 3). Lambert-Eton myasthenic syndrome, an isolated variant of MGD, is rarely reported with IM (1, 4-8). The whole three disorders are autoimmune in nature and can be associated with malignancies such as breast, colon, and ovarian cancers and Non-Hodgkin lymphomas (1).

Lambert-Eton myasthenic syndrome is an autoimmune disease of the neuromuscular junction characterized by muscle weakness, autonomic dysfunction, and electromyographic findings of decrement response at lowfrequency stimulation (3 Hz) of more than 10% and increment response after high-frequency stimulation (above 20 Hz) of more than 100% (9). Approximately 60% of patients with LEMS are paraneoplastic and associated with small cell carcinoma of the lung, detected within two years after the diagnosis (9). The other 40% is non-paraneoplastic, and no mechanism has declared the underlying cause. Because there is no documented serological marker for the paraneoplastic etiology, its association with cancers is difficult to establish. Voltage-gated calcium chancel (VGCC) receptor antibody is the most dominant marker in most LEMS patients. However, this antibody does not differ between the paraneoplastic and non-paraneoplastic variants of LEMS (10). Therefore, whole body computerized tomography (CT) scan, including brain, breast, chest, and abdomen, should be done to rule out any associated malignancy. Whole-body positron emission tomography with fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG-PET) should also be done for whom conventional imaging failed to detect metastatic tumors.

The association of LEMS with IM is extremely rare. To our knowledge, seven cases in the literature have been reported since 1984 (1, 4-8). Dermatomyositis and polymyositis (DM/PM) with T-cell inflammation were the most common IM-associated variants.

We report a 42-year-old, previously healthy female patient presenting with proximal muscle weakness of the four limbs, normal creatinine kinase (CK) level, and positive acetylcholine and voltage-gated calcium channel receptor antibodies. There were no oculobulbar symptoms

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

and no history of thymoma, and the electrophysiological tests were non-specific. The whole-body CT scan did not declare any associated tumors. Muscle biopsy revealed focal perimysial and perivascular inflammation, predominantly B-cell, in non-necrotizing non-atrophic muscle fibers. The patient was diagnosed with LEMS associated with predominant B-cell inflammatory myopathy without malignancy. In this report, we describe this rare association and review the literature.

2. Case Presentation

A 41-year-old female, previously healthy, was referred to King Abdulaziz University Hospital in early 2022 for treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) after being diagnosed with Guillain-Barre syndrome based on a nerve conduction study (NCS). She was re-evaluated clinically. Her history started two months before her current referral, with rapidly progressive muscle weakness predominantly affecting upper limbs more than lower limbs and proximal muscle groups more than distal muscle groups. There was also a history of dry mouth and chronic constipation. The ocular and bulbar symptoms were absent.

Upon physical examination, it was observed that the muscle power of the proximal upper limb muscle groups was 3/5, and that of distal upper limb muscle groups was 4-/5. Regarding lower limbs, the motor power of proximal muscle groups was 3/5, while distally, it was 4+/5. Deep tendon reflexes were absent all over. In the sensory examination, pinprick sensation was slightly impaired in the distal limbs. Weakness of neck flexors was also observed (muscle power: 3/5). Otherwise, neither higher brain functions nor cranial nerve impairments were detected.

Laboratory investigations revealed mild leukopenia 2.48 ($10^{3}/\mu$ L) [normal range 4.5 - 11 ($10^{3}/\mu$ L)] and neutropenia 0.62 ($10^3/\mu$ L) [normal range 1.8 - 7.7 ($10^3/\mu$ L)]. Renal and liver functions, along with electrolytes, were within normal limits. Creatine Kinase (CK) was 62 U/L [normal range 26 - 162 U/L]. Brain and cervical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed multiple degenerative changes in the cervical and lumbar discs. However, a lumbar puncture was also done, and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis showed negative oligoclonal bands but with a slight increase in immunoglobulin G (53.1 [normal range, < 34 mg/L]). Nerve conduction study showed diffusely low compound motor amplitudes with normal velocities, F-wave, and latencies. Electromyography (EMG) was unremarkable. No repetitive nerve stimulations were observed. The differential diagnoses included non-specific inflammatory myopathies, paraneoplastic-induced myopathies, and brachial-cervical inflammatory myopathy.

As part of malignancy screening and to rule out occult malignancy, a PET CT scan did not show any hypermetabolic state uptake in the body. Furthermore, chest, abdomen, and pelvis CT scans were unremarkable. A breast mammogram with an ultrasound of both sides revealed only multiple tiny fibroadenomas (BIRAD3). Based on the clinical and laboratory results, a muscle biopsy was recommended.

2.1. Muscle Biopsy Procedure

Around 1.5 cm piece of right biceps muscle tissue was biopsied and submitted to a neuromuscular lab on a moistened saline-Teflon gauze. According to our laboratory protocol, the freezing process immediately started using 2methylbutane and -80°C liquid nitrogen. The frozen fragments were sectioned at 6 - 9 μ m thicknesses in a cryostat machine at -30°C.

Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stained sections showed minimal variability in muscle fiber size and shape. There was no evidence of muscle fiber atrophy, necrosis, or regeneration. There was no perifascicular pathology or perimysial fragmentation. The only pathological finding in this biopsy was focal areas of perimysial and perivascular inflammation (Figures 1A, B and 2A). The inflammation was mainly CD20⁺B-lymphocytic cell component, while there was no T-cell component (CD4⁻ and CD8⁻) (Figure 2B-D). A few CD68⁺ macrophages were also identified (Figure 2E). Major histocompatibility (MHC) Class-I (Clone W6/32- Cat# Ab22432 from Abcam, France) was performed with an automated stainer using a DAB detection kit (Ventana, Tuscon, AZ, US). The MHC Class I stain labeled the endomysial capillaries and was overexpressed in the area where the perimysial/perivascular inflammation was present. There was no upregulation in muscle fibers (Figure 2F). The oxidative enzymatic stains (NADH, COX, and SDH) showed unremarkable results.

Serological tests for paraneoplastic antibodies, including anti-Hu and DNAse, were undetected (normal range of anti-Hu less than 1:50 titer) and 104 (normal range < 301 u/L), respectively. Acetylcholine receptor (AChR) antibodies were 0.66 nmol/L (normal range < 0.25 nmol/L), while VGCC receptor antibody was 58.1 pmol/L (normal range < 40 pmol/L).

Based on the clinicopathological correlation, the diagnosis was consistent with LEMS, with a predominant B-cell lymphocytic variant of inflammatory myopathy diagnosis. The patient started a LEMS treatment regimen, which included pyridostigmine bromide (60 mg tablet, QID), prednisolone (60 mg OD, tapered gradually to 10 mg OD), and azathioprine (50 mg BID). One month later, the patient showed tangible improvement in her symptoms, including muscle power and daily activity.



Figure 1. A and B, Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) sections show focal perimysial and perivascular non-granulomatous inflammation in a background of non-necrotizing muscle fibers (magnification 40X).



Figure 2. Histological sections from the biceps muscle show focal areas of perimysial and perivascular inflammation in non-necrotizing muscle fibers. A, H&E sections of inflammation; B, inflammation with CD4' T-cells; and C, CD8' T-cells; D, predominant CD20⁺ B-cells; E, CD68⁺ macrophages; F, MHC-class I expression in the focal inflammation (magnification 20X).

3. Discussion

Although IMs have been reported in association with MGD, their association with LEMS is extremely rare, and only seven cases were documented in the literature (Table 1) (1-8). The whole three autoimmune disorders (MGD, LEMS, and IMS) were proven to be associated with different types of malignancy (1).

Although 60% of patients with LEMS were paraneoplastic, only four reported cases were associated with IMs (DM/PM spectrum) (4-6, 8, 9). The non-paraneoplastic variant of LEMS-associated IMs is extremely rare, and the underlying mechanism is still unclear (7). Because no serological marker for the paraneoplastic variant of LEMS has been explored, the association with malignancy is currently difficult to establish. The VGCC receptor antibody does not differ between the paraneoplastic and non-paraneoplastic variants of LEMS (10). Our case was diagnosed as a nonparaneoplastic variant of LEMS, as there was no history of malignancy. The serological markers were also inconclusive.

The type of IM and the subtype of underlying inflammation are also various in the previously reported cases (Table1)(1, 4-8). Most of the reported cases of LEMS were categorized as Dermatomyositis/polymyositis (DM/PM) spectrum, and T-cell inflammation was the most common predominant subtype (Table 1) (1, 4-7). Mossner et al. (1) reported two cases of PM associated with T-cell lymphocytic inflammation. These two cases were not associated with malignancy. The other two reported cases were associated with DM and lung cancer (5, 6). Our case showed a nonspecific type of myositis (non-DM/PM spectrum). Some areas of inflammation predominantly had B-cell lymphocytic components with no T-cell component, and the MHC class I was upregulated (Figure 2).

This rare association of LEMS with predominant B-cell lymphocytic inflammation in non-diseased muscle fibers has never been reported in the literature. The mechanism of this association is not well-understood in our case. Generally, B-cell inflammation in MGD has been previously reported in cases of brachio-cervical inflammatory myopathy (BCIM) (11). However, it was observed that the most common clinical findings in these patients were proximal upper limb weakness along with neck muscles with the absence of lower limb involvement (11). Focal perimysial and perivascular inflammation with prominent Bcell component, C5b-9 staining of endomysium, and presence of serum ANAs are considered part of the myopathological findings of BCIM (11). Although predominant Bcell lymphocytic inflammation in non-necrotizing muscle fibers mimics the diagnosis of BCIM, our case showed a completely different clinical picture. The lower limbs were

involved in our patient.

Both IMs and LEMS/MGD have underlying inflammatory and autoimmune mechanisms; thus, immunosuppressive medications can induce a response in such cases (2). Our case responded well to steroids and immunosuppressive therapy and showed good improvement within two weeks of the treatment.

3.1. Conclusions

We reported the first case of LEMS, unusually associated with predominant B-cells inflammatory myopathy in the absence of malignancy. Clinical investigations and muscle biopsies helped establish the diagnosis. This variant should not be misdiagnosed with BCIM. Because the mechanism is not well clarified, further research is needed in this area to understand underlying pathogenesis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Huda Al-Nahdi, who contributed to the beautiful layout of the figures illustrated in the paper.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: M. K. designed the evaluation, collected the clinical data, interpreted them, and revised the manuscript. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: Funding or research support: No funding was received, and it was performed by the author; employment: King Abdulaziz University (government); personal financial interests: There is no personal financial interest; stocks or shares in companies: No shares in companies; consultation fees: Government; patents: No patents till now; personal or professional relations with organizations and individuals: Pathologist; unpaid membership in a government or non-governmental organization: No membership; I am not one of the editorial board members or a reviewer of this journal.

Data Reproducibility: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication. The data are not publicly available due to the privacy of patient data.

Funding/Support: No funding was received. It was performed by the author (Maher Kurdi).

Informed Consent: All patients signed a consent form prior to the procedure and the investigation.

Authors	IMs Type	Inflammation Type	CK Level*	Malignancy	Treatment
Artigo et al. (4)	Dermatomyositis	T-cell	Unknown	Yes	Steroid
Mossner et al. (1)	Polymyositis	T-cell	3191	No	Steroid + diaminopyridine
Mossner et al. (1)	Polymyositis	T-cell	1073	No	Steroid + cyclosporin
Milanez et al. (5)	Dermatomyositis	T-cell	889	Lung cancer	None
Hill et al. (8)	Dermatomyositis	T-cell	1178	Breast cancer	IVIG + steroid
Dai et al. (6)	Dermatomyositis	T-cell	436	Lung cancer	None
Isfort et al. (7)	Dermatomyositis	T-cell	Unknown	No	Unknown
Kurdi (present study)	Non-PM/DM	B-cell	62	No	Steroid + azathioprine

References

- 1. Mossner R, Tings T, Beckmann I, Neumann C, Paulus W, Reich K. A close look at autoimmune muscle disorders: association of Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome with dermatomyositis. Scand J Rheumatol. 2004;33(4):271-5. [PubMed ID: 15370725]. https://doi.org/10.1080/03009740410005476.
- 2. Huang K, Shojania K, Chapman K, Amiri N, Dehghan N, Mezei M. Concurrent inflammatory myopathy and myasthenia gravis with or without thymic pathology: A case series and literature review. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2019;48(4):745-51. [PubMed ID: 29958689]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.05.004.
- 3. Furst DE, Amato AA, Iorga SR, Gajria K, Fernandes AW. Epidemiology of adult idiopathic inflammatory myopathies in a U.S. managed care plan. Muscle Nerve. 2012;45(5):676-83. [PubMed ID: 22499094]. https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.23302.
- 4. Artigou C, Guerin JM, Wallach D, Beillevaire T, Aubiniere E, Cottenot F. [Dermatomyositis and Lambert-Eaton syndrome. Association of 2 paraneoplastic muscular syndromes]. Ann Dermatol Venereol. 1982:109(9):737-8. French.
- 5. Milanez FM, Pereira CA, Trindade PH, Milinavicius R, Coletta EN. Lung adenocarcinoma, dermatomyositis, and Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome: a rare combination. J Bras Pneumol. 2008;34(5):333-18545831]. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1806-6. [PubMed ID: 37132008000500014

- 6. Dai Y, Li P, Yan S, Xia X, Li Z, Xia M. Lung squamous carcinoma with two paraneoplastic syndromes: dermatomyositis and Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. Clin Respir J. 2016;10(4):495-9. [PubMed ID: 25335449]. https://doi.org/10.1111/crj.12229.
- 7. Isfort M, Mnatsakanova D, Oddis C, Lacomis D. Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome and Dermatomyositis With Anti-TIF1gamma Autoantibody: A Unique Association of Autoimmune Neuromuscular Conditions Without Malignancy. J Clin Neuromuscul Dis. 2021;22(3):164-8. [PubMed ID: 33596001]. https://doi.org/10.1097/CND.00000000000318.
- 8. Hill EK, King PH, Hughey LC. Dermatomyositis and concomitant overlap myasthenic syndrome: a rare presentation. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011;65(5):e150-2. [PubMed ID: 22000887]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2011.07.028.
- 9. O'Neill JH, Murray NM, Newsom-Davis J. The Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. A review of 50 cases. Brain. 1988;111 (Pt 3):577-96. [PubMed ID: 2838124]. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/111.3.577.
- 10. Fukuda T, Motomura M, Nakao Y, Shiraishi H, Yoshimura T, Iwanaga K. et al. Reduction of P/O-type calcium channels in the postmortem cerebellum of paraneoplastic cerebellar degeneration with Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. Ann Neurol. 2003;53(1):21-8. [PubMed ID: 12509844]. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10392.
- 11. Pestronk A, Kos K, Lopate G, Al-Lozi MT. Brachio-cervical inflammatory myopathies: clinical, immune, and myopathologic features. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54(5):1687-96. [PubMed ID: 16646041]. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21822.