Published online 2019 April 16.

Research Article

Sexual Matters of Couples with Spinal Cord Injury Attending a Sexual Health Clinic in Tehran, Iran

Zahra Salmani¹, Effat Sadat Merghati Khoei^{1, 2, *}, Noora Aghajani¹ and Alireza Bayat²

¹Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Iranian National Center for Addiction Studies, Tehran, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Associate Professor, PhD of Sexual Health Promotion, Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: effat_mer@yahoo.com

Received 2018 September 05; Revised 2018 November 28; Accepted 2018 December 12.

Abstract

Background: Couples experiencing spinal cord injury (SCI) usually deal with altered sexual lives. Evaluation of the sexual satisfaction, intimacy, and partnership as well as sexual functioning of the couples with one SCI-affected partner is necessary.

Methods: The current cross sectional study was conducted on 28 couples (56 individuals) attending a sexual health clinic at the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Research Center (BASIR) affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Descriptive statistics were employed and data were expressed as frequencies. The chi-square test, the Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients, and the Student *t*-test were employed to determine the differences between male and female subjects with SCI as well as their partners. To investigate marital-related outcomes, ENRICH marital satisfaction scale, marital adjustment scale, and the Bagarozzi intimacy questionnaire were used. In addition, female sexual function index (FSFI) and international index erectile function (IIEF), as well as sexual knowledge and attitude, sexual expression (SE), and the Larson sexual satisfaction questionnaires were employed to evaluate sexual-performance-related outcomes.

Results: The mean age of male and female subjects with SCI (20 males and eight females) was 39.65 ± 9.483 and 34.88 ± 10.412 years, respectively. Mean age of the partners without SCI, male and female, was 37 ± 9.067 and 41.38 ± 10.155 years, respectively. Partners with and without SCI had low sexual satisfaction by 67.9% and 53.6%, respectively. There was also a poor intimacy and partnership in partners with SCI (46.4%). Gender-based differences in the couples' sexual functioning indicated that females without SCI showed lower scores in sexual functioning than their male counterparts. All female subjects got lower scores in FSFI. A significant mean difference was observed between the SCI and non-SCI groups in ENRICH marital satisfaction (P < 0.02), the Bagarozzi intimacy (P < 0.035), marital adjustment (P = 0.000), and IIEF (P < 0.001).

Conclusions: Based on the current study findings, sexuality of people with SCI was far more complex than those of their healthy counterparts, which caused the health providers face with clinical, social, and cultural challenges. Sexual rehabilitation should be effusively addressed in all spinal units and recovery centers, along with other aspects of treatment and rehabilitation.

Keywords: Spinal Cord Injury, Sexuality, Sexual Health, Couple, Iran

1. Background

Sexuality is important to people with spinal cord injury (SCI), regardless of their disabilities (1). Studies point out that couples with SCI are often sexually overlooked in rehabilitation centers (2-5). Annually, 20 - 50 per million individuals experience SCI worldwide, but in Iran this rate is higher (40 - 50/million); in other words, more than 3000 people experience SCI every year (6).

All personal aspects including psychological and emotional, as well as sexuality and the sensation of genital organs are seriously affected by SCI. This causes sexual dissatisfaction resulting in lower quality of life and social functioning in patients with SCI (7). No doubt that sexual activity, mobility, and satisfaction are adversely changed after SCI (7, 8). The availability of sexual partner, alteration of their sexual interests, and the changes they experience through their intimate relationships crucially affect couples' sexual satisfaction (9-12).

Sexuality education and marital counseling can be integrated into the existing rehabilitation programs aiming to improve the quality of marital life in couples with one SCI-affected partner (13). Rehabilitation centers that generally support couples with SCI can reduce the negative impacts of SCI on intimate relationships and sexual functioning of couples (4). Obviously, people with SCI need specific sexual rehabilitation programs.

2. Objectives

The current study aimed at examining sexual satisfaction, intimacy, partnership, and the sexual functioning of the couples with one injured partner referring to a sexual health clinic in Tehran, Iran.

Copyright © 2019, Archives of Neuroscience. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

3. Methods

The study was conducted in Family and Sexual Health Division in BASIR, Neurosciences Institute affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences from July 2017 to June 2018. Convenience sampling method was employed and 28 couples with one SCI-affected partner referring to BASIR Center in Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex of Tehran were recruited.

Couples were eligible to participate in the study if: they were > 18 years old, had incomplete or complete SCI for more than a year; had no history of chronic psychological and physical illnesses other than SCI; not referred to psychiatric clinics over the past six months; had no history of substance abuse, disorders, or physical aggression; had interest in attending medical sessions; were married at least one year before the occurrence of SCI, and did not attend the couples' educational programs before the study.

3.1. Larson Sexual Satisfaction Questionnaire

The Larson sexual satisfaction (SS) questionnaire includes 25 items, which are scored based on a five-point Likert scale and measures sexual satisfaction in general. Its internal consistency (IC) based on Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.93 (14).

3.2. Sexual Knowledge and Attitude Questionnaire

Sexual knowledge and attitude (SKA) questionnaire has 30 items on sexual knowledge and attitude scored based on a five-point Likert scale. Its IC based on Cronbach's alpha was 0.72 (15).

3.3. Sexual Expression

Sexual expression (SE) is a five-item questionnaire scored based on a five-point Likert scale from 1 to 5 and measures sexual expression of the couples with each other. Its IC was 0.77 based on Cronbach's alpha coefficient (16).

3.4. Bagarozzi Intimacy Questionnaire

The Bagarozzi intimacy (BI) questionnaire assesses the intimate emotional, psychological, rational, sexual, physical, spiritual, aesthetic, and sociopsychological aspects. It has 41 items, which are scored based on a 10-point Likert scale from 1 (there is no need at all) to 10 (there is a huge need). The reliability of the questionnaire was 0.94 based on Cronbach's alpha (17, 18).

3.5. ENRICH Marital Satisfaction Questionnaire

ENRICH marital satisfaction (EMS) questionnaire with 47 items evaluates marital relationship issues including marital satisfaction, personality issues, communication, conflict resolution, financial management, leisure activities, sexual relationship, children and parenting, family and friends, egalitarian roles, and religious orientation. Each item is scored based on a five-point Likert scale from 1 to 5. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the questionnaire was 0.68, 0.78, 0.62, and 0.77, respectively (19).

3.6. Marital Adjustment Questionnaire

Marital adjustment (MA) questionnaire contains 32 items and addresses the quality of marital relationship. The total reliability of the scale was 96%, reported by Cronbach's alpha, which had a significant IC. In a study conducted in Iran, researchers achieved a high IC (95%) throughout the questionnaire (20).

3.7. Female Sexual Function Index

Female sexual function index (FSFI) has six domains of desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain in a total of 19 items that are scored based on a six-point Likert scale from 0 to 5. The Cronbach's alpha value of the FSFI was 0.82 and even higher (21).

3.8. International Index Erectile Function

International index erectile function (IIEF) has five areas of erectile function, orgasm, sexual desire, satisfaction with intercourse, and overall satisfaction in a total of 15 items scored based on a six-point Likert scale from 0 to 5. The total Cronbach's alpha for the IIEF was 0.91 and higher (22).

Since, to the authors' best knowledge, there was no similar study on couples with SCI, and based on studies conducted on healthy couples and measuring similar variables (23), and using the proper formula, the minimum number of samples required in each group was 13. With the probability of a 10% dropouts in response rate, confidence interval (CI) of 95%, and power of 90%, the sample size was determined 28 couples.

3.9. Statistical Analysis

Chi-square test, the Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients, and the Student *t*-test were employed to analyze the data with SPSS version 21.

3.10. Ethical Considerations

All participants were informed about the research objectives by a trained research assistant. The participants were assured about the confidentiality of their information, and verbal and written informed consent was obtained from them. The current study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.TUMS.REC.1394.1963).

4. Results

The couples with one SCI-affected partner (20 males, eight females) were enrolled into the study. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the subjects in SCI and non-SCI groups. The participants were in their middle ages. Length of marriage (LOM) was not long. Living with SCI in the SCI group was not longer than 4 - 5 years.

Table 2 separately compares the mean scores of male and female subjects with and without SCI. There was no statistically significant difference between male and female

Characteristics	Male (I	N = 28)	Female (N = 28)		
	SCI = 20	Non-SCI = 8	SCI = 8	Non-SCI = 20	
Age, y	39.65 ± 9.483	37 ± 9.067	34.88 ± 10.412	41.38 \pm 10.155	
LOM, y	13.50 ± 9.703		8.25 ± 6.519		
Duration of living with SCI, y	5.15 ± 3.815		4.63 ± 3.249		
Mean number of children	1.75 ± 1.33		$0.87 \pm .991$		
Sample education level					
Uncompleted diploma	7(100)	0(0.00)	0 (0.00)	5(100)	
Diploma	8(80)	6 (37.5)	2(20)	10 (62.5)	
Bachelors' degree	5 (45.5)	2 (28.6)	6 (54.5)	5 (71.4)	
Occupational status					
Employed	6 (54.5)	4 (33.3)	5 (45.5)	8 (66.7)	
Unemployed	14 (82.4)	4 (33.3)	3 (17.6)	12 (75)	

Abbreviations: LOM, length of marriage; SCI, spinal cord injury.

^aValues are expressed as Mean \pm SD or No. (%).

subjects with SCI regarding the mean scores of SS (P = 0.684), EMS (P = 0.779), BI (P = 0.246), SKA (P = 0.540), SE (P = 0.474), and MA (P = 0.378). There was no significant difference between male and female subjects without SCI in the mean scores of SS (P = 0.270), EMS (P = 0.772), BI (P = 0.829), SE (P = 0.242), and MA (P = 0.084), but significant in SKA (P = 0.033). FSFI in female subjects with and without SCI was 17.92 and 17.63, respectively compared with FSFI cutoff point (26.5), and showed that female subjects in both groups had sexual dysfunction. IIEF score of male subjects with SCI was 42.25 that indicated sexual dysfunction in comparison with IIEF cutoff point (40). IIEF in male subjects without SCI was 71.62 that showed no sexual dysfunction in comparison with IIEF cutoff point (40).

Comparison of the mean scores of questionnaires in the groups SCI and non-SCI by chi-square test showed no significant difference in SS (P = 0.936), SKA (P = 0.405), SE (P = 0.695), and FSFI (P = 0.927). There was a significant difference in EMS (P = 0.012), BI (P = 0.035), MA (P = 0.000), and IIEF (P = 0.001) (Table 3).

Results of the Pearson correlation coefficient indicated no significant correlation between the mean scores of FSFI and IIEF and the sociodemographic characteristics including sample age, partner age, LOM, duration of living with SCI, education level of the sample, education level of the partner, mean number of children, and occupational status (P > 0.05). The current study findings based on Spearman correlation coefficients showed a significant negative correlation between SS score and sample age (P < 0.009, r = -0.486), partner age (P < 0.002, r =-0.549), and LOM (P = 0.05, r = -0.374). There was an obvious negative correlation between the scores of SKA and LOM (P = 0.02, r = -0.374). Results of Spearman correlation coefficients showed no significant correlation between sociodemographic characteristics and scores obtained from other questionnaires (P> 0.05) (Table 4).

5. Discussion

The current study compared sexual satisfaction, intimacy, and partnership with sexual functioning of the couples with one SCI-affected partner referring to a sexual health clinic.

The correlation between sexuality and body wellness is documented worldwide. SCI has a significant impact on individuals' sexuality due to the personal feeling of his/her own sexual capability (1, 3, 24), as well as the social labeling of individuals with any form of disability, which may be considered to be synonymous with asexuality (3-5, 25). People with SCI have problems with their marital longevity and each partner has his/her own concern with threatened intimate relationship (4, 5).

Participants in the current study, both genders, got lower scores in SS, although the difference between the study groups was insignificant. There was a significant difference in the means of intimacy and partnership between the groups (P < 0.035); in male sexual functioning (P >0.001) the difference was significant between the SCI and non-SCI groups. Similarly, there are a vast number of reports pointing out significant changes in sexual life of people with SCI, among the married couples in particular (26, 27). Kreuter argued that intimate partner relationship is adversely affected by SCI, which places the marital relationship at a higher risk of divorce and conflict (26).

Although the current study subjects showed low scores in most aspects of marital interactions, the partners with SCI were significantly different from the ones without SCI in EMS, MA, and BI. Similar to the current study subjects, other people with SCI in the studies worldwide also got lower scores in sexual activity and reported lower satisfaction compared with those of their control groups (2, 5, 28). McCabe and Taleporos reported that people with physical impairment experience lower levels of sexual self-esteem, sexual confidence, poor quality of sexual life, and signifi-

Questionnaire	SCI Group			Non-SCI Group			
	Male (N = 20)	Female (N = 8)	P Value	Male (N = 8)	Female (N = 20)	P Value	
Sexual satisfaction			0.684			0.270	
Dissatisfaction	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)		1(20)	4 (80)		
Low satisfaction	14 (73.7)	5 (26.3)		3(20)	12 (80)		
Intermediate satisfaction	1(100)	0(0)		3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)		
High satisfaction	0(0)	0(0)		1(100)	0 (0)		
ENRICH satisfaction			0.779			0.772	
High dissatisfaction	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)		3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)		
Moderate dissatisfaction	8 (72.5)	3 (27.3)		3 (27.3)	8 (72.7)		
Moderate satisfaction	7 (77.8)	2 (22.2)		2 (28.6)	5 (71.4)		
High satisfaction	0(0)	0(0)		0(0)	2 (100)		
Bagarozzi intimacy			0.246			0.829	
Nonintimacy	2 (100)	0(0)		1(50)	1(50)		
Moderate intimacy	7 (53.8)	6 (46.2)		3 (30)	7(70)		
High intimacy	9 (81.8)	2 (18.2)		4 (26.7)	11 (73.3)		
Very high intimacy	2 (100)	0(0)		0(0)	1(100)		
Sexual knowledge and attitude			0.540			0.033	
Very low	0(0)	0(0)		0(0)	0 (0)		
Low	6 (85.7)	1 (14.3)		0(0)	6 (100)		
Moderate	7 (58.3)	5 (41.7)		5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)		
Acceptable	6 (75)	2 (25)		1(14.3)	6 (85.7)		
High	1(100)	0(0)		2 (100)	0 (0)		
Sexual expression			0.474			0.242	
Very low	1(50)	1(50)		0(0)	3 (100)		
Low	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)		1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)		
Intermediate	6 (60)	4(40)		3(50)	3 (50)		
High	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)		4 (44.4)	5 (55.6)		
Very high	6 (100)	0(0)		0(0)	2 (100)		
Marital adjustment			0.378			0.084	
High unadjustment	6 (75)	2 (25)		1(20)	4 (80)		
Moderate unadjustment	7 (58.3)	5 (41.7)		4 (33.3)	8 (66.7)		
Moderate adjustment	5 (100)	0(0)		1 (11.1)	8 (88.9)		
High adjustment	2 (66.7)	1(33.3)		2 (100)	0 (0)		
Female sexual function index, cutoff point (26.50)		17.92 ± 5.21			17.63 ± 8.15		
International index erectile dysfunction, cutoff point (40)	42.35 ± 20.06			71.62 ± 15.88			

Abbreviation: SCI, spinal cord injury.

^aValues are expressed as No. (%) or Mean \pm SD.

cantly higher levels of sexual depression (29).

The current study also evaluated the differences between male and female subjects identified as the well partners. Regardless of their wellness, both male and female subjects with a SCI-affected spouse also got lower scores in SS. There is no question that partners of SCI-affected individuals consciously or unconsciously alter their tasks in the course of intimate partnership (3, 4, 25). Reports show that female subjects involved in a partnership after SCI do not consider themselves as a spouse, but more as a caregiver than being a wife or sexual partner (4, 5, 30), and some others believe that after the injury the partner change and is not as she used to be (30).

This alteration is highlighted through the societies in which well body is the essential factor in a successful sexual interaction (29) and the Iranian culture is not an exception (31). Merghati Khoei, an Iranian sexologist, pointed out in a study that marital and sexual life is socially scripted based on the gender-related folk theories. Masculinity means a well body and sexual potency, and femininity means re-

Questionnaire	SCI G	Froup	Non-SCI Group		P Value
	Male (N = 20)	Female (N = 8)	Male (N = 8)	Female (N = 20)	-
Sexual satisfaction	1.80 ± 0.523	1.63 ± 0.518	2.50 ± 0.926	2 ± 0.649	0.936 ^a
ENRICH satisfaction	2.10 ± 0.788	1.88 ± 0.835	1.88 ± 0.835	2.20 ± 0.951	0.02 ^a
Bagarozzi intimacy	2.55 ± 0.825	2.25 ± 0.463	$2.38 {\pm}~0.744$	2.60 ± 0.681	0.035 ^a
Sexual knowledge and attitude	3.10 ± 0.912	3.13 ± 0.641	3.63± 0.916	3 ± 0.795	0.405 ^a
Sexual expression	3.65 ± 1.182	2.88 ± 0.991	3.38 ± 0.744	2.80 ± 1.28	0.685 ^a
Marital adjustment	2.15 ± 0.988	2 ± 0.926	2.50 ± 1.069	2.20 ± 0.768	0.000 ^a
Female sexual function index, cutoff point (26.50)		17.92 ± 5.21		17.63 ± 8.15	0.927 ^b
International index erectile dysfunction, cutoff point (40)	42.35 ± 20.06		71.62 ± 15.88		0.001 ^b

Abbreviation: SCI, spinal cord injury.

^aChi-squar.

^b*t*-student.

Table 4. The Correlation of Sociodemographic Characteristics with Questionnaires Scores

Questionnaire	Sociodemographic Characteristics								
	Sample Age, y	Partners Age, y	LOM, y	Duration of Living with SCI, y	Sample Education Level	Partner Education Level	Mean No. of Children	Occupational Status	
Sexual satisfaction ^a	0.009	0.002	0.005	0.651	0.072	0.063	0.978	0.153	
ENRICH satisfaction ^a	0.240	0.087	0.301	0.645	0.358	0.747	0.754	0.079	
The Bagarozzi intimacy ^a	0.469	0.237	0.442	0.508	625	0.977	0.943	0.764	
Sexual knowledge and attitude ^a	0.100	0.544	0.020	0.159	0.241	0.416	0.318	0.643	
Sexual expression ^a	0.707	0.998	0.338	0.619	0.732	0.241	0.481	0.552	
Marital adjustment ^a	0.602	0.526	0.539	0.818	0.583	0.252	0.205	0.645	
Female sexual function index, cutoff point (26.50) ^b	0.866	0.649	0.573	0.137	0.271	0.436	0.382	0.410	
International index erectile dysfunction, cutoff point (40) ^b	0.427	0.994	0.281	0.546	0.457	0.837	0.477	0.096	

Abbreviations: LOM, length of marriage; SCI, spinal cord injury.

^aPearson correlation.

^bSpearman correlation.

production and child bearing ability (32). She argued that these constructions are jeopardized with any form of disability, SCI in particular (33).

Interestingly, the current study found no significant differences (P > 0.05) in sexual functioning of females with or without SCI, although they reported lower scores of FSFI. It was argued that wives sacrificed their lives as soon as their husbands become injured and not capable to perform sexual intercourse. Their roles change to be a caregiver instead of being intimate sexual lover. In

other words, they deny their sexual rights and interests through post-SCI-life (4, 30). Burden of caregiving is clearly shown in couples with one SCI-affected partner; consequences including diminished welfare, increased stress, tiredness, anger, depression, and denying their personal health needs and complications (5, 34).

Sexual relationship power is always male-dominated in some societies (7, 35). This ring of power suffers if a male loses his sexual ability, no matter if his partner is still sexually active (35). Small sample size can be the further expla-

nation of this finding.

5.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, sexuality of people with SCI is far more complex and faces clinical, social, and cultural challenges. Post-SCI sexual life extensively changes. The couples' sexual needs vary compared with their well body counterparts. This means that all the considered conditions and situations should be effusively addressed in all spinal units and recovery centers, along with other aspects of treatment and rehabilitation.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all the study participants. They are also thankful to the research assistance.

Footnotes

Conflict of Interests: Authors declared no conflict of interests.

EthicalConsiderations:EthicalcodeIR.TUMS.REC.1394.1963

Funding/Support: There was no financial support for the study.

Patient Consent: All participants were informed about the research objectives by the trained research assistant. The participants were assured that their confidentiality would be maintained. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

- Alexander MS, New PW, Biering-Sorensen F, Courtois F, Popolo GD, Elliott S, et al. International spinal cord injury male sexual function and female sexual and reproductive function basic data sets-version 2.0. Spinal Cord Ser Cases. 2017;3:17050. doi: 10.1038/scsandc.2017.50. [PubMed: 28808584]. [PubMed Central: PMC5550926].
- Alexander M, Courtois F, Elliott S, Tepper M. Improving sexual satisfaction in persons with spinal cord injuries: Collective wisdom. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil.* 2017;23(1):57-70. doi: 10.1310/sci2301-57. [PubMed: 29339878]. [PubMed Central: PMC5340510].
- Eglseder K, Demchick B. Sexuality and spinal cord injury: The lived experiences of intimate partners. *OTJR (Thorofare N J)*. 2017;**37**(3):125– 31. doi: 10.1177/1539449217701394. [PubMed: 28621221].
- Bender AA. Patients, partners, and practitioners: Interactions and meaning-making following spinal cord injury [dissertation]. Georgia State University; 2011.
- Angel S, Buus N. The experience of being a partner to a spinal cord injured person: A phenomenological-hermeneutic study. Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being. 2011;6(4). doi: 10.3402/qhw.v6i4.7199. [PubMed: 22007262]. [PubMed Central: PMC3195410].
- Sedghi G, Fallahi K, Khankeh H, Hoseini M, Rezasoltani P. Effect the exercise program on neuropathic pain intensity in patients with paraplegia spinal cord injury. *Med Surg Nurs J.* 2015;4(3):52–9.
- Kreuter M, Siosteen A, Biering-Sorensen F. Sexuality and sexual life in women with spinal cord injury: A controlled study. J Rehabil Med. 2008;40(1):61–9. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0128. [PubMed: 18176739].
- Dahlberg A, Alaranta HT, Kautiainen H, Kotila M. Sexual activity and satisfaction in men with traumatic spinal cord lesion. *J Rehabil Med.* 2007;39(2):152-5. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0029. [PubMed: 17351698].

- 9. Yucel D, Gassanov MA. Exploring actor and partner correlates of sexual satisfaction among married couples. *Soc Sci Res.* 2010;**39**(5):725–38. doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2009.09.002.
- del Mar Sánchez-Fuentes M, Santos-Iglesias P, Sierra JC. A systematic review of sexual satisfaction. Int J Clin Health Psychol. 2014;14(1):67–75. doi: 10.1016/S1697-2600(14)70038-9.
- Theiss JA. Modeling dyadic effects in the associations between relational uncertainty, sexual communication, and sexual satisfaction for husbands and wives. *Commun Res.* 2011;38(4):565–84. doi: 10.1177/0093650211402186.
- Meltzer AL, McNulty JK. Body image and marital satisfaction: Evidence for the mediating role of sexual frequency and sexual satisfaction. *J Fam Psychol.* 2010;**24**(2):156–64. doi: 10.1037/a0019063. [PubMed: 20438191]. [PubMed Central: PMC2864925].
- Fisher TL, Laud PW, Byfield MG, Brown TT, Hayat MJ, Fiedler IG. Sexual health after spinal cord injury: A longitudinal study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2002;83(8):1043–51. doi: 10.1053/apmr.2002.33654. [PubMed: 12161824].
- Shams M, Shahsiah M, Mohebi S, Tabaraee Y. The effect of marital counseling on sexual satisfaction of couples in Shiraz city. *Health Syst Res.* 2010;6(3):417-24.
- Besharat M, Ranjbar K. Development and validation of sexual knowledge and attitude scale. *Contemp Psychol.* 2013;8(1):21–32.
- Sprecher S, Cate RM, Harvey JH, Wenzel A. Sexual satisfaction and sexual expression as predictors of relationship satisfaction and stability. In: Harvey JH, Wenzel A, Sprecher S, editors. *The handbook of sexuality in close relationships*. 2004.
- 17. Hossieni T, Amiri M, Ghamari M. The effectiveness of solution-focused group counseling in enhancing marital intimacy of married women. *J Health Breeze Family Health*. 2013;1(4):53–63.
- Naddaf S, Heidari A. The relationship between marital intimacy and quality of life. *Indian J Public Health Res Dev.* 2017;8(4):63. doi: 10.5958/0976-5506.2017.00315.1.
- Farah LK, Shahram V. The effect of sexual skills training on marital satisfaction. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* 2011;30:2581–5. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.505.
- 20. Aliakbari DM. Relationship between women sexual function and marital adjustment. *J Behav Sci.* 2010;4(3 (13)):199–206.
- Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, Leiblum S, Meston C, Shabsigh R, et al. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. *J Sex Marital Ther*. 2000;26(2):191–208. doi: 10.1080/009262300278597. [PubMed: 10782451].
- Rosen RĆ, Riley A, Wagner G, Osterloh IH, Kirkpatrick J, Mishra A. The international index of erectile function (IIEF): A multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology*. 1997;49(6):822– 30. [PubMed: 9187685].
- Rostami M, Taheri A, Abdi M, Kermani N. The effectiveness of instructing emotion-focused approach in improving the marital satisfaction in couples. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* 2014;**114**:693-8. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.769.
- New PW, Seddon M, Redpath C, Currie KE, Warren N. Recommendations for spinal rehabilitation professionals regarding sexual education needs and preferences of people with spinal cord dysfunction: A mixed-methods study. *Spinal Cord.* 2016;**54**(12):1203–9. doi: 10.1038/sc.2016.62. [PubMed: 27163451].
- Kreuter M, Taft C, Siosteen A, Biering-Sorensen F. Women's sexual functioning and sex life after spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*. 2011;49(1):154–60. doi: 10.1038/sc.2010.51. [PubMed: 20458327].
- Kreuter M. Spinal cord injury and partner relationships. Spinal Cord. 2000;38(1):2-6. doi: 10.1038/sj.sc.3100933. [PubMed: 10762191].
- Sramkova T, Skrivanova K, Dolan I, Zamečnik L, Sramkova K, Kriz J, et al. Women's sex life after spinal cord injury. *Sex Med.* 2017;5(4):e255– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.esxm.2017.07.003. [PubMed: 28970081]. [PubMed Central: PMC5693454].
- Taleporos G, McCabe MP. Relationships, sexuality and adjustment among people with physical disability. Sexual and Relationship Ther. 2003;18(1):25-43. doi: 10.1080/14681990309049.
- McCabe MP, Taleporos G. Sexual esteem, sexual satisfaction, and sexual behavior among people with physical disability. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2003;32(4):359–69. doi: 10.1023/A:1024047100251. [PubMed: 12856897].

- Gosling J, Oddy M. Rearranged marriages: marital relationships after head injury. *Brain Inj.* 1999;13(10):785–96. doi: 10.1080/026990599121179. [PubMed: 10576462].
- Merghati-Khoei E, Qaderi K, Amini L, Korte JE. Sexual problems among women with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci. 2013;331(1-2):81–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2013.05.014. [PubMed: 23764363]. [PubMed Central: PMC4089499].
- 32. Merghati-Khoei E. Language of love in culture of silence: Sexual understanding of Iranian women and socio-cultural determinants. Sydney, Australia: University department in Kensington; 2005.
- 33. Ghorashi Z, Yousefy A, Merghati-koei E. Developing and validating a questionnaire to measure Women's sexual behaviors: A psychometric process. *Galen Med J.* 2016;**5**(4):208–14.
- Weitzenkamp DA, Gerhart KA, Charlifue SW, Whiteneck GG, Savic G. Spouses of spinal cord injury survivors: The added impact of caregiving. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1997;78(8):822–7. doi: 10.1016/S0003-9993(97)90194-5. [PubMed: 9344300].
- MacKinnon CA. Feminism, marxism, method, and the state: An agenda for theory. Signs J Women Culture Soc. 1982;7(3):515–44. doi: 10.1086/493898.