Published online 2022 August 13.

**Research Article** 

# Bacterial Etiology of Fever Episodes of Splenectomized Patients in Three Medical Centers in the City of Mashhad in Northeastern Iran

Mahnaz Arian<sup>1</sup>, Azade Haji Moniri<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mona Najaf Najafi <sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup>, Bahareh Imani<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Afkar<sup>4</sup> and Jalil Hasani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Research Development Unit, Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Torbat Jam University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Jam, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Department of Public Health, Torbat Jam Faculty of Medical Sciences, Torbat Jam, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Department of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Email: hajimoniria@gmil.com

Received 2020 November 04; Revised 2022 June 11; Accepted 2022 June 28.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Many medical and surgical conditions may need to be treated with splenectomy. As this lymphoid tissue plays an important role in controlling various infections, and many life-threatening infections can occur in the absence of the spleen, any episode of fever should be taken seriously.

**Objectives:** This study aims to assess the bacterial etiology of fever episodes in splenectomized patients in three medical centers in Mashhad, a city in northeastern Iran.

**Methods:** Between 2006 and 2017, splenectomized patients in Imam Reza, Ghaem, and Dr. Sheikh hospitals were included in a crosssectional study. Data collected included, age at splenectomy, hospitalization duration, indications for admission to the intensive care unit, vital signs at admission, bacterial species responsible for sepsis, times of hospitalization due to fever episodes, clinical signs and symptoms, antibiotic prophylaxis, and outcomes at six and one years. The data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics 20.

**Results:** A total of 280 splenectomized patients were reviewed, and 23 of them had episodes of fever. The most common causes of splenectomy were spleen masses and idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), each accounting for 17.4% of cases. The mean age of the patients was  $24.2 \pm 1.6$  years. 47.8% of the patients were male, and 52.2% were female. The median admission duration was seven days. A majority of admissions were due to intra-abdominal infections (26.7%), pneumonia (13.3%), and bacteremia (10.0%). There were 30 episodes of fever recorded, of which 2 (6.7%) resulted in death. Blood culture was positive in four cases (13.3%) for *Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus, Citrobacter*, and *Brucella*. A suitable antibiotic coverage was obtained in 13.3% of cases (i.e., ceftriaxone + vancomycin or fluoroquinolone + vancomycin), and a minimum suitable empiric coverage was obtained in 10.0% of cases, and no appropriate antibiotic coverage was obtained in 76.7% of cases.

**Conclusions:** The present study highlights widespread inappropriate empiric therapy of fever episodes in splenectomized patients, as well as a lack of due attention to timely sample collection before antibiotic administration. Despite this, the isolated organisms were varied and included *S. pneumoniae, S. aureus*, coagulase negative staphylococci, *P. aeruginosa, Brucella*, and *Citrobacter*.

*Keywords:* Antibiotic Therapy, Bacterial Agent, Fever, Splenectomy

# 1. Background

The spleen contains lymphoid tissue containing splenic macrophages, which is crucial in eliminating encapsulated organisms (1, 2). The role of the spleen was first described in the 1900s (1). However, the first morbidity and mortality rates associated with the absence of the spleen were discovered in the 1950s (3). Asplenia is primarily caused by congenital or surgical conditions (4). Splenectomy has decreased recently but may still be indicated due to trauma, cancer, or hematologic conditions (5).

Infections and thrombotic events are the most common medical complications of splenectomy, and it is necessary for clinicians to be familiar with these possibilities (2, 6). There is an increase in the rate of infections following splenectomy by three folds during the first 30 months and an increase in intra-abdominal abscess formation by four folds (7, 8). Sepsis may result from any organism, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa, in an asplenic patient (9). Despite this, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Neisseria meningitidis*, and *Haemophilus influenzae* are the most

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

common pathogens causing infection in splenectomized patients due to compromised opsonization and phagocytosis. Statistics show that around 60% of infection cases and mortalities belong to *S. pneumoniae*. Furthermore, 6% of infection cases are attributed to *N. meningitidis*, with a mortality rate of 32% (10).

Overwhelming post-splenectomy infection (OPSI) was first described in 1951 as a potentially serious complication following splenectomy (3, 11). It is estimated that the prevalence of OPSI is 0.04% in patients with routine splenectomy and 0.03% in trauma-related splenectomies (12). OPSI mainly presents with flu-like prodromal signs and symptoms. Patients report chills, fevers, headaches, fatigue, myalgia, nausea, and vomiting (13). Thus, patients with asplenia should take any episode of fever of any grade seriously and consult a physician immediately (14).

#### 2. Objectives

The aim of our study is to investigate the bacterial etiology of fever episodes in splenectomized patients at three specialized centers in Mashhad.

# 3. Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on all splenectomized patients with fever episodes referred to Imam Reza, Ghaem, and Dr. Sheikh hospitals between 2006 and 2017. The Ethics Committee approved the present study of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

Patient data were extracted from archived patient records and coded to maintain confidentiality and patient privacy. The provided checklist for extraction included age, gender, age at splenectomy, times of hospitalization due to fever episodes, duration of hospitalization, indications for ICU admission, recorded vital signs at admission, clinical signs and symptoms (flu-like signs and symptoms, fever, headache, fatigue, chills, myalgia, weight loss, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, pneumonia, meningitis, electrolyte disturbances, acidosis, hypoglycemia, respiratory distress, altered mental status, and shock,), bacterial culture results, antibiotic prophylaxis, vaccination history, hospital outcome, and 6-month and one-year outcomes. In addition, antibiotic coverage was classified as either suitable (e.g., ceftriaxone + vancomycin or a fluoroquinolone + vancomycin), not suitable, or minimum suitable empiric coverage, in which broad-spectrum antibiotic coverage was justified according to the clinician, but may not be compliant with stewardship guidelines (15).

For statistical analyses, recorded data were entered in SPSS Statistics 20. The descriptive analysis mainly included

 Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Patients, Including Age, Gender, Age at

 Splenectomy, and Cause of Splenectomy <sup>a</sup>

| Feature                                       | Statistic     |
|---|---------------|
| Age   | $24.2\pm1.63$ |
| Gender  |               |
| Male  | 11 (47.8)     |
| Female  | 12 (52.2)     |
| Age of the patient at the time of splenectomy | $19.6\pm1.6$  |
| Cause of splenectomy                          |               |
| Spleen mass                                   | 4 (17.4)      |
| Thalassemia                                   | 2 (8.7)       |
| ITP   | 4 (17.4)      |
| Hemolytic anemia                              | 3 (13.0)      |
| Gaucher's disease                             | 2 (8.7)       |
| Other   | 8 (34.8)      |

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as mean ± SD or No. (%).

calculating relative and absolute frequency, mean, standard deviation, and median.

#### 4. Results

We reviewed 280 patients who underwent splenectomy between 2006 and 2017 and were able to enroll 23 splenectomized patients who experienced fever episodes. Some of the enrolled patients had a history of several hospitalizations due to fever, amounting to 30 fever episodes.

Among the 280 patients reviewed, 40% were males, and 60% were females. In addition, 220 of the participants were adults, and 60 were children. Seventy-six patients underwent splenectomy due to idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), 17 to abdominal trauma, and 17 to spherocytosis. Patient ages ranged from 1 to 76 years.

A total of 23 splenectomized patients with fever episodes had a mean age of  $24.2 \pm 1.6$  years, ranging from 1 to 69 years. In the study, 47.8% of the patients were males, and 52.2% were females. Sixty-two percent of the patients with fever episodes had a complete pneumococcal vaccination, while the remainder did not. The mean age at splenectomy was  $19.6 \pm 1.6$  years, with an age range of 1 to 65. The most common causes of splenectomy were spleen masses (i.e., spleen tumors and spleen abscesses) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), each accounting for four (17.4%) cases. Hemolytic anemia, thalassemia, and Gaucher's disease accounted for three (13%), two (8.7%), and two (8.7%) cases, respectively (Table 1).

The median admission duration was seven days, ranging from 1 to 52 days. The interval between splenectomy and the first episode of fever ranged from 0 days to more than three years. In most cases, admissions were caused by intra-abdominal infections (26.7%), pneumonia (13.3%), and bacteremia (10.0%). There was one case (3.3%) of meningitis and one (3.3%) case of soft tissue infection.

Two patients (6.7%) presented with sepsis. In 30 fever episodes, only two (6.7%) resulted in the patient's death. (Table 2)

Table 2. Time from Splenectomy to the First Episode of Feyer, Cause of Admission by

| Clinical Syndrome, and Patient Outcome            |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Feature   | Statistics, No. (%) |
| The time between splenectomy and episode of fever |                     |
| 0 - 30 day  | 9 (30.0)            |
| 31 - 90 day                                       | 8 (26.7)            |
| 91 - 365 day                                      | 2 (6.7)             |
| 1 - 3 year  | 8 (26.7)            |
| After three year                                  | 3 (10.0)            |
| Cause of admission                                |                     |
| Pneumonia   | 4 (13.3)            |
| Bacteremia  | 3 (10.0)            |
| Meningitis  | 1 (3.3)             |
| Soft tissue infection                             | 1 (3.3)             |
| Abdominal infection                               | 8 (26.7)            |
| Sepsis  | 2 (6.7)             |
| Other   | 11 (36.7)           |
| Outcome   |                     |
| Alive   | 28 (93.3)           |
| Expired   | 2 (6.7)             |

Table 3 shows the results of different cultures, including blood, stool, urine, CSF, bronchial secretions, and abdominal samples. Four cases (13.3%) of blood cultures were positive for *S. pneumonia, Staphylococcus aureus, Citrobacter*, and *Brucella*. Urine culture was positive in one case (3.3%) for a coagulase negative staphylococcus. The bronchial culture was positive in three cases (10.0%), comprised of *S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter*. In one case (3.3%), the abdominal culture was positive for Staphylococcus epidermidis. However, CSF culture was negative in one case of *meningitis* despite evidence of polymorphonuclear infiltrate and flame-shaped gram-positive diplococci on microscopy.

The results of antibiotic coverage are shown in Figure 1. Patients received suitable antibiotic coverage in 13.3% (i.e. ceftriaxone + vancomycin or a fluoroquinolone + vancomycin), minimum suitable empiric coverage in 10.0%, and no suitable coverage in 76.7% of episodes.

| Feature                    | Frequency (%) |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Blood culture              |               |
| Positive                   | 4 (13.3)      |
| Negative                   | 15 (50.0)     |
| Not done                   | 11 (36.7)     |
| Stool culture              |               |
| Negative                   | 1(3.3)        |
| Not done                   | 29 (96.7)     |
| Urine culture              |               |
| Negative                   | 12 (40.0)     |
| Positive                   | 1(3.3)        |
| Not done                   | 17 (56.7)     |
| Chest or abdominal culture |               |
| Positive                   | 3 (10.0)      |
| Not done                   | 27 (90.0)     |
| CSF culture                |               |
| Negative                   | 1(3.3)        |
| Not done                   | 29 (96.7)     |

### 5. Discussion

The main aim of our study was to assess the bacterial etiology responsible for episodes of fever in splenectomized patients. The majority of patients were admitted due to intra-abdominal infections (26.7%), pneumonia (13.3%), and bacteremia (10.0%). Positive blood culture was reported in four (13.3%) cases and a positive bronchial culture in three (10%) cases. In one (3.3%) case, both the abdominal and urine cultures were positive. On the other hand, there was one case of meningitis in which a CSF culture was negative, but microscopy revealed a polymorphonuclear infiltrate and flame-shaped gram-positive diplococci. Isolated organisms were varied and included S. pneumoniae, S. aureus, coagulase negative staphylococci, P. aeruginosa, Brucella, and Citrobacter. A lack of attention to timely sample collection before antibiotic administration may have contributed to the high rate of false negatives. 76.7% of cases received no suitable antibiotic coverage, while 23.3% received at least the minimum suitable empiric coverage.

Among 33 patients who underwent splenectomy, Barmparas et al. (7) reported 8 cases of urinary tract infections, 5 cases of bacteremia, 3 cases of intra-abdominal abscess formation, and 2 cases each of ventilatory-associated pneumonia, wound infection, and catheter-related bloodstream infection. They reported that splenectomy made patients three times more susceptible to infection and four times more susceptible to intra-abdominal abscess formation. In our study, of 30 episodes in splenectomized patients, there were eight cases of intra-abdominal infection, four cases of pneumonia, three cases of bacteremia, two cases of sepsis, and one of meningitis, and one case of



Figure 1. Empiric Antibiotic Coverage. \*Minimum suitable empiric coverage was applied to those cases where wide spectrum antibiotic coverage was defensible according to the clinician's judgment but was possibly not in accordance with stewardship guidelines.

soft tissue infection (Table 2). Based on these statistics, it appears that intra-abdominal infections are very common among splenectomized patients.

In their study, Thai et al. (16) reported 14 cases of pneumonia, seven cases of gastrointestinal, urogenital, and skin infections, and five cases of infection of unknown origin among 94 patients undergoing splenectomy due to immune thrombocytopenia. As a result of the 26 episodes of infection, five cases of sepsis or septic shock were reported, three of which resulted in death. In our study, only two (6.7%) of 30 fever episodes resulted in death. According to our study, most infections occurred within 31 to 90 days or one to three years following splenectomy. Other studies have found that the incidence of the infection increases during the first two years following splenectomy, but the risk persists throughout life (17, 18). A longitudinal study conducted by Bisharat et al. found that approximately half to two-thirds of infections following splenectomy occurred within the first two years with an average of 22.6 months (19). Holdsworth et al. (20) reported that the time to infection is lower in patients with splenectomy due to hematologic problems (median interval of 20 months) compared to post-traumatic splenectomy (median interval of 50 months). Partially removing the spleen is thought to be associated with a lower risk of infection, but there are still some controversies (21, 22). In our study, all cases had undergone total splenectomy.

Asplenic patients may be at risk of serious infections. Preventative measures, such as receiving the 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine, are believed to cover around 70 to 90% of strains responsible for OPSI (4). It is also important to educate patients that fevers of any type should be taken seriously and that they should consult a physician immediately (23, 24).

Our study investigated the rate and etiology of different infections in splenectomized patients and their outcomes. Despite extending the study period to 11 years to maximize sample size and increase accuracy, we were able to include only 23 patients due to the rarity of fever episodes in splenectomized patients.

#### 5.1. Conclusions

Our study aimed to assess the bacterial etiology of fever episodes in patients who had undergone splenectomy. We found that intra-abdominal infection (26.7%), pneumonia (13.3%), and bacteremia (10.0%) were the most prevalent infections, with all causes accounting for a total of 30 fever episodes. Bacterial culture was conducted on various samples, including blood, stool, urine, bronchial secretions, and abdominal samples, and nine (24%) were positive. Isolated organisms were varied and included *S. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus*, coagulase negative staphylococci, *P. aeruginosa*, *Brucella*, and *Citrobacter*. Despite the presence of a polymorphonuclear infiltrate and flame-shaped gram-positive diplococci on microscopy in one case of meningitis, a CSF culture was negative. A high proportion of negative culture results may be explained, in part, by the collection of samples following the administration of antibiotics.

Moreover, about 76.7% of the cases had no suitable antibiotic coverage, and about 23.3% had received at least the minimum suitable empiric coverage. Ultimately, 2 of 30 episodes ended in patient death, accounting for an episode survival rate of 93.3%. This study reinforces the importance of timely sample collection before antibiotic administration, proper attention to culture results in order to guide antibiotic coverage, and education regarding appropriate empiric treatment.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Clinical Research Development Unit, Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, for their assistance in this manuscript and also Mashhad University of Medical Sciences Research Chancellor.

# Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Mahnaz Arian and Azade Haji Moniri; Analysis and interpretation of data: Mahnaz Arian, and Azade Haji Moniri and Bahareh Imani, and Mona Najaf Najafi and Mohammad Afkar; Drafting of the manuscript: Azade Haji Moniri, Mohammad Afkar, and Jalil Hasani; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Mahnaz Arian, Bahareh Imani, and Mona Najaf Najafi; Statistical analysis: Mahnaz Arian and Azade Haji Moniri, and Jalil Hasani.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Ethical Approval:** The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1396.840

**Funding/Support:** Mashhad University of Medical Sciences funded this study.

**Informed Consent:** The present study was conducted through a retrospective review of hospitalized patient files, so patient consent was not immediately obtainable. Review of patient files and inclusion of patient data without prior or follow-up contact with the patients was authorized by the presiding Ethics Committee.

#### References

 Morris DH, Bullock FD. The Importance of the Spleen in Resistance to Infection. Ann Surg. 1919;70(5):513–21. [PubMed ID: 17864185]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1410445]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-191911000-00001.

- Kristinsson SY, Gridley G, Hoover RN, Check D, Landgren O. Longterm risks after splenectomy among 8,149 cancer-free American veterans: a cohort study with up to 27 years follow-up. *Haematologica*. 2014;**99**(2):392–8. [PubMed ID: 24056815]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3912973]. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2013.092460.
- King H, Shumacker HJ. Splenic studies. I. Susceptibility to infection after splenectomy performed in infancy. Ann Surg. 1952;136(2):239– 42. [PubMed ID: 14953147]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1802258]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-195208000-00006.
- Davidson RN, Wall RA. Prevention and management of infections in patients without a spleen. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2001;7(12):657– 60. [PubMed ID: 11843905]. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1198-743x.2001.00355.x.
- Demetriades D, Scalea TM, Degiannis E, Barmparas G, Konstantinidis A, Massahis J, et al. Blunt splenic trauma: splenectomy increases early infectious complications: a prospective multicenter study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2012;**72**(1):229–34. [PubMed ID: 22310131]. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e31823fe0b6.
- Buzele R, Barbier L, Sauvanet A, Fantin B. Medical complications following splenectomy. J Visc Surg. 2016;153(4):277-86. [PubMed ID: 27289254]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2016.04.013.
- Barmparas G, Lamb AW, Lee D, Nguyen B, Eng J, Bloom MB, et al. Postoperative infection risk after splenectomy: A prospective cohort study. *Int J Surg.* 2015;17:10–4. [PubMed ID: 25779211]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2015.03.007.
- Ho G, Brunson A, Keegan THM, Wun T. Splenectomy and the incidence of venous thromboembolism and sepsis in patients with autoimmune hemolytic anemia. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2020;81:102388. [PubMed ID: 31805473]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcmd.2019.102388.
- Williams DN, Kaur B. Postsplenectomy care. Strategies to decrease the risk of infection. *Postgrad Med*. 1996;100(1):195–205. [PubMed ID: 8668616]. https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.1996.07.16.
- Andersen A. An advanced practice registered nurse (APRN)-led initiative to improve post-splenectomy education and vaccine follow-up in adult trauma patients. *Journal of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine*. 2018;2:104. https://doi.org/10.21037/jeccm.2018.11.09.
- Cullingford GL, Watkins DN, Watts AD, Mallon DF. Severe late postsplenectomy infection. Br J Surg. 1991;78(6):716–21. [PubMed ID: 2070242]. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.1800780626.
- Luu S, Spelman D, Woolley IJ. Post-splenectomy sepsis: preventative strategies, challenges, and solutions. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2019;**12**:2839– 51. [PubMed ID: 31571940]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6748314]. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S179902.
- Theilacker C, Ludewig K, Serr A, Schimpf J, Held J, Bogelein M, et al. Overwhelming Postsplenectomy Infection: A Prospective Multicenter Cohort Study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;62(7):871-8. [PubMed ID: 26703862]. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ1195.
- Dionne B, Dehority W, Brett M, Howdieshell TR. The Asplenic Patient: Post-Insult Immunocompetence, Infection, and Vaccination. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2017;18(5):536–44. [PubMed ID: 28498097]. https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2016.267.
- Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ. Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's Infectious Disease Essentials E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2016.
- Thai LH, Mahevas M, Roudot-Thoraval F, Limal N, Languille L, Dumas G, et al. Long-term complications of splenectomy in adult immune thrombocytopenia. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95(48). e5098. [PubMed ID: 27902585]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5134764]. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000005098.
- El-Alfy MS, El-Sayed MH. Overwhelming postsplenectomy infection: is quality of patient knowledge enough for prevention? *Hematol J.* 2004;5(1):77-80. [PubMed ID: 14745434]. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.thj.6200328.

- Waghorn DJ. Overwhelming infection in asplenic patients: current best practice preventive measures are not being followed. *J Clin Pathol.* 2001;54(3):214–8. [PubMed ID: 11253134]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1731383]. https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.54.3.214.
- Bisharat N, Omari H, Lavi I, Raz R. Risk of infection and death among post-splenectomy patients. J Infect. 2001;43(3):182-6. [PubMed ID: 11798256]. https://doi.org/10.1053/jinf.2001.0904.
- Holdsworth RJ, Irving AD, Cuschieri A. Postsplenectomy sepsis and its mortality rate: actual versus perceived risks. Br J Surg. 1991;78(9):1031– 8. [PubMed ID: 1933181]. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.1800780904.
- Di Sabatino A, Carsetti R, Corazza GR. Post-splenectomy and hyposplenic states. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9785):86–97. [PubMed ID: 21474172].

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61493-6.

- Tripodi SI, Shamberger RC, Heeney MM, Tubman VN. Clinical and laboratory outcomes following total or partial splenectomy in patients with hereditary spherocytosis. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2019;**36**(6):382–9. [PubMed ID: 31347415]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6752974]. https://doi.org/10.1080/08880018.2019.1637983.
- Styrt B. Infection associated with asplenia: risks, mechanisms, and prevention. *Am J Med*. 1990;88(5N):33N-42N. [PubMed ID: 2114797].
- Wang L, Xu J, Li F, Zhan H, Liu H, Chen W, et al. Partial Splenectomy is Superior to Total Splenectomy for Selected Patients with Hemangiomas or Cysts. *World J Surg.* 2017;41(5):1281–6. [PubMed ID: 28058472]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-016-3794-5.