



# Diagnostic Values of Blood Indices for Neonatal Sepsis

Maryam Saeedi <sup>1</sup>, Kayvan Mirnia <sup>1</sup>, Maral Ghassemzadeh <sup>2</sup>, Razieh Sangsari <sup>1,\*</sup> and Yasaman Hoseini<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tehran University of Medical Sciences Children Hospital, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Hakim Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Children's Medical Center, Pediatric Center of Excellence, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Children's Medical Center, Pediatric Center of Excellence, Tehran, Iran (IR). Email: raz3532@yahoo.com

Received 2023 October 07; Revised 2024 January 01; Accepted 2024 January 02.

## Abstract

**Background:** Sepsis is the leading cause of death in newborns, particularly in underdeveloped countries. Early diagnosis and appropriate treatment are critical in reducing neonatal mortality. Since blood culture results are often unavailable for 48 - 72 hours, other hematologic findings may provide useful information for early diagnosis.

**Objectives:** We examined the relationship between neonatal sepsis and blood indices in order to achieve early diagnosis.

**Methods:** In this hospital-based retrospective multiple-event case-control study, we allocated the neonates into three groups: Culture-positive sepsis, culture-negative sepsis, and neonates without sepsis. We compared the lab data within these three groups.

**Results:** The study included 319 neonates: 209 cases of culture-positive sepsis, 65 cases of culture-negative sepsis, and 45 cases without neonatal sepsis. Pearson's test demonstrated a significant correlation between thrombocytopenia, positive C-reactive protein (CRP), and high cell distribution width with culture-positive sepsis ( $P = 0.000$ ), indicating a statistical difference between the three groups. The mean CRP and eosinophil levels were higher in the culture-positive group with fungal sepsis.

**Conclusions:** In septic neonates with high CRP levels, particularly in conjunction with eosinophilia, fungal coverage should be considered.

**Keywords:** Neonatal Sepsis, Eosinophil, Thrombocytopenia

## 1. Background

Neonatal sepsis, caused by bacterial infection, remains a significant cause of mortality and morbidity in the neonatal population (1). Clinical sepsis occurs in the first 4 weeks of life, along with subsequent systemic symptoms, which are confirmed by a positive culture from sterile body fluids (proven sepsis) (2). In developed countries, sepsis affects 1 - 4 out of every 1 000 live births, with a higher prevalence in term boys compared to term girls. The occurrence of this gender preference is less common in preterm neonates (3).

Neonatal sepsis can present with subtle and varied symptoms, similar to other non-infectious diseases. These may include fever, hypothermia, poor feeding, lethargy, tachypnea, and tachycardia. Delayed diagnosis and treatment can have serious consequences, including severe morbidity and mortality (4).

Since blood culture results take 48 - 72 hours, other lab findings can aid in early neonatal sepsis diagnosis.

The laboratory findings in neonatal sepsis and non-sepsis differ significantly. In neonatal sepsis, there is a decrease in the number of white blood cells (WBCs), specifically neutrophils, whereas in non-sepsis cases, the WBC count is typically within the normal range. Furthermore, neonatal sepsis is characterized by an increase in immature neutrophils referred to as band cells, whereas the number of band cells is usually low or absent in non-sepsis conditions. Other laboratory findings associated with neonatal sepsis include elevated levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of inflammation, and increased procalcitonin levels. On the other hand, non-sepsis cases may exhibit mild elevations in CRP and procalcitonin levels, but these are generally not as high as observed in neonatal sepsis (5).

The red cell distribution width (RDW) test examines variations in red blood cell volume and size and can help in diagnosing neonatal sepsis early (3). The sepsis cascade, characterized by inflammation and oxidative stress, has

been shown to have effects on red blood cell (RBC) survival and maturation. These effects can lead to the release of premature RBCs into circulation and increase the levels of RDW. It is especially useful when paired with other clinical symptoms and lab findings (6).

Platelets undergo a similar process. Increased platelet production in the bone marrow can explain the high levels of mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution width (PDW) in septic patients (7). Therefore, platelet indices, platelet count, PDW, and MPV may play a significant role in diagnosing neonatal sepsis (8). Early sepsis diagnosis could potentially benefit from considering additional blood indices, such as the count of monocytes and eosinophils (9).

Currently, blood culture results are considered the gold standard for diagnosing sepsis. However, these results typically have a delay of at least 48 to 72 hours, and only 30 to 70% of septic cases show a positive outcome (10). Given this time delay, it is crucial to explore laboratory diagnostic methods that are both reliable and faster, as they can enable timely initiation of treatment. Researchers aim to develop an early diagnostic method for neonatal sepsis to avoid unnecessary antibiotic treatment and the subsequent development of drug resistance in high-risk newborns (10, 11). Numerous studies have been conducted on the early diagnosis of neonatal sepsis during the last two decades (12).

## 2. Objectives

We examined the relationship between neonatal sepsis and blood indices in order to achieve early diagnosis.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Study Population

The present study was a hospital-based retrospective multiple-event case-control study. The study cases included all newborns admitted with the diagnosis of sepsis, including early and late onset (EOS and LOS), to the neonatal ward and NICU of the Children's Medical Center and Bahrami Hospital between 2013 and 2022. Our control group included neonates hospitalized with laboratory sepsis without a positive culture, as well as neonates who were admitted for reasons other than infection, such as hyperbilirubinemia (not severe cases). Matching was done in the control group in terms of demographic characteristics.

### 3.2. Study Design

The study population was divided into three groups. The initial group consisted of newborns with confirmed sepsis and a positive blood culture. The second group consisted of neonates with culture-negative sepsis (laboratory sepsis). In laboratory sepsis, the laboratory data criteria include leukocyte count  $< 5000$  or  $\geq 20000/\text{mm}^3$ , platelet  $< 150000$ , absolute neutrophil count ( $< 1500$  or  $\geq 5000/\text{mm}^3$ ), CRP level  $> 6$  mg/dl, and immature/total neutrophil count  $\geq 0.2$  (5). Furthermore, the third group comprised neonates without sepsis who were admitted to the wards for other medical conditions. The demographic and laboratory data of the three groups were then compared. Inclusion criteria comprised neonates who have positive blood cultures or neonates with at least two laboratory diagnoses of sepsis (5). Also, several non-septic infants were included in the study as a control group, such as hyperbilirubinemia (not severe cases).

### 3.3. Exclusion Criteria

- Infants with positive blood cultures admitted to other wards
- Infants with symptoms of sepsis but lack any positive blood cultures in the case group or any laboratory criteria for sepsis in the control group
- *Staphylococcus epidermidis*-positive blood cultures due to contamination, especially if the patient is asymptomatic
- Patients with severe hyperbilirubinemia in the control group (13)
- Patients with missing information in their medical files

### 3.4. Data Collection

The questionnaire included the date of admission, age, weight, gender of the neonate, gestational age, kind of microorganism, number of leukocytes, eosinophil, neutrophils, RDW, MPV, PDW, CRP, and platelet counts. A pediatric resident filled out the questionnaire based on medical records, the hospital HIS system, and nursing report sheets. BACTEC laboratory equipment was used to check positive blood cultures. To evaluate blood indices, we checked blood cells with a CBC sample using a colter counter. CRP was checked by a quantitative test.

### 3.5. Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the collected data using descriptive statistical tests, chi-square test, Fisher's test, and paired *t*-test, and to compare the means of three groups, we used one-way ANOVA with SPSS version 22 software. The

normality of the data distribution was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

### 3.6. Ethics

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences with Ethics Code IR.TUMS.CHMC.REC.1399.147.

## 4. Results

The study population consisted of 319 neonates. Among them, 209 cases were diagnosed with culture-positive sepsis, 65 cases had culture-negative sepsis, and 45 cases did not have neonatal sepsis. The mean birth gestational age was  $37.5 \pm 1.25$  weeks, and the mean birth weight was  $2999 \pm 445$  g. Although the proportion of positive cultures in pre-term babies (60.9%) was slightly higher than in full-term babies (54.4%), this difference was not statistically significant ( $P = 0.288$ ). In terms of gender, culture-positive sepsis was more common in boys (57%) than in girls (43%). However, this difference was also not statistically significant ( $P = 0.918$ ). LOS and nosocomial infections were more common than EOS in neonates with positive cultures in our study, conducted in two referral hospitals, with patient numbers of 96, 64, and 49, respectively.

According to [Table 1](#), Pearson's correlation coefficient showed that thrombocytopenia, positive CRP, and high RDW were correlated with culture-positive sepsis ( $P = 0.000$ ), indicating a significant statistical difference between the three groups. It was also found that there was no significant relationship between the three groups (culture-positive sepsis, culture-negative sepsis, and no-sepsis) in terms of neutrophil count ( $P = 0.10$ ), eosinophil count ( $P = 0.757$ ), PDW ( $P = 0.234$ ), and MPV ( $P = 0.524$ ). Although no significant relationship was found between the neutrophil count and infection (Pearson's coefficient = 0.10), the data showed that 60% of neonates with a neutrophil count less than  $500 \text{ mm}^3$  had culture-positive sepsis, compared to 40% with negative cultures. However, this difference was not statistically significant. The frequency of studied variables among types of microorganisms in blood culture-positive neonates is also shown in [Table 2](#). The present study found no significant relationship between the type of microorganism (including Gram-negative and positive bacteria and fungi) and the neutrophil count ( $P = 0.251$ ).

Additionally, there was no significant relationship between the eosinophil count and sepsis, as indicated by Pearson's coefficient of 0.757. Furthermore, the

comparison of studied variables between three groups (Gram-positive culture, Gram-negative culture, and fungal culture) showed no significant relationship between the type of microorganism and eosinophil count ( $P = 0.075$ ) ([Table 3](#)). However, it is worth mentioning that the mean eosinophil count was significantly higher in infants with positive fungal culture (mean: 803.64) compared to those with gram-negative culture (mean: 216.14) ( $P = 0.025$ ) ([Tables 4 and 5](#)).

The normality of the data was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Except for MPV ( $P = 0.24$ ) in the ANOVA, the mean values of the data were not significantly different between the groups ( $P = 0.42$ ). However, the other data had a non-normal distribution. Therefore, non-parametric tests were used to compare the means.

In the culture-positive group, when comparing the three groups of Gram-positive culture, Gram-negative culture, and fungal culture, only CRP showed a significant difference ( $P = 0.012$ ) ([Table 3](#)). The mean CRP levels were 51.5 in the fungal culture group, 32.04 in the Gram-negative culture group, and 23.3 in the Gram-positive culture group ([Table 4](#)).

## 5. Discussion

Effective and timely use of antibiotics is crucial in the treatment of neonatal sepsis, a potentially life-threatening condition. However, unnecessary use of antibiotics before a definitive diagnosis can contribute to the development of drug resistance. It is crucial for healthcare providers to balance the urgency of treatment with the judicious use of antibiotics, ensuring proper diagnostic evaluation before starting therapy.

In this hospital-based retrospective multiple-event case-control study, we compared demographic and lab data in three groups of culture-positive sepsis, culture-negative sepsis, and neonates without sepsis to provide useful information for the early diagnosis of neonatal sepsis.

In this study, although the proportion of positive cultures was slightly higher in pre-term and male babies compared to full-term and female babies, consistent with the findings of other studies ([14, 15](#)), this difference was not statistically significant. In our study, we did not find a significant relationship between neutrophil count and infection. Additionally, we did not observe a significant relationship between the type of microorganism and the neutrophil count. However, in a study conducted by Can et al. in 2018, they observed a total of 122 neonates, 78 of whom had EOS. Their findings showed that a neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) of 6.76 was determined as the predictive cut-off value for EOS, with a

**Table 1.** Frequency of Studied Variables in the Neonates

Variables	Groups			Total	Pearson's Chi-squared
	Culture-Positive	Culture-Negative	Non-sepsis		
<b>Neutrophil count according to neutrophil count, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.10
< 500	3 (60)	2 (40)	0.0 (0.0)	5 (100)	
500 - 1500	16 (72.7)	2 (9.1)	4 (18.2)	22 (100)	
< 1500	185 (64.7)	61 (21.3)	40 (14)	286 (100)	
Total	204 (65.1)	65 (20.8)	44 (14.1)	313 (100)	
<b>Platelet count according to platelet count, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.000
< 150000	60 (92.3)	5 (7.7)	0.0 (0.0)	65 (100)	
150000 - 450000	130 (57.8)	53 (23.5)	42 (18.7)	225 (100)	
> 450000	19 (65.6)	7 (24.1)	3 (10.3)	29 (100)	
Total	209 (65.6)	65 (20.3)	45 (14.1)	319 (100)	
<b>Platelet count according to eosinophil count, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.757
< 200	106 (67.6)	34 (21.6)	17 (10.8)	157 (100)	
200 - 700	62 (56.4)	27 (24.5)	21 (19.1)	110 (100)	
> 700	14 (56)	4 (16)	7 (28)	25 (100)	
Total	182 (62.3)	65 (22.3)	45 (15.4)	292 (100)	
Positive	78 (74.3)	22 (20.9)	5 (4.8)	105 (100)	0.000
Negative	127 (64.8)	42 (21.4)	27 (23.8)	196 (100)	
Total	205 (68.1)	64 (21.3)	32 (10.6)	301 (100)	
<b>Platelet count according to RDW amount, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.000
> 16.5	135 (77.6)	21 (12.1)	18 (10.3)	174 (100)	
≤ 16.5	50 (41.3)	44 (36.4)	27 (22.3)	121 (100)	
Total	185 (62.7)	65 (22.1)	45 (15.2)	295 (100)	
<b>Platelet count according to PDW, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.234
< 17.5	16 (66.7)	7 (29.2)	1 (4.1)	24 (100)	
≤ 17.5	174 (63)	58 (21.1)	44 (15.9)	276 (100)	
Total	190 (63.3)	65 (21.7)	45 (15)	300 (100)	
<b>Platelet count according to MPV, mm<sup>3</sup></b>					0.524
> 10	140 (62.8)	49 (22)	34 (15.2)	223 (100)	
< 10	53 (66.2)	16 (20)	11 (13.8)	80 (100)	
Total	193 (63.7)	65 (21.5)	45 (14.8)	303 (100)	

Abbreviations: MPV, mean platelet volume; PDW, platelet distribution width; RDW, red cell distribution width.

sensitivity of 94.7% and specificity of 100%. It is important to note that our study was conducted in two referral hospitals, resulting in a lower incidence of EOS among our patients compared to the study conducted by Can et al. (8).

In the current study, we observed a correlation

between thrombocytopenia and culture-positive sepsis. Previous studies on predictive laboratory indices for sepsis have also demonstrated a relationship between thrombocytopenia and neonatal sepsis (16, 17). Our study showed no significant relationship between the type of

**Table 2.** Frequency of Studied Variables Between Kinds of Microorganisms in Blood Culture-Positive Neonates

Variables	Range			Total
<b>Neutrophil/mm<sup>3</sup></b>	> 500	500 - 1500	< 1500	
Gram-positive sepsis	0 (0)	5 (6.1)	78 (93.9)	83 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	3 (2.7)	11 (10.1)	95 (87.2)	109 (100)
Fungal sepsis	0 (0)	0 (0)	12 (100)	12 (100)
Total	3 (1.5)	16 (7.9)	185 (90.6)	204 (100)
<b>Platelet/mm<sup>3</sup></b>	< 150000	150000 - 450000	> 450000	
Gram-positive sepsis	20 (23.6)	58 (68.2)	7 (8.2)	85 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	39 (34.8)	63 (56.3)	10 (8.9)	112 (100)
Fungal sepsis	1 (8.3)	9 (75)	2 (16.7)	12 (100)
Total	60 (28.7)	130 (62.2)	19 (9.1)	209 (100)
<b>Eosinophil/mm<sup>3</sup></b>	< 200	200 - 700	> 700	
Gram-positive sepsis	40 (54.8)	26 (35.6)	7 (9.6)	73 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	62 (63.2)	3 (3.1)	33 (33.7)	98 (100)
Fungal sepsis	4 (36.4)	4 (36.4)	3 (27.2)	11 (100)
Total	106 (58.2)	33 (18.1)	43 (23.6)	182 (100)
<b>CRP, mg/dL</b>	> 6	≥ 6		
Gram-positive sepsis	48 (57.8)	35 (42.2)		83 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	70 (62.5)	42 (37.5)		112 (100)
Fungal sepsis	9 (90)	1 (10)		10 (100)
Total	127 (61.9)	78 (38.1)		205 (100)
<b>RDW, fL</b>	< 16.5	≤ 16.5		
Gram-positive sepsis	54 (72)	21 (28)		75 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	74 (74.7)	25 (25.3)		99 (100)
Fungal sepsis	7 (63.5)	4 (36.5)		11 (100)
Total	135 (73)	50 (27)		185 (100)
<b>PDW, fL</b>	< 17.5	≥ 17.5		
Gram-positive sepsis	2 (2.5)	77 (97.5)		79 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	13 (13.1)	86 (86.9)		99 (100)
Fungal sepsis	1 (8.3)	11 (91.7)		12 (100)
Total	16 (8.5)	174 (91.5)		190 (100)
<b>MPV, fL</b>	≤ 11	< 10		
Gram-positive sepsis	59 (74.6)	20 (25.4)		79 (100)
Gram-negative sepsis	72 (70.5)	30 (29.5)		102 (100)
Fungal sepsis	9 (75)	3 (25)		12 (100)
Total	140 (72.5)	53 (27.5)		193 (100)

Abbreviations: MPV, mean platelet volume; PDW, platelet distribution width; RDW, cell distribution width; CRP, C-reactive protein.

microorganism (Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, and fungus) and the number of platelets ( $P = 0.109$ ). These results are consistent with the findings of another study in this field (18).

The present study found no significant relationship between eosinophil count and sepsis (Pearson's coefficient = 0.757). Additionally, there was no significant relationship between the type of microorganism and eosinophil count

**Table 3.** The Comparison of Studied Variables Between Three Groups (Gram-Positive Culture, Gram-Negative Culture, and Fungal Culture)<sup>a, b</sup>

Variables	White Blood Cell	Neutrophil	Platelet	Eosinophil	C-Reactive Protein	Red Cell Distribution Width	Platelet Distribution Width
Chi-square	1.469	2.768	4.441	5.177	8.788	2.295	1.303
Df	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Asymp. Sig.	0.480	0.251	0.109	0.075	0.012	0.317	0.521

<sup>a</sup> P-values obtained from the Kruskal-Wallis test.<sup>b</sup> Grouping variable: Germ group.**Table 4.** Mean Value and Number of Studied Variables in Three Groups (Gram-Positive Culture, Gram-Negative Culture, and Fungal Culture)

Germ Group	White Blood Cell	Neutrophil	Platelet	Eosinophil	CRP	RDW	PDW	MPV
<b>Gram-positive</b>								
Valid	85	83	85	73	83	75	79	79
Missing	0	2	0	12	2	10	6	6
Mean	11721.29	6324.75	271576.47	979.84	23.30	26.8253	14.047	9.33
<b>Gram-negative</b>								
Valid	112	109	112	98	112	99	99	102
Missing	0	3	0	14	0	13	13	10
Mean	11118.04	6079.93	225583.04	216.14	32.04	30.6676	14.330	9.47
<b>Fungal</b>								
Valid	12	12	12	11	10	11	12	12
Missing	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0
Mean	11859.17	5934.25	275083.33	803.64	51.50	40.9182	15.083	9.71

Abbreviations: MPV, mean platelet volume; PDW, platelet distribution width; RDW, cell distribution width; CRP, C-reactive protein.

**Table 5.** Comparison of Studied Variables Between Gram-Negative Culture and Fungal Culture<sup>a</sup>

Variables	White Blood Cell	Neutrophil	Platelet	Eosinophil	CRP	RDW	PDW
Mann-Whitney U test	537.000	544.000	542.500	317.000	334.500	471.000	516.000
Wilcoxon test	6865.000	6539.000	6870.500	5168.000	6662.500	5421.000	5466.000
Z-score	-1.141	-0.954	-1.095	-2.234	-2.107	-0.732	-0.741
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	0.254	0.340	0.274	0.025	0.035	0.464	0.459

<sup>a</sup> Grouping variable: Germ group.

( $P = 0.075$ ). However, the mean eosinophil count was significantly higher in infants with a positive fungal culture compared to those with a Gram-negative culture. It is important to note that previous research on the correlation between eosinopenia and sepsis has produced inconsistent results. For example, Wilar observed that the mean eosinophil count was significantly lower in cases of EOS ( $P < 0.001$ ). The diagnostic value of eosinopenia in their sepsis group (cutoff point: 140 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) showed a sensitivity and specificity of 60% and 90.0%, respectively (19). Lee also reviewed and mentioned eosinopenia as an old biomarker for infection, which may be useful in differentiating sepsis from non-infectious

conditions. They reported that eosinopenia of less than 50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> has sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 81%, 65%, 66%, and 80%, respectively, for adult sepsis diagnosis (9).

In the current study, 74.3% of infants with a positive CRP had positive-culture sepsis, which was found to be statistically significant ( $P = 0.00$ ). Omar and Mohammad also reported a significant relationship between culture-positive sepsis, CRP, and increased RDW ( $P$ -value 0.01) (20). Furthermore, Ellahony et al. found a strong positive correlation between RDW and CRP ( $r = 0.8$ ;  $P < 0.0001$ ) (6). CRP has been identified as an important predictive parameter in other studies, demonstrating a

strong relationship with sepsis diagnosis (14, 21). However, it is worth noting that in our study, 65% of infants with a negative CRP still had positive-culture sepsis. It is important to highlight that we only recorded the initial CRP at the time of culture-positive and did not include serial CRP measurements. If we had considered serial CRP measurements, there might have been a higher proportion of positive CRP results, as Chaudhuri et al. discussed the role of serial CRP in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis (22). In addition, we found a significant difference in CRP levels ( $P = 0.012$ ) between cases of Gram-positive, Gram-negative, and fungal sepsis. Interestingly, the mean CRP levels were higher in the fungal culture group compared to both the Gram-negative and Gram-positive culture groups. Therefore, based on these findings, we suggest considering empirical antifungal treatment in cases with elevated CRP levels, particularly when accompanied by eosinophilia.

In the present study, 77.6% of infants with an RDW above 16.5 had culture-positive sepsis, which was found to be statistically significant ( $P = 0.00$ ). Deka and P. conducted a prospective observational study involving 50 normal and 50 septic neonates. They found that the mean RDW was higher in septic neonates ( $18.59 \pm 1.28$ ) compared to normal newborns ( $16.21 \pm 1.35$ ). In the sepsis group, RDW was found to have a statistically significant correlation with CRP. They also identified an RDW cut-off level of 17.25%, which had 86% sensitivity, 87% specificity, and 93.5% accuracy in diagnosing neonatal sepsis (3). Another study by Omer and Mohammed also considered RDW as a simple method for diagnosing neonatal sepsis (20). Additionally, some studies suggest that RDW may be the most useful predictor of mortality and a strong indicator of sepsis severity (23).

No significant difference was found between PDW and sepsis in the current study ( $P = 0.234$ ). There was also no relationship between the type of microorganism and PDW, nor between the type of microorganism and MPV. However, a meta-analysis conducted by Wang et al., involving 932 neonates, demonstrated that MPV levels were higher in patients with neonatal sepsis compared to healthy controls. As a result, Wang et al. concluded that MPV could potentially serve as an indicator for the early diagnosis of neonatal sepsis (24).

In conclusion, our retrospective case-control study found that neonates with culture-positive sepsis had a significant correlation with thrombocytopenia, positive CRP, and high RDW. These findings suggest that these lab parameters could be potential indicators of culture-positive sepsis in neonates. Additionally, within the culture-positive group, neonates with fungal sepsis had higher mean CRP and eosinophil levels. These

results highlight the importance of monitoring these lab parameters in neonates suspected of sepsis, especially in the presence of fungal infection. Further prospective studies are warranted to validate our findings and explore potential mechanisms underlying these associations.

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of our study, specifically EOS, due to the inclusion of referral hospitals in our study sample. Additionally, we observed a limited number of cases with fungal-positive cultures, which hindered our ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the association between fungi and sepsis, particularly concerning the absence of serial CRP measurements. Incorporating such serial measurements could offer more precise and detailed information on the connection between CRP, EOS, and sepsis.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all parents of neonates, the IT department, NICU and neonatal ward staff of Children's Medical Center and Bahrami Children's Hospital, and all those who cooperated in the study process.

### Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Study concept and design: Maryam Saeedi; analysis and interpretation of data: Maryam Saeedi; collection of data: Yasaman Hoseini; drafting of the manuscript: Maral Ghasemzadeh; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Razieh Sangsari; developing the original idea: Kayvan Mirnia.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declared no conflict of interests.

**Data Availability:** The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

**Ethical Approval:** IR.TUMS.CHMC.REC.1399.147.

**Funding/Support:** Not funding/support.

### References

1. Raghav A, Kumar A, Anand P, Yadav G, Gera R. Significance of serum inflammatory markers in predicting bacterial meningitis amongst neonates with sepsis. *Indian J Neonatal Medicine Res.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.7860/ijnmr/2021/48468.2299>.
2. Polin RA, Committee on F; Newborn. Management of neonates with suspected or proven early-onset bacterial sepsis. *Pediatrics.* 2012;**129**(5):1006-15. [PubMed ID: 22547779]. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-0541>.
3. Deka A, P A. Red cell distribution width as a diagnostic marker in neonatal sepsis. *International J Contemporary Pediatrics.* 2020;**7**(4). <https://doi.org/10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20201137>.

4. Guo J, Luo Y, Wu Y, Lai W, Mu X. Clinical characteristic and pathogen spectrum of neonatal sepsis in Guangzhou City from June 2011 to June 2017. *Med Sci Monit.* 2019;**25**:2296-304. [PubMed ID: 30924465]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6451358]. <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.912375>.
5. Celik IH, Hanna M, Canpolat FE, Mohan P. Diagnosis of neonatal sepsis: The past, present and future. *Pediatr Res.* 2022;**91**(2):337-50. [PubMed ID: 34728808]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8818018]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-021-01696-z>.
6. Ellahony DM, El-Mekkawy MS, Farag MM. A study of red cell distribution width in neonatal sepsis. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* 2020;**36**(8):378-83. [PubMed ID: 29084071]. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PEC.0000000000001319>.
7. Dursun A, Özsoylu S, Akyıldız B. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and mean platelet volume can be useful markers to predict sepsis in children. *Pakistan J Med Sci.* 2018;**34**(4). <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.344.14547>.
8. Can E, Hamilcikan S, Can C. The value of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio for detecting Early-onset Neonatal Sepsis. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2018;**40**(4):e229-32. [PubMed ID: 29219889]. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPH.0000000000001059>.
9. Lee JH. Eosinophil count and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte count ratio as biomarkers for predicting early-onset neonatal sepsis. *Korean J Pediatr.* 2019;**62**(12):438-9. [PubMed ID: 31319645]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6933304]. <https://doi.org/10.3345/kjp.2019.00297>.
10. Lambregts MMC, Bernards AT, van der Beek MT, Visser LG, de Boer MG. Time to positivity of blood cultures supports early re-evaluation of empiric broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy. *PLoS One.* 2019;**14**(1). e0208819. [PubMed ID: 30601829]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6314566]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208819>.
11. Murless-Collins S, Kawaza K, Salim N, Molyneux EM, Chieme M, Aluvaala J, et al. Blood culture versus antibiotic use for neonatal inpatients in 61 hospitals implementing with the NEST360 Alliance in Kenya, Malawi, Nigeria, and Tanzania: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Pediatr.* 2023;**23**(Suppl 2):568. [PubMed ID: 37968606]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10652421]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-023-04343-0>.
12. Tripathi N, Cotten CM, Smith PB. Antibiotic use and misuse in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clin Perinatol.* 2012;**39**(1):61-8. [PubMed ID: 22341537]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3285418]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2011.12.003>.
13. Kemper AR, Newman TB, Slaughter JL, Maisels MJ, Watchko JF, Downs SM, et al. Clinical practice guideline revision: Management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks of Gestation. *Pediatrics.* 2022;**150**(3). [PubMed ID: 35927462]. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2022-058859>.
14. Singh M, Alsaleem M, Gray CP. *Neonatal Sepsis.* [Updated 2022 Sep 29]. Treasure Island: StatPearls; 2023. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK531478/>.
15. Shifera N, Dejenie F, Mesafint G, Yosef T. Risk factors for neonatal sepsis among neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital and Adare General Hospital in Hawassa City, Ethiopia. *Front Pediatr.* 2023;**11**:1092671. [PubMed ID: 37138573]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10149989]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2023.1092671>.
16. Arabdin M, Khan A, Zia S, Khan S, Khan GS, Shahid M. Frequency and Severity of Thrombocytopenia in Neonatal Sepsis. *Cureus.* 2022;**14**(2). e22665. [PubMed ID: 35386168]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8967110]. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.22665>.
17. Ree IMC, Fustolo-Gunnink SF, Bekker V, Fijnvandraat KJ, Steggerda SJ, Lopriore E. Thrombocytopenia in neonatal sepsis: Incidence, severity and risk factors. *PLoS One.* 2017;**12**(10). e0185581. [PubMed ID: 28977011]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5627935]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185581>.
18. Sangsari R, Kadivar M, Fardi R, Jedary Attary S, Jafari A. Platelets indices and different germs of neonatal sepsis. *Iranian J Pediatrics.* 2019;**1n** Press. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijp.80856>.
19. Wilar R. Diagnostic value of eosinopenia and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio on early onset neonatal sepsis. *Korean J Pediatr.* 2019;**62**(6):217-23. [PubMed ID: 30304894]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6584235]. <https://doi.org/10.3345/kjp.2018.06723>.
20. Omer IM, Mohammed BAA. A study of red cell distribution width and neonatal sepsis at Soba University Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan. *Sudan J Paediatr.* 2021;**21**(1):42-7. [PubMed ID: 33879942]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8026007]. <https://doi.org/10.24911/SJP.106-1597237251>.
21. Hisamuddin E, Hisam A, Wahid S, Raza G. Validity of C-reactive protein (CRP) for diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2015;**31**(3):527-31. [PubMed ID: 26150837]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4485264]. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.313.6668>.
22. Chaudhuri PK, Ghosh A, Sinha V, Singh BK, Singh M, Lugova H, et al. The role of c-reactive protein estimation in determining the duration of antibiotic therapy in neonatal sepsis. *Cureus.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30211>.
23. Golhar S, Madhura A, Chauhan U, Nayak A. Utility of Red Cell Distribution Width (RDW) in diagnosis and prognosis of early-onset neonatal sepsis in term neonates. *SciMedicine J.* 2021;**3**(3):257-64. <https://doi.org/10.28991/SciMedJ-2021-0303-7>.
24. Wang J, Wang Z, Zhang M, Lou Z, Deng J, Li Q. Diagnostic value of mean platelet volume for neonatal sepsis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2020;**99**(32). e21649. [PubMed ID: 32769935]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7593009]. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000021649>.