

Seroprevalence of Brucellosis in HIV-Infected Patients in Sanandaj, Iran

Mohammad Ali Rezaee¹; Ahmad Rashidi¹; Mohammad Ghaedi¹; Ali Jalili¹; Ali Pazoki¹; Shahoo Menbari²; Mohammad Reza Rahmani^{1,*}

¹Department of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, IR Iran

²Department of Pathology and Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Para Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Mohammad Reza Rahmani, Department of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, IR Iran. Tel: +98-8716131412, Fax: +98-8716664674, E-mail: rahmany191@gmail.com

Received: October 7, 2012; **Revised:** January 15, 2013; **Accepted:** February 27, 2013

Background: Infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) leads to cellular immune deficiency and theoretically patients infected with HIV are susceptible to brucellosis.

Objectives: The current study aimed to determine brucellosis rate in the patients infected with HIV.

Patients and Methods: We included 89 HIV⁺ patients from Sanandaj Consultation Center for Behavioral Diseases. Patients signed informed written consent before filling out the questionnaire. After serum collection, standard Wright tube, Coombs-Wright and 2ME-Wright tests were performed. Moreover, blood samples obtained from 502 individuals, who were not infected with HIV, were served as the control.

Results: The mean age of participants in the experimental and control groups were 33.31 ± 7.47 and 34.38 ± 11.29 years, respectively. In the Wright tube test for the HIV⁺ group, 71 individuals (79.8%) did not have an antibody against *Brucella* spp., while 18 patients (20.2%) were positive for the antibody. According to the results of Wright tube test for the control group, 63 (12.5%) participants were positive for anti-*Brucella* antibody. The frequency of antibody against *Brucella* spp. in the HIV⁺ group was significantly higher than that of the control group ($P = 0.042$).

Conclusions: HIV positive individuals in areas endemic for brucellosis must be investigated for the disease.

Keywords: HIV; Seroprevalence; Brucellosis

1. Background

Infection caused by various species of *Brucella* spp. in human is called brucellosis (1, 2). The prodromal phase of the disease is two to three weeks, but in some patients may last for several months (3, 4). Serological methods for brucellosis diagnosis are of great importance to evaluate the disease prevalence in endemic areas (5, 6). Infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) leads to cellular and humoral immune deficiency, and the infected individuals become susceptible to various pathogens and opportunistic microbes (7, 8). The immune response effective against *Brucella* spp. relies upon cellular immune response (9-12). In HIV infected patients, as the disease progresses, the number of CD₄⁺ cells decrease, which leads to cellular immune deficiency (13). In brucellosis, elimination of the bacteria from contaminated macrophages is very hard (14). Iran is one of the endemic countries for brucellosis (15). Moreover, brucellosis can be considered as a risk factor for HIV positive patients.

2. Objectives

The current study aimed to evaluate the frequency of the antibody against *Brucella* spp. in HIV positive patients in the West of Iran.

3. Patients and Methods

This study was carried out in cooperation with the Sanandaj Consultation Center for Behavioral Diseases. All patients and controls were informed about the study and signed the written consent; 89 HIV positive patients were included in the study, and for each patient a questionnaire was filled out. The questionnaire included demographic information, age, gender, CD₄⁺ cell count, and duration of the infection since diagnosis of the disease. A 5 mL blood sample was obtained from each patient and serological tests to detect anti-*Brucella* antibodies were carried out for each sample. HIV infection was diagnosed using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and then the

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Survey of brucellosis is suggested for HIV-infected patients in endemic areas of brucellosis due to the compromised immune system.

Copyright © 2013, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

results were confirmed by the Western Blot method. The number of CD₄⁺ cells in the infected patients was obtained from their medical file. Since risky behaviors including abusing IV-drugs, was the main route of infection acquisition for most of the patients, co-infection of HBV (Diaplus, San Francisco, USA), HCV (Diaplus, San Francisco, USA), and HTLV-1 (Dima, Goettingen, Germany) were evaluated by the ELISA test (16-18).

In the Wright test, standard agglutination tube test was used. In this method, for each patient, 12 tubes were used, and the patient's serum was exposed to the *Brucella* antigen (Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran). The serum was then incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours and the results were recorded. Coombs-Wright test (Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran) was performed after the Wright test. To determine the pattern of anti-*Brucella* antibodies, 2ME Wright test (Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran) was used (17, 19). Furthermore, after obtaining the informed written consent, 5 mL blood samples were obtained from 502 normal individuals who were negative for HIV, HBV, HCV (Diaplus, San Francisco, USA) and HTLV-1 (Dima, Goettingen, Germany) infections in ELISA evaluations. The serological tests of anti-*Brucella* antibodies were performed on serum samples of these individuals.

3.1. Statistical Analysis

SPSS software, version 12 was employed to analyze the data and the results were provided in a tabular format according to descriptive statistics. Qualitative and quantitative data were compared by t-test and Chi-square test, respectively. P values less than, or equal to 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

The mean age of HIV⁺ patients was 33.31 ± 7.47 years, while the mean age of the control group was 34.38 ± 11.29 years. The two groups were not significantly different in this respect ($P > 0.05$). The mean CD₄⁺ cell count in patients infected with HIV was 803 ± 656.7 cell/μL (Min: 50 cell/μL, Max: 4546 cell/μL). The mean number of CD₄⁺ cells in women was higher than that of men, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.6$) (Table 1). The mean number of CD₄⁺ cells in HIV patients positive for anti-*Brucella* antibody was 711.67 ± 331.71 cell/μL, while the number for patients negative for anti-*Brucella* antibody was 827.30 ± 716.88 cell/μL. The HIV⁺ patients positive and negative for anti-*Brucella* antibody were not significantly different in this respect ($P = 0.5$). In the HIV⁺ group, 71 (79.8%) patients were negative for anti-*Brucella* antibody. Among the 18 patients who were positive for anti-*Brucella* antibody, three patients had high titers of the antibody. In the Coombs-Wright test, all patients were negative (Table 2).

In the normal control group, 439 individuals (87.5%) were negative for the antibody, and from the 63 individuals (12.5%) who were positive for the antibody, only one had an antibody titer of 1/40 and the others had a titer of 1/20. The prevalence of anti-*Brucella* antibody in the HIV⁺ group was higher than that of the control group ($P = 0.042$). In the HIV⁺ group, all the 18 patients who were positive for anti-*Brucella* antibody were male. While in the control group, from those who were positive for the antibody, 57 individuals were male and six were female.

Table 1. Demographic, Epidemiological and Clinical Characteristics of HIV⁺ Patients With Positive Anti-*Brucella* Antibody

| | No. (%) | Individuals With Positive Anti- <i>Brucella</i> Antibody, No. (%) |
|--|----------------|---|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 79 (88.8) | 18 (100) |
| Female | 10 (11.2) | - |
| Mean CD₄ cell count, Mean ± SD | | |
| Male | 790.73 ± 683 | - |
| Female | 904.4 ± 406.65 | - |
| HBV^a | | |
| Positive | 1 (1.13) | - |
| Negative | 88 (98.87) | 18 (100) |
| HCV^a | | |
| Positive | 31 (34.8) | 4 (22.2) |
| Negative | 58 (65.2) | 14 (77.8) |
| HTLV-1^a | | |
| Positive | - | - |
| Negative | 89 (100) | - |

^a Abbreviations: HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HTLV, human T lymphotropic virus-1.

Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Negative and Positive Titers of Wright, Coombs-Wright and 2ME Tests of HIV⁺ Patients

| Test Result | Tube Wright, No. (%) | Coombs-Wright, No. (%) | 2ME, No. (%) |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Negative | 71 (79.8) | 89 (100) | 88 (98.9) |
| 1:20 | 8 (9) | - | - |
| 1:40 | 4 (4.5) | - | - |
| 1:80 | 3 (3.4) | - | - |
| 1:320 | 2 (2.2) | - | 1 (1.1) |
| 1:1280 | 1 (1.1) | - | - |
| Total | 89 (100) | 89 (100) | 89 (100) |

5. Discussion

The obtained results indicated that the prevalence of anti-*Brucella* antibody in the HIV⁺ group was higher than that of the control group ($P=0.042$). This is in accordance with the results obtained by Abdollahi et al. They reported that the frequency of brucellosis in HIV⁺ patients, determined by serological methods, was significantly higher than that of the control group ($P < 0.001$) (20). However, in the current study, the frequency of anti-*Brucella* antibody in the HIV⁺ group was 20.2%, while this rate was reported 73.3% in the study carried out by Abdollahi et al. The rates obtained in the two studies were significantly different. In HIV infection, CD₄⁺ T cells become infected with HIV, while the activities of the virus and the immune response kill the infected cells. This leads to a reduction in population of the cells in the body, and consequently deficiency of cellular immunity (20). In the current study, although the mean number of CD₄⁺ cells in HIV⁺ patients, positive for anti-*Brucella* antibody, was lower than that of HIV⁺ patients, negative for the antibody, yet the difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.5$). In the study carried out by Abdollahi et al., no statistically significant relationship was observed between the mean number of CD₄⁺ cells and the presence of anti-*Brucella* antibody in HIV-infected patients ($P > 0.05$). Previous studies reported that the prevalence of brucellosis in male HIV⁺ patients was higher than that of female patients (20, 21). In the current study, anti-*Brucella* antibody was observed only in male HIV-infected patients. In developed countries where brucellosis is under control, the ratio of male to female *Brucella* spp. infection was reported 1:5 to 1:6 (21). In almost all reports from brucellosis endemic and non-endemic countries, the rate of infection in men is higher than that of women. The underlying causes have been considered to be occupational factors or contact with livestock (22, 23). Our previous study and other reports showed that brucellosis mostly occurs in people taking contaminated dairy products, or those who have direct exposure to infected livestock, which occurs in slaughterhouses and ranches (19, 24, 25). The prevalence of brucellosis is high in west of Iran. Therefore, high-risk

individuals such as those who have weak immune systems or HIV⁺ patients must be screened for brucellosis.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank those who kindly supported us to conduct this study.

Authors' Contribution

Study concept and design: Rezaee, Rahmani and Jalili; analysis and interpretation of data: Rezaee, Rashidi, Ghaedi, Pazoki and Menbari; drafting of the manuscript: Rezaee, Rahmani, Ghaedi and Jalili; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Rezaee, Rahmani, Ghaedi, Jalili, Rashidi and Menbari; statistical analysis: Rezaee and Rahmani.

Financial Disclosure

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding/Support

The study was financially supported by the Department of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran.

References

- Colmenero Castillo JD, Cabrera Franquelo FP, Hernandez Marquez S, Reguera Iglesias JM, Pinedo Sanchez A, Castillo Clavero AM. [Socioeconomic effects of human brucellosis]. *Rev Clin Esp.* 1989;**185**(9):459-63.
- Pappas G, Akritidis N, Bosilkovski M, Tsianos E. Brucellosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;**352**(22):2325-36.
- Mantur BG, Biradar MS, Bidri RC, Mulimani MS, Veerappa, Karholu P, et al. Protean clinical manifestations and diagnostic challenges of human brucellosis in adults: 16 years' experience in an endemic area. *J Med Microbiol.* 2006;**55**(Pt 7):897-903.
- Barroso Garcia P, Rodriguez-Contreras Pelayo R, Gil Extremera B, Maldonado Martin A, Guijarro Huertas G, Martin Salguero A, et al. [Study of 1,595 brucellosis cases in the Almeria province (1972-1998) based on epidemiological data from disease reporting]. *Rev Clin Esp.* 2002;**202**(11):577-82.
- Ruiz-Mesa JD, Sanchez-Gonzalez J, Reguera JM, Martin I, Lopez-Palmero S, Colmenero JD. Rose Bengal test: diagnostic yield and use for the rapid diagnosis of human brucellosis in emer-

- gency departments in endemic areas. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2005;**11**(3):221-5.
6. Al Dahouk S, Tomaso H, Nockler K, Neubauer H, Frangoulidis D. Laboratory-based diagnosis of brucellosis—a review of the literature. Part II: serological tests for brucellosis. *Clin Lab.* 2003;**49**(11-12):577-89.
 7. Mansoor N, Abel B, Scriba TJ, Hughes J, de Kock M, Tameris M, et al. Significantly skewed memory CD8+ T cell subsets in HIV-1 infected infants during the first year of life. *Clin Immunol.* 2009;**130**(3):280-9.
 8. Ansari AA, Pattanapanyasat K, Pereira LE. Autoimmunity and HIV/simian immunodeficiency virus infection: A two edged sword. *Hepatol Res.* 2007;**37** Suppl 3:S389-95.
 9. Franco MP, Mulder M, Gilman RH, Smits HL. Human brucellosis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2007;**7**(12):775-86.
 10. Meyer CN, Skinhoj P, Prag J. Bacteremia in HIV-positive and AIDS patients: incidence, species distribution, risk-factors, outcome, and influence of long-term prophylactic antibiotic treatment. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 1994;**26**(6):635-42.
 11. Zhan Y, Kelso A, Cheers C. Differential activation of Brucella-reactive CD4+ T cells by Brucella infection or immunization with antigenic extracts. *Infect Immun.* 1995;**63**(3):969-75.
 12. Skendros P, Pappas G, Boura P. Cell-mediated immunity in human brucellosis. *Microbes Infect.* 2011;**13**(2):134-42.
 13. El-Atrouni W, Berbari E, Temesgen Z. HIV-associated opportunistic infections. Bacterial infections. *J Med Liban.* 2006;**54**(2):80-3.
 14. Vrioni G, Pappas G, Priavali E, Gartzonika C, Levidiotou S. An eternal microbe: Brucella DNA load persists for years after clinical cure. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;**46**(12):e131-6.
 15. Hadadi A, Rasoulinejad M, HajiAbdolbaghi M, Mohraz M, Khashayar P. Clinical profile and management of brucellosis in Tehran - Iran. *Acta Clin Belg.* 2009;**64**(1):11-5.
 16. Young EJ. Serologic diagnosis of human brucellosis: analysis of 214 cases by agglutination tests and review of the literature. *Rev Infect Dis.* 1991;**13**(3):359-72.
 17. Araj GF. Human brucellosis: a classical infectious disease with persistent diagnostic challenges. *Clin Lab Sci.* 1999;**12**(4):207-12.
 18. Fakhar M, Banimostafavi E, Motazedian MH, Asgari Q. Co-infection of Leishmania infantum and Brucella spp. in Iran. *Comp Clin Pathol.* 2009;**18**(1):93-4.
 19. Rezaee MA, Rashidi A, Motaharinia Y, Hossaini W, Rahmani MR. Seroprevalence study of brucellosis among high-risk groups in comparison with other people of the population in Sanandaj (West of Iran). *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2012;**6**(9):1985-9.
 20. Abdollahi A, Morteza A, Khalilzadeh O, Rasoulinejad M. Brucellosis serology in HIV-infected patients. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2010;**14**(10):e904-6.
 21. Moreno S, Ariza J, Espinosa FJ, Podzmczer D, Miro JM, Rivero A, et al. Brucellosis in patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 1998;**17**(5):319-26.
 22. Akhvlediani T, Clark DV, Chubabria G, Zenaishvili O, Hepburn MJ. The changing pattern of human brucellosis: clinical manifestations, epidemiology, and treatment outcomes over three decades in Georgia. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2010;**10**:346.
 23. Ramos TR, Pinheiro Junior JW, Moura Sobrinho PA, Santana VL, Guerra NR, de Melo LE, et al. Epidemiological aspects of an infection by Brucella abortus in risk occupational groups in the microregion of Araguaia, Tocantins. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2008;**12**(2):133-8.
 24. Araj GF, Azzam RA. Seroprevalence of brucella antibodies among persons in high-risk occupation in Lebanon. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1996;**117**(2):281-8.
 25. Swai ES, Schoonman L. Human brucellosis: seroprevalence and risk factors related to high risk occupational groups in Tanga Municipality, Tanzania. *Zoonoses Public Health.* 2009;**56**(4):183-7.