Published online 2013 April 17.

#### Editorial

# Fatal Combination of Antibiotic and Calcium Channel Blocker Agents

# Masoud Mardani<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran *\*Corresponding author:* Masoud Mardani, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2122439963, Fax: +98-2122439964, E-mail: masoud\_mardani@ymail.com

Received: February 20, 2013; Accepted: March 23, 2013

Keywords: Calcium Channel Blockers; Kidney; Anti-Bacterial Agents

According to the new researches, clarithromycin prescribed for patients already taking antihypertensive calcium-channel blockers is associated with increased number of hospitalizations due to acute kidney injuries, hypotension, and death (1, 2). Clarithromycin is a cytochrome P453A4 inhibitor, which metabolizes calciumchannel blockers. Previous researches showed that the antibiotic can surge the blood calcium-channel blockers level to 500% of its normal level (1, 2). Gandhi et al. (3), from the London Health Sciences Centre and the University of Western Ontario, suggested that potentially hundreds of hospitalizations and deaths in our region may be associated with this largely preventable drug-drug interaction. This burden on the healthcare system, causing high costs on managing acute kidney injuries, might have been avoided (3).

A warning from the US Food and Drug Administration (4) states that "serious adverse reactions have been reported in patients taking clarithromycin concomitantly with CYP3A4 substrates, including hypotension with calcium-channel blockers metabolized by CYP3A4 (such as verapamil, amlodipine and diltiazem). The reasons for the continued use of the drugs, despite these warnings, still remain unclear (3). Since azithromycin is only a weak CYP34A inhibitor, the type of intensification of the calcium-channel blocker that occurs with clarithromycin is not estimated. The most common calcium-channel blocker - amlodipine - was prescribed for more than 50% of patients. In patients who take a calcium-channel blocker, the absolute risk of hospitalization for acute kidney injuries was higher, moreover, in patients taking clarithromycin this risk is greater than those taking azithromycin (0.44% vs. 0.22%; odds ratio [OR], 1.98). Patients taking clarithromycin also had a higher risk of hospitalization due to hypotension (OR, 1.60) and all-cause mortality rate (OR, 1.74). A subgroup analysis showed that dihydropyridines, particularly nifedipine, as the calcium-channel blockers, are associated with the highest risk acute kidney injury (OR, 5.33), with an absolute risk increase of 0.63%. The risk with nifedipine was followed by felodipine and amlodipine (3).

The researchers previously confirmed that there are no significant differences between clarithromycin and azithromycin regarding the rates of 30-day hospitalization rate of patients with acute kidney injuries, in the absence of other interacting medications. The use of calcium-channel blockers alone, 90 days prior to the antibiotic administration, did not affect the 30-day outcomes (3). Due to the role of kidneys in eliminating clarithromycin, the guidelines called to reduce the antibiotic dose for patients with chronic kidney disease, but the researches showed that this rarely occurs in routine practices (3). "Clarithromycin may be the top choice antibiotic in some cases, particularly in severely immunosuppressed patients, such as patients with AIDS, or in the treatment of extremely drug-resistant microbe, but in these cases, it is perfectly feasible to take the patient off the calcium-channel blockers. Drug-drug interactions are usually under-recognized by doctors, but newer electronic prescribing programs with specific interaction recognition software will significantly decrease this risk (5).

#### Acknowledgements

There is no acknowledgement.

## **Financial Disclosure**

The author states that there is no conflict of interest.

#### Funding/Support

There was no financial support.

Copyright © 2013, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## References

- 1. Pai MP, Graci DM, Amsden GW. Macrolide drug interactions: an update. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2000;**34**(4):495–513.
- Westphal JF. Macrolide induced clinically relevant drug interactions with cytochrome P-450A(CYP) 3A4:an update focused on clarithromycin, azithromycin and dirithromycin. BrJ Clin Pharmacol. 2000;50(4):285–95.
- Gandhi S, Fleet JL, Bailey DG, McArthur E, Wald R, Rehman F, et al. Calcium-channel blocker-clarithromycin drug interactions and acute kidney injury. JAMA. 2013;310(23):2544–53.
- US Food and Drug Administration. Biaxin Filmtab (clarithromycin tablets, USP), Biaxin XL Filmtab (clarithromycin extended-release tablets), Biaxin Granules (clarithromycin for oral suspension, USP).
  U.S. Department of Health & Human Services; 2013; [updated 11 January 2013]; Available from: http://www.fda.gov/safety/ medwatch/safetyinformation/ucm258816.htm.
- Hunt WA, Perrone RD. Kidney Disease: A Guide for Living. Johns Hopkins University Press; 2011.