



Characterization of Virulence Factors and Prophage Profiles of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains Isolated from a Referral Hospital in Tehran, Iran

Fateh Rahimi^{1,*} and Leili Shokohizadeh²

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Isfahan, Hezar-Jireb St., Isfahan, Iran. Tel: +98-3137932250, Fax: +98-3137932456, Email: fateh.rahimi@hotmail.com

Received 2017 August 02; Revised 2018 April 26; Accepted 2018 May 27.

Abstract

Background: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has been considered as an important pathogen with a variety of virulence factors in communities and hospitals worldwide.

Objectives: In this study, we focused on the detection of different virulence factors and enterotoxin genes of MRSA strains isolated from a referral hospital in Tehran, Iran. Moreover, the presence of different prophage types was studied.

Methods: A total of 491 MRSA strains were isolated during three years from a referral hospital in Tehran. The staphylococcal enterotoxin (*sea-seq*) and *pvl*, *hly*, *sak*, *eta* and *tst* genes were detected. A multiplex-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay was used for prophage typing of MRSA isolates.

Results: Totally, 11 enterotoxin and 5 virulence factor genes were detected in MRSA strains. The *sea*, *sek*, *seq*, and *hly* genes were present in all the MRSA and other enterotoxin genes. *sel*, *seg*, *sem*, *sei*, *sen*, *seo*, *sec* and *sep* were detected in 32.8%, 20.3%, 12.6%, 8.3%, 4.1%, 2.6%, 1.6% and 0.4% of the strains, respectively. A total of 93%, 81%, 15.9% and 5.7% of the strains harbored the *sak*, *eta*, *tst* and *pvl* genes, respectively. SGF, SGFa and SGFb prophage type genes were detected in 100% of the MRSA strains, and four different prophage patterns were identified among the strains.

Conclusions: The presence of different prophage-encoded virulence factors among MRSA strains enable MRSA to produce a broad range of diseases, indicating MRSA strains as a potential threat to patients' health.

Keywords: Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Virulence Factors, Prophage Typing, Enterotoxins

1. Background

Staphylococcus aureus and especially methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) is a major human and animal pathogen that causes both nosocomial and community-acquired (CA) infections. *S. aureus* is responsible for a variety of diseases, from mild skin infections such as abscesses and postsurgical wound infections to severe life-threatening respiratory infections such as sinusitis (1, 2). During the years, these bacteria have been known as one of the most common causes of hospital-acquired (HA) infections, which can acquire resistance to a variety of antibiotics such as oxacillin and vancomycin that enable them to be present and persist in hospitals and communities in different geographical regions worldwide (3, 4).

Pathogenic strains of *S. aureus* often promote in-

fections by producing exotoxins such as enterotoxins, staphylokinase (SAK), toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1) β -lysin, capsular polysaccharides, lipase, exfoliative toxin, and Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL), as well as expressing cell-surface proteins such as microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMMs) (2, 5). Most of the *S. aureus* virulence factors are encoded by accessory genetic elements, including plasmids, prophages, and pathogenicity islands or by genes located next to the staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC) implicated in methicillin resistance (1, 6).

Prophages are widespread among MRSA isolates and are responsible to encode and disseminate potent staphylococcal virulence factors that convert non-virulent isolates to virulent ones, indicating their key role in virulence and pathogenesis. Although staphylococcal prophages have been studied extensively (6-8), nowadays they are

used to type MRSA isolates (7, 9, 10).

2. Objectives

We have previously reported the prevalence, *SCCmec* types, antibiotic resistance patterns and clonal dissemination of 491 MRSA strains in a referral hospital in Tehran, Iran (3), but in the present study using the same bacterial isolates, we investigated the relationship between virulence factors and the presence of different prophage types in MRSA strains.

3. Methods

3.1. Sampling and Identification of MRSA

We have previously described the sampling procedure. Briefly, during three years from July 2011 to September 2014, 2103 suspected strains were isolated from clinical samples of inpatients and outpatients in a referral hospital and its clinic in Tehran, Iran, which were identified as *S. aureus* using species-specific primers for *nucA* gene. All the 491 MRSA strains were confirmed according to their resistance to oxacillin (1 µg) and presence of *mecA* gene (3). In this study, all the *S. aureus* strains were tested for resistance to cefoxitin (30 µg) as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) (11).

3.2. DNA Extraction

DNAs of all the MRSA isolates were extracted using QI-Aamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The DNA concentration of each isolate was measured by the Nanodrop ND-1000.

3.3. Prophage Typing

A multiplex-PCR assay consisting of specific primers for SGA, SGB, SGF, SGD and SGL prophage serogroups and SGFa and SGFb as two prophage subtypes was employed for the prophage typing of MRSA strains as described previously (10).

3.4. Detection of Virulence Genes

PCR reactions and specific primers for genes encoding ETA and ETB (exfoliative toxin A and B) (12), TSST (13), PVL (14), SAK (15) and beta-haemolysin (HLB) (15) were employed to test the presence of different virulence factor genes among MRSA strains. The PCR cycles and conditions were the same as published previously.

Moreover, a wide range of staphylococcal enterotoxin genes (*sea-seq*) were detected using specific primers in separate PCR reactions according to the protocols reported previously (16).

4. Results

4.1. Prevalence of MRSA

Among all the 2103 *S. aureus* isolates tested, 491 (23.3%) strains showed resistance to cefoxitin and were identified as MRSA. In a previous publication, we also showed that all these 491 strains were resistant to oxacillin and harbored *mecA* gene, which confirmed them as MRSA strains and were analyzed further.

4.2. Prophage Types and Prophage Patterns

Results of prophage typing of MRSA strains revealed that except for SGD prophage types, all types of prophages were detected in this study and all the strains contained at least one prophage serogroup (SGF) and two subgroups (SGFa and SGFb) (Table 1). Amongst all the four different prophage patterns detected, prophage pattern 3 consisting of SGB, SGF, SGFa and SGFb prophage serogroups was the predominant one (81%; n = 398), and SGA and SGL prophage types were only detected in *pvl*-positive CA-MRSA strains.

4.3. Prevalence of Virulence Genes

4.3.1. Enterotoxins

Amplification of staphylococcal enterotoxin genes revealed the presence of 11 enterotoxin genes among MRSA strains, in which all (100%) the strains were positive for *sea*, *sek* and *seq* genes (Table 2). Moreover, *sel* and *seg* genes were detected in 32.8% and 20.3% of the MRSA strains, respectively, and the prevalence of *sem*, *sei* and *sen* genes was limited to 12.6%, 8.3% and 4.1% of the strains, respectively. On the other hand, none of the MRSA tested was found positive for genes encoding enterotoxins B, D, E and H, and the frequency of *sep*, *sec* and *seo* genes varied from 0.4% to 2.6%.

According to the results of enterotoxin genes detection, 17 different patterns of enterotoxin were detected among the strains (Table 2), in which enterotoxin pattern 1 consisting of *sea*, *sek* and *seq* genes was the predominant pattern and was detected in 54% (n = 265) of the strains, followed by pattern 5 (n = 98; 20%). In addition, enterotoxin pattern 10, which harbored all the detected genes, except for *sep* gene, had the lowest frequency and was found in 3 (0.6%) strains.

4.3.2. Virulence Factor Genes

The *hly* gene was detected in 100% of MRSA strains, and 93% (n = 457) of the strains were positive for *sak* gene encoding SAK. Moreover, none of the MRSA tested was positive for *etb* gene, and *eta* (exfoliative toxins A) gene was present in 81% (n = 398) of the strains. *tst* and *pvl* genes had the lowest frequencies compared to other virulence factors

Table 1. Prophage Types and Prophage Patterns of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains

Pattern	SGA	SGB	SGF	SGFa	SGFb	SGL	No. (%)
1	+	+	+	+	+	+	6 (1)
2	+	-	+	+	+	+	22 (5)
3	-	+	+	+	+	-	398 (81)
4	-	-	+	+	+	-	65 (13)

Table 2. The Frequency and Enterotoxin Patterns of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains^a

Pattern	SEA	SEC	SEG	SEI	SEK	SEL	SEM	SEN	SEO	SEP	SEQ	No. (%)
1	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	265 (54)
2	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	2 (0.4)
3	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	3 (0.6)
4	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	12 (2.4)
5	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	98 (20)
6	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	2 (0.4)
7	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	2 (0.4)
8	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	33 (6.7)
9	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	4 (0.8)
10	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	3 (0.6)
11	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	3 (0.6)
12	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	7 (1.4)
13	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	3 (0.6)
14	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	2 (0.4)
15	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	7 (1.4)
16	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	14 (2.8)
17	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	31 (6.3)
N	491	8	100	41	491	161	62	20	13	2	491	
%	100	1.6	20.3	8.3	100	32.8	12.6	4.1	2.6	0.4	100	

^a Enterotoxins B, D, E, H, R, S and T were not detected.

and were detected in 15.9% (n = 78) and 5.7% (n = 28) of the MRSA strains tested. The presence of *pvl* gene was only limited to CA-MRSA strains.

5. Discussion

In this study, we described the prevalence of different prophage types among MRSA strains isolated from a referral hospital in Tehran, Iran, during three years. We have reported previously that prophage typing could be a useful method for typing of MRSA strains (7, 9, 17). Our findings revealed that all the prophage types, except for SGD, were present among the strains and SGF prophage type was the predominant one, which is consistent with previous reports during 2012 - 2016 in Iran (7, 9, 10, 16, 18). On

the other hand, four prophage patterns were reported in this study, which is similar to previous reports from Iran, in which prophage pattern 3 was reported as the dominant pattern. Dominance of some prophage patterns in the current and previous studies further suggests the circulation of nearly the same MRSA clones in hospitals and communities. The *pvl* gene was only detected in SGA prophage-positive CA-MRSA strains, which is in line with previous reports (10, 17, 19).

In the present study, 11 different staphylococcal enterotoxins and five virulence genes were detected among all the MRSA strains. These results indicated that there was no special relationship between clinical samples and types of virulence factors. Unlike the previous study (16), we could detect different enterotoxins and virulence factor genes

among the isolates. The frequency of *sea*, *sek*, *seq* and *h1b* genes was higher than that in other reports from Iran and other countries (18, 20-23). Moreover, the enterotoxin pattern 1 consisting of enterotoxin A, K and Q was the most frequent enterotoxin pattern. Different prevalence rates of enterotoxin genes have been reported worldwide (16, 18, 24-29). The discrepancy in the prevalence of different genes is most likely due to the origin of the isolates and the genetic structure of each isolate. The lower prevalence of enterotoxin genes (*sea*, *seb*, *seg*, *sei* and *sej*) among MRSA isolates was reported in the Czech Republic (30). The low prevalence of some enterotoxin genes such as *seg*, *sei*, *sem*, *sen* and *seo* (so-called *egc*) in the present study could be due to the theory that indicated these enterotoxin genes are more frequent among commensal strains compared to pathogenic ones (31).

Exfoliative toxin is a causative agent of staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome (SSSS), and documents showed that there were no significant differences in the prevalence of this toxin among MRSA and methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) strains. Sila et al. revealed that 3% of MSSA strains carried the *eta* gene, whereas 10% of MRSA were *eta*-positive (30). In the present study, we could not find any relationship between the presence of *eta* gene and patients' age, but it has been shown previously that exfoliative toxin is more frequent among children compared to adults (32).

ETA is an SGB prophage-encoded virulence factor and was present among all MRSA strains harboring this prophage type. SAK is a 16-kDa prophage-encoded protein, which acts as a fibrin-specific activator of human plasminogen produced by certain *S. aureus* strains, which indicates the proteolytic activity of MRSA strains (33). We found that 93% of MRSA strains isolated from different clinical samples such as urine, wound, sputum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) were positive for *sak* gene, which is higher than the previous report from Iran (18). Moreover, the *tst* gene was found in 16% of the MRSA strains. Although different frequencies of *tst* gene have been reported previously (20, 34-37), our finding in the present study is significantly higher than other reports.

In conclusion, our findings illustrated the presence of highly virulent MRSA strains in a referral hospital in Tehran. The increasing rate of virulence factors may be due to the study of higher number of MRSA strains or the presence of more virulent strains and higher risk patients in hospitals. The presence of different bacteriophages encoding virulence factors among MRSA strains enables them to produce a broad spectrum of diseases, which highlights the potential threat to patients. Bacteriophage typing and the identification of different prophage types could be useful for the prediction of such virulence factors.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Fateh Rahimi researched, supervised and developed the study concept, design and critical revision of the manuscript; Leili Shokoohizadeh researched and prepared the draft of the manuscript.

Funding/Support: This research was funded, in part, by an operating grant of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology at University of Isfahan, grant number 920411.

References

1. Deghorain M, Van Melderen L. The Staphylococci phages family: an overview. *Viruses*. 2012;4(12):3316-35. [PubMed: 23342361]. [PubMed Central: PMC3528268].
2. Feng Y, Chen CJ, Su LH, Hu S, Yu J, Chiu CH. Evolution and pathogenesis of *Staphylococcus aureus*: lessons learned from genotyping and comparative genomics. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2008;32(1):23-37. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00086.x. [PubMed: 17983441].
3. Rahimi F, Shokoohizadeh L. Characterization of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains among inpatients and outpatients in a referral hospital in Tehran, Iran. *Microb Pathog*. 2016;97:89-93. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2016.06.006. [PubMed: 27265678].
4. Rahimpour F, Ghazvini K, Youssefi M. Emergence of vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Middle-East. *Arch Clin Infect Dis*. 2018. In Press.
5. Becker K, Friedrich AW, Lubritz G, Weilert M, Peters G, Von Eiff C. Prevalence of genes encoding pyrogenic toxin superantigens and exfoliative toxins among strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from blood and nasal specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2003;41(4):1434-9. [PubMed: 12682126]. [PubMed Central: PMC153929].
6. Pantucek R, Doskar J, Ruzickova V, Kasperek P, Oracova E, Kvardova V, et al. Identification of bacteriophage types and their carriage in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Arch Virol*. 2004;149(9):1689-703. [PubMed: 15593413].
7. Rahimi F, Bouzari M, Katouli M, Pourshafie MR. Prophage and antibiotic resistance profiles of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in Iran. *Arch Virol*. 2012;157(9):1807-11. doi: 10.1007/s00705-012-1361-4. [PubMed: 22684535].
8. Rosenblum ED, Tyrone S. Serology, density, and morphology of staphylococcal phages. *J Bacteriol*. 1964;88:1737-42. [PubMed: 14240964]. [PubMed Central: PMC277480].
9. Rahimi F, Bouzari M, Katouli M, Pourshafie MR. Prophage typing of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from a tertiary care hospital in Tehran, Iran. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2012;6(1):80-5. doi: 10.5812/jjm.4616.
10. Rahimi F, Katouli M, Pourshafie MR. Characteristics of hospital- and community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran, Iran. *J Med Microbiol*. 2014;63(Pt 6):796-804. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.070722-0. [PubMed: 24648470].
11. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 26th informational supplement*. Wayne, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute; 2016.
12. Jarraud S, Cozon G, Vandenesch F, Bes M, Etienne J, Lina G. Involvement of enterotoxins G and I in staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome and staphylococcal scarlet fever. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1999;37(8):2446-9. [PubMed: 10405382]. [PubMed Central: PMC85251].
13. Johnson WM, Tyler SD, Ewan EP, Ashton FE, Pollard DR, Rozee KR. Detection of genes for enterotoxins, exfoliative toxins, and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 in *Staphylococcus aureus* by the polymerase chain reaction. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1991;29(3):426-30. [PubMed: 2037659]. [PubMed Central: PMC269793].

14. McClure JA, Conly JM, Lau V, Elsayed S, Louie T, Hutchins W, et al. Novel multiplex PCR assay for detection of the staphylococcal virulence marker Pantone-Valentine leukocidin genes and simultaneous discrimination of methicillin-susceptible from -resistant staphylococci. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006;**44**(3):1141-4. doi: [10.1128/JCM.44.3.1141-1144.2006](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.3.1141-1144.2006). [PubMed: [16517915](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16517915/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC1393128](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC1393128/)].
15. Goerke C, Wirtz C, Fluckiger U, Wolz C. Extensive phage dynamics in *Staphylococcus aureus* contributes to adaptation to the human host during infection. *Mol Microbiol*. 2006;**61**(6):1673-85. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05354.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05354.x). [PubMed: [16968231](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16968231/)].
16. Rahimi F, Karimi S. Isolation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains producing enterotoxins A, K and Q From chicken meat in Isfahan, Iran, 2014. *Arch Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;**11**(4). e35601. doi: [10.5812/archcid.35601](https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.35601).
17. Rahimi F, Katouli M, Karimi S. Biofilm production among methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from catheterized patients with urinary tract infection. *Microb Pathog*. 2016;**98**:69-76. doi: [10.1016/j.micpath.2016.06.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2016.06.031). [PubMed: [27374894](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27374894/)].
18. Rahimi F, Karimi S. Characteristics of virulence factors in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated From a referral hospital in Tehran, Iran. *Arch Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;**11**(1). e33220. doi: [10.5812/archcid.33220](https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.33220).
19. Rahimi F, Karimi S. Characteristics of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from poultry in Iran. *Arch Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;**10**(4). e30885. doi: [10.5812/archcid.30885](https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.30885).
20. Asadollahi P, Delpisheh A, Hossein Maleki M, Azizi Jalilian F, Alikhani MY, Asadollahi K, et al. Enterotoxin and exfoliative toxin genes among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered from Ilam, Iran. *Avicenna J Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;**1**(2). e20208. doi: [10.17795/ajcmi-20208](https://doi.org/10.17795/ajcmi-20208).
21. Bystron J, Molenda J, Bania J, Kosek-Paszowska K, Czerw M. Occurrence of enterotoxigenic strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw poultry meat. *Pol J Vet Sci*. 2005;**8**(1):37-40. [PubMed: [15794472](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15794472/)].
22. Klotz M, Oppen S, Heeg K, Zimmermann S. Detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins A to D by real-time fluorescence PCR assay. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2003;**41**(10):4683-7. [PubMed: [14532203](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14532203/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC254329](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC254329/)].
23. Pourmand MR, Memariani M, Hoseini M, Bagherzadeh Yazdchi S. High prevalence of sea gene among clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran. *Acta Med Iran*. 2009;**47**(5):357-61.
24. Carfora V, Caprioli A, Marri N, Sagrafoli D, Boselli C, Giacinti G, et al. Enterotoxin genes, enterotoxin production, and methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk and dairy products in Central Italy. *Int Dairy J*. 2015;**42**:12-5. doi: [10.1016/j.idairyj.2014.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2014.10.009).
25. Kamarehei F, Ghaemi EA, Dadgar T. Prevalence of enterotoxin A and B genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples and healthy carriers in Gorgan City, North of Iran. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol*. 2013;**56**(3):265-8. doi: [10.4103/0377-4929.120388](https://doi.org/10.4103/0377-4929.120388). [PubMed: [24152506](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24152506/)].
26. Mashouf RY, Hosseini SM, Mousavi SM, Arabestani MR. Prevalence of enterotoxin genes and antibacterial susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from animal originated foods in west of Iran. *Oman Med J*. 2015;**30**(4):283-90. doi: [10.5001/omj.2015.56](https://doi.org/10.5001/omj.2015.56). [PubMed: [26366263](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26366263/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4561649](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4561649/)].
27. Spanu V, Spanu C, Viridis S, Cossu F, Scarano C, De Santis EP. Virulence factors and genetic variability of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from raw sheep's milk cheese. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2012;**153**(1-2):53-7. doi: [10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.10.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.10.015). [PubMed: [22094181](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22094181/)].
28. Xie Y, He Y, Gehring A, Hu Y, Li Q, Tu SI, et al. Genotypes and toxin gene profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates from China. *PLoS One*. 2011;**6**(12). e28276. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0028276](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028276). [PubMed: [22194821](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22194821/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3240617](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3240617/)].
29. Zouharova M, Rysanek D. Multiplex PCR and RPLA Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxigenic strains from bulk tank milk. *Zoonoses Public Health*. 2008;**55**(6):313-9. doi: [10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008.01134.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008.01134.x). [PubMed: [18489539](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18489539/)].
30. Sila J, Sauer P, Kolar M. Comparison of the prevalence of genes coding for enterotoxins, exfoliatins, panton-valentine leukocidin and tsst-1 between methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* at the university hospital in Olomouc. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub*. 2009;**153**(3):215-8. [PubMed: [19851435](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19851435/)].
31. Nemati M. Prevalence of enterotoxin genes in poultry *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates. *Bull Env Pharmacol Life Sci*. 2014;**3**(2):14-8.
32. Bukowski M, Wladyka B, Dubin G. Exfoliative toxins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2010;**2**(5):1148-65. doi: [10.3390/toxins2051148](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2051148). [PubMed: [22069631](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22069631/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3153237](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3153237/)].
33. Bonesso M, Marques SA, Cunha M. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA): molecular background, virulence, and relevance for public health. *J Venom Anim Toxins incl Trop Dis*. 2011;**17**(4). doi: [10.1590/s1678-91992011000400004](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1678-91992011000400004).
34. Machuca MA, Sosa LM, Gonzalez CI. Molecular typing and virulence characteristic of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from pediatric patients in Bucaramanga, Colombia. *PLoS One*. 2013;**8**(8). e73434. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0073434](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073434). [PubMed: [24058415](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24058415/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3751954](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3751954/)].
35. Sabouni F, Mahmoudi S, Bahador A, Pourakbari B, Sadeghi RH, Ash-tiani MT, et al. Virulence factors of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in an Iranian Referral Children's Hospital. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect*. 2014;**5**(2):96-100. doi: [10.1016/j.phrp.2014.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrp.2014.03.002). [PubMed: [24955319](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24955319/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4064634](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4064634/)].
36. Sina H, Ahoyo TA, Moussaoui W, Keller D, Bankole HS, Barogui Y, et al. Variability of antibiotic susceptibility and toxin production of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from skin, soft tissue, and bone related infections. *BMC Microbiol*. 2013;**13**:188. doi: [10.1186/1471-2180-13-188](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-13-188). [PubMed: [23924370](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23924370/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3750628](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3750628/)].
37. Wang LX, Hu ZD, Hu YM, Tian B, Li J, Wang FX, et al. Molecular analysis and frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* virulence genes isolated from bloodstream infections in a teaching hospital in Tianjin, China. *Genet Mol Res*. 2013;**12**(1):646-54. doi: [10.4238/2013.March.11.12](https://doi.org/10.4238/2013.March.11.12). [PubMed: [23546946](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23546946/)].