



Study on Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Health Workers of East Azerbaijan, Ilam and Khorasan Razavi Provinces about Leishmaniasis During 2015 - 2016: A Comparative Study Before and After Intervention

Mohammad Zeinali^{1,2}, Mehdi Mohebalı^{1,3,*}, Mahmoud Mahmoudi¹, Gholam Reza Hassanpour³ and Mohammad Reza Shirzadi²

¹Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Center for Communicable Diseases, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran

³Center for Research of Endemic Parasites of Iran, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Center for Research of Endemic Parasites of Iran, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: mohebalı@tums.ac.ir

Received 2017 November 21; Revised 2018 April 15; Accepted 2018 May 06.

Abstract

Background: Leishmaniasis is a parasitic infection caused by the *Leishmania* spp. and transmitted via the bite of infected female Phlebotomine sand flies. Health education is one of the important approaches to increasing knowledge and awareness of the community about leishmaniasis health issues.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess the effect of health education on knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) of health volunteers about leishmaniasis in some areas endemic for cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis.

Methods: In this KAP study, 104 health workers (41 from East Azerbaijan, 41 from Khorasan Razavi and 21 from Ilam provinces) were participated in the study. For this purpose, a questionnaire containing 11 items on knowledge, 10 items on attitude and 13 items on practice was used before and after educational intervention. The results were analyzed by SPSS version 22 using Wilcoxon test, Kruskal-Wallis test, McNamar's test, and Fisher's exact test.

Results: The results showed a significant difference between pre and post-educational intervention scores. These results were reported for each province and for total subjects. KAP among the studied population was at a moderate level before the intervention, and it increased to high levels after the intervention; this difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.01$). After the intervention, there was a significant difference between the provinces. After the intervention, the level of knowledge in Ilam was significantly higher than Khorasan Razavi and Azerbaijan provinces ($P < 0.01$). Attitude scores were significantly lower in Khorasan Razavi Province compared to Ilam and East Azerbaijan provinces ($P < 0.01$), and practice had improved more significantly in Khorasan Razavi province compared to Ilam province ($P < 0.01$).

Conclusions: Health education can be considered as a simple and inexpensive approach to increasing KAP among health volunteers, and it can play an important role in the prevention of leishmaniasis.

Keywords: Leishmaniasis, Health Education, Health Workers, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Iran

1. Background

Leishmaniasis is a parasitic disease caused by *Leishmania*. This disease spreads through the bite of certain types of sand flies (1). This disease can be observed in three forms including cutaneous, mucocutaneous or visceral (2, 3). Ulcer is the symptom of the cutaneous type of the disease (4); the symptom of mucocutaneous leishmaniasis is ulcers in the mouth, nose and skin (5). Visceral leishmaniasis, at the beginning, has ulcer-like symptoms, and afterward, other symptoms such as fever, pancytopenia, and organomegaly present (6-8).

More than 20 species of *Leishmania* can cause infections in humans (9). All the three types of leishmaniasis are diagnosed using microscopic methods at the first step (10). The visceral disease can be diagnosed by both microscopic and serologic tests (11). Using insecticide-treated nets, spraying to eliminate sandflies and treating infected people to prevent the further spread of the disease are the main approaches to disease control (12). According to the current reports, there are 12 million people infected with leishmaniasis in 98 countries and about 2 million new cases are annually added to the population of infected sub-

jects; between 20 and 50 thousand people annually die due to this infection (13, 14). About 200 million people are affected in Asia, Africa, South and Central America, and southern Europe (15).

Canines and rodents are the reservoir hosts for visceral and cutaneous leishmaniasis, respectively (16). In Iran, visceral and cutaneous leishmaniasis have an annual incidence rate of 100 - 300 and 20,000, respectively (17). Preventive measures such as education and awareness raising as well as the prevention of the use of traditional methods in endemic areas are among the most important strategies for controlling leishmaniasis (18, 19). The main goals of health education programs based on model and theories are empowerment of people to accept and adopt voluntary health behaviors, use the available health services to make decisions for the promotion and improvement of their health in the environment, increase their awareness about disease prevention, and change their attitudes and behaviors (20).

Programs that are based on a conceptual framework can enable the target group to effectively control their health and social and physical environment (such as work or living conditions) and promote healthy lifestyle and individual habits. Among these, health volunteers can be most effective in the education of families, given their continued relationship with families and their educational and social coordination. This strategy, as a people-oriented approach, is the best way to deal with educational needs and socio-cultural changes. On the other hand, resources are limited and provision of direct education for all people in a region is not possible; therefore, health volunteers can have a meaningful and effective role in providing the possibility of direct communication with people to implement educational programs. However, in this context, it is essential to improve the ability of health volunteers through training in order for them to play an effective role in guidance and education of the population.

For effective education, the existing situation should be comprehensively investigated.

2. Objectives

Therefore, considering the importance of the knowledge of health volunteers about the disease and the sensitive role of health volunteers in family education, the knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) of health volunteers and the effect of health education on KAP in Khorasan Razavi, Ilam, and East Azerbaijan provinces were investigated.

3. Methods

The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of health education on KAP of health volunteers about leishmaniasis in East Azerbaijan, Khorasan Razavi and Ilam provinces during 2015 - 2017. For accuracy and improvement of the results, 104 people including 41 subjects from East Azerbaijan, 41 subjects from Khorasan Razavi and 21 subjects from Ilam provinces were enrolled voluntarily using the census sampling method.

In order to investigate the effect of our educational intervention, this study was performed before and after nine months after education in the studied groups. The intervention included in-person training, instructional manuals, educational software and practical training. For this purpose, a questionnaire containing 11 questions on knowledge, 10 questions on attitude and 13 questions on performance was used. Reliability and validity of this questionnaire were confirmed using Cronbach's alpha.

An informed questionnaire with 34 questions was distributed among all the participants. Before completing the questionnaires, a training session was held for the interviewers and they were taught how different parts of the questionnaire should be completed. It should be noted that the confidentiality terms were observed and approval of the ethics committee of the university was obtained (reference number from ethics committee).

The obtained data were analyzed by SPSS version 22 using McNemar's test, Fisher's exact test, Wilcoxon test, and Kruskal-Wallis test. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

4. Results

In this study, we aimed to investigate KAP regarding leishmaniasis among 104 health volunteers from East Azerbaijan, Khorasan Razavi and Ilam provinces during 2016 - 2017.

The inter-group and intra-group comparisons of KAP before and after the intervention are shown on Table 1. As can be observed, the mean values obtained for the variables of KAP prior to the intervention were lower than their counterparts after the intervention in all these three provinces. Before the intervention, there was no significant difference in attitude and knowledge scores among the provinces, but there was a significant difference in terms of practice between the subjects from Azerbaijan and Ilam provinces. A significant increase in knowledge, attitude and practice of all the groups was noted post-intervention. After the intervention, there was also a sig-

nificant difference between the provinces. The results of the pos-hoc test showed that the post-intervention knowledge scores in Ilam were significantly higher compared to those obtained from Khorasan Razavi and Azerbaijan provinces. However, post-intervention attitude scores in subjects from Khorasan Razavi province were significantly lower compared to those of the subjects from Ilam and East Azerbaijan provinces. In case of practice, the results showed that Azerbaijan and Khorasan Razavi provinces scores were significantly different after the intervention. Azerbaijan province had the lowest practice scores before and after the intervention. Improvement of practice was higher in Khorasan Razavi province compared to Ilam province.

Generally, the survey indicated that the mean scores (in the two measuring scales) of the knowledge, attitude and practice variables before the intervention were lower than the values post-intervention. In the post-intervention phase, there was a significant increase in KAP. We noted that the mean levels of knowledge, attitude and practice in all the provinces before the intervention were generally higher than 56% (moderate), and these scores increased to higher than 80% after the intervention. Overall, these scores improved from a moderate level to a good level. Also, another categorization was carried out on the KAP indexes. In this categorization, the scores below 33.33% were weak, the scores ranging from 33.33% to 66.66% were average and the scores above 66.66% were considered as good.

Survey of the level of knowledge based on provinces and in general showed that before the intervention, there was only one subject with low level of knowledge in East Azerbaijan and there was one subject in Ilam, whose knowledge improved after intervention, such that there were no cases of weak knowledge in the three provinces. Before the intervention, 63.4% of the subjects had moderate knowledge and 36.6% had high knowledge, but the high level of knowledge increased to 90.2% and the average knowledge level decreased to 9.8% after the intervention. Before the intervention, 50% in Ilam had an average level of knowledge, 45.5% had high level of knowledge and only 4.5% of the subjects had weak knowledge. After the intervention, the knowledge level increased. Before the intervention, the knowledge levels in East Azerbaijan province were weak, average and high in 2.4%, 63.4% and 34.1% of the subjects, respectively, but after the intervention, most of the subjects (95.2%) had a high level of knowledge and only 4.8% had moderate knowledge. In the overall survey, it was observed that 9.1%, 60.6% and 37.5% of the subjects had weak, moderate and high knowledge levels, respectively. These levels changed to 94.2% with high knowledge

level and 5.7% with average knowledge level after the intervention, which shows the enhancement in the knowledge level of the subjects. In general and in each province separately, the changes in knowledge status were significant after the intervention compared to before the intervention, and the probability values obtained from Fisher's exact test were indicative of the significant changes after the intervention.

The study of attitude level based on province and in general showed that there was only one subject in East Azerbaijan and one in Ilam who had low attitudes before the intervention, whose attitude scores increased after the intervention. There was no weak attitude towards the infection after the intervention. Prior to the intervention, the attitude level of 48.8% of the subjects was average and in 51.2% it was high, which changed to 7.3% with average and 92.7% with high attitude scores after the intervention. The attitude level in Ilam was average in 50% of the subjects, high in 45.5% of the subjects and weak in 4.5% of the subjects before the intervention. All these rates increased after the intervention. In addition, the attitude level in East Azerbaijan was weak in 2.4% of the subjects, average in 36.6% of the subjects and high in 61% of the subjects before the intervention, all of which increased to 100% after the intervention. In the overall survey, it was observed that 1.9%, 44.2%, and 53.8% of the subjects had weak, average and high attitudes, respectively, which changed to 97.1% high and 2.9% average at the end of the intervention. In overall results and the results related to each province, the variation of attitude status was significant after the intervention compared to before the intervention, and the probability values obtained from Fisher's exact test were indicative of significant changes after the intervention. [Table 4](#) shows the attitude levels before and after the intervention in the overall results and the results related to each province.

The level of practice in each province and the overall subjects ([Table 5](#)) showed that in 9.8% it was weak, in 41.5% average, and in 48.8% high in Khorasan Razavi before the intervention, which changed to 4.3% average and 95.5% high at the end of the intervention. Prior to the intervention, the practice status in Ilam was average in 22.7%, high in 68.2% and weak in 9.1%, which improved to be high in all the subjects after the intervention. In East Azerbaijan province, before the intervention, the practice level in 7.3% of the subjects was weak, in 63.4% it was average and in 29.3% it was high. However, it was average in 7.3% and high in 92.7% after the intervention. In general, it was observed that the practice scores were weak in 8.7%, moderate in 46.2% and high in 45.2% before the intervention. These

Table 1. The Inter-and Intra-Group Comparisons of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Before and After the Intervention

Variables/Provinces	Before ^a	After ^a	P Value
On the Scale of the Data			
Knowledge (0 - 11)			
Khorasan Razavi	6.90 ± 1.56	9.59 ± 1.34	< 0.01
Ilam	7.00 ± 2.02	10.50 ± 0.91	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	6.49 ± 1.86	9.29 ± 1.05	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the provinces)	0.451	0.000	
Attitude (0 - 10)			
Khorasan Razavi	6.49 ± 1.55	8.02 ± 1.23	< 0.01
Ilam	6.23 ± 1.74	9.14 ± 1.17	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	6.76 ± 1.50	9.00 ± 0.84	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the provinces)	0.358	0.000	
Practice (0 - 13)			
Khorasan Razavi	7.98 ± 2.83	11.61 ± 1.59	< 0.01
Ilam	8.77 ± 2.39	11.32 ± 0.89	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	7.32 ± 1.85	10.54 ± 1.34	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the cities)	0.017	0.000	
On a Scale of 100			
Knowledge (0 - 100)			
Khorasan Razavi	62.75 ± 14.20	87.14 ± 12.19	< 0.01
Ilam	63.64 ± 18.40	95.45 ± 8.30	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	58.98 ± 16.90	84.48 ± 9.59	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the provinces)	0.415	0.000	
Attitude (0 - 100)			
Khorasan Razavi	64.88 ± 15.51	80.24 ± 12.35	< 0.01
Ilam	62.27 ± 17.44	91.36 ± 11.67	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	67.56 ± 14.96	90.00 ± 8.37	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the cities)	0.358	0.000	
Practice (0 - 100)			
Khorasan Razavi	61.35 ± 21.79	89.31 ± 12.27	< 0.01
Ilam	67.48 ± 18.39	87.06 ± 6.87	< 0.01
East Azerbaijan	56.29 ± 14.23	81.05 ± 10.33	< 0.01
P value (comparison of the cities)	0.017	0.000	

^aValues are expressed as Mean ± SD.

scores were moderate in 4.8% and high in 95.2% after the intervention. The results of overall scores and the results of each province showed that the changes in practice scores were significant after the intervention compared to before the intervention, and the probability values obtained from Fisher's exact test indicated significant changes after the intervention.

5. Discussion

As mentioned earlier, education is one of the most important strategies for controlling leishmaniasis and other infectious diseases (21), because health education encourages and empowers people to accept and perform voluntary health behaviors, reasonably use the available health services, make decisions for the promotion and improve-

Table 2. Comparison of the Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Variables in the Overall Results Before and After the Intervention

Variables	Before		After		P Value
On the scale of the data					
Knowledge	6.76	1.78	9.66	1.23	< 0.01
Attitude	6.54	1.57	8.64	1.18	< 0.01
Practice	7.88	2.43	11.13	1.45	< 0.01
On a scale of 100					
Knowledge	61.45	16.20	87.85	11.16	< 0.01
Attitude	65.38	15.70	86.44	11.82	< 0.01
Practice	60.65	18.70	85.58	11.12	< 0.01

Table 3. Assessment of the Level of Knowledge Before and After the Intervention in the Total Subjects and in Each Province

Province	Before the intervention	After the Intervention ^a				P Value
		Weak	Moderate	Good	Total	
Khorasan Razavi						
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	< 0.01
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	23 (56.1)	26 (63.4)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	14 (34.1)	15 (36.6)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	4 (9.8)	37 (90.2)	41 (100.0)	
Ilam						
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.5)	1 (4.5)	< 0.01
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (50.0)	11 (50.0)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	10 (45.5)	10 (45.5)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	22 (100.0)	22 (100.0)	
East Azerbaijan						
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	< 0.01
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	25 (61.0)	26 (63.4)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	13 (31.7)	14 (34.1)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	2 (4.8)	39 (95.2)	41 (100.0)	
Total						
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.9)	2 (1.9)	< 0.01
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	4 (3.8)	59 (56.7)	63 (60.6)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	2 (1.9)	37 (35.6)	39 (37.5)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	6 (5.7)	98 (94.2)	104 (100.0)	

^aValues are expressed as No. (%).

ment of their health in the environment, increase their awareness regarding disease prevention and change attitudes and behaviors, which is one of the main goals of health education programs based on model and theories (22).

The aim of this study was to determine the levels of KAP among health volunteers in East Azerbaijan, Khorasan Razavi and Ilam provinces. The results showed that the

three variables studied were in low degrees in all the three provinces before the intervention; however, all the three factors in each province and in overall results showed significant enhancements, which is consistent with previous findings. Hosseini et al. studied the levels of KAP among health volunteers of Esfarayen, Iran, and observed that the mean scores of health volunteers for knowledge, attitude and practice were 11.99 ± 2.76 , 51.61 ± 19.8 and 14.83 ± 5.47 ,

Table 4. Assessment of the Level of Attitude Before and After the Intervention in the Total Subjects and in Each Province

Province	Before the Intervention	After the Intervention ^a				P Value
		Weak	Moderate	Good	Total	
Khorasan Razavi						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	2 (4.9)	18 (43.9)	20 (48.8)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	20 (48.8)	21 (51.2)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	38 (92.7)	41 (100.0)	
Ilam						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.5)	1 (4.5)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (50.0)	11 (50.0)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	10 (45.5)	10 (45.5)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	22 (100.0)	22 (100.0)	
East Azerbaijan						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	15 (36.6)	15 (36.6)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	25 (61.0)	25 (61.0)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	41 (100.0)	41 (100.0)	
Total						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.9)	2 (1.9)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	2 (1.9)	44 (42.3)	46 (44.2)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	55 (52.9)	56 (53.8)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	3 (2.9)	102 (97.1)	104 (100.0)	

^aValues are expressed as No. (%).

respectively. Knowledge level in 86.6% of the subjects was average, and in 5.1% and 32.6% attitude and practice levels were average, respectively. They concluded that, in spite of suitable knowledge among health volunteers in this city, an educational program is required to improve practice (23). The results of our study also revealed relatively similar results with respect to knowledge (61.45%), attitude (65.38%) and practice (60.65%) before the intervention, but we observed development in these three factors after education.

Khani Jeihooni et al. in another study examined the effectiveness of education in the enhancement of preventive behaviors among health volunteers and families under the coverage of health centers. The results of that study revealed that the mean scores of knowledge, attitude and intention as well as enabling factors and behaviors were significantly increased in the intervention group post-intervention. Also, the mean scores of knowledge, attitude, intention, enabling factors and the behavior of the head of households under the coverage of health volun-

teers were significantly increased after the intervention in the experimental group. They concluded that the educational program based on the BASNEF model would lead to a change in the behaviors of health care providers. Finally, behavior of health volunteers leads to the utilization of preventive measures in families (24). As can be noted, the results of the above study were consistent with our findings. In another study, Motamedi et al. achieved similar results about the role of education in the development of preventive behaviors for cutaneous *Leishmania* among students and confirmed the essential role of education in this context (18).

Heshmati et al. were other researchers who conducted a study to survey the preventive behaviors for leishmaniasis in families living in endemic areas of Yazd based on the BASNEF model and observed the inappropriate and inadequate knowledge, attitude and behavior of subjects. They emphasized on the necessity of education in this area, especially for the health volunteers, to improve the preventive measures against cutaneous leishmaniasis. This study

Table 5. Evaluation of the Levels of Practice Before and After the Intervention in the Total Subjects and in Each Province

Province	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention ^a				P Value
		Weak	Moderate	Good	Total	
Khorasan Razavi						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (9.8)	4 (9.8)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	16 (39.0)	17 (41.5)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	19 (46.3)	20 (48.8)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	2 (4.8)	39 (95.2)	41 (100.0)	
Ilam						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (9.1)	2 (9.1)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (22.7)	5 (22.7)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	15 (68.2)	15 (68.2)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	22 (100.0)	22 (100.0)	
East Azerbaijan						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	3 (7.3)	
	Moderate	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	23 (56.1)	26 (63.4)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (29.3)	12 (29.3)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	38 (92.7)	41 (100.0)	
Total						< 0.01
	Weak	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (8.7)	9 (8.7)	
	Moderated	0 (0.0)	4 (3.8)	44 (42.3)	48 (46.2)	
	Good	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	46 (44.2)	47 (45.2)	
	Total	0 (0.0)	5 (4.8)	99 (95.2)	104 (100.0)	

^aValues are expressed as No. (%).

provides sufficient ground for verifying our obtained results. These studies indicate that adequate knowledge about all aspects of leishmaniasis can facilitate decision-making and application of proper measures against this infection (25). The study of Sarkari et al. which was conducted to observe the level of the knowledge, attitude and practice about cutaneous leishmaniasis, illustrated the inadequate knowledge of residents of this region about the nature of infection, vectors, transmission and the preventive measures. They concluded that educational programs are needed to increase the knowledge of subjects to control the infection (26). Kavooosi and Shojaeizadeh implemented a study to evaluate the impact of education on the knowledge and attitude of mothers about cutaneous leishmaniasis in Khorasan Razavi province (27). The study was indicative of the positive effect of this intervention on the studied variables, such that the knowledge and attitude of mothers were enhanced after the intervention compared to the before the intervention. Hejazi et al. also conducted a study on 166 mothers who had at least one child infected with cu-

taneous leishmaniasis. The results of their study showed that mean KAP score of these mothers was 15.7 ± 1.6 (range: 11.5 to 19). KAP was weak among 48 (28.9%) mothers, it was moderate in 79 (47.6%) mothers, and it was excellent in 39 (23.5%) mothers. They claimed that at least one-third of the population in Isfahan needed practical education against leishmaniasis. They also recommended in-person education and the use of educational aids to remove the gap between knowledge and practice of mothers. These results confirmed our findings regarding the necessity of education to boost KAP (28).

There is a large body of evidence in other parts of the world that substantiate the obtained results in the present work; for instance, Kamga et al. performed a study to investigate the effect of health education on the prevalence of faecal-orally transmitted parasitic infections among school children in a rural community in Cameroon. They introduced health education as a vital strategy for controlling faecal-orally transmitted parasitic infections among children in Cameroon (29). In another study, Abiola et al.

conducted a study to survey the effect of health education on knowledge, attitudes and practices related to personal hygiene among secondary school students in rural Sokoto, northwest Nigeria. They found that health education intervention could successfully impact knowledge, attitude and practice of intervention group compared to control group (30). Asaolu and Ofoezie reviewed the role of health education and sanitation in the control of helminth infections and introduced health education as a cost-effective and easy-to-perform strategy to achieve the related goals (31).

5.1. Conclusions

The present study revealed the positive effect of education on KAP among health volunteers about leishmaniasis and showed that better practice is expected among health volunteers with further knowledge and information about the disease, which highlights the importance of education for reducing and preventing the spread of this infection.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Deputy of Health and Labor Affairs of East Azerbaijan, Ilam and Khorasan Razavi provinces.

Footnotes

Conflict of Interests: None of the authors have any proprietary interests or conflicts of interest related to this submission.

Ethical Considerations: It should be noted that the results were published confidentially and with the approval of the authorities in order to observe research ethics (reference number from the ethics committee).

Funding/Support: This project was supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Grant No: 9121123006).

References

- Alvar J, Velez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, et al. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(5): e35671. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0035671](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035671). [PubMed: [22693548](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22693548/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3365071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3365071/)].
- Desjeux P. Leishmaniasis: Current situation and new perspectives. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2004;27(5):305-18. doi: [10.1016/j.cimid.2004.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2004.03.004). [PubMed: [15225981](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15225981/)].
- Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9496):1561-77. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67629-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67629-5).
- Reithinger R, Dujardin JC, Louzir H, Pirmez C, Alexander B, Brooker S. Cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2007;7(9):581-96. doi: [10.1016/S1473-3099\(07\)70209-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70209-8).
- Goto H, Lindoso JA. Current diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2010;8(4):419-33. doi: [10.1586/eri.10.19](https://doi.org/10.1586/eri.10.19). [PubMed: [20377337](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20377337/)].
- Guerin PJ, Olliaro P, Sundar S, Boelaert M, Croft SL, Desjeux P, et al. Visceral leishmaniasis: Current status of control, diagnosis, and treatment, and a proposed research and development agenda. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2002;2(8):494-501. doi: [10.1016/S1473-3099\(02\)00347-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(02)00347-X).
- Cerf BJ, Jones TC, Badaro R, Sampaio D, Teixeira R, Johnson WD Jr. Malnutrition as a risk factor for severe visceral leishmaniasis. *J Infect Dis*. 1987;156(6):1030-3. [PubMed: [3680989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3680989/)].
- Fernández-Guerrero ML, Aguado J, Buzón L, Barros C, Montalbán C, Martín T, et al. Visceral leishmaniasis in immunocompromised hosts. *AJ Med*. 1987;83(6):1098-102. doi: [10.1016/0002-9343\(87\)90948-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(87)90948-X).
- Peacock CS, Seeger K, Harris D, Murphy L, Ruiz JC, Quail MA, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of three *Leishmania* species that cause diverse human disease. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39(7):839-47. doi: [10.1038/ng2053](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng2053). [PubMed: [17572675](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17572675/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC2592530](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2592530/)].
- Schonian G, Nasereddin A, Dinse N, Schweynoch C, Schallig HD, Presber W, et al. PCR diagnosis and characterization of *Leishmania* in local and imported clinical samples. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2003;47(1):349-58. [PubMed: [12967749](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12967749/)].
- Bensoussan E, Nasereddin A, Jonas F, Schnur LF, Jaffe CL. Comparison of PCR assays for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006;44(4):1435-9. doi: [10.1128/JCM.44.4.1435-1439.2006](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.4.1435-1439.2006). [PubMed: [16597873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16597873/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC1448629](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC1448629/)].
- Bryceson A. A policy for leishmaniasis with respect to the prevention and control of drug resistance. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2001;6(11):928-34. [PubMed: [11703848](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11703848/)].
- Desjeux P. The increase in risk factors for leishmaniasis worldwide. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2001;95(3):239-43. [PubMed: [11490989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11490989/)].
- Jones TC, Johnson WD Jr, Barretto AC, Lago E, Badaro R, Cerf B, et al. Epidemiology of American cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania braziliensis braziliensis*. *J Infect Dis*. 1987;156(1):73-83. [PubMed: [3598227](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3598227/)].
- Mohebbali M, Edrissian GHH, Nadim A, Hajjaran H, Akhouni B, Hooshmand B, et al. Application of direct agglutination test (DAT) for the diagnosis and seroepidemiological studies of visceral leishmaniasis in Iran. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2006;1(1):15-25.
- Mohebbali M, Javadian E, Yaghoobi Ershadi MR, Akhavan AA, Hajjaran H, Abaei MR. Characterization of *Leishmania* infection in rodents from endemic areas of the Islamic Republic of Iran. *E Mediterr Health J*. 2004;10(4-5):591-9.
- Mohebbali M. Visceral leishmaniasis in Iran: Review of the epidemiological and clinical features. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2013;8(3):348-58. [PubMed: [24454426](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24454426/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3887234](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3887234/)].
- Motamedi N, Hejazi SH, Hazavehei SMM, Saberi S, Rahimi E. [Effect of education based on health belief model on promoting preventive behavior of coetaneous leishmaniasis]. *J Mil Med*. 2010;11(4):231-6. Persian.
- da Luz ZM, Pimenta DN, Rabello A, Schall V. Evaluation of informative materials on leishmaniasis distributed in Brazil: Criteria and basis for the production and improvement of health education materials. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2003;19(2):561-9. [PubMed: [12764472](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12764472/)].
- Torgerson DJ, Torgerson CJ. *Designing randomised trials in health, education and the social sciences: An introduction*. London: Springer; 2008. doi: [10.1057/9780230583993](https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230583993).
- Davies CR, Kaye P, Croft SL, Sundar S. Leishmaniasis: New approaches to disease control. *BMJ*. 2003;326(7385):377-82. [PubMed: [12586674](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12586674/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC1125241](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC1125241/)].

22. Israel BA, Checkoway B, Schulz A, Zimmerman M. Health education and community empowerment: Conceptualizing and measuring perceptions of individual, organizational, and community control. *Health Educ Q.* 1994;**21**(2):149–70. [PubMed: [8021145](#)].
23. Hosseini S, Ahmadpour M, Shirabadi R, Arzamani K, Rajabzadeh R. [The knowledge, attitude and practice of “health-go betweenes” Esfarayen Country about cutaneousleishmaniasis disease in 2013]. *J North Khorasan Univ Med Sci.* 2015;**7**(4):735–43. Persian.
24. Khani Jheirooni A, Kashfi SM, Hazavehei SMM. Effects of the BASNEF model-based educational programs on blood sugar control,(Type 2 Diabetes). *Health Educ Health Promot.* 2013;**1**(1):33–49.
25. Heshmati H, Rahaei Z, Hazavehei SMM, Dehnadi A, Hasanzadeh A. [Related factors to educational behaviors of health volunteers about cutaneous leishmaniasis on the basis of BASNEF model in Yazd]. *J health.* 2010;**1**(3):48–56. Persian.
26. Sarkari B, Qasem A, Shafaf MR. Knowledge, attitude, and practices related to cutaneous leishmaniasis in an endemic focus of cutaneous leishmaniasis, Southern Iran. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.* 2014;**4**(7):566–9. doi: [10.12980/APJTB.4.2014C744](#). [PubMed: [25183278](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4032832](#)].
27. Kavooosi F, Shojaeizadeh D. [Effect of the educational interventions on mothers’ knowledge and attitude towards cutaneous leishmaniasis in Mashhad]. *J Dermatol Cosmet.* 2016;**6**(4):209–20. Persian.
28. Hejazi SH, Hazavei SMM, Bidabadi LS, Shademani A, Siadat AH, Zolfaghari-Baghbaderani A, et al. Evaluation of knowledge, attitude and performance of the mothers of children affected by cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Infect Dis Res Treatment.* 2010;**3**:IDRT. S3786. doi: [10.4137/IDRT.S3786](#).
29. Kanga HLF, Nsagha DS, Atanga MBS, Njunda AL, Assob JCN, Fon PN, et al. The impact of health education on the prevalence of faecal-orally transmitted parasitic infections among school children in a rural community in Cameroon. *Pan African Med J.* 2011;**8**(1). doi: [10.4314/pamj.v8i1.71153](#).
30. Abiola Adeniyi A, Eyitope Ogunbodede O, Sonny Jeboda O, Morenike Folayan O. Do maternal factors influence the dental health status of Nigerian pre-school children? *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2009;**19**(6):448–54. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-263X.2009.01019.x](#). [PubMed: [19732189](#)].
31. Asaolu SO, Ofoezie IE. The role of health education and sanitation in the control of helminth infections. *Acta Trop.* 2003;**86**(2-3):283–94. [PubMed: [12745145](#)].