Published online 2023 January 20.

Research Article

Assessing the Quality of Educational Services from the Viewpoint of Clinical Teachers and Medical Students Using SERVQUAL Model

Saeideh Daryazadeh ^[1], Maryam Yavari^{2,*}, Mohammad Reza Sharif³, Mohammad Javad Azadchahr⁴, Seyed-Vahid Zabihi Hoseini⁵ and Hosein Akbari ^[1]

¹Medical Education Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

²Medical Education Development Research Center (EDC), Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

³Department of Pediatric, Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

⁴ Department of Biostatic, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

⁵Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

Corresponding author: Medical Education Development Research Center (EDC), Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. Email: maryyavari52@gmail.com

Received 2021 September 10; Revised 2022 November 19; Accepted 2022 December 14.

Abstract

Background: One of the basic steps in improving the quality of health programs is to evaluate it from the viewpoint of beneficiaries. SERVQUAL is a model that is very effective in evaluating the quality of services.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess viewpoint of clinical teachers and medical students about the quality of educational services provided based on the SERVQUAL model in 2018.

Methods: This research was descriptive-analytical and 80 medical students and clinical teachers of Shahid Beheshti Teaching Hospital in Kashan participated in it. Data collection tool was SERVQUAL standard questionnaire including 22 items and a 7-point Likert scale. Data were analyzed using SPSS 16 software and descriptive and inferential statistics (t-test)($P \le 0.05$).

Results: The expectations (optimal status) of the participants in the total score of service quality and all its dimensions were significantly higher than their perceptions (current status) (P < 0.001). In other words, from the viewpoint of individuals in all dimensions of quality and their total score, there is a gap that the most significant quality gap in the guarantee dimension (-2.91 ± 1.34), and the lowest in the empathy dimension (-1.54 ± 2.02). The mean gap between the total expectations and perceptions score and its dimensions from the viewpoint of women was higher than men but was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). There was also a significant relationship between the service quality gap and individuals' educational levels (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: In all aspects of the quality of educational services, there was a negative gap (failure to meet expectations) between expectations and their perception, and this gap was greater in dimension of confidence. The observed differences between the five dimensions of quality should be used as a guide for planning and resource allocation.

Keywords: Quality, Medical Education, Educational Services, Viewpoint, SERVQUAL Model

1. Background

The higher education system has an important task in order to train specialized human resources in terms of quantity and quality, and can be more efficient when it performs its duties well in terms of quality. Thus, it is necessary to find ways to increase the quality of educational services (1).

Evaluating the quality of educational services and improving that, leads to more creativity of learners (2). Considering that the educational system plays an important role in training specialized personnel and causes the growth of society, the qualitative aspect of education requires more attention (3). One of the basic steps in improving the quality of health programs is to evaluate the quality of services from the viewpoint of beneficiaries (4).

The SERVQUAL model is one of the models used to measure quality, which was presented by Parasuraman et al. (5). This model is a multidimensional scale that investigates the gap between expectations and educational services provided in 5 dimensions that includes physical and tangible factors (having the physical facilities of the service and equipment and facilities), reliability (performing services reliably and reliably), responsibility (helping learners to provide services as quickly as possible), guarantee and credibility (the university's ability to provide accurate and reliable services) and empathy dimension (appropriate treatment of individual learners according to their emotional aspects so that they are satisfied) (6). Based on

Copyright © 2023, Educational Research in Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

this model, service recipients evaluate quality by comparing their perceptions and expectations of the services received. Studies show that the SERVQUAL model is very effective in evaluating the quality of services (7, 8). The practical application of this model indicates its high capability compared to other models, which allows its dimensions to be matched with other factors (7).

Investigating of studies in this field shows that there is a significant distance from the desired situation. In a 2004 study in Singapore, Tan and Kek reported that the largest gap in the quality of educational services was in the area of guarantee and credibility (9). Branes In 2007 in China found that there was a negative gap in all aspects of service quality (10). Also studies in Iran showed a negative gap between students' perceptions and expectations in all aspects of educational services (11-14).

2. Objectives

Knowing the quality of the educational services provided can be the basis for planning, policy-making and improving the quality of educational services. The present study aims to assess the viewpoint of medical students and clinical teachers of Kashan University of Medical Sciences about quality of educational services.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design

This was a cross-sectional descriptive-analytical study, and population included clinical teachers, residents, interns and clerkships of Shahid Beheshti Hospital in Kashan in 2018.

Considering that so far no study has evaluated the quality of clinical education services from the viewpoint of the four beneficiaries' groups, so according to the possibilities of the present study, 80 people were selected equally in four groups (n = 20) using the convenience sampling method.

Inclusion criteria included people mentioned in Shahid Beheshti Hospital in Kashan in 2018 who were conducting educational activities and their exclusion criteria included unwillingness to participate in research and incomplete completion of the questionnaire.

Data collection tool, SERVQUAL standard questionnaire consisting of 22 pairs of questions related to measuring the quality of services in physical dimensions (1 to 4), guarantee (5 to 9), accountability (10 to 13), reliability (14 to 17) and empathy (18 to 22) on a scale of 7 were Likert options (strongly disagree=1 to strongly agree=7). SERVQUAL tool has been used in several studies and its validity and reliability have been confirmed (15). In the present study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient values for questions related to perceptions and expectations were 0.920 and 0.929, respectively, which indicates the acceptable reliability of the questionnaire.

3.2. Data Analysis

Collected data after entering SPSS software version 16 using descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (paired *t*-test to compare the mean of perceptions and expectations, independent *t*test to compare the mean gap services between men and women analysis of variance to compare the average results from the perspective of the studied groups.

4. Results

In the present study, 80 clinical teachers, assistants, interns and clerkships of Kashan Shahid Beheshti Hospital (20 people in each group) participated, of which women had the highest frequency (56.3%). Table 1 shows the average scores of perceptions, expectations and quality gap of educational services. Accordingly, the expectations (optimal status) of the participants in the total score of service quality and all its dimensions were significantly higher than their perceptions (current status) (P < 0.001). In other words, from the participants' point of view, there is a gap in all aspects of quality and its total score, with the highest quality gap in the guarantee dimension (-2.91 \pm 1.34) and the lowest in the empathy dimension (-1.54 \pm 2.02).

The results of Table 2 show that the average gap between the total score of service quality and its dimensions from the viewpoint of women is higher than men, although these differences are not statistically significant (P > 0.05). Also, from the other results of Table 2, we can point to the existence of a significant relationship between the service quality gap and the educational levels of individuals (P< 0.05) so that in the physical dimension, the average service gap from the viewpoint of interns is significant. It has been more than residents and clerkships. Also in terms of guarantee, the average service gap from the viewpoint of clinical teachers and interns is significantly higher than Clerkships; In addition, in terms of responsiveness, confidence, empathy, and overall score, the average service gap from the viewpoint of clinical teachers, residents, and interns was significantly more considerable than clerkships.

4.1. Ethical Considerations

The confidentiality of the participants was maintained by collecting anonymous questionnaires and participating of them in the study was not mandatory

Quality of Educational Services Perceptions Expectations Gap t PValue ^a Physical 3.63 ± 1.29 6.19 ± 0.85 -2.56 ± 1.58 -14.518 0.000 Guarantee 3.38 ± 1.34 6.29 ± 0.71 -2.91 ± 1.34 -15.800 0.000 Responsiveness 3.68 ± 1.12 5.52 ± 1.55 -1.84 ± 1.94 -8.485 0.000	Table 1. Comparison of the Average Perceptions and Expectations of the Subjects About the Quality of Educational Services											
Physical 3.63 ± 1.29 6.19 ± 0.85 -2.56 ± 1.58 -14.518 0.000 Guarantee 3.38 ± 1.34 6.29 ± 0.71 -2.91 ± 1.34 -15.800 0.000 Responsiveness 3.68 ± 1.12 5.52 ± 1.55 -1.84 ± 1.94 -8.485 0.000	Quality of Educational Services	Perceptions	Expectations	Gap	t	P-Value ^a						
Guarantee 3.38 ± 1.34 6.29 ± 0.71 -2.91 ± 1.34 -15.800 0.000 Responsiveness 3.68 ± 1.12 5.52 ± 1.55 -1.84 ± 1.94 -8.485 0.000	Physical	3.63 ± 1.29	6.19 ± 0.85	$\textbf{-2.56} \pm \textbf{1.58}$	-14.518	0.000						
Responsiveness 3.68 ± 1.12 5.52 ± 1.55 -1.84 ± 1.94 -8.485 0.000	Guarantee	3.38 ± 1.34	6.29 ± 0.71	-2.91 ± 1.34	-15.800	0.000						
	Responsiveness	3.68 ± 1.12	5.52 ± 1.55	$\textbf{-1.84} \pm \textbf{1.94}$	-8.485	0.000						
Confidence 3.88±1.04 6.20±0.88 -2.32±1.43 -14.518 0.000	Confidence	3.88 ± 1.04	6.20 ± 0.88	-2.32 ± 1.43	-14.518	0.000						
Empathy 3.55 ± 1.11 5.09 ± 1.62 -1.54 ± 2.02 -6.820 0.000	Empathy	3.55 ± 1.11	5.09 ± 1.62	$\textbf{-1.54} \pm \textbf{2.02}$	-6.820	0.000						
Total score 3.62 ± 0.91 5.86 ± 0.87 -2.24 ± 1.36 -14.692 0.000	Total score	3.62± 0.91	5.86 ± 0.87	-2.24 ± 1.36	-14.692	0.000						

^a Paired *t*-test.

Table 2. Comparison of the Average Gap Between the Quality of Educational Services by Gender and Educational Levels

Quality of Educational Services	Gender			Educational Levels				
	Male	Female	P-Value*	Clinical Teachers	Assistants	Interns	Clerkships	P-Value**
Physical	$\textbf{-2.75}\pm1.45$	$\textbf{-2.31} \pm \textbf{1.71}$	0.222	$\textbf{-2.95} \pm \textbf{1.41}$	$\textbf{-2.02} \pm \textbf{1.39}$	$\textbf{-3.24} \pm \textbf{1.31}$	$\textbf{-2.02} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	0.020
Guarantee	$\textbf{-3.02} \pm \textbf{1.69}$	$\textbf{-2.79} \pm \textbf{1.61}$	0.541	$\textbf{-3.54} \pm \textbf{1.26}$	$\textbf{-2.61} \pm \textbf{1.62}$	-3.38 ± 1.32	$\textbf{-2.14} \pm \textbf{2.00}$	0.020
Responsiveness	$\textbf{-2.06} \pm \textbf{1.68}$	-1.55 ± 2.21	0.244	$\textbf{-2.49} \pm \textbf{1.29}$	$\textbf{-1.90} \pm \textbf{1.90}$	$\textbf{-2.39} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	-0.57 ± 2.12	0.005
Confidence	$\textbf{-2.34} \pm \textbf{1.47}$	$\textbf{-2.29} \pm \textbf{1.39}$	0.874	$\textbf{-2.85} \pm \textbf{1.19}$	$\textbf{-2.32} \pm \textbf{1.09}$	$\textbf{-2.67} \pm \textbf{1.56}$	$\textbf{-1.44} \pm \textbf{1.49}$	0.007
Empathy	$\textbf{-1.69} \pm \textbf{1.94}$	$\textbf{-1.35} \pm \textbf{2.12}$	0.458	$\textbf{-2.03} \pm \textbf{1.65}$	-1.77 ± 1.96	$\textbf{-2.20} \pm \textbf{2.18}$	$\textbf{-0.16} \pm \textbf{1.68}$	0.003
Total score	$\textbf{-2.37} \pm \textbf{1.37}$	$\textbf{-2.06} \pm \textbf{1.35}$	0.310	-2.77 ± 1.10	-2.13 ± 1.30	$\textbf{-2.78} \pm \textbf{1.19}$	$\textbf{-1.27} \pm \textbf{1.33}$	0.000

5. Discussion

The results of the present study showed that the expectations of the services provided were not met and in all aspects of the quality of educational services, there was a negative gap between the perceptions and expectations of learners. The mean total quality gap as well as all five dimensions of educational services quality were the same in terms of age, gender, and year of residency and did not have a statistically significant difference. However, all five dimensions as well as the overall dimension were significantly different according to the participating group. Mean total quality gap between the studied groups according to the participating group, there was a significant difference so that there was the largest gap in the interns and the lowest in the clerkship.

The investigations show that students who are more satisfied with the quality of educational services will have a higher level of learning and growth (4, 16, 17).

In the study of Ayatollahi et al. (18), in contrast to the present study, the confidence dimension has the highest average score of opinions, which indicates that there are sufficient study resources to increase students' knowledge and expertise, and discussions and exchanges are well done in the classroom by teachers.

The results of Mortazavi and Razmara's study were in contradiction with the present study and the highest level of students' satisfaction included teachers' knowledge, performance and teaching methods (19). This is in line with the results obtained from Ayatollahi et al.'s study (18).

In contradiction to the present study, some studies (4, 20, 21) showed that the highest mean score of opinions was related to the guarantee dimension. So different educational groups in scoring should behave in such a way that there is a guarantee for a better score if more effort is made.

In Sabahi Bidgoli and Kebriaie study in Kashan (22), the highest average score of opinions related to the confidence dimension was reported. However, in the present study, 11 years after the previous study in Kashan, different results were obtained. Perhaps the most important reason for this difference is the change in the spectrum of the curriculum and clinical teachers, as well as the gradual changes in the patterns of scientific advancement from the educational dimension to the research and cultural dimensions.

Although the scientific level of teachers and their specialized knowledge is of acceptable quality for educating students in most studies, nevertheless, gaining qualifications, medical knowledge, motivation to learn, and a positive attitude is realized in the clinical environment by observing the behavior and performance of teachers (23).

In the study of Ayatollahii et al. (18) in contradiction with the present study, the lowest average score of com-

ments is observed in the dimension of responsiveness, which indicates that students do not have enough satisfaction due to the lack of teachers when needed.

The empathy dimension was the lowest mean score of opinions in the study of Arbouni et al. (20) which was in contradiction with the present study and Sabahi Bidgoli and Kebriaie. It shows that students are dissatisfied with the inflexibility of teachers in the face of special circumstances, the behavior of teachers with respect to students, and the attitude of the instruction staff (22).

In some studies, (4, 18, 21) in contradiction with the present study, the responsiveness dimension had the lowest mean score.

Seyedaskari et al. (24) found that there is a negative gap in all five dimensions of the quality of educational services.

The existence of a negative gap in the quality of educational services will reduce the motivation of residents and interns to learn, and this will disrupt the training of creative doctors in the future. It seems that educational services in all dimensions need to be reformed and reviewed. This finding is consistent with the results of various other studies (4, 7, 11, 25).

De Oliveira and Ferreira's study in Brazil showed that the quality problems of higher education in developing countries were serious and that in order to change this approach, the capital was needed to improve quality systems (26).

Based on the study's findings the highest mean score of the quality gap is related to the physical and tangible dimensions (11, 24, 27, 28). This quality gap indicates that the necessary educational infrastructure such as facilities, equipment, physical space, and teaching aids are not of the required quality and it is expected that the relevant people will take the necessary measures to provide and equip educational centers.

In the present study the mean quality gap of all five dimensions of the quality of educational services were the same in terms of gender, age and year of residence and did not have a statistically significant difference which is consistent with other studies (24, 29).

In Arbouni et al.'s study, in contrast to the present study, the mean score of opinions of female interns was higher than males in all dimensions, and the observed difference was statistically significant (20). Perhaps the difference is due to personality differences between them and differences in their views on issues.

5.1. Limitations

Due to the multidimensionality of health care organizations, it is possible that not all aspects can be evaluated using the present research questionnaire. Also, there was low accessibility to the research samples due to clinical busy.

5.2. Suggestions

In order to achieve the desired quality of education, it is suggested that educational planning be done to reduce the gap between learners' perceptions and expectations. It is expected that by holding training workshops to empower teachers, improving quality will be taken. Developing educational standards will help to improve the existing situation. It is better to investigate how to compensate for these quality gaps in future studies.

5.3. Conclusions

In the present study, in all aspects of the quality of educational services, there was a negative gap between expectations and their perception, and this gap was greater in terms of confidence. The observed differences between the five dimensions of quality can be used as a guide for planning and resource allocation. In this regard, as the significance of the difference between the means showed, the five dimensions can be prioritized in the process of allocating resources to solve problems and improve quality. If prioritization is done, the dimensions that have the lowest quality are usually addressed first. Along with improving the quality in these dimensions, other dimensions will also improve from the students' viewpoint. Because the existence of defects in one dimension has an aggravating effect, which means that it causes a decline in quality in other dimensions, from the viewpoint of service recipients.

Acknowledgments

This study is the result of a Medical Doctor's dissertation with the approved code 2311 in Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran. The authors of the article consider it necessary to thank and appreciate the efforts of Clinical Research Development Unit of Kashan Shahid Beheshti hospital.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design, S. D., and M. Y, and MR. Sh.; Analysis and interpretation of data, S. D., and M. Y. and SV. ZH.; Drafting of the manuscript, S. D.; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, S. D., M. Y; Statistical analysism MJ. A. and H. A.

Conflict of Interests: Except for the first author, the rest of the authors are members of the Kashan University of Medical Sciences.

Funding/Support: It was not declared by the authors.

References

- 1. Pakarian S. [Evaluate the educational quality of the Isfahan University and recommendations for improvement] [master's thesis]. Isfahan, Iran: University of Isfahan; 1990. Persian.
- Karydis A, Komboli-Kodovazeniti M, Hatzigeorgiou D, Panis V. Expectations and perceptions of Greek patients regarding the quality of dental health care. Int J Qual Health Care. 2001;13(5):409–16. [PubMed ID: 11669569]. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/13.5.409.
- Alves AR, Vieira A. The SERVQUAL as a marketing instrument to measure services quality in higher education institutions. Second International Conference: Product Management Challenges of the Future. Poznan, Poland. 2006. p. 18–20.
- Kebriaei A, Roudbari M, Mirlotfi P. [Assessing quality of educational services at Zahedan University of Medical Sciences]. Zahedan J Res Med Sci. 2005;7(2):139–46. Persian.
- Parasuraman A, Zeithaml V, Berry L. SERVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. In: Findlay A, Sparks L, editors. *Retailing: critical concepts.* 64. London, UK: Routledge; 1998. p. 12–40.
- Arambewela R, Hall J. A comparative analysis of international education satisfaction using SERVQUAL. J Services Res. 2006;6(Special):141– 63.
- 7. Beheshtirad R, Ghaleei AR, Ghalavandi H. [Educational services quality distance between current and desirable situations]. *Quarterly of Education Strategies in Medical Sciences*. 2013;**6**(1):49–54. Persian.
- 8. Saghaei A, Kavoosi SMR. [Customer satisfaction measurement methods]. Tehran, Iran: Sabzan; 2005. Persian.
- Tan KC, Kek SW. Service quality in Higher Education using an enhanced SERVQUAL approach. Qual High Educ. 2004;10(1):17-24. https://doi.org/10.1080/1353832242000195032.
- Barnes BR. Analysing Service Quality: The Case of Post-Graduate Chinese Students. *Total Qual Manag Bus Excell*. 2007;18(3):313–31. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783360601152558.
- Khademloo M, Zare A, Fakhar M. [Survey of service quality gap from the viewpoint of paramedicine students in Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences]. J Educ Ethics Nurs. 2013;2(1):48–55. Persian.
- Rasoolabadi M, Shafieian M, Gharibi F. [Assessment of the quality of educational services by the SERVQUAL Model: viewpoints of the students at Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences]. Sci J Kurdistan Univ Medical Sci. 2013;18:104–12. Persian.
- Yarmohammadian MH, Nazari M, Bahman ziari N, Moradi R, Mirzaei H, Navvabi E. [Evaluation of Educational Services Quality for Healthcare Services Management Students of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences Based on SERVQUAL Model]. *Iranian J Med Educ.* 2015;15(41):319–29. Persian.
- Abbasian M, Chaman R, Mousavi S, Amiri M, Gholami Taromsar M, Maleki F, et al. [Gap analysis between students' perceptions and expectations of quality of educational services using Servqual Model]. *Qom Univ Med Sci J.* 2013;7(2):2–9. Persian.
- 15. Hosseini SM, Vakili V, Mosa Farkhani E. [Comparing pharmacy students' perceptions and expectations of quality of educational ser-

vices at Mashhad University of medical sciences based on SERVQUAL model]. *Iranian | Med Educ*. 2017;**17**(54):504–15. Persian.

- Babakus E, Mangold WG. Adapting the SERVQUAL scale to hospital services: an empirical investigation. *Health Serv Res.* 1992;26(6):767–86. [PubMed ID: 1737708]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1069855].
- Noorossana R, Saghaei A, Shadalouie F, Samimi Y. [Customer satisfaction measurement to identify areas for improvement in higher education research services]. Quarterly Journal of Research and Planning in Higher Education. 2008;14(3 (49)):97–119. Persian.
- Ayatollahi J, Sharifi MR, Marjani N, Ayatollahi F. [Assessing quality of education services at Yazd University of Medical Sciences in 2010]. J Med Educ Dev. 2012;7(2):21-30. Persian.
- 19. Mortazavi AA, Razmara A. [Medical Student Satisfaction in Different Educational Locations]. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2002;1(3):51–4. Persian.
- Arbouni F, Shoghli A, Badriposhteh S, Mohajery M. [The Gap between Students Expectations and Educational Services Provided for them Zanjan University of Medical Sciences 2007]. *Strides Dev Med Educ*. 2008;5(1):17–25. Persian.
- Aghamolaei T, Zare S, Abedini S. [The quality gap of educational services from the point of view of students in Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences]. Stride Dev Med Educ. 2007;3(2):78–85. Persian.
- 22. Sabahi Bidgoliy M, Kebriaie A. [The quality gap of educational services Kashan University of Medical Sciences: based on student'perceptions and expectations]. 8th National Conference on Medical Education. Kerman. Kerman University of Medical Sciences; 2007. 139 p. Persian.
- 23. Esmaeili M, Haghdoost AA, Beigzadeh A, Bahmanbijari B, Bazrafshan A. [Personal and Scientific Characteristics of Positive and Negative Role Models among Medical Educators from the Viewpoint of Dentistry and Pharmacy Students in Kerman University of Medical Sciences Iran]. *Strides Dev Med Educ.* 2013;**10**(3):298–311. Persian.
- 24. Seyedaskari SM, Shafa MA, Iranmanesh F, Beigzadeh A, Mohammad Pour Ravari M. [Quality assessment of educational services for residents in teaching hospitals of Kerman University of medical sciences, Iran, based on the SERVQUAL model]. *Strides Dev Med Educ*. 2015;**12**:159– 67. Persian.
- Bahreini M, Momeni Danaei S, Shahamat S, Khatoni A, Ghodsi S, Hashemi M. [The Quality of Educational Services: Gap between Optimal and Actual Status according to Dentistry Students]. *Iranian J Med Educ.* 2012;11(7):685–95. Persian.
- 26. De Oliveira OJ, Ferreira EC. Adaptation and application of the SERVQUAL scale in higher education. *Proceedings of POMS 20th Annual Conference Orlando*. Florida, USA. 2009. p. 1–20.
- 27. Bahreiny K, Shahalizadeh Kalkhoran M, Noorani F. [Survey of service quality gap from the viewpoint of paramedicine students of sercuval model in Azad University Aliabad Katol]. *Journal of Industrial Strategic Management*. 2010;**6**(14):62–79. Persian.
- Kavosi Z, Kaffashi SH, Sadegifar J, Mosavi Esfahani H. [Gap analysis between perceptions and expectations of educational service receivers at Management and Medical Information School of Shiraz Medical Sciences University, 2011]. J Med Educ Dev. 2014;6(12):69–78. Persian.
- Ghalavandi H, Beheshtirad R, Ghale'ei AR. Investigating the Quality of Educational Services in the University of Urmia through SERVQUAL Model. Quarterly J Manag Dev Process. 2012;25:49–66.