Published online 2022 March 28.

**Research Article** 

# Need for Further Medical Attention to Nonreassuring Cardiotocography Near Delivery in High-Risk Pregnancies

Mahboobeh Shirazi<sup>1</sup>, Behrokh Sahebdel<sup>1</sup>, Fetemeh Golshahi<sup>1</sup> and Marjan Ghaemi <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>,\*

<sup>1</sup>Yas Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Vali-e-Asr Reproductive Health Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Vali-e-Asr Reproductive Health Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: marjan\_ghaemi@yahoo.com

Received 2022 January 12; Revised 2022 February 26; Accepted 2022 February 26.

# Abstract

**Background:** Fetal cardiac monitoring indications during labor and near delivery in high- and low-risk pregnancies and their effects on neonatal outcomes have been investigated in previous studies; however, the data of nonreassuring cardiotocography (CTG) near delivery on neonates are insufficient.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to compare fetal distress with nonreassuring CTG in high- and low-risk pregnancies to see if high-risk pregnancies need different or more care or not.

**Methods:** This retrospective cohort study was conducted on pregnant women candidates for vaginal delivery in an academic hospital within 2017-2020. The participants were divided according to maternal and fetal risk factors into two groups of low-risk and highrisk pregnancies (including preeclampsia/eclampsia, diabetes, placenta abruption, and intrauterine growth restriction). Three obstetricians, blinded to the participants and neonatal outcomes, reviewed the CTG tracing near delivery individually. The features of nonreassuring CTG 30 minutes before delivery, including variable deceleration, late deceleration, slow return to base, tachycardia, and shoulder and overshoot patterns, were detected in the traces. Then, the neonatal outcomes, including umbilical artery pH at birth, Apgar scores at the 1st and 5th minutes, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), were compared between the groups.

**Results:** A total of 622 participants, including 322 high-risk and 300 low-risk pregnancies, with nonreassuring CTG, were recruited into the study. The adverse neonatal outcomes, such as NICU admission, low Apgar scores in the 1st and the 5th minutes, and pH < 7.1, were significantly different between high-risk and low-risk pregnancies with variable deceleration, tachycardia, and overshoot patterns. High- and low-risk pregnancies with late deceleration had only significantly different Apgar scores in the 5th minute. In the slow return to base features, the Apgar scores in the 1st and 5th minutes and NICU admission were significantly different in high- and low-risk groups. Additionally, NICU admission and low Apgar score in the 1st minute were higher in high-risk women in shoulder patterns.

**Conclusions:** Nonreassuring CTG near delivery might be accompanied by more fetal distress in high-risk pregnancies. Therefore, the nonreassuring features of CTG in high-risk pregnancies should be considered more important and might need prompt and timely action to decrease the adverse outcomes.

Keywords: Fetal Monitoring, High-Risk Pregnancy, Cardiotocography, Deceleration

# 1. Background

A high-risk pregnancy is any situation that has a potential risk for the mother or fetus (1). One in four women with risk factors of high-risk pregnancies is prone to maternal or neonatal complications; however, in those with low-risk pregnancies, it is only10% (2). Regarding labor and delivery, optimizing maternal and neonatal outcomes is the most important obstetrical care milestone. The World Health Organization has developed the standards for the improvement of the quality of maternal and newborn care (3). Based on this guideline, labor induction by augmentation should be used only in the facilities capable of close, regular monitoring of the fetal heart rate (FHR) and the pattern of uterus contraction and can manage the adverse effects (3).

Hypoxia during labor might be due to the compression of the umbilical cord or decreased placental perfusion during the contraction of the uterus, which is evident in the late decelerations (4). These changes are identified by cardiotocography (CTG) that should be documented for at least 30 minutes before the administration of oxytocin.

Copyright © 2022, Fertility, Gynecology and Andrology. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. Continuous CTG is advised in the time that oxytocin is used up to delivery (5). With a nonreassuring CTG pattern, the midwife or obstetrician indicated urgent clinical assessment that is the first step in reducing or stopping the oxytocin administration (5).

Continuous electronic fetal monitoring during childbirth is challenging. However, healthy women without complications would be considered low-risk and can use intermittent auscultation. The indications for continuous monitoring are preeclampsia, suspected intrauterine fetal growth restriction, diabetes, and preterm labor (6). A routine admission CTG in clinical use, especially in low-risk pregnancy, increases unnecessary cesarean delivery without the improvement of neonatal outcomes (7).

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (8) has categorized CTG into reassuring, nonreassuring, and abnormal forms. The initial management of nonreassuring features includes the modification of any underlying reasons, such as hypotension or uterine hyperstimulation (8). Monitoring indications in low-risk and high-risk pregnancies and their effects on neonatal outcomes have been investigated in previous studies; nevertheless, insufficient information on the differences in fetal distress with nonreassuring CTG has been investigated that is believed to help make decisions faster and reduce neonatal complications.

# 2. Objectives

This study aimed to evaluate arterial cord blood gases, Apgar scores, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) in high-risk and low-risk pregnancies with nonreassuring CTG 30 minutes before delivery to see if high pregnancies need different or more care or not.

# 3. Methods

# 3.1. Study Setting

This retrospective cohort study was carried out in the Labor Department of Yas Hospital, an academic center affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, within October 2017 and January 2020. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (reference code: 22496).

#### 3.2. Eligibility Criteria

The inclusion criteria were singleton term pregnancy with nonreassuring CTG in the last 30 minutes before delivery. Patients whose fetuses or newborns had structural or genetic abnormality were excluded from the study. The women who were admitted for scheduled cesarean delivery, noncephalic presentation, and missed data of neonatal outcome were also excluded.

#### 3.3. Participants

The participants were divided into high-risk and lowrisk pregnancies. High-risk pregnancies were defined as preeclampsia (mild or severe), eclampsia, insulindependent diabetes, placenta abruption, oligohydramnios, intrauterine growth restriction, maternal fever due to chorioamnionitis, and preterm and postterm labors. All FHRs were recorded with an electronic fetal monitoring machine (EDAN, China). All tracings were reviewed by three obstetricians, who were blinded to neonatal outcomes. The results were checked, and any conflicts were solved by discussion. The demographic and obstetrical data and the different types of nonreassuring CTG were described in a questionnaire. The intraobserver and interobserver reliability of this questionnaire was higher than 90% and 85%, respectively.

### 3.4. CTG Categories

The NICE has categorized CTG into reassuring, nonreassuring, and abnormal forms. Nonreassuring features include 100 - 109/161 - 180 beats/minutes as baseline beat, less than 5 beats/minutes variability for 30 -50 minutes/variability more than 25 beats/minutes for 15 - 25 minutes, variable decelerations for 90 minutes or more/variable decelerations with any concerning characteristics in up to 50% of contractions for 30 minutes or more/variable decelerations with any concerning characteristics in over 50% of contractions for less than 30 minutes/late decelerations in over 50% of contractions for less than 30 minutes, with no maternal or fetal clinical risk factors, such as vaginal bleeding or significant meconium (8). The overshoot, shoulder, tachycardia, and slow return to base patterns as the suspicious variants of CTG were also evaluated in this study.

#### 3.5. Neonatal Outcomes

Neonatal outcomes were defined as umbilical cord pH < 7.1 at birth, Apgar score < 7 at the 1st and 5th minutes, and admission to the NICU. Immediately after delivery, the cord clamping was performed for all fetuses by an obstetrician resident. While the placenta was still in situ, the cord was double clamped at a minimum length of 10 cm, and the artery was sampled in 2 cc preheparinized syringes and analyzed within 10 minutes.

Table 1. Demographic, Obstetrical, and Delivery Characteristics of the Participants a											
Maternal Age (y)	Fetal Weight (g)	Gravid	Gestational Age in Delivery (Week)	Bloody AF	Meconium AF	Vaginal Delivery	Cesarean Section				
$28.7 \pm 5.7$	$3067\pm64.7$	$2.0 \pm 1.3$	$38.3 \pm 2.1$	27(4.5)	97(15.5)	427(69.4)	188 (30.6)				

Abbreviation: AF, amniotic fluid.

 $^{
m a}$  Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD or No. (%).

# 3.6. Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were presented as absolute frequencies and percentages. Proportions were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Statistical analysis was carried out by SPSS software (version 20). A P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 4. Results

Among 622 patients who were included in the study, 322 women met the criteria for high-risk pregnancy, and the remaining 300 women were categorized as the low-risk group. Table 1 shows the demographic and delivery characteristics of the participants.

The neonatal outcomes, such as NICU admission, low Apgar scores in the 1st and 5th minutes, and pH < 7.1, were significantly different between high-risk and low-risk mothers with variable deceleration, tachycardia, and overshoot pattern (Table 2). High- and low-risk pregnancies with late deceleration had only significantly different Apgar scores in the 5th minute (P = 0.048). In the slow return to base features, the Apgar scores in the 1st and 5th minutes and NICU admission were significantly different in high- and low-risk groups. Moreover, NICU admission and low Apgar score in the 1st minute were higher in highrisk women in shoulder patterns (Table 2). As observed in Table 2, All adverse neonatal outcomes were more common in high-risk mothers with variable deceleration, slow return to base patterns, and shoulder patterns, except pH < 7.1 at birth.

# 5. Discussion

The results of the present study showed the existence of a significant higher proportion of neonatal adverse outcomes in high-risk pregnancies using nonreassuring CTG. Other studies have shown that variable deceleration and tachycardia were associated with fetal acidosis and thereby might develop fetal asphyxia. In addition, Dellinger et al. showed normal tracings were only 5.1% of Apgar scores < 7 at the 1st minute and 1.0% of Apgar scores < 7 at the 5th minute (9). Only 5.6% of the neonates in this group were admitted to the NICU. None of the neonates in this group was considered hypoxic.

Another study evaluated the relationship between the time spent in each FHR category during the last 2 hours before delivery and short-term neonatal outcomes. The results showed an increased chance of adverse short-term outcomes with increasing time in category II FHR patterns (10). Wood et al. showed the association of fetal acidemia and depth of variable decelerations. They reported that deeper variable decelerations are attributed to less fetal pH (11).

The current study showed that the cases with shoulder patterns and slow return to base had a higher frequency of Apgar scores < 7 at the 1st and 5th minutes and NICU admission; nevertheless, the present analysis failed to demonstrate a relationship between the aforementioned two patterns and pH < 7.1. Similarly, Cahill et al., in a retrospective cohort study, indicated that there was no association between shoulder patterns and acidemia (12).

In addition, Hamilton et al. compared fetal heart monitoring patterns of normal neonates with two groups with metabolic acidosis and no evidence of encephalopathy with acidosis and encephalopathy. They reported no association between atypical features of FHR and neonatal metabolic acidosis (13).

An important aspect of the current study is the comparison of neonatal outcomes and nonreassuring CTG regarding high-risk and low-risk pregnancies considering both mother's condition and FHR tracing are vital for an appropriate decision to terminate the pregnancy. Another strength of the present study was the interpretation of FHR tracing by four independent obstetricians who were blinded to all clinical factors and outcome data.

There is a potential limitation in the current study. Part of the samples was related to retrospective recorded data that affected the precision of information. However, it was tried to conduct a supervised data collection. Despite this potential limitation, it is believed that the present study's results contribute to the recent existing literature associating fetal heart monitoring type II with fetal acidemia and other birth outcomes in high-risk pregnancies.

Pregnancy Risk	1-Min Apgar Score < 7	<b>P-Value</b>	5-Min Apgar Score < 7	P-Value	NICU Admission	P-value	pH < 7.1	P-Value
Variable deceleration		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001
High	26 (48.1)		14 (25.9)		35 (64.8)		20 (37)	
Low	32 (32.7)		3 (3.1)		15 (15.3)		10 (10.2)	
Late deceleration		0.090		0.048		0.005		0.070
High	25 (57.1)		99 (28.6)		26 (67.9)		19 (46.4)	
Low	9 (34.6)		2 (7.7)		11 (42.3)		6 (26.1)	
Slow return to base		0.010		0.049		< 0.001		0.450
High	14 (58.3)		6 (25)		18 (75)		7 (29.2)	
Low	6(24)		1(4)		6 (24)		5(20)	
Tachycardia		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001
High	15 (91.8)		7(43.8)		16 (100)		13 (81.3)	
Low	13 (56.5)		3 (13)		21 (91.3)		3 (13)	
Shoulder		0.006		0.300		< 0.001		0.200
High	2 (100)		0		2 (100)		0	
Low	6 (18.2)		0		1(3)		0	
Overshoot		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.00
High	4 (100)		4 (100)		4 (100)		4 (100)	
Low	2 (33.3)		0		2 (33.3)		1(16)	

Abbreviations: min, minutes; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as No. (%).

### 5.1. Conclusions

Nonreassuring CTG near delivery might be accompanied by more fetal distress in high-risk pregnancies. Therefore, the nonreassuring features of CTG in high-risk pregnancies should be considered more important and might need prompt and timely action to decrease the adverse outcomes.

# Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: M. S.: Project development and manuscript writing; B. S.: Project development; M. G.: Data collection and management and manuscript editing; F. G.: Manuscript editing and data management.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Ethical Approval:** This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (reference code: 22496).

Funding/Support: There was no funding/support.

Informed Consent: It was not declared by the authors.

# References

- 1. Holness N. High-Risk Pregnancy. Nurs Clin North Am. 2018;**53**(2):241–51. doi:10.1016/j.cnur.2018.01.010. [PubMed: 29779516].
- Lennox CE. Assessment of obstetric high risk factors in a developing country. *Trop Doct.* 1984;14(3):125–9. doi: 10.1177/004947558401400309. [PubMed: 6464176].
- World Health Organization. Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities Geneva, Switzerland. Geneva, Switzerland; 2016. 84 p.
- Miller DA, Miller LA. Electronic fetal heart rate monitoring: applying principles of patient safety. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;**206**(4):278–83. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.08.016. [PubMed: 22000897].
- Writing G, Nunes I, Dupont C, Timonen S, Guideline P, Ayres de Campos D, et al. European Guidelines on Perinatal Care - Oxytocin for induction and augmentation of labor[Formula: see text]. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2021:1–7. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2021.1945577. [PubMed: 34470113].
- Heelan L. Fetal monitoring: creating a culture of safety with informed choice. J Perinat Educ. 2013;22(3):156–65. doi: 10.1891/1058-1243.22.3.156.
   [PubMed: 24868127]. [PubMed Central: PMC4010242].
- Bix E, Reiner LM, Klovning A, Oian P. Prognostic value of the labour admission test and its effectiveness compared with auscultation only: a systematic review. *BJOG*. 2005;**112**(12):1595–604. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2005.00766.x. [PubMed: 16305561].
- 8. NICE guideline CG190. *Interpretation of cardiotocograph traces*. London, United Kingdom; 2017. 4 p.
- Dellinger EH, Boehm FH, Crane MM. Electronic fetal heart rate monitoring: early neonatal outcomes associated with normal rate, fetal stress, and fetal distress. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;**182**(1 Pt 1):214–20. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9378(00)70515-1. [PubMed: 10649181].

- Jackson M, Holmgren CM, Esplin MS, Henry E, Varner MW. Frequency of fetal heart rate categories and short-term neonatal outcome. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;118(4):803–8. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31822fib50. [PubMed: 21897312].
- 11. Wood C, Newman W, Lumley J, Hammond J. Classification of fetal heart rate in relation to fetal scalp blood measurements and Apgar score. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1969;**105**(6):942-8. doi: 10.1016/0002-

9378(69)90102-1. [PubMed: 5348770].

- Cahill AG, Roehl KA, Odibo AO, Macones GA. Association of atypical decelerations with acidemia. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;**120**(6):1387–93. doi: 10.1097/aog.0b013e3182733b6e. [PubMed: 23168764].
- Hamilton E, Warrick P, O'Keeffe D. Variable decelerations: do size and shape matter? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25(6):648-53. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2011.594118. [PubMed: 21801143].