Published online 2019 October 8.

The Association of Unhealthy Diet with Socioeconomic Inequality in Children: A Study in Kurdistan, West of Iran

Farideh Mostafavi¹, Ghobad Moradi^{2,*}, Namamali Azadi³, Nader Esmaeilnasab² and Maryam Chamary⁴

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran

²Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for Health Development, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran

³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Faculty of Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Associate Professor, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for Health Development, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Pasdaran Ave., Sanandaj, Iran. Tel/Fax: +98-8733131366, Email: gh.moradi@gmail.com

Received 2018 July 04; Revised 2018 July 17; Accepted 2018 August 02.

Abstract

Background: The prevalence of obesity is an increasing public health problem.

Objectives: The present study aimed at estimating the prevalence of unhealthy diet among children aged 10 - 12 years in Kurdistan, west of Iran and assessing the association of unhealthy diet with socioeconomic inequality.

Methods: The present cross-sectional study was conducted on 2506 children living in Sanandaj city, west of Iran in 2015. The subjects were selected from the schools by multistage sampling method. The required data were collected using the food frequency questionnaire. The socioeconomic status (SES) was calculated by measuring household asset by using principal component analysis technique. The inequality was measured using concentration index, and Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition method was utilized to determine the proportion of different determinants causing inequality.

Results: The prevalence of unhealthy diet was 50.75% (95% confidence interval (CI): 48.79 - 52.71). The concentration index for unhealthy diet was -0.14 (95% CI: -0.18, -0.09), indicative of concentration of unhealthy diet in the group with low SES (P < 0.001). The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition analysis showed that the poor-rich gap in the prevalence of unhealthy diet was 27.77%, while 59.41% of the observed gap attributed to the explained component. The major causes of inequality were mother's level of education and place of residence, respectively.

Conclusions: The obtained results showed that the prevalence of unhealthy diet was higher in children with low SES. Increasing mothers' awareness of health literacy and performing place-based interventions can be effective in decreasing socioeconomic inequalities in unhealthy diet and prevention of chronic diseases in children.

Keywords: Unhealthy Diet, Socioeconomic Inequality, Concentration Index, The Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition, Children

1. Background

The prevalence of obesity and other nutrition-related risk factors are increasing among children and adults (1-3). Nutrition during childhood has a long-term impact on heart diseases during adulthood (4). Research results show that atherosclerosis begins in childhood (5) and fatty streaks and plaques in coronary arteries appear at the age of 5 to 10 years (6).

Eating habits and taste preferences are developed during childhood (7). Recommended diets for children aged two years and above emphasize consuming fruits and vegetables, cereals, fat-free and low-fat dairy products, meat, beans, and fish, and reducing energy uptake and consumption of saturated-fats, salt, and sugar (5). Studies show that the consumption trend of high-fat, high-calorie, and nonnutritious foods are increasing among children (7). The increasing prevalence of unhealthy eating and its role in the incidence of obesity in children grow concerns in this regard (8). Thus, understanding the factors influencing eating habits among a population with unhealthy diet is the most important step toward improvement and implementation of necessary interventions and policies (9).

Physical inactivity and unhealthy diet cause noncommunicable diseases, morbidity, and mortality in the developed countries especially among poor people (10, 11). Socioeconomic inequality leads to pro-poor prevalence of unhealthy diet and its related diseases such as diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular disease, some cancers, and osteoporosis in most of the developed and developing coun-

Copyright © 2019, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

tries (12-14). Unhealthy diet is independently or synergically associated with socioeconomic status (SES) (15). Generally, people with low SES have unhealthier diet such as low fruits and vegetables consumption, high intake of saturated-fats, fast foods, and sweet drinks. Such a diet increases the energy uptake and prevalence of obesity and consequently increases the risk of chronic diseases in older ages (16).

2. Objectives

Unhealthy diet in children received lots of attention lately, but to the best of the authors' knowledge, no studies determined socioeconomic inequality in unhealthy diet among children in Iran. The current study aimed at defining inequalities in unhealthy diet of a population of Iranian children. The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique was used to calculate the share of each determinant of inequality.

3. Methods

The current cross-sectional study was conducted in 2015 in Sanandaj city, the capital of Kurdistan province in the west of Iran, on 2506 male and female students aged 10 - 12 years, using multistage sampling. More details about the sampling method are presented elsewhere (17). The diet was evaluated using food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), which its validity is reported in previous studies in Iran (18). FFQ evaluates the frequency of food consumption on a daily, monthly, and yearly basis, according to their consumption unit. It also classifies different foods according to their similarities (19). Children whose food complied with the guideline received score 1 and the others zero. Participants were divided into two groups with healthy and unhealthy diet according to the obtained scores. Children in the highest quartile of eating habits were considered children with healthy diet (20). For SES variable, a questionnaire including the number of household assets was used. The principal composition analysis (PCA) technique was employed to calculate asset index that was divided into five quintiles including very poor, poor, moderate, rich, and very rich (21, 22).

To measure the inequalities, concentration curve (CC) and concentration index were used. Concentration index varies from -1 to +1; negative concentration index above the equality line indicates pro-poor outcome variable and positive values indicate pro-rich inequality. If the concentration index is zero, the CC is tangent to the equality line and no inequalities exist. The Kakwani method was used to calculate the concentration index. Due to binary variables, the Wagstaff correction was used (23, 24). The formula for the Kakwani method is as follows:

$$C = \frac{2}{\mu} Cov \ (y_i, R_i) \tag{1}$$

Where C is the concentration index, Cov the covariance, y_i the health variable, R_i the ith socioeconomic rank of individual, and μ the health variable mean.

The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition was used to analyze the gap between the rich and poor groups. The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition determines how much a given variation in inequality X changes the mean Y. This analysis breaks down the observed differences into two components as the following formula. The inequality associated with the differences in the mean of the determinants is referred to as X or the explained component, and the inequality related to the differences in the responses given by the different SESs or the difference of the coefficients is referred to as β or the unexplained component. CE is the interaction between the explained component and the coefficients (25).

$$y^{nonpoor} - y^{poor} = \Delta x \beta^{poor} + \Delta \beta x^{poor} + \Delta x \Delta \beta$$
(2)
= E + C + CE

The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition was used to calculate the contribution of each of these components to the total difference:

$$y^{nonpoor} - y^{poor} = (\beta_0^{nonpoor} - \beta_0^{poor}) + (\beta_1^{nonpoor} x_1^{nonpoor} - \beta_1^{poor} x_1^{poor}) + (\beta_2^{nonpoor} x_2^{nonpoor} - \beta_2^{poor} x_2^{poor}) = G_0 + G_1 + G_2$$
(3)

Where y is the mean of the outcome variable, i.e. unhealthy diet, G_0 the intercept difference, G_1 the difference of x_1 and β_1 , and G_2 the difference of x_2 and β_2 .

To carry out the decomposition, the relationship between unhealthy diet and the different determinants, including age, gender, parents' level of education, parents' age, and the SES were first evaluated using the logistic regression. The variables that were significantly related to unhealthy diet were then transferred to the Blinder-Oaxaca model. P value < 0.05 was considered as the level of significance for all the models (23). The data obtained were analyzed using Stata version 13 and SPSS version 20.

4. Results

Out of 2506 samples, 1284 students were in the 5th and 1224 in the 6th grades of elementary school (Table 1). The percentage of children with unhealthy and healthy diets was 50.75% (95% confidence interval (CI): 48.79 - 52.71) and 49.24% (95% CI: 47.28 - 51.20), respectively. The number of samples in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th quintiles was 1120

(44.00%), 465 (18.55%), 356 (14.20%), 315 (12.56%), and 250 (10.00%), respectively; while the prevalence of unhealthy diet in these quintiles was 57.32%, 50.32%, 51.12%, 44.44%, and 29.60%, respectively.

Table 2 shows the relationship between various socioeconomic factors and unhealthy diet based on logistic regression in raw and adjusted models. The findings showed that the prevalence of unhealthy diet was lower in children with high SES than their counterparts with low SES (odds ratio (OR) = 0.41, 95% CI: 0.30 - 0.57). The prevalence of unhealthy diet was lower in children living in high-SES neighborhoods (OR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.53 - 1.08). Higher maternal education level was a protective factor against unhealthy diet (OR = 0.55, 95% CI = 0.39 - 0.77). No significant correlation was observed between diet and age of parents or father's level of education.

The results of the current study showed that concentration index for unhealthy diet was -0.14 (95% CI: -0.18, -0.09), indicative of pro-poor unhealthy diet (P < 0.001). The curve related to unhealthy diet was above the line of equality and it showed the high concentration of unhealthy diet among children with low SES (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Concentration curve of unhealthy diet, Kurdistan, Iran, 2015

The decomposition results showed that the contribution of unhealthy diet for poor and rich groups was 57.37 (95% CI: 54.47 - 60.27) and 29.60 (95% CI: 24.00 - 35.19), respectively. The gap between the two groups was 27.77%,

Characteristic	No. (%)
Grade	
5th	1282 (51.16)
6th	1224 (48.84
Mother's level of education	X
Uneducated	473 (18.89)
Elementary school	640 (25.56
Guidance school	405 (16.17)
High school	548 (21.88)
Academic	438 (17.49)
Father's level of education	
Uneducated	218 (8.72)
Elementary school	501 (20.05)
Guidance school	420 (16.61)
High school	565 (22.61)
Academic	795 (31.81)
Quintile	
Poorest SES	1120 (44.00
2nd SES	465 (18.55)
Middle SES	356 (14.20)
4th SES	315 (12.56)
Richest SES	250 (10.00
Year	-50 (*****
10	374 (14.92)
11	1044 (41.66
12	1088 (43.42
Gender	
Male	929 (37.07)
Female	1577 (62.93
Residential area ^ª	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1st	355 (14.17)
2nd	604 (24.10
3rd	883 (35.24)
4th	373 (14.88)
5th (high SES)	291 (11.61)
Mother's age, y	
< 34	175 (6.99)
35 - 44	1574 (62.86
> 45	755 (30.15)
Father's age, y	. ,
< 34	970 (38.86
35 - 44	1282 (51.36)
> 45	244 (9.78)

Abbreviation: SES, socioeconomic status.

^aResidential area categorized by SES.

while 59.41% of the total differences were attributed to gender, mother's level of education, place of residence, or

	No. (%)	OR (Crude)	OR (Adjusted)	P Value
Gender				
Male	438 (47.14)	1	1	
Female	834 (52.88)	1.25 (1.06 - 1.48)	1.36 (1.15 - 1.61)	0.000
Mother's level of education				
Uneducated	279 (58.98)	1	1	
Elementary	353 (55.15)	0.85 (0.67 - 1.08)	0.87 (0.67 - 1.12)	0.28
Guidance	222 (54.81)	0.84 (0.64 - 1.10)	0.98 (0.73 - 1.31)	0.90
High school	260 (47.44)	0.62 (0.48 - 0.80)	0.77 (0.57 - 1.03)	0.08
Academic	157 (35.84)	0.38 (0.29 - 0.50)	0.55 (0.39 - 0.77)	0.001
Father's level of education				
Uneducated	129 (59.17)	1	1	
Elementary	297 (59.28)	1.00 (0.72 - 1.38)	1.04 (0.74 - 1.47)	0.77
Guidance	225 (53.57)	0.79 (0.57 - 1.10)	0.86 (0.60 - 1.24)	0.44
High school	267 (47.25)	0.61 (0.45 - 0.84)	0.79 (0.55 - 1.14)	0.21
Academic	350 (44.02)	0.54 (0.40 - 0.73)	0.99 (0.67 - 1.44)	0.96
Quintile				
Poorest SES	642 (57.32)	1	1	
2th SES	234 (50.32)	0.75 (0.60 - 0.93)	0.86 (0.69 - 1.09)	0.22
Middle SES	182 (51.12)	0.77 (0.61 - 0.98)	0.96 (0.75 - 1.25)	0.82
4th SES	140 (44.44)	0.59 (0.46 - 0.76)	0.75 (0.57 - 1.00)	0.05
Richest SES	74 (29.60)	0.31 (0.23 - 0.42)	0.41 (0.30 - 0.57)	0.000
Mother's age ^a , y				
< 29	93 (53.14)	1	-	
29 - 39	793 (50.38)	0.89 (0.65 - 1.22)		
> 40	385 (50.99)	0.91 (0.65 - 1.27)		
Father's age ^a , y				
< 29	489 (50.41)	1	-	
29 - 39	645 (50.31)	0.99 (0.84 - 1.17)		
> 40	132 (54.09)	1.15 (0.87 - 1.53)		
Residential area				
1	213 (60.00)	1	1	
2	329 (54.47)	0.79 (0.61 - 1.04)	0.88 (0.67 - 1.16)	0.39
3	468 (53.00)	0.75 (0.58 - 0.96)	0.94 (0.72 - 1.23)	0.69
4	140 (37.53)	0.40 (0.29 - 0.53)	0.58 (0.42 - 0.81)	0.002
5	122 (41.92)	0.48 (0.35 - 0.65)	0.76 (0.53 - 1.08)	0.13
Age ^a , y				
10	182 (48.66)	1	-	
11	536 (51.34)	1.11 (0.87 - 1.40)		
12	554 (50.91)	0.79 (0.86 - 1.38)		

Abbreviation: SES, socioeconomic status. ^aOR (crude) > 0.2 did not enter into the adjusted model.

the explained components. The largest share in the inequality was attributed to mother's level of education with 83.63% followed by place of residence with 17.81%. The rest of the gap between the two socioeconomic groups was attributed to unexplained components and associated with

differences in coefficients or factors that were not studied in the current study (Table 3).

	Prediction, %	P Value < 0.001	(95% CI)	
Unhealthy diet proportion in the poorest group	57.37		54.47	60.27
Unhealthy diet proportion in the richest group	29.60	< 0.001	24.00	35.19
Difference (total gap)	27.77	< 0.001	21.46	34.0
Due to endowment (explained)				
Residential area	2.94	0.43	-4.39	10.28
Gender	-0.24	0.46	-0.89	0.41
Mother's level of education	13.80	< 0.001	7.48	20.11
Subtotal gap (explained part)	16.50	< 0.001	8.73	24.20
Due to coefficients (unexplained)				
Residential area	0.80	0.94	-22.85	24.40
Gender	-2.05	0.67	-11.73	7.62
Mother's level of education	31.91	< 0.001	13.36	50.4
Constant	-8.44	0.48	-32.12	15.24
Subtotal gap (unexplained part)	22.22	< 0.001	14.76	29.75
Due to interaction				
Residential area	-0.25	0.94	-7.68	7.85
Gender	0.10	0.69	-0.42	0.63
Mother's level of education	-10.80	0.002	-17.68	-3.93
Total (interaction part)	-10.95	0.014	-19.66	-2.24

5. Discussion

The current study aimed at measuring inequality of unhealthy diet and defining the share of each of the determinants that caused inequality through the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition method. The result showed that 50.75% had unhealthy diet (95% CI: 48.79 - 52.71), while the concentration index for unhealthy diet was -0.14 (95% CI = -0.18, - 0.09) indicative of pro-poor inequality in unhealthy diet in children. The Blinder-Oaxaca results showed that the gap between poor and rich groups was 27.77%, while 59.41% of the observed gap was attributed to explained components with highest contribution of mother's level of education and place of residence.

The results of the current study showed that the unhealthy diet was higher in children with low SES. It was aligned with the results of the study by Lioret et al. (26), which reported a direct and significant relationship between consumption of milk and dairy products and SES (P < 0.0001). The current study was also aligned with the study by Attorp et al. (1) that reported 1.74 unit increase in SES caused 0.17 unit increase in fruit and vegetable consumption (P = 0.04). Moreover, it is aligned with the study by Giskes et al. (27), reporting the existence of a reverse relationship between lack of fruit and vegetable consumption with income. The results were though not aligned

with the results of the study by Vereecken et al. (9), reporting higher consumption of soft drinks in East and Central European among people with high SES as they were capable of buying such luxurious foods.

The results of the present study showed that unhealthy diet was more common in neighborhoods with low SES. This finding was aligned with that of the study by Carroll-Scott et al. (28), which showed people living in neighborhoods with low SES consumed more unhealthy foods such as fast, high-sodium, and fat-rich foods as well as soft drinks (P < 0.05). Also, the study by Vereecken et al. (9), showed that students entering high-SES schools had higher consumption of fruits (OR = 1.01, 95% CI = 0.97-1.04) and lower consumption of soft drinks (OR = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.90 - 0.96).

The current study results showed that mother's level of education was the most important factor in creating inequalities, which was aligned with those of the study by Giskes et al. (29) that reported the association of low consumption of fruits and vegetables with lower maternal level of education (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.39 - 1.58). The current study was also aligned with the study by Zarnowiecki et al. (15) reporting a reverse relationship between maternal education level and consumption of soft drinks and unhealthy nutritional behaviors (P < 0.05). The present study results was inconsistent with those of the study by Hulshof et al. (16), in which lower consumption of fruits and vegetables was reported among children of mothers with higher level of education (P < 0.05).

The current study had several limitations; it was conducted in Sanandaj, thus, the results could not be generalized to the country; the data were collected using a selfreport questionnaire and questions were referred to nutrition habits in the previous year; as a result, the collected data might have some bias.

5.1. Conclusions

The current study findings were indicative of high prevalence of unhealthy diet, especially among children with low SES. Special attention should be paid to mothers' level of education; performing interventions based on different neighborhoods can be effective in decreasing socioeconomic inequalities in unhealthy diet and preventing chronic diseases in children.

Footnotes

Conflict of Interests: It is not declared by the authors.

Ethical Approval: The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethics Committee of Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: 94/25).

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared no financial interest.

Funding/Support: The paper was extracted from a master's thesis. The research project was registered and supported by Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Iran (grant No. 25/94).

Patient Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants as well as their parents.

References

- Attorp A, Scott JE, Yew AC, Rhodes RE, Barr SI, Naylor PJ. Associations between socioeconomic, parental and home environment factors and fruit and vegetable consumption of children in grades five and six in British Columbia, Canada. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;**14**:150. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-150. [PubMed: 24517088]. [PubMed Central: PMC3929144].
- Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Boyce WF, Vereecken C, Mulvihill C, Roberts C, et al. Comparison of overweight and obesity prevalence in schoolaged youth from 34 countries and their relationships with physical activity and dietary patterns. *Obes Rev.* 2005;6(2):123–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2005.00176.x. [PubMed: 15836463].
- Troiano RP, Flegal KM. Overweight children and adolescents: Description, epidemiology, and demographics. *Pediatrics*. 1998;101(3 Pt 2):497–504. [PubMed: 12224656].

- 4. Ning H, Labarthe DR, Shay CM, Daniels SR, Hou L, Van Horn L, et al. Status of cardiovascular health in US children up to 11 years of age: The National health and nutrition examination Surveys 2003-2010. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2015;8(2):164–71. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUT-COMES.114.001274. [PubMed: 25782775].
- Gidding SS, Dennison BA, Birch LL, Daniels SR, Gillman MW, Lichtenstein AH, et al. Dietary recommendations for children and adolescents: A guide for practitioners: Consensus statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2005;**112**(13):2061–75. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169251. [PubMed: 16186441].
- Oalmann MC, Malcom GT, Toca VT, Guzman MA, Strong JP. Community pathology of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease: Post mortem serum cholesterol and extent of coronary atherosclerosis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1981;**113**(4):396–403. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.at13107. [PubMed: 7211824].
- 7. Nolan GA, McFarland AL, Zajicek JM, Waliczek TM. The effects of nutrition education and gardening on attitudes, preferences, and knowledge of minority second to fifth graders in the Rio Grande Valley toward fruit and vegetables. *Hort Tech*. 2012;**22**(3):299–304.
- Al-Hazzaa HM, Abahussain NA, Al-Sobayel HI, Qahwaji DM, Musaiger AO. Physical activity, sedentary behaviors and dietary habits among Saudi adolescents relative to age, gender and region. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2011;8:140. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-8-140. [PubMed: 22188825]. [PubMed Central: PMC3339333].
- Vereecken CA, Inchley J, Subramanian SV, Hublet A, Maes L. The relative influence of individual and contextual socio-economic status on consumption of fruit and soft drinks among adolescents in Europe. *Eur J Public Health*. 2005;**15**(3):224–32. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/cki005. [PubMed: 15905182].
- Ferrer RL, Cruz I, Burge S, Bayles B, Castilla MI. Measuring capability for healthy diet and physical activity. *Ann Fam Med*. 2014;**12**(1):46– 56. doi: 10.1370/afm.1580. [PubMed: 24445103]. [PubMed Central: PMC3896538].
- Singh GK, Siahpush M, Kogan MD. Rising social inequalities in US childhood obesity, 2003-2007. Ann Epidemiol. 2010;20(1):40-52. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2009.09.008. [PubMed: 20006275].
- Darmon N, Drewnowski A. Does social class predict diet quality? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;**87**(5):1107–17. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/87.5.1107. [PubMed: 18469226].
- James WP, Nelson M, Ralph A, Leather S. Socioeconomic determinants of health. The contribution of nutrition to inequalities in health. *BMJ*. 1997;**314**(7093):1545–9. doi: 10.1136/bmj.314.7093.1545. [PubMed: 9183207]. [PubMed Central: PMC2126753].
- Martikainen P, Brunner E, Marmot M. Socioeconomic differences in dietary patterns among middle-aged men and women. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003;56(7):1397–410. doi: 10.1016/s0277-9536(02)00137-5. [PubMed: 12614692].
- Zarnowiecki D, Ball K, Parletta N, Dollman J. Describing socioeconomic gradients in children's diets - does the socioeconomic indicator used matter? *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2014;**11**(1):44. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-11-44. [PubMed: 24674231]. [PubMed Central: PMC3986827].
- Hulshof KF, Brussaard JH, Kruizinga AG, Telman J, Lowik MR. Socioeconomic status, dietary intake and 10 y trends: The Dutch National Food Consumption Survey. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2003;**57**(1):128–37. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601503. [PubMed: 12548307].
- Mostafavi F, Moradi G, Azadi N, Esmaeilnasab N, Roshani D. Using Oaxaca decomposition to study socioeconomic inequity of physical activity among children aged 10-12 Years: A study in west of Iran. *Int J Prev Med.* 2019;**10**:83. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_222_17. [PubMed: 31198518]. [PubMed Central: PMC6547781].
- Esfahani FH, Asghari G, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Reproducibility and relative validity of food group intake in a food frequency questionnaire developed for the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *J Epidemiol.* 2010;**20**(2):150–8. doi: 10.2188/jea.je20090083. [PubMed: 20154450]. [PubMed Central: PMC3900814].

- Zaree M, Hamedinia M, Haghighi A, Yarahmadi H. Relationship physical activity level and sedentary behaviors with diet patterns among 12-14 year-old students boys in Sabzevar. J Sabzevar Univ Med Sci. 2013;19(66):371–81.
- 20. Mahan LK, Escott-Stump S. Krause's food and nutrition therapy. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders/Elsevier; 2008.
- Moradi G, Mohammad K, Majdzadeh R, Ardakani HM, Naieni KH. Socioeconomic inequality of non-communicable risk factors among people living in Kurdistan province, Islamic Republic of Iran. *Int J Prev Med.* 2013;4(6):671–83. [PubMed: 23930185]. [PubMed Central: PMC3733035].
- 22. Gamache P, Pampalon R, Hamel D. Methodological guide-the material and social deprivation index: A summary. *Institut National de Santé Publique Québec*. 2010.
- 23. Bammann K, Gwozdz W, Lanfer A, Barba G, De Henauw S, Eiben G, et al. Socioeconomic factors and childhood overweight in Europe: Results from the multi-centre IDEFICS study. *Pediatr Obes*. 2013;**8**(1):1–12. doi: 10.1111/j.2047-6310.2012.00075.x. [PubMed: 22888012].
- Moradi G, Majdzadeh R, Mohammad K, Malekafzali H, Jafari S, Holakouie-Naieni K. Is the status of diabetes socioeconomic inequality changing in Kurdistan province, west of Iran? A comparison of two surveys. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2016;**30**:375. [PubMed: 27493919]. [PubMed Central: PMC4972065].

- Powell LM, Wada R, Krauss RC, Wang Y. Ethnic disparities in adolescent body mass index in the United States: The role of parental socioeconomic status and economic contextual factors. *Soc Sci Med.* 2012;**75**(3):469–76. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.019. [PubMed: 22607746]. [PubMed Central: PMC3695403].
- Lioret S, Touvier M, Lafay L, Volatier JL, Maire B. Dietary and physical activity patterns in French children are related to overweight and socioeconomic status. *J Nutr.* 2008;**138**(1):101–7. doi: 10.1093/jn/138.1.101. [PubMed: 18156411].
- Giskes K, Turrell G, Patterson C, Newman B. Socio-economic differences in fruit and vegetable consumption among Australian adolescents and adults. *Public Health Nutr.* 2002;5(5):663–9. doi: 10.1079/PHN2002339. [PubMed: 12372160].
- Carroll-Scott A, Gilstad-Hayden K, Rosenthal L, Peters SM, McCaslin C, Joyce R, et al. Disentangling neighborhood contextual associations with child body mass index, diet, and physical activity: The role of built, socioeconomic, and social environments. *Soc Sci Med.* 2013;95:106–14. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.04.003. [PubMed: 23642646]. [PubMed Central: PMC4058500].
- Giskes K, Lenthe Fv F, Brug HJ, Mackenbach J. Dietary intakes of adults in the Netherlands by childhood and adulthood socioeconomic position. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2004;**58**(6):871-80. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601889. [PubMed: 15164107].