Published online 2021 January 2.

Systematic Review

Association of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* Null Deletions and *GSTP1* rs1695 Polymorphism with the Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Mohammad Hossein Khosravi^{1, 2, 3}, Heidar Sharafi¹ and Seyed Moayed Alavian^{1,*}

¹Middle East Liver Diseases (MELD) Center, Tehran, Iran

²Baqiyatallah Research Center for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases (BRCGL), Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ³Department of Research, Arka Education and Clinical Research Consultants, Tehran, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Middle East Liver Diseases (MELD) Center, Tehran, Iran. Email: alavian@thc.ir

Received 2020 May 23; Revised 2020 November 15; Accepted 2020 December 12.

Abstract

Context: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), as the most common type of primary liver cancer (accounting for 70% - 90% of all liver cancers), is the seventh most common malignancy worldwide. Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are a specific group of enzymes that are responsible for the detoxification of carcinogens. According to the available literature, genetic variations in this group of enzymes may be associated with the risk of HCC. In this study, we aimed to assess the association of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism with the risk of HCC.

Methods: We systematically searched electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, using appropriate keywords to gather relevant data until March 2019. Studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in the meta-analysis, using either fixed- or random-effects models based on the presence of heterogeneity.

Results: This meta-analysis pooled 19 studies for *GSTM1* null deletions, 14 studies for *GSTT1* null deletions, and five studies for *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism. In terms of heterogeneity, the pooled odds ratio (OR) was calculated in a random-effects model for both Asian and non-Asian populations. HCC was found to be associated with *GSTM1* null deletions (OR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.00 - 1.58, P = 0.05) and *GSTT1* null deletions (OR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.10 - 1.74, P = 0.005); however, no significant association was found between HCC and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism (OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.86 - 1.50, P = 0.36).

Conclusions: We found that *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions increased the risk of HCC; however, the *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism did not have a similar effect.

Keywords: Liver Cancer, Meta-analysis, GSTP1, GSTT1, GSTM1, Hepatocellular Carcinoma

1. Context

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), as the most common type of primary liver cancer, accounting for 70% - 90% of all liver cancers, is recognized as the seventh most common malignancy and the fourth cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1-4). Both environmental and individual genetic factors play important roles in the pathogenesis of HCC (5, 6). So far, several risk factors have been introduced for HCC, including chronic viral hepatitis (hepatitis B or C), non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), genetic predisposition with a history of HCC, and heavy alcohol or tobacco consumption (7, 8).

Previous research has confirmed the role of genes and metabolism-associated pathways in the development of HCC. Many upregulated and downregulated metabolic genes, such as those involved in the metabolism of carbohydrates and amino acids, are considered as key parameters in hepatocarcinogenesis (9). Glutathione Stransferases (GSTs), including glutathione S-transferase P1 (GSTP1), glutathione S-transferase T1 (GSTT1), and glutathione S-transferase M1 (GSTM1), are a specific group of enzymes, responsible for the detoxification of carcinogens (10).

Previous studies have shown that null deletions of *GSTM1* gene may be a predisposing factor for lung, blood, and colorectal cancers (11, 12). Moreover, there is some evidence regarding the effects of *GSTT1* null deletions and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism on the risk and prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas and lung can-

Copyright © 2020, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

cer (13, 14). Identification of the role of GST family in the hepatocarcinogenesis can improve risk and prognosis prediction in patients with HCC. Besides, the development of novel gene therapies, including clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR), highlights the importance of identifying the underlying genetic disorders.

Some original studies have investigated the association of *GSTM1* null deletions, *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism, and *GSTT1* null deletions with HCC; however, they could not reach a definite conclusion, which might be due to the small sample size of these studies or limitations of methods and facilities. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to assess the association of *GSTM1* null deletions, *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism, and *GSTT1* null deletions with the risk of HCC.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Resources and Search Strategy

We systematically searched electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, using combinations of the following keywords: "hepatocellular carcinoma", "HCC", "*GSTM1*", "*GSTT1*", and "*GSTP1*" (Appendix 1 in Supplementary File). First, a systematic search was conducted in November 2018, which was updated in March 2019. All selected articles were written in English. Besides, we screened the reference lists of all included studies for identifying any additional papers.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

We included case-control studies that assessed the relationship between HCC and *GSTM1* null deletions, *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism, and *GSTT1* null deletions. The diagnosis of HCC was mainly confirmed by imaging and measuring the serum alpha-fetoprotein level in all included studies. Studies that had not reported the exact number of patients with polymorphism genotypes in each group were not included. Also, studies without healthy controls were excluded. Healthy controls were defined as hospitalor community-based people with no history of liver diseases.

2.3. Study Selection, Quality Assessment, and Data Extraction

Two authors (M.H.K and H.S.) independently reviewed all identified papers (15). Any disagreements between the authors were resolved by neutral discussion. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale was applied for the evaluation of case-control studies (16). Next, the publication details and data about the patients were extracted from each study. Also, the first author's name, publication year, sample size, age, gender, and underlying diseases of patients, and frequency of each polymorphism genotype in each group were determined.

2.4. Data Analysis

For determining the heterogeneity of data, chi-square and I-square (I² range: 0% - 100%) tests were performed. Pvalue less than 0.1 was considered statistically significant for the heterogeneity of data, based on the chi-square test. If I² was less than 50%, there was no significant heterogeneity, and the fixed-effects model was used. On the other hand, if I² was above 50%, the random-effects model was selected to calculate the pooled odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI), and P-value. Statistical analysis and generation of forest and funnel plots were performed in Review Manager version 5.3.

3. Results

3.1. Study Screening

After searching the databases, we found 525 records and investigated 190 papers after removing duplicates. We excluded 112 articles by title screening and 34 articles by abstract screening. Also, during title and abstract screening, we excluded 37 articles owing to the study design (not casecontrol). Finally, after updating our search, 23 studies were included in this review (Figure 1).

3.2. Risk of Bias Assessment

The results of quality assessment for the case-control studies, based on the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, are shown in Table 1. All case-control studies were categorized as low risk. No study was excluded after this assessment.

3.3. Characteristics of the Selected Studies

The included papers were case-control studies published after 1995. These studies assessed the effects of all or at least one *GSTM1* null deletion, *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism, and/or *GSTT1* null deletion on the risk of HCC. The characteristics of these studies are presented in Table 2.

3.4. Outcome Evaluation

We evaluated the association of *GSTM1* null deletions, *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism, and *GSTT1* null deletions with the risk of HCC. Figures 2-4 present a summary of the results for *GSTM1* null deletions, *GSTT1* null deletions, and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism as forest plots, respectively. Figure 5 shows the funnel plots for all of the assessed polymorphisms.



Figure 1. Screening of articles based on the PRISMA statement

3.4.1. Association of GSTM1 Null Deletions with the Risk of HCC

We found the heterogeneity of data regarding the association of *GSTM1* null deletion with the risk of HCC in both Asian ($I^2 = 75\%$, P < 0.0001) and non-Asian ($I^2 = 87\%$, P < 0.00001) populations. Therefore, the pooled OR for the association of *GSTM1* null deletions and HCC was calculated using the random-effects model (OR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.00 - 1.58, P = 0.05). In the subgroup analysis regarding ethnicity, the OR was estimated at 1.32 (95% CI: 1.00 - 1.73, P = 0.05) for Asians and 1.18 (95% CI: 0.78 - 1.78, P = 0.43) for non-Asians. Figure 2 shows the forest plot of these pooled analyses.

Table 1. Quality Assessment of Studies Based on the Newcastle	e-Ottawa Scale		
First Author	Selection	Comparability	Exposure
Abo-Hashem et al. (17)	****	*	***
Asim et al. (18)	***	*	***
Bian et al. (19)	***	*	***
Boccia et al. (20)	***	*	***
Borentain et al. (21)	****	*	***
Chen et al. (22)	****	*	***
Gelatti et al. (23)	***	*	***
Imaizumi et al. (24)	****	*	***
Kao et al. (25)	****	*	***
Kiran et al. (26)	****	*	***
Kirk et al. (27)	****	*	***
Ladero et al. (28)	****	*	***
Ladero et al. (29)	****	*	***
Li et al. (30)	****	*	***
Dai Long et al. (31)	****	*	***
McGlynn et al. (32)	****	*	***
Munaka et al. (33)	***	*	***
Sophonnithiprasert et al. (34)	****	*	***
Sun et al. (35)	****	*	***
Tiemersma et al. (36)	****	*	***
Wei et al. (37)	***	*	***
Yu et al. (38)	****	*	***
Ma et al. (39)	***	*	***

3.4.2. Association of GSTT1 Null Deletions with the Risk of HCC

We found the heterogeneity of data regarding the association of *GSTT1* null deletions with the risk of HCC in both Asian ($I^2 = 67\%$, P = 0.006) and non-Asian ($I^2 = 81\%$, P < 0.0001) populations. Therefore, the pooled OR for the association of *GSTT1* null deletions with HCC was calculated in a random-effects model (OR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.10 - 1.74, P = 0.005). In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, OR was 1.37 (95% CI: 1.02 - 1.83, P = 0.03) for Asians and 1.40 (95% CI: 0.96 - 2.05, P = 0.08) for non-Asians. Figure 3 demonstrates the forest plot of these pooled analyses.

3.4.3. Association of GSTP1 rs1695 Polymorphism with the Risk of HCC

We found heterogeneity of data regarding the association of *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism with the risk of HCC in both Asian ($I^2 = 60\%$, P = 0.08) and non-Asian ($I^2 = 65\%$, P = 0.09) populations. Accordingly, the pooled OR for the association of *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism with HCC was calculated in a random-effects model (OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.86 - 1.50, P = 0.36). In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, the OR was 1.07 (95% CI: 0.75 - 1.54, P = 0.69) for Asians and 1.45 (95% CI: 0.62 - 3.40, P = 0.40) for non-Asians. Figure 4 shows the forest plot of these pooled analyses.

3.5. Publication Bias

According to Figure 5, we found significant publication bias in the evaluated studies.

4. Discussion

Chronic viral hepatitis and exposure to aflatoxins are two main risk factors for HCC in developing countries, making it a major cancer type in these regions (40). The metabolism of aflatoxins varies genetically among different populations, which justifies differences in the prevalence of HCC, despite the similarity of viral hepatitis infection and aflatoxin exposure (41). Therefore, *GSTM1* and

First Author (Reference)	Country	Ethnicity	Publication Year	HCC Cases, N	Control, N	Evaluated Polymor- phism(s)	GSTM1 Genotype in HCC, null/Present, %	GSTM1 Genotype in Control, Null/Present, %	GSTT1 Genotype in HCC, Null/Present, %	GSTT1 Genotype in Control, Null/Present, %	<i>GSTP1</i> Genotype in HCC, IV+VV/II,%	<i>GSTP1</i> Genotype in Control, IV+VV/II,%
Abo- Hashem et al. (17)	Egypt	Non-Asian	2016	40	40	GSTP1	-				42.5/57.5	22.5 77.5
Asim et al. (18)	India	Non-Asian	2010	254	525	GSTM1 GSTT1	59.8/40.1	29.9/70.1	38.6/61.4	16.8/83.2		
Bian et al. (19)	China	Asian	2000	63	88	GSTM1 GSTT1	57.1/42.9	42/58	87.3/12.7	62.5/37.5		
Boccia et al. (20)	Italy	Non-Asian	2015	221	290	GSTM1 GSTT1	52.2/47.8	51.9/48.1	29.9/70.1	23.9/76.1		
Borentain et al. (21)	France	Non-Asian	2007	56	89	GSTM1	46/54	61/39	•	-	-	
Chen et al. (22)	Taiwan	Asian	2010	177	386	GSTP1					36.7/63.3	29.5/70.5
Gelatti et al. (23)	Italy	Non-Asian	2005	200	400	GSTM1 GSTT1	49.5/50.5	53.8/46.3	16/84	18/82	-	
Imaizumi et al. (24)	Japan	Asian	2009	209	256	GSTM1	52.6/47.4	55.8/44.2	•			
Kao et al. (25)	Taiwan	Asian	2010	102	386	GSTM1 GSTT1	52.9/47.1	54.7/45.3	50/50	51.8/48.2	•	•
Kiran et al. (26)	India	Non-Asian	2008	63	169	GSTM1 GSTT1	25.4/74.6	22.5 77.5	27/73	14.2/85.8		
Kirk et al. (27)	Gambia	Non-Asian	2005	216	408	GSTM1 GSTT1	29.5/70.5	25.6/74.2	47/53	44.9/55.1	•	•
Ladero et al. (28)	Spain	Non-Asian	2007	184	248	GSTP1		•	•		52.2/47.8	51.4/48.6
Ladero et al. (29)	Spain	Non-Asian	2006	184	329	GSTM1 GSTT1	47.8/52.2	45.3/54.7	28.8/71.2	23.1/76.9	-	
Li et al. (30)	China	Asian	2012	476	481	GSTM1GSTT1GSTPi	51.3/48.7	43.9/56.1	25.2/74.8	19.6/80.4	59.5/40.5	56.1/43.9
Dai Long et al. (31)	China	Asian	2006	257	649	GSTM1GSTT1	69.65/30.35	48.07/51.93	56.81/43.19	45.76/54.24	-	
McGlynn et al. (32)	China	Asian	1995	52	116	GSTM1	56/44	41/59				
Munaka et al. (33)	Japan	Asian	2003	78	138	GSTM1GSTT1 GSTP1	38.5/61.5	49.3/50.7	51.3/48.7	47.8/52.2	23.1/76.9	33.3/66.7
Sophonnithipr et al. (34)	Thailand	Asian	2019	49	66	GSTM1 GSTT1	69/31	61/39	24/76	38/62		
Sun et al. (35)	Taiwan	Asian	2001	79	149	GSTMIGSTTI	37.7/62.3	60.2/39.8	44.8/55.2	77/51		
Tiemersma et al. (36)	Sudan	Non-Asian	2001	112	194	GSTM1 GSTT1	42.7/57.3	38.8/61.2	35.8/64.2	37.8/62.2		
Wei et al. (37)	China	Asian	2012	181	641	GSTM1 GSTT1	65.2/34.8	47.6/52.4	57.5/42.5	43.1/56.9	-	
Yu et al. (38)	Taiwan	Asian	1995	30	150	GSTM1	53.3/46.7	63.3/36.7				
Ma et al.	China	Asian	2001	120	140	GSTM1	59.1/40.9	46.4/53.6				

Abbreviation: ND, not determined.

GSTT1 null deletions and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism can predispose people in contact with environmental factors to HCC.

The hemostasis of amino acids (including leucine, isoleucine, and valine) and carbohydrate metabolism are necessary for liver function. All metabolism pathways are controlled by enzymes that are produced by specific genes. Some abnormalities in metabolic pathways, such as redox metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, amino acid metabolism, and drug/hormone metabolism, besides genes involved in these pathways, have been shown to af-

fect the risk and prognosis of HCC (42). By identifying the relationship between the expression level of these genes and the risk of HCC, researchers and physicians can find new methods of treatment and prevention for HCC, according to the individual's underlying genetic profile.

Phase II enzymes, including those encoded by *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, and *GSTP1* genes, play an important role in the detoxification of aflatoxins, as well as other carcinogens (43, 44). Previous research has shown that null genotypes may lead to enzyme deficiency and act as a predisposing factor for HCC (37). However, there are some discrepancies be-

	GSTM1	-Null	GSTM1-P	resent		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Asian Ethnicity							
Bian et al. 2000	36	73	27	78	4.4%	1.84 [0.96, 3.54]	
lmaizumi et al. 2009	110	253	99	212	5.9%	0.88 [0.61, 1.27]	
Kao et al. 2010	54	265	48	223	5.5%	0.93 [0.60, 1.45]	
Li et al. 2012	244	455	231	501	6.4%	1.35 [1.05, 1.74]	_
Long et al. 2006	179	491	78	415	6.2%	2.48 [1.82, 3.37]	
Mcglynn et al. 1995	29	76	23	92	4.4%	1.85 [0.96, 3.58]	
Munaka et al. 2003	29	97	48	118	4.9%	0.62 [0.35, 1.10]	
Sophonnithiprasert et al. 2019	34	74	15	41	3.8%	1.47 [0.67, 3.22]	
Wei et al. 2012	118	423	63	399	6.0%	2.06 [1.46, 2.91]	
Yu et al. 1995	16	111	14	69	3.8%	0.66 [0.30, 1.46]	
Yun et al. 2001	71	123	49	97	5.0%	1.34 [0.78, 2.28]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)		2441		2245	56.4%	1.32 [1.00, 1.73]	◆
Total Events	920		695				
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 1.95 ((P = 0.05)						
1.1.2 Non-Asian Ethnicity	450		400	470	0.00/	0 40 70 55 4 701	
Asim et al. 2010	152	309	102	4/0	6.2%	3.49 [2.55, 4.78]	
Boccia et al. 2015	105	255	96	235	5.9%	1.01 [0.71, 1.45]	
Borentain et al. 2007	20	08	30	60	4.3%	0.56 [0.29, 1.10]	-
	99	314	101	286	6.0%	0.84 [0.60, 1.18]	-
Kiran et al. 2008	10	54	4/	178	4.3%	1.17 [0.60, 2.30]	-
Kirk et al. 2005	44	120	105	323	5.5%	1.20 [0.78, 1.86]	-
	88	237	96	2/6	5.9%	1.11 [0.77, 1.59]	
Liemersma et al. 2001 Subtotal (95% CI)	47	1/20	60	2010	5.4%	1.20 [0.75, 1.93]	
Total Events	677	1403	640	2013	43.078	1.10 [0.70, 1.70]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.30; Chi ² Test for Overall Effect: Z = 0.79 (² = 53.41, d (P = 0.43)	lf = 7 (P	042 9 < 0.00001)	; l² = 879	%		
Total (95% CI)	. ,	3930		4264	100.0%	1.26 [1.00, 1.58]	•
	1497		1337				-
Total Events							

Figure 2. The forest plot for GSTM1 null deletions and the risk of HCC

tween the results of these studies, and none of them have reached a definite conclusion about the association of GST null genotypes with the risk of HCC; this may be related to the low sample size of these studies or differences in the studied populations and study designs. Accordingly, the present meta-analysis aimed to reach a relatively definite conclusion about the association of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions and *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism with the risk of HCC.

In the present meta-analysis, 23 studies were included, based on the inclusion criteria. All articles were casecontrol studies, and most of them were conducted among Chinese and Taiwanese populations. The pooled OR in our meta-analysis showed that *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions had significant relationships with the risk of HCC. However, we did not find any significant relationship between *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism and the risk of HCC. In this regard, Wang et al. (45) conducted a similar study to evaluate the association of *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* null deletions with the risk of HCC. After assessing 123 studies, 23 papers were included in their meta-analysis; they included studies written in English and Chinese languages. Statistical analysis revealed that independent or concurrent presence of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions significantly increased the risk of HCC in the Asian population, which is consistent with the results of the present study.

In another study, Song et al. (46) evaluated the effects of *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* null deletions on the risk of HCC. After assessing 287 studies, they finally included 34 articles in their meta-analysis. It should be noted that they included both case-control and cohort studies, which might explain the difference in the number of included studies with the present meta-analysis. The authors concluded that these polymorphisms slightly increased the risk of HCC in the Asian and Indian populations. Additionally, Sui et al. (47), by evaluating the effect of the concurrent presence of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions, reported no direct interaction between these polymorphisms and the risk of

	GSTT1-	Null	GSTT1-Pr	esent		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 Asian Ethnicity							
Bian et al. 2000	55	110	8	41	4.2%	4.13 [1.75, 9.73]	
Kao et al. 2010	51	251	51	237	7.5%	0.93 [0.60, 1.44]	
Li et al. 2012	120	214	355	742	8.7%	1.39 [1.02, 1.89]	
Long et al. 2006	146	443	1 1 1	463	8.9%	1.56 [1.17, 2.09]	
Munaka et al. 2003	39	105	38	110	6.4%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	
Sophonnithiprasert et al. 2019	12	37	37	78	4.4%	0.53 [0.23, 1.21]	
Wei et al. 2012	104	380	77	442	8.5%	1.79 [1.28, 2.49]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1540		2113	48.6%	1.37 [1.02, 1.83]	◆
Total Events	527		677				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.09; Chi ²	² = 17.99, c	lf = 6 (F	9 = 0.006); P	² = 67%			
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 2.12 (P = 0.03)						
1.1.2 Non-Asian Ethnicity							
Asim et al. 2010	98	186	156	593	8.4%	3.12 [2.22, 4.39]	
Boccia et al. 2015	60	129	141	361	7.8%	1.36 [0.90, 2.04]	
Gelatti et al. 2005	32	104	168	496	7.3%	0.87 [0.55, 1.37]	
Kiran et al. 2008	17	41	46	191	5.2%	2.23 [1.10, 4.52]	
Kirk et al. 2005	70	202	79	241	7.9%	1.09 [0.73, 1.61]	_
Ladero et al. 2006	53	129	131	384	7.8%	1.35 [0.89, 2.03]	
Tiemersma et al. 2001	39	110	73	196	7.0%	0.93 [0.57, 1.51]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		901		2462	51.4%	1.40 [0.96, 2.05]	
Total Events	369		794				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.21; Chi ²	² = 31.24, c	1f = 6 (F	< 0.0001);	l² = 81%	Ď		
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 1.76 (P = 0.08)	,	,				
Total (95% CI)		2441		4575	100.0%	1.39 [1.10, 1.74]	•
Total Events	896		1471				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.13: Chi ²	² = 49.30. c	f = 13 (P < 0.0000	1): ² = 7	4%		
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 2.82 (P = 0.005			.,,,			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for Subgroup Differences: C	hi ² = 0.01.	df = 1 (P = 0.91), P	² = 0%			Favours [Healthy Control] Favours [HCC]
. col. of oungroup Enterentions. o	0.01.			0,0			

Figure 3. The forest plot for GSTT1 null deletions and the risk of HCC

	GSTP1 I	V+VV	GSTP	1		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Asian Ethnicity							
Chen et al. 2010	65	179	112	384	24.4%	1.38 [0.95, 2.02]	
Li et al. 2012	283	553	192	403	32.0%	1.15 [0.89, 1.49]	+
Munaka et al. 2003 Subtotal (95% CI)	18	64 796	60	152 939	13.3% 69.7%	0.60 [0.32, 1.13] 1.07 [0.75, 1.54]	
Total Events	366		364				
Heterogeneity: Tau ^z = 0.06	; Chi² = 4.9	97, df =	2 (P = 0.0)8); l² =	60%		
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 0	0.39 (P = 0	.69)					
1.1.2 Non-Asian Ethnicity							
Abo-Hashem et al. 2016	17	26	23	54	6.9%	2.55 [0.96, 6.73]	
Ladero et al. 2007	96	209	88	195	23.4%	1.03 [0.70, 1.53]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		235		249	30.3%	1.45 [0.62, 3.40]	
Total Events	113		111				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26	; Chi² = 2.8	35, df =	1 (P = 0.0	9); l² =	65%		
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 0	0.85 (P = 0	.40)					
Total (95% CI)		1031		1188	100.0%	1.14 [0.86, 1.50]	•
Total Events	479		475				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05	; Chi² = 7.8	33, df =	4 (P = 0.1	0); l² =	49%		
Test for Overall Effect: Z = 0	0.91 (P = 0	.36)		-			U.1 U.2 U.5 1 2 5 10
Test for Subgroup Difference	es: Chi ² =	0.39 df	= 1 (P =	0.53) P	² = 0%		Favours [HealingControl] Favours [HCC]

Figure 4. The forest plot for GSTP1 rs1695 polymorphism and the risk of HCC



Figure 5. The funnel plots for the assessment of publication bias: A, GSTM1 null deletions; B, GSTT1 null deletions; and C, GSTP1 rs1695 polymorphism

HCC; however, each *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* deletion had its independent impact on the development of HCC. They also concluded that the concurrent presence of these genetic variations had a more significant effect on the risk of HCC in the Chinese population as compared to other populations.

Moreover, a recently published study evaluated the effects of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions on the risk of HCC. Li et al. (5) evaluated 41 articles, including studies written in English and Chinese languages. They reported that these genetic variations increased the risk of HCC in Asians, but not African or Caucasian populations. Nevertheless, this study did not have any precise or united inclusion criteria, which might account for the differences in the number of included studies.

The present study had some limitations. Since we were required to use the data reported in the selected articles, we were unable to perform a meta-analysis in subgroups in terms of gender or age.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest significant associations between *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* null deletions and HCC. However, no significant relationship was

found between *GSTP1* rs1695 polymorphism and the risk of HCC.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: MHK searched the databases, extracted the data, drafted the manuscript, and contributed to data analysis. HS analyzed the data and contributed to drafting the manuscript. SMA designed the study, contributed to drafting the manuscript, and critically revised the final version. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: We have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding/Support: The authors did not receive any support from any organization for this study.

References

- 1. Wei K, Peng X, Liang Z, Cen H. Liver cancer epidemiology world. *China Cancer*. 2015;**24**(8):621–30.
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(5):E359–86. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29210. [PubMed: 25220842].
- Sengupta B, Siddiqi SA. Hepatocellular carcinoma: important biomarkers and their significance in molecular diagnostics and therapy. *Curr Med Chem.* 2012;19(22):3722–9. doi: 10.2174/092986712801661059. [PubMed: 22680921].
- Fitzmaurice C, Akinyemiju TF, Al Lami FH, Alam T, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Allen C, et al. Global, Regional, and National Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-Years for 29 Cancer Groups, 1990 to 2016. *JAMA Oncol.* 2018;4(11). doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.2706.
- Li S, Xue F, Zheng Y, Yang P, Lin S, Deng Y, et al. GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotype increase the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: evidence based on 46 studies. *Cancer Cell Int*. 2019;19:76. doi: 10.1186/s12935-019-0792-3. [PubMed: 30976200]. [PubMed Central: PMC6441207].
- Habibi N, Nassiri-Toosi M, Sharafi H, Alavian SM, Shams-Ghahfarokhi M, Razzaghi-Abyaneh M. Aflatoxin B1 exposure and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Iranian carriers of viral hepatitis B and C. *Toxin Rev.* 2018;**38**(3):234–9. doi: 10.1080/15569543.2018.1446027.
- Abdel-Rahman O, Helbling D, Schob O, Eltobgy M, Mohamed H, Schmidt J, et al. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for the development of and mortality from hepatocellular carcinoma: An updated systematic review of 81 epidemiological studies. *J Evid Based Med.* 2017;10(4):245–54. doi: 10.1111/jebm.12270. [PubMed: 28891275].
- Yan G, Wang X, Sun C, Zheng X, Wei H, Tian Z, et al. Chronic Alcohol Consumption Promotes Diethylnitrosamine-Induced Hepatocarcinogenesis via Immune Disturbances. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):2567. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02887-7. [PubMed: 28566719]. [PubMed Central: PMC5451469].
- Zou RC, Xiao SF, Shi ZT, Ke Y, Tang HR, Wu TG, et al. Identification of metabolism-associated pathways and genes involved in male and female liver cancer patients. *J Theor Biol*. 2019;**480**:218–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2019.08.011. [PubMed: 31419443].
- Boyer TD. The glutathione S-transferases: an update. *Hepatology*. 1989;9(3):486–96. doi: 10.1002/hep.1840090324. [PubMed: 2646197].
- Hirvonen A, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Anttila S, Vainio H. The GSTM1 null genotype as a potential risk modifier for squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Carcinogenesis*. 1993;14(7):1479–81. doi: 10.1093/carcin/14.7.1479. [PubMed: 8330369].
- Loktionov A, Watson MA, Gunter M, Stebbings WS, Speakman CT, Bingham SA. Glutathione-S-transferase gene polymorphisms in colorectal cancer patients: interaction between GSTM1 and GSTM3 allele variants as a risk-modulating factor. *Carcinogenesis*. 2001;22(7):1053–60. doi: 10.1093/carcin/22.7.1053. [PubMed: 11408349].
- Pincinato EC, Costa EFD, Lopes-Aguiar L, Nogueira GAS, Lima TRP, Visacri MB, et al. GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 Ile105Val polymorphisms in outcomes of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients treated with cisplatin chemoradiation. *Sci Rep.* 2019;**9**(1):9312. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45808-6. [PubMed: 31249357]. [PubMed Central: PMC6597539].
- 14. Shahsavari G, Amiri A, Shamaei M, Adibhesami G, Emami Razavi A, Birjandi M, et al. The Relation between Polymorphisms in

Exon 5 and Exon 6 of GSTP1 Gene and the Risk of Lung Cancer in Iranian People. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2019;**20**(5):1503–9. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.5.1503. [PubMed: 31127915]. [PubMed Central: PMC6857866].

- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Prisma Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151(4):264–9. W64. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135. [PubMed: 19622511].
- Wells G. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non randomised studies in meta-analyses. 2001. Available from: http: //wwwohrica/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxfordasp.
- Abo-Hashem EM, El-Emshaty WM, Farag Rel S, Zakaria S, Abd El-Aziz M, Ghonaim A. Genetic Polymorphisms of Cytochrome P4501A1 (CYP1A1) and Glutathione S-Transferase P1 (GSTP1) and Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Among Chronic Hepatitis C Patients in Egypt. *Biochem Genet.* 2016;54(5):696–713. doi: 10.1007/s10528-016-9749-6. [PubMed: 27271262].
- Asim M, Khan LA, Husain SA, Husain S, Sarma MP, Ahmad I, et al. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S transferases M1 and T1 in Indian patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dis Markers*. 2010;**28**(6):369–76. doi: 10.3233/DMA-2010-0717. [PubMed: 20683151]. [PubMed Central: PMC3833703].
- Bian JC, Shen FM, Shen L, Wang TR, Wang XH, Chen GC, et al. Susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma associated with null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1. World J Gastroenterol. 2000;6(2):228–30. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v6.i2.228. [PubMed: 11819562]. [PubMed Central: PMC4723490].
- Boccia S, Miele L, Panic N, Turati F, Arzani D, Cefalo C, et al. The effect of CYP, GST, and SULT polymorphisms and their interaction with smoking on the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:179867. doi: 10.1155/2015/179867. [PubMed: 25654087]. [PubMed Central: PMC4310264].
- Borentain P, Gerolami V, Ananian P, Garcia S, Noundou A, Botta-Fridlund D, et al. DNA-repair and carcinogen-metabolising enzymes genetic polymorphisms as an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in Caucasian liver-transplanted patients. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007;**43**(17):2479-86. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2007.08.006. [PubMed: 17870518].
- Chen YL, Tseng HS, Kuo WH, Yang SF, Chen DR, Tsai HT. Glutathione S-Transferase PI (GSTP1) gene polymorphism increases age-related susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Med Genet*. 2010;**11**:46. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-11-46. [PubMed: 20331903]. [PubMed Central: PMC2851593].
- Gelatti U, Covolo L, Talamini R, Tagger A, Barbone F, Martelli C, et al. N-Acetyltransferase-2, glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genetic polymorphisms, cigarette smoking and hepatocellular carcinoma: a casecontrol study. Int J Cancer. 2005;115(2):301–6. doi: 10.1002/ijc.20895. [PubMed: 15688397].
- 24. Imaizumi T, Higaki Y, Hara M, Sakamoto T, Horita M, Mizuta T, et al. Interaction between cytochrome P450 1A2 genetic polymorphism and cigarette smoking on the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in a Japanese population. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009;**30**(10):1729–34. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp191. [PubMed: 19643819].
- Kao CC, Chen MK, Kuo WH, Chen TY, Su SC, Hsieh YH, et al. Influence of glutathione-S-transferase theta (GSTT1) and micro (GSTM1) gene polymorphisms on the susceptibility of hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwan. J Surg Oncol. 2010;102(4):301–7. doi: 10.1002/jso.21643. [PubMed: 20672314].
- Kiran M, Chawla YK, Kaur J. Glutathione-S-transferase and microsomal epoxide hydrolase polymorphism and viral-related hepatocellular carcinoma risk in India. DNA Cell Biol. 2008;27(12):687–94. doi: 10.1089/dna.2008.0805. [PubMed: 18816171].

- Kirk GD, Turner PC, Gong Y, Lesi OA, Mendy M, Goedert JJ, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and polymorphisms in carcinogen-metabolizing and DNA repair enzymes in a population with aflatoxin exposure and hepatitis B virus endemicity. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2005;14(2):373–9. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0161. [PubMed: 15734960].
- Ladero JM, Martinez C, Fernandez JM, Martin F, Garcia-Martin E, Ropero P, et al. Glutathione S-transferases pi 1, alpha 1 and M3 genetic polymorphisms and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in humans. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2007;8(8):895–9. doi: 10.2217/14622416.8.8.895. [PubMed: 17716224].
- Ladero JM, Martinez C, Garcia-Martin E, Ropero P, Briceno O, Villegas A, et al. Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genetic polymorphisms are not related to the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a study in the Spanish population. *Eur J Cancer.* 2006;42(1):73–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2005.08.033. [PubMed: 16314088].
- Li CG, Zhao ZM, Hu MG, Liu R. Predictive role of glutathione-Stransferase gene polymorphisms in risk and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012;13(7):3247-52. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2012.13.7.3247. [PubMed: 22994742].
- Long XD, Ma Y, Wei YP, Deng ZL. The polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTT1, HYL1*2, and XRCC1, and aflatoxin B1-related hepatocellular carcinoma in Guangxi population, China. *Hepatol Res.* 2006;36(1):48–55. doi: 10.1016/j.hepres.2006.06.004. [PubMed: 16884947].
- McGlynn KA, Rosvold EA, Lustbader ED, Hu Y, Clapper ML, Zhou T, et al. Susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma is associated with genetic variation in the enzymatic detoxification of aflatoxin B1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1995;92(6):2384–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.6.2384. [PubMed: 7892276]. [PubMed Central: PMC42488].
- Munaka M, Kohshi K, Kawamoto T, Takasawa S, Nagata N, Itoh H, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of tobacco- and alcohol-related metabolizing enzymes and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2003;**129**(6):355–60. doi: 10.1007/s00432-003-0439-5. [PubMed: 12759747].
- Sophonnithiprasert T, Saelee P, Pongtheerat T. GSTM1 and GSTT1 copy number variants and the risk to Thai females of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastrointest Oncol.* 2019;**10**(2):324–9. doi: 10.21037/jgo.2018.09.14. [PubMed: 31032101]. [PubMed Central: PMC6465500].
- 35. Sun CA, Wang LY, Chen CJ, Lu SN, You SL, Wang LW, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferases M1 and T1 associated with susceptibility to aflatoxin-related hepatocarcinogenesis among chronic hepatitis B carriers: a nested case-control study in Taiwan. *Carcinogenesis*. 2001;**22**(8):1289–94. doi: 10.1093/carcin/22.8.1289. [PubMed: 11470760].
- 36. Tiemersma EW, Omer RE, Bunschoten A, van't Veer P, Kok FJ, Idris MO,

et al. Role of genetic polymorphism of glutathione-S-transferase T1 and microsomal epoxide hydrolase in aflatoxin-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2001;**10**(7):785–91. [PubMed: 11440964].

- Wei Y, Long X, Liu Z, Ma Y, Deng Z. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione-S-transferase M1 and T1 in associated with carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Chin German J Clin Oncol*. 2012;11(3):138–41. doi: 10.1007/s10330-011-0945-x.
- Yu MW, Gladek-Yarborough A, Chiamprasert S, Santella RM, Liaw YF, Chen CJ. Cytochrome P450 2E1 and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 1995;109(4):1266–73. doi: 10.1016/0016-5085(95)90587-1. [PubMed: 7557094].
- Ma Y, Deng Z, Wei Y. Study of the deletion mutation of glutathione transferase M1 gene and its role in susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chin J Cancer Res.* 2001;13(3):176–8. doi: 10.1007/bf02983879.
- London WT, McGlynn KA. Schottenfeld D, Fraumeni JF, editors. *Liver cancer*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 772–93.
- 41. Parkin DM, Whelan SL, Ferlay J, Raymond L, Young J. *Cancer, Incidence in Five Continents VISP.* Lyon, France: IARC; 1997.
- Benfeitas R, Bidkhori G, Mukhopadhyay B, Klevstig M, Arif M, Zhang C, et al. Characterization of heterogeneous redox responses in hepatocellular carcinoma patients using network analysis. *EBioMedicine*. 2019;**40**:471-87. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.057. [PubMed: 30606699]. [PubMed Central: PMC6412169].
- Liu YH, Taylor J, Linko P, Lucier GW, Thompson CL. Glutathione Stransferase mu in human lymphocyte and liver: role in modulating formation of carcinogen-derived DNA adducts. *Carcinogenesis*. 1991;**12**(12):2269–75. doi: 10.1093/carcin/12.12.2269. [PubMed: 1747926].
- 44. Chasseaud LF. The role of glutathione and glutathione S-transferases in the metabolism of chemical carcinogens and other electrophilic agents. *Adv Cancer Res.* 1979;**29**:175–274. doi: 10.1016/s0065-230x(08)60848-9. [PubMed: 474272].
- 45. Wang B, Huang G, Wang D, Li A, Xu Z, Dong R, et al. Null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1 contribute to hepatocellular carcinoma risk: evidence from an updated meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2010;**53**(3):508-18. doi: 10.1016/j.j.hep.2010.03.026. [PubMed: 20561699].
- Song K, Yi J, Shen X, Cai Y. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase genes GSTM1, GSTT1 and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(11). e48924. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0048924. [PubMed: 23185284]. [PubMed Central: PMC3502401].
- Sui C, Ma J, He X, Wang G, Ai F. Interactive effect of glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 polymorphisms on hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2014;35(8):8235–41. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-2071-1. [PubMed: 24852428].