Published Online: 2024 November 20

Systematic Review



# Serum Alpha-fetoprotein Associated with Treatment Efficacy of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis and a Retrospective Cohort Study

Zhengzheng Ji (b<sup>1,2</sup>, Ding Fang<sup>3</sup>, Jiasong Li (b<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruijie Cao (b<sup>1</sup>, Handong Wang<sup>4</sup>, Zesong Meng<sup>4</sup>, Zhanjun Guo (b<sup>1</sup>, Yue Zhao (b<sup>2,\*</sup>)

<sup>1</sup> Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China

 $^2$  Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China

\* Corresponding Author: Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China. Email: daisyviviiii@sina.com

Received: 24 January, 2024; Revised: 5 August, 2024; Accepted: 6 September, 2024

# Abstract

**Context:** Serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) has been shown to be valuable in tumor staging and predicting survival outcomes. In this investigation, we conducted a retrospective cohort analysis and a meta-analysis to assess the predictive significance of initial AFP levels in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who underwent treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs).

**Methods:** We searched databases from inception until 14 July 2024 to identify cohort studies involving ICI treatments in HCC patients with baseline AFP data. We also retrospectively analyzed patients with HCC treated with ICIs to assess the therapeutic effect in the high AFP (AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL) group and the low AFP (AFP < 400 ng/mL) group in terms of overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), objective response rate (ORR), and disease control rate (DCR).

**Results:** In the meta-analysis, a total of 34 studies, comprising 8,799 patients, were included, while the retrospective cohort study encompassed 55 patients. In the meta-analysis, the summarized hazard ratios (HRs) of AFP  $\ge$  400 ng/mL versus AFP < 400 ng/mL for ICI therapy indicated that the high AFP group had a poorer outcome compared to the low AFP group, with a pooled HR for OS of 1.69 (95% CI: 1.57 - 1.82, P < 0.001) and a pooled HR for PFS of 1.47 (95% CI: 1.33 - 1.63, P < 0.001). In the retrospective cohort study, higher AFP levels were associated with a lower DCR for ICIs, with a DCR of 42.9% in the high AFP group and 77.8% in the low AFP group (P = 0.008). Cox model analysis showed that higher serum AFP was an independent predictor for shorter OS (HR 3.584, 95% CI: 1.466 - 8.762, P = 0.005). The toxicity analysis also displayed a strong association between high AFP and the occurrence of immune-related adverse events (irAEs)(P = 0.008).

Conclusions: Higher serum AFP is associated with poorer efficacy of ICI treatment in HCC patients.

*Keywords:* Alpha-fetoprotein, Therapeutic Efficacy, Prognosis, Meta-Analysis, Retrospective Cohort Study, Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors

# 1. Context

According to reports from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) in 2021, liver cancer accounted for approximately 830,000 deaths worldwide in 2020, making it the third leading cause of cancerrelated mortality (1, 2). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the predominant form of hepatic malignancy, responsible for approximately 75% to 85% of liver cancer cases (1). Due to the lack of specific clinical symptoms in the early stages, most HCC is diagnosed at an advanced stage, requiring systemic treatment. In recent years, the field of systemic therapy for HCC has made significant progress, from Sorafenib, approved in 2007 [median overall survival (OS): 13.4 months], to the current standard first-line therapy of atezolizumab combined

Copyright © 2024, Ji et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

with bevacizumab (median OS: 19.2 months) (3), which has led to a significant improvement in the overall prognosis of HCC patients. Immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy is making a substantial contribution to the comprehensive management of advanced HCC.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors enhance the immune system's anti-tumor activity by blocking immune downregulating factors such as programmed cell death receptor 1 (PD-1), programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), and cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4), thereby increasing the cytotoxicity of T-cells (4). The effect of ICIs is influenced by the immune environment of the tumor (5). It has been reported that factors such as PD-L1 expression, peripheral cytokine levels, gut microbiota, antibiotic use, growth hormone, Systemic Inflammation Response Index (SIRI), and sarcopenia can predict the prognosis of malignant tumor patients treated with ICIs (6-12). Despite the breakthrough of ICIs combined with anti-angiogenesis therapy, the objective response rate (ORR) of ICI combination therapy for HCC is around 30% (3). Therefore, it is a major challenge to identify appropriate indications for ICI therapy by exploring predictors of ICI efficacy in serum or tissue. The presence of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) could potentially suggest enhanced effectiveness of ICI treatment (13), but biomarkers that can predict the occurrence of irAEs remain uncertain.

Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) is a monosaccharide protein of 67 - 74 kD synthesized primarily by the liver during early fetal life. It reaches its highest level during fetal growth and decreases after birth (14). Alpha-fetoprotein, combined with imaging diagnostics, is the most widely used screening index for HCC diagnosis due to its high diagnostic specificity and sensitivity, with levels increased in approximately 70% - 80% of patients diagnosed with primary liver cancer (15). However, patients with negative AFP cannot be excluded from having primary liver cancer, as serum AFP levels are not elevated in 20% of individuals diagnosed with primary liver cancer (15). On one hand, AFP directly facilitates immune evasion by impairing the activation and function of natural killer (NK) cells. On the other hand, AFP induces abnormal differentiation of dendritic cells by inhibiting their function and reduces the generation of inflammatory cytokines and chemotactic factors, thereby limiting the activation and proliferation of T- cells, indirectly enabling immune escape (16). Alphafetoprotein response after treatment is an important index widely used to evaluate the efficacy of HCC treatment (17). Although baseline AFP levels can be used to predict outcomes for HCC surgery, liver transplantation, and targeted therapy (sorafenib, regorafenib) for HCC (16, 18, 19), it is unclear whether it influences ICI treatment efficacy for HCC. To examine the connection between baseline serum AFP levels and the effectiveness of ICIs in HCC, a meta-analysis was conducted. Since specific OS, progression-free survival (PFS), disease control rate (DCR), and ORR were rarely provided in the literature we searched, we also performed a retrospective cohort analysis to assess the influence of initial AFP levels on the effectiveness of ICI treatment for HCC.

## 2. Materials and Methods in the Meta-Analysis

This meta-analysis was conducted based on the guidelines of the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) (20).

#### 2.1. Literature Search Strategy

To ensure a thorough analysis, we conducted a comprehensive search across various electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Web of Science, encompassing articles published prior to 14 July 2024. The ClinicalTrials.gov and Chinese Clinical Trial Registry were also screened to include updated outcomes and unpublished trials. The search terms primarily included the following words: "Immune checkpoint inhibitors," "Pembrolizumab," "Nivolumab," "Atezolizumab," "Durvalumab," "Tislelizumab," "Camrelizumab," "Sintilimab," "Carcinoma, Hepatocellular," "Survival Rate," "Prognosis".

### 2.2. Study Selection

Inclusion criteria: (1) study design type: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or cohort studies on the treatment of HCC with ICIs; (2) study subjects: Individuals diagnosed with HCC, confirmed by imaging or pathological evidence; (3) measures of intervention: Immune checkpoint inhibitormonotherapy or ICIs combined with targeted drugs; (4) study outcomes: Hazard ratios (HRs) for survival according to baseline AFP levels.

Exclusion criteria: (1) duplicate articles; (2) articles in the following categories: Reviews, bioinformatics analyses, meeting summaries, case reports, animal experiments, expert consensuses, or editorials; (3) articles that did not specify the type of research; (4) articles that did not provide the necessary outcomes; (5) research conducted with an insufficient sample size (sample size < 100); (6) articles in languages other than English.

### 2.3. Data Extraction

Two independent reviewers conducted the screening and data extraction processes, and any discrepancies were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer. All reviewers were unaware of the study outcomes until the statistical analysis was performed. For each study included in the analysis, we collected the following details: Study name, year of publication, first author, geographical region, sample study type, size, and demographic baseline characteristics of participants, line of therapy, treatment strategy, clinical stage, follow-up time, demarcated value of serum AFP, number of patients at different AFP levels, and HRs for survival according to baseline AFP.

#### 2.4. Quality Assessment

The Cochrane risk of bias evaluation tool was used to assess the quality of the RCTs, categorizing the evaluation outcomes into high, low, and unknown risk of bias. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was employed to evaluate the quality of cohort studies. A total of 9 stars were used to assess article quality, and only those with fewer than 6 stars were excluded.

### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of relevant outcome indicators was conducted using Stata 15.1 software. The HRs for OS and PFS, along with their 95% CI (confidence intervals), were used as the summary measures, with a significance level of P < 0.05. Heterogeneity was evaluated using the Cochrane Q statistic and the I<sup>2</sup> value. A fixed-effects model was applied when I<sup>2</sup> < 50% or P > 0.10, while a random-effects model was used otherwise. Sensitivity analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3, and the risk of publication bias was assessed through Begg's and Egger's tests. No publication bias was considered to exist when P > 0.05. Further bias testing

was not necessary if fewer than ten articles were included in the study.

# 3. Materials and Methods in the Retrospective Cohort Study

## 3.1. Patients

Patients with HCC who underwent ICI monotherapy or a combination of ICIs and targeted therapy at the Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University from May 7, 2019, to December 30, 2023, were included.

The following inclusion criteria had to be met: (1) patients must be at least 18 years of age; (2) HCC was diagnosed based on the liver imaging reporting and data system (LI-RADS), AFP, and pathology, specifically LI-RADS 5, LI-RADS 4 with AFP levels > 400 ng/mL, or histopathological examination; (3) patients not suitable for radical surgical treatment; (4) patients who had not received ICIs previously; (5) patients who received at least one cycle of ICI systemic therapy and had an imaging efficacy evaluation after treatment; (6) patients with at least one measurable target lesion; (7) patients with abdominal computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan data within one week prior to ICI treatment; (8) patients with serum AFP data within one week prior to ICI treatment.

The following exclusion criteria were applied: (1) patients with HCC combined with hepatobiliary duct carcinoma; (2) patients receiving local interventional therapy during ICI treatment; (3) patients with fatal immune-related adverse events (irAEs); (4) patients with a history of malignant tumors of other organs or liver metastases; (5) patients with incomplete electronic case data; (6) patients lost to follow-up.

## 3.2. Treatment

The ICIs applied include anti-PD-1 drugs (pembrolizumab, toripalimab, camrelizumab, tislelizumab, sintilimab) and anti-PD-L1 drugs (atezolizumab). The targeted drugs used include tyrosine kinase inhibitors (lenvatinib, sorafenib, regorafenib) and vascular endothelial growth factor antagonists (bevacizumab, apatinib). The dosage and administration of the drugs followed the instructions provided with the medication. Tumor response evaluation was conducted using CT or MRI scans after every 2 or 3 treatment cycles, following the guidelines

outlined in version 1.1 of the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST v1.1) (21).

### 3.3. Patient Outcomes

Progression-free survival was defined as the duration from the initial administration of ICI therapy until disease progression, death, or study conclusion. Overall survival was defined as the period from the commencement of ICI-based systemic treatment until death or study termination. Objective response rate was determined by calculating the proportion of patients who exhibited a complete response (CR) or partial response (PR). Disease control rate was calculated based on the percentage of patients with CR, PR, or stable disease (SD).

## 3.4. Variables

Alpha-fetoprotein concentrations exceeding 400 ng/mL, along with supplementary imaging, can be employed for the diagnosis of HCC (14). To evaluate the potential prognostic significance of initial serum AFP levels in predicting response to ICI therapy, patients were categorized into two groups based on a cutoff value of 400 ng/mL: A high AFP group and a low AFP group.

The clinical characteristics of each patient were also recorded, including age, sex, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS), hepatitis virus infection status, hepatitis DNA replication status, Child-Pugh score, portal vein thrombosis, number of intrahepatic lesions, maximum size of intrahepatic lesions, extrahepatic spread, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage, previous treatment, treatment line, treatment regimen, irAEs, and smoking history.

## 3.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS 15.1 software (IBM SPSS, NY, USA). The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare categorical data. Logistic regression was utilized for multivariate analysis of categorical variables. Survival curves were generated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Multivariate survival analysis was performed using a Cox proportional hazards model. A significance level below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 4. Results

# 4.1. Selection Process

The two reviewers independently devised search strategies. After an initial examination, a total of 6,684 pertinent studies were identified, comprising 6,649 records from the database search and an additional 35 records from manual searching. Among these, 1,083 articles were deemed potentially relevant following title and abstract screening. Subsequent screening led to the selection of 168 articles for further evaluation. After a thorough assessment of the full texts of the remaining 168 studies, we included 34 cohort studies published between 2019 and 2024, encompassing a patient population of 8,799 individuals. Figure 1 presents a flowchart illustrating the process employed for study selection.

After screening, a total of 55 patients diagnosed with HCC and treated with ICIs at the Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University were included in this retrospective cohort study. The patient selection procedure is visually represented in Appendix 1.

# 4.2. Quality Evaluation

The Cochrane risk of bias assessment determined that the three RCTs included in this study had a minimal risk of bias (Appendix 2). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the quality of the 30 cohort studies, and they were found to have a NOS score  $\geq$  6, indicating medium-to-high quality (Appendix 3).

# 4.3. Study and Patient Characteristics

A total of 34 enrolled articles, published between 2019 and 2024, included 30 cohort studies and 4 RCTs. Of the 30 cohort studies, 12 were from China, 5 from Japan, 2 from Korea, 2 from France, 1 from Taiwan, 1 from the USA, 1 from Singapore, and 6 were multicenter clinical studies. In 6 studies, all patients received ICI monotherapy; in 18 studies, patients were treated with immunotherapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy; in the remaining 6 studies, some patients were treated with ICI monotherapy while others received combined immunotherapy (combined with antiangiogenic therapy or locoregional therapy). All the included randomized controlled trials were phase 3 trials, including 3 global clinical trials and 1 clinical trial in China. In the 4 RCTs with sorafenib as the control



Figure 1. Study selection flowchart

group, nivolumab was used as the experimental treatment in 1 RCT, while ICI treatment combined with targeted therapy was used in 3 RCTs. The features of the selected studies are presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

The retrospective cohort study included a total of 55 patients, with 4 patients receiving ICI monotherapy and 51 patients receiving a combination of ICIs and targeted therapy. Among them, there were 28 patients in the high AFP group (AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL) and 27 patients in the low AFP group (AFP < 400 ng/mL). The characteristics of the patient population can be found in Appendix 4. The overall median OS was 13.967 months (95% CI: 14.618 - 23.316), while the median PFS was 7.267 months (95% CI: 2.522 - 12.012). In terms of clinical efficacy assessment, none of the patients achieved CR, but PR was observed in 3 cases, and SD was seen in 30 cases, resulting in an

ORR of 5.6% (95% CI: -0.7% - 11.7%) and a DCR of 60% (95% CI: 46.6%-73.4%) (Table 3).

#### 4.4. Evaluation of Survival Outcomes

4.4.1. Hazard Ratios of Alpha-Fetoprotein  $\geq$  400 ng/mL vs. Alpha-Fetoprotein < 400 ng/mL for Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors Therapy

In the 24 cohort studies that provided HRs for AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL vs. AFP < 400 ng/mL for OS in univariate analysis (6, 28, 29, 32, 34), the combined HR for OS was 1.69 (95% CI: 1.57-1.82, P < 0.001), indicating low heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, P = 0.743) (Figure 2A). This suggests that higher levels of AFP are significantly associated with poorer survival outcomes compared to lower AFP levels after ICIs therapy. The high AFP group

Authors	Published	Geographical Area	Research Type	Treatment Strategy	No. of Patients Total (HAFP/LAFP)	The OS HR (95%CI) for ICIs (HAFP/LAFP)	The PFS HR (95%CI) for ICIS (HAFP/LAFP)
Pinato et al. (22)	2020	USA/Europe/Taiwan, China	PCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	341 (128/198)	1.400 (1.1 - 2.0)	NA
Ng et al. ( 23)	2020	Singapore	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	114 (53/59)	1.420 (0.840 - 2.42)	NA
Fessas et al. (24)	2020	USA/Asia/Europe	RCS	ICI monotherapy	233 (132/93)	1.380 (0.96 - 2.00)	NA
Huang et al. (25)	2022	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	110 (61/49)	2.394 (0.895 - 8.400)	NA
Zhang et al. ( 26)	2022	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy	101 (55/46)	NA	3.000 (1.68 - 5.35)
Zhao et al. (6)	2022	China	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	160 (74/86)	1.952 (1.228 - 3.102)	1.458 (0.965 - 2.202)
Song et al. ( 27)	2023	Korea	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	208 (72/136)	NA	NA
Copi et al. ( 28)	2023	France	PCS	Combination ICI therapy	293 (119/174)	1.69 (1.23 - 2.33)	1.29 (0.99 - 1.69)
Rimini et al. (29)	2023	Italy, Germany, Japan, and Republic of Korea	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	761 (229/532)	2.07 (1.55-2.75)	NA
Yang et al. ( 30)	2023	Korea	PCS	Combination ICI therapy	165 (56/109)	NA	NA
Yang et al. ( 31)	2023	China	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	378 (179/199)	NA	NA
Vithayathi et al. ( 32)	2022	Germany, Japan, Austria, United Kingdom, Italy, Taiwan and USA	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	191 (65/126)	1.32 (0.79 - 2.19)	NA
Fukushima et al. ( 33)	2023	Japan	PCS	Combination ICI therapy	150 (-/-)	NA	NA
Wu et al. ( 34)	2022	Global (USA, Europe, and Asia)	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	296 (-/-)	1.72 (1.15 - 2.59)	1.51 (1.11 - 2.05)
Yano et al. (35)	2023	Japan	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	139 (45/94)	1.416 (0.833 - 2.406)	NA
Uojima et al. ( 36)	2023	Japan	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	119 (-/-)	1.744 (0.959 - 3.170)	1.489 (0.947 - 2.342)
Wang et al. ( 37)	2023	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy	159 (68/91)	1.326 (0.774 - 2.271)	NA
Kang et al. ( 38)	2023	United States	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	111 (30/81)	2.35 (1.27 - 4.35)	NA
<b>Zhou et al.</b> ( 39)	2023	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy	190 (-/-)	1.651 (1.351 - 1.782)	1.757 (1.271 - 1.972)
Chen et al. ( <u>40</u> )	2022	Taiwan, China	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	138 (52/86)	1.43 (0.8 - 2.6)	1.35 (0.9 - 2.04)
Li et al. ( 41)	2024	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy	160 (66/94)	1.401 (0.920 - 2.133)	1.321 (0.943 - 1.849)
Du et al. ( 42)	2024	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	124 (35/89)	2.295 (1.509 - 3.491)	1.539 (1.031 - 2.297)
Qin et al. (43)	2023	China	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	132 (70/62)	1.71 (1.00 - 2.91)	1.51 (1.04 - 2.20)
Sultanik et al. (44)	2024	France	PCS	Combination ICI therapy	200 (91/109)	1.91 (1.32 - 2.78)	NA
Jiaxin Han et al. ( 45)	2024	China	RCS	ICI monotherapy/combination ICI therapy	155 (30/125)	1.409 (0.856 - 2.320)	NA
Suzuki et al. ( 46)	2024	Japan	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	130 (-/-)	NA	NA
Kai et al. ( 47)	2024	Japan	PCS	Combination ICI therapy	222 (-/-)	2.307 (1.337 - 3.982)	1.171 (0.821 - 1.671)
Sun et al. (48)	2024	China	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	180 (68/112)	1.59 (1.09 - 2.32)	1.26 (0.88 - 1.8)
Persano et al. (49)	2024	Italy, Germany, Portugal, Japan and the Republic of Korea	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	823 (-/-)	2.128 (1.613 - 2.778)	1.667 (1.351 - 2.041)
Ma, et al. ( 50)	2024	China	RCS	Combination ICI therapy	102 (49/53)	1.111 (0.493 - 2.564)	1.00 (0.65 - 1.53)

 Table 1. Characteristics of the 30 Cohort Studies Incorporated in the Meta-Analys

Abbreviations: HA, high AFP level; LA, low AFP level; PCS, prospective cohort study; RCS, retrospective cohort study; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor.

had a 1.69-fold increased risk of mortality compared to the low AFP group. In the 17 cohort studies (6, 13, 16, 25,

27, 29, 30, 32, 34), (31, 33, 42, 43), (39, 44, 47, 48, 54) that included multivariate analysis for OS outcomes, the

			C				
- 8	r	P	t I	n	n	C	
- 10				u		u	

Study	Published	Geographical Area	Research Type	Treatment Strategy	No. of Patients for HA (ICIs/NICIs)	No. of Patients for LA (ICIs/NICIs)	The OS HR (95%CI) for HA (ICIs/NICIs)	The OS HR (95%CI) for LA (ICIs/NICIs)
CheckMate459 (51)	2021	Global	RCT Phase 3	Nivolumab vs. Sorafenib	214 (90/124)	390 (150/240)	0.67 (0.51 - 0.88)	0.98, (0.78 - 1.24)
ORIENT-32 ( 52)	2021	China	RCT Phase 3	Sintilimab + Bevacizumab vs. Sorafenib	246 (165/81)	325 (215/110)	0.59, (0.41 - 0.85)	0.54, (0.35 - 0.83)
IMbrave150 (3)	2022	Global	RCT Phase 3	Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab vs. Sorafenib	184 (61/126)	314 (104/210)	0.77, (0.53 - 1.12)	0.58, (0.42 - 0.81)
CARES-310 ( 53)	2023	Global	RCT Phase 3	camrelizumab + rivoceranib vs. Sorafenib	196 (96/100)	347 (176/171)	0.40, (0.28 - 0.56)	0.66, (0.51 - 0.85)

able 2. Characteristics of	4 Randomized Controlled	Trials Included in the Meta-Analysis

Table 3. Effect of Baseline Alpha-Fetoprotein Levels on Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Efficacy Response Total High AFP Low AFP **P-Value** PD 22 16 6 SD 30 11 19 PR 3 1 2 \_ CR 0 0 0 ORR 5.6% (95% CI: -0.7% - 11.7%) 3.6% (95% CI: -3.8% - 10.9%) 7.4% (95% CI: -3.2% - 18.0%) 0.611 42.9% (95%CI: 23.3% - 62.4%) DCR 60.0% (95% CI: 46.6% - 73.4%) 0.008 77.8% (95% CI: 61.0% - 94.5%)

combined adjusted HR for OS was found to be 1.62 (95% CI: 1.44 - 1.81, P < 0.001) with low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 17.3\%$ , P = 0.251) (Figure 2B), indicating a significant association between elevated AFP levels and increased mortality following ICIs therapy.

In the subgroup analysis, the combined HR for OS was found to be 1.58 (95% CI: 1.40 - 1.78, P < 0.001) (Figure 2C) for the ICIs monotherapy group (24, 37, 39, 41) and 1.84 (95% CI: 1.64 - 2.07, P < 0.001) (Figure 2D) for the ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy group (6, 22, 28, 29, 32, 34). The results indicated that patients with elevated AFP levels had a greater likelihood of mortality, regardless of whether they received ICIs alone or in combination with antiangiogenic therapy. The negative effect of high serum AFP levels on survival outcomes was not significantly different between ICIs monotherapy and ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy (P = 0.096).

In the 14 cohort studies that provided HRs for AFP  $\geq$ 400 ng/mL vs. AFP < 400 ng/mL for PFS in univariate analysis (6, 26, 28, 34, 36, 39-43, 47, 48, 50, 54), the combined HR for PFS was found to be 1.47 (95% CI: 1.331.63, P < 0.001) with low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 22.3\%$ , P = 0.212) (Figure 3A). This indicates that the high AFP group had a 1.47 times greater likelihood of progression compared to the low AFP group. In the 12 cohort studies that provided HRs for PFS in multivariate analysis (6, 22, 26, 27, 30, 31, 33, 39, 42, 43, 46, 54), the combined adjusted HR for PFS was found to be 1.52 (95% CI: 1.33 - 1.73, P < 0.001) with low heterogeneity  $(I^2 = 36.9\%, P = 0.096)$  (B), suggesting that higher AFP was independently associated with a higher risk of progression after ICI therapy. The combined HR for PFS was 1.57 (95% CI: 1.19 -2.06, P = 0.001 (C) for the ICIs monotherapy group (39, 41), and 1.44 (95% CI: 1.27 - 1.62, P < 0.001) (D) for the ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy group (28, 34, 38, 47, 48, 54). These findings indicate that patients with elevated AFP levels have a greater likelihood of disease progression, regardless of whether they receive ICIs alone or in combination with antiangiogenic therapy. The negative effect of high serum AFP levels on the risk of progression was not significantly different between ICIs monotherapy and ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy (P = 0.532).



**Figure 2.** Hazard ratios (HRs) of OS for alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)  $\geq$  400 ng/mL vs. AFP < 400 ng/mL after immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) treatment, in 28 cohort studies. Squares indicated study-specific HRs. 95% confidence intervals are depicted by horizontal lines. Diamonds symbolize the combined HRs. The dotted vertical lines represent the HRs pooled. The P-value for heterogeneity is derived from the meta-analysis of the interaction. A, pooled HR of overall survival (OS) on univariate analysis; B, pooled HR of OS on multivariate analysis; C, pooled HR of OS for the ICIs montherapy group; D, pooled HR of OS for the ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy group.

4.4.2. Hazard Ratios of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors Therapy vs. Targeted Therapy for Alpha-Fetoprotein

The 4 included RCTs (3, 51-53) provided HRs for OS comparing ICIs therapy to targeted therapy. For patients with high AFP levels (AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL), the mortality rate was found to be lower in those who received ICIs therapy compared to those who underwent targeted therapy, with the combined HR for the high AFP group  $(AFP \ge 400 \text{ ng/mL})$  being 0.60 (95% CI: 0.51 - 0.70, P < 0.001) and high heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 60.5\%$ , P = 0.055) (Figure 4A). The combined HR for the low AFP group (AFP < 400 ng/mL) was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.63 - 0.85, P < 0.001) with high heterogeneity  $(I^2 = 71.6\%, P = 0.14)$  (B). The heterogeneity may be caused by the different drug effects in the experimental groups across the RCTs. There was no significant difference in the HR of ICIs therapy versus targeted therapy between the high AFP group and the low AFP group (P = 0.456), suggesting that ICIs therapy has better efficacy than targeted monotherapy in both the high AFP and low AFP groups.

# 4.4.3. Progression-Free Survival and Overall Survival in the Retrospective Cohort Study

In the retrospective cohort study, the clinical characteristics that may affect PFS in HCC patients were analyzed using univariate analysis. As shown in Appendix 5, the high AFP group exhibited a significantly shorter median PFS (2.467 months, 95% CI: 1.345 - 3.589) compared to the low AFP group (15.600 months, 95% CI: 4.203 - 26.997). In the multivariate analysis, no statistically significant difference in PFS was observed between the high and low AFP groups (HR 1.822, 95% CI: 0.866 - 3.832, P = 0.114). The Kaplan-Meier curve for PFS is shown in Appendix 7A.

In the univariate analysis of OS, the low AFP group exhibited a median OS of 21.800 months (95% CI: 11.935 -31.665), demonstrating significantly longer survival



**Figure 3.** Hazard ratios (HRs) of progression-free survival (PFS) for alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)  $\geq$  400 ng/mL vs. AFP < 400 ng/mL after immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) treatment, in 19 cohort studies. Squares indicated study-specific HRs. 95% confidence intervals are depicted by horizontal lines. Diamonds symbolize the combined HRs. The dotted vertical lines represent the HRs pooled. The P-value for heterogeneity is derived from the meta-analysis of the interaction. A, combined HR of PFS on univariate analysis; B, combined HR of PFS on multivariate analysis; C, combined HR of PFS for the ICIs monotherapy group; D, combined HR of PFS for the ICIs therapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy group.

compared to the high AFP group, which had an OS of 7.300 months (95% CI: 5.443 - 9.157), at a marginally significant statistical level (HR: 2.119, 95% CI: 0.988 - 4.544, P = 0.054, Appendix 6). In the multivariate analysis, high AFP was independently associated with shorter OS in HCC patients after ICI treatment (HR: 3.584, 95% CI: 1.466 - 8.762, P = 0.005, Appendix 6). In summary, serum AFP level serves as an independent indicator for predicting survival in HCC patients following ICI treatment, with the high AFP group exhibiting a 2.119 times greater risk of mortality compared to the low AFP group. The Kaplan-Meier curve for OS is shown in Appendix 7B.

# 4.5. Evaluation of Response to Treatment

There was no significant difference observed in the ORR between the two cohorts (P = 0.611), with 3.6% (95% CI: -3.8% to 10.9%) in the high AFP group and 7.4% (95% CI: -3.2% to 18.0%) in the low AFP group. However, the DCR distribution was significantly different, with 42.9% (95% CI: 23.3% - 62.4%) for the high AFP group and 77.8% (95% CI: 61.0% - 94.5%) for the low AFP group (P = 0.008, Table 3).

These findings suggest that the effectiveness of ICIs in HCC may be influenced by the presence of serum AFP.

## 4.6. Toxicity Analysis in the Retrospective Cohort Study

No literature was found providing information on the incidence of irAEs associated with AFP levels. Therefore, we analyzed the relationship between AFP levels and the occurrence of irAEs in retrospective data. During the follow-up period, irAEs were observed in 19 patients (9 with thyroid dysfunction, 3 with myocarditis, 3 with enteritis, 3 with dermatitis, 3 with pneumonia, 2 with hepatitis, 2 with myositis, and 1 with thrombocytopenia). Serum AFP levels were correlated with the occurrence of irAEs (P = 0.008, Appendix 4). High AFP levels were significantly associated with a lower incidence of irAEs in the univariate analysis (OR: 0.202, 95% CI: 0.059 - 0.688, P = 0.011). In the multivariate analysis, a significant independent correlation was observed between these two clinical characteristics (OR: 0.210, 95% CI: 0.045 - 0.971, P = 0.046).

4.7. Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias



Figure 4. Hazard ratios (HRs) of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) therapy vs. targeted therapy for alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), in 4 randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Squares indicated study-specific HRs. 95% confidence intervals are depicted by horizontal lines. Diamonds symbolize the combined HRs. The dotted vertical lines represent the HRs pooled. The P-value for heterogeneity is derived from the meta-analysis of the interaction. A, pooled HR of overall survival (OS) for AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL in 4 RCTs; B, pooled HR of OS AFP < 400 ng/mL in 4 RCTs.

To assess the robustness and reliability of the computed outcomes, a sensitivity analysis was conducted. The findings indicate that the exclusion of any study in this analysis does not affect the overall results (Appendix 8).

According to the results of Begg's and Egger's tests (Appendix 9), there is no evidence of publication bias in this study.

## 5. Discussion

There is no doubt that AFP has value in evaluating the prognosis of HCC, but its full application scope remains unclear. Alpha-fetoprotein  $\geq$  100 ng/mL has been reported to be associated with shorter OS in HCC patients treated with atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab (55), but few studies have explored the

correlation between AFP and ICI efficacy, including DCR, ORR, and PFS in HCC patients. The main finding of our meta-analysis is that baseline serum AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL is associated with a higher risk of death and progression in HCC patients treated with ICIs, making it a potential predictor of ICI treatment efficiency in these patients.

We also compared treatment groups, finding that patients in the high AFP group had a higher risk of death and progression regardless of whether they were treated with ICIs monotherapy or ICIs combined with antiangiogenic therapy, with no significant difference in the predictive effect of high AFP levels on the negative outcomes of the two treatments. The analysis of 4 RCTs suggests that even in the high AFP group, ICI therapy, especially ICIs combined with antiangiogenic therapy, is superior to targeted monotherapy. We comprehensively compared the effect of baseline AFP levels on clinical outcomes across different treatment groups, including ICIs monotherapy, ICIs combined with antiangiogenic therapy, and targeted therapy, and this result has not been previously reported. The main finding of our retrospective cohort study is that baseline serum AFP  $\geq$  400 ng/mL is associated with both lower DCR and poorer survival outcomes. We reported for the first time the correlation between baseline serum AFP levels and DCR, further explaining the association between baseline serum AFP levels and the prognosis of ICIs treatment.

Alpha-fetoprotein binds to the AFP receptor (AFPR), activating the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (49, 56, 57). This pathway has the potential to increase the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a key mediator in hepatocarcinogenesis. It achieves this by promoting the formation of new blood vessels, ultimately leading to the invasion and metastasis of HCC (49, 58). Vascular endothelial growth factor reduces the therapeutic effect of ICIs by inhibiting dendritic cell maturation, intratumoral T-cell infiltration, and the expansion of immunosuppressive myeloid-derived suppressor cells (55, 58-60). Vascular endothelial growth factor may also hinder the effectiveness of ICI therapy on tumors by promoting the growth and viability of endothelial cells (ECs), resulting in the formation of numerous abnormal and dysfunctional neovessels within the tumor (61). Additionally, AFP can disrupt the establishment of anticancer immunity by directly inhibiting dendritic cells, affecting T-cell activation (16). These factors may partly explain the mechanism behind AFP-related reductions in ICI treatment efficacy in HCC patients. Our next objective is to further investigate the impact of AFP on immune cells and cytokines within the tumor microenvironment through basic experiments, aiming to better understand its effect on the efficacy of ICIs.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors and antiangiogenic drugs are currently widely used in the systemic treatment of HCC. It has been reported that the prognosis of targeted therapy for AFP-related HCC is poor (16, 18, 19). In this study, we found that the efficacy of ICIs monotherapy and ICIs combined with targeted therapy for AFP-related HCC was lower compared to non-AFP-related HCC. Given the limited therapeutic efficacy of the current standard systemic treatments, downregulating AFP expression may be an ideal target for treating AFP-related HCC. As a result, some AFP vaccines and engineered T-cell therapies targeting AFP are currently being evaluated in clinical trials (60, 62).

High AFP was independently associated with a lower incidence of irAEs in HCC patients, according to our retrospective cohort analysis. This complements our previous findings that irAEs are associated with better prognosis in ICIs therapy (13). The possible mechanism for the negative association between AFP and irAEs may be that AFP antagonizes the production of inflammatory factors involved in irAEs initiation. Most irAEs are categorized as autoimmune disorders caused by CD8+ cytotoxic T-cells activated by ICIs. Alpha-fetoprotein could potentially hinder T-cell-dependent immune functions and modify the CD4+ T/CD8+ T-cell ratio, thereby reducing the incidence of irAEs (63-65). In some irAEs evaluated with interleukin 6 (IL-6), AFP may reduce the occurrence of irAEs by inhibiting IL-6 production (65, 66).

#### 5.1. Limitations

There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, some of the included studies were retrospective cohort studies, which come with inherent limitations and inevitable selection bias. Secondly, the retrospective analysis in this study included a relatively small number of patients. Thirdly, this study excluded certain factors that may cause fluctuations in AFP levels in HCC, such as smoking and other malignant tumors; however, it did not account for factors like HBV-DNA replication status, which could reflect viral flares. Additionally, although the review was not officially registered, we conducted the meta-analysis in strict adherence to the guidelines outlined in the PRISMA statement. Given these limitations, it is crucial to conduct multi-center, highquality clinical studies with a substantial sample size to further advance our research.

## 5.2. Conclusions

Higher baseline serum AFP levels were significantly associated with poorer clinical outcomes in HCC patients treated with ICIs, whether as monotherapy or in combination with targeted therapy. Targeting AFP therapy may represent a new breakthrough in the systemic treatment of AFP-related HCC.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and their families for their contribution to this study.

# **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

# Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Conceptualization: H. L., and L.Y.; methodology: Z. J., and D.F.; software: Z. J., and D. F.; validation: R. C., and Z. J.; formal analysis: Z. J.; investigation: H. W., and Z. J.; resources: Z. J.; data curation: Z. J., and J. L.; writing-original draft preparation: Z. J., and D. F.; writing-review and editing: H. L., and L. Y.; visualization: Z. J.; supervision: H. L., and L. Y.; project administration: H. L., and L. Y.; funding acquisition: R. C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Conflict of Interests Statement:** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Data Availability:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**Ethical Approval:** This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of the Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University (No. 2021136).

**Funding/Support:** This study was funded by a grant from the Key Science and Technology Research Program from Health Commission of Hebei Province (grant no.20230149). The funding body did not play any roles in the design, conduction or reporting of the study.

### References

 Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209-49. [PubMed ID: 33538338]. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394-424. [PubMed ID: 30207593]. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492.
- Cheng AL, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim TY, et al. Updated efficacy and safety data from IMbrave150: Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab vs. sorafenib for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2022;76(4):862-73. [PubMed ID: 34902530]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.11.030.
- Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD. Immune-Related Adverse Events Associated with Immune Checkpoint Blockade. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(2):158-68. [PubMed ID: 29320654]. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1703481.
- Ailia MJ, Heo J, Yoo SY. Navigating through the PD-1/PDL-1 Landscape: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Outcomes in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Their Influence on Immunotherapy and Tumor Microenvironment. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(7). [PubMed ID: 37047482]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10095164]. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24076495.
- Zhao M, Duan X, Han X, Wang J, Han G, Mi L, et al. Sarcopenia and Systemic Inflammation Response Index Predict Response to Systemic Therapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Are Associated With Immune Cells. Front Oncol. 2022;12:854096. [PubMed ID: 35463384]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9024177]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.854096.
- Yoon HH, Jin Z, Kour O, Kankeu Fonkoua LA, Shitara K, Gibson MK, et al. Association of PD-L1 Expression and Other Variables With Benefit From Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in Advanced Gastroesophageal Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 17 Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Oncol.* 2022;8(10):1456-65. [PubMed ID: 36006624]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9412834]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2022.3707.
- Zhao Y, Ji Z, Li J, Zhang S, Wu C, Zhang R, et al. Growth hormone associated with treatment efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in gastric cancer patients. *Front Oncol.* 2022;**12**:917313. [PubMed ID: 36016614]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9395680]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.917313.
- Wu W, Liu Y, Zeng S, Han Y, Shen H. Intratumor heterogeneity: the hidden barrier to immunotherapy against MSI tumors from the perspective of IFN-gamma signaling and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2021;**14**(1):160. [PubMed ID: 34620200]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8499512]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01166-3.
- Liu J, Ma J, Xing N, Ji Z, Li J, Zhang S, et al. Interferon-gamma predicts the treatment efficiency of immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2023;149(7):3043-50. [PubMed ID: 35852620]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-022-04201-z.
- Gholami H, Chmiel JA, Burton JP, Maleki Vareki S. The Role of Microbiota-Derived Vitamins in Immune Homeostasis and Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2023;**15**(4). [PubMed ID: 36831641]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9954268]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15041300.
- 12. Zhang L, Kuang T, Chai D, Deng W, Wang P, Wang W. The Use of Antibiotics During Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Treatment Is Associated with Lower Survival in Advanced Esophagogastric Cancer.

Int Immunopharmacol. 2023;**119**:110200. [PubMed ID: 37099942]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110200.

- Xu S, Lai R, Zhao Q, Zhao P, Zhao R, Guo Z. Correlation Between Immune-Related Adverse Events and Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients Treated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:794099. [PubMed ID: 34950153]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8691363]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.794099.
- Sauzay C, Petit A, Bourgeois AM, Barbare JC, Chauffert B, Galmiche A, et al. Alpha-foetoprotein (AFP): A multi-purpose marker in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2016;**463**:39-44. [PubMed ID: 27732875]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2016.10.006.
- Liu Y, Wang J, Yang R, Cheng Y, Zhou Y, Li H, et al. GP73-mediated secretion of AFP and GP73 promotes proliferation and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Oncogenesis*. 2021;**10**(10):69. [PubMed ID: 34650031]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8516944]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41389-021-00358-3.
- Afshar M, Fletcher P, Bardoli AD, Ma YT, Punia P. Non-secretion of AFP and neutrophil lymphocyte ratio as predictors for survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib: a large UK cohort. Oncotarget. 2018;9(24):16988-95. [PubMed ID: 29682199]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5908300]. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.24769.
- Memon K, Kulik L, Lewandowski RJ, Wang E, Ryu RK, Riaz A, et al. Alpha-fetoprotein response correlates with EASL response and survival in solitary hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transarterial therapies: a subgroup analysis. *J Hepatol*. 2012;56(5):1112-20. [PubMed ID: 22245905]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3328660]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2011.11.020.
- Lim DH, Casadei-Gardini A, Lee MA, Lonardi S, Kim JW, Masi G, et al. Prognostic implication of serum AFP in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with regorafenib. *Future Oncol.* 2022;18(27):3021-30. [PubMed ID: 35903991]. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2022-0524.
- Negri F, Gnetti L, Pedrazzi G, Silini EM, Porta C. Sorafenib and hepatocellular carcinoma: is alpha-fetoprotein a biomarker predictive of tumor biology and primary resistance? *Future Oncol.* 2021;17(27):3579-84. [PubMed ID: 34155918]. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2021-0083.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *PLoS Med.* 2021;**18**(3). e1003583. [PubMed ID: 33780438]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8007028]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003583.
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. 2009;**45**(2):228-47. [PubMed ID: 19097774]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026.
- Pinato DJ, Kaneko T, Saeed A, Pressiani T, Kaseb A, Wang Y, et al. Immunotherapy in Hepatocellular Cancer Patients with Mild to Severe Liver Dysfunction: Adjunctive Role of the ALBI Grade. *Cancers* (*Basel*). 2020;12(7):1862. [PubMed ID: 32664319]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7408648]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12071862.
- Ng KYY, Wong LWJ, Ang AJS, Tan SH, Choo SP, Tai DW, et al. Real-world efficacy and safety of immune checkpoint inhibitors in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: Experience of a tertiary Asian Center. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2021;17(5):e249-61. [PubMed ID: 32875742]. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajco.13454.
- 24. Fessas P, Kaseb A, Wang Y, Saeed A, Szafron D, Jun T, et al. Postregistration experience of nivolumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: an international study. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;**8**(2).

[PubMed ID: 32868393]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7462152]. https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-001033.

- Huang R, Zheng Y, Zou W, Liu C, Liu J, Yue J. Blood Biomarkers Predict Survival Outcomes in Patients with Hepatitis B Virus-Induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treated with PD-1 Inhibitors. *J Immunol Res.* 2022;**2022**:3781109. [PubMed ID: 36033384]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9402369]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3781109.
- Zhang Y, Lu L, He Z, Xu Z, Xiang Z, Nie RC, et al. C-Reactive Protein Levels Predict Responses to PD-1 Inhibitors in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13:808101. [PubMed ID: 35185894]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8854259]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.808101.
- Song YS, Yang H, Kang B, Cheon J, Kim I, Kim H, et al. Thyroid Dysfunction after Atezolizumab and Bevacizumab Is Associated with Favorable Outcomes in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Liver Cancer*. 2024;13(1):89-98. [PubMed ID: 38344445]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10857827]. https://doi.org/10.1159/000531182.
- Copil FD, Campani C, Lequoy M, Sultanik P, Blaise L, Wagner M, et al. No correlation between MASLD and poor outcome of Atezolizumab-Bevacizumab therapy in patients with advanced HCC. *Liver Int.* 2024;44(4):931-43. [PubMed ID: 38291735]. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.15833.
- Rimini M, Persano M, Tada T, Suda G, Shimose S, Kudo M, et al. Real-World Data for Atezolizumab Plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: How Does Adherence to the IMbrave150 Trial Inclusion Criteria Impact Prognosis? *Target Oncol.* 2023;18(2):221-33. [PubMed ID: 36920648]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11523-023-00953-x.
- Yang H, Kang B, Ha Y, Lee SH, Kim I, Kim H, et al. High serum IL-6 correlates with reduced clinical benefit of atezolizumab and bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *JHEP Rep.* 2023;5(4):100672. [PubMed ID: 36866388]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9972403]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100672.
- Yang X, Chen B, Wang Y, Wang Y, Long J, Zhang N, et al. Real-world efficacy and prognostic factors of lenvatinib plus PD-1 inhibitors in 378 unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Hepatol Int.* 2023;**17**(3):709-19. [PubMed ID: 36753026]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9907200]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-022-10480-y.
- Vithayathil M, D'Alessio A, Fulgenzi CAM, Nishida N, Schonlein M, von Felden J, et al. Impact of older age in patients receiving atezolizumab and bevacizumab for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int.* 2022;**42**(11):2538-47. [PubMed ID: 35986902]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9825835]. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.15405.
- Fukushima T, Morimoto M, Kobayashi S, Ueno M, Uojima H, Hidaka H, et al. Association Between Immune-Related Adverse Events and Survival in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treated With Atezolizumab Plus Bevacizumab. *Oncologist.* 2023;28(7):e526-33. [PubMed ID: 37023703]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10322131]. https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyad090.
- Wu YL, Fulgenzi CAM, D'Alessio A, Cheon J, Nishida N, Saeed A, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratios as Prognostic Biomarkers in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treated with Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2022;**14**(23). [PubMed ID: 36497316]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9737420]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14235834.
- Yano Y, Yamamoto A, Mimura T, Kushida S, Hirohata S, Yoon S, et al. Factors associated with the response to atezolizumab/bevacizumab combination therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *JGH Open*. 2023;7(7):476-81. [PubMed ID: 37496817]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10366485]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgh3.12932.

- 36. Uojima H, Chuma M, Hidaka H, Tsuda T, Kobayashi S, Hattori N, et al. Impact of body composition for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who received atezolizumab plus bevacizumab therapy. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2023;**35**(8):865-73. [PubMed ID: 37395239]. https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.00000000002581.
- 37. Wang K, Xiang YJ, Yu HM, Cheng YQ, Feng JK, Liu ZH, et al. Overall survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with sintilimab and disease outcome after treatment discontinuation. *BMC Cancer*. 2023;23(1):1017. [PubMed ID: 37867191]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10591394]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-023-11485-y.
- Kang S, Khalil L, McCook-Veal A, Draper A, Diab M, Shaib WL, et al. Impact of metformin on clinical outcomes in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. J Clin Oncol. 2023;40(16\_suppl):4118. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2022.40.16\_suppl.4118.
- Zhou JM, Xiong HF, Chen XP, Zhang ZW, Zhu LP, Wu B. Correlation between immune-related adverse events and long-term outcomes in pembrolizumab-treated patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: A retrospective study. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2023;15(4):689-99. [PubMed ID: 37123056]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10134210]. https://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v15.i4.689.
- Chen BB, Liang PC, Shih TT, Liu TH, Shen YC, Lu LC, et al. Sarcopenia and myosteatosis are associated with survival in patients receiving immunotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2023;33(1):512-22. [PubMed ID: 35864351]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08980-4.
- Li BB, Chen LJ, Lu SL, Lei B, Yu GL, Yu SP. C-reactive protein to albumin ratio predict responses to programmed cell death-1 inhibitors in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2024;16(1):61-78. [PubMed ID: 38292845]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10824115]. https://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v16.i1.61.
- Du J, Huang Z, Zhang E. Nomograms confirm serum IL-6 and CRP as predictors of immune checkpoint inhibitor efficacy in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Immunol.* 2024;15:1329634. [PubMed ID: 38304429]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10830723]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1329634.
- Qin Q, Kou X, Zheng Y, Zhou F, Zhang X, Liu H. Early C-reactive Protein Kinetics Predict Response to Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma*. 2023;10:2009-19. [PubMed ID: 37954495]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10637213]. https://doi.org/10.2147/JHC.S432054.
- Sultanik P, Campani C, Larrey E, Campion B, Evain M, Roux C, et al. Portal hypertension is associated with poorer outcome and clinical liver decompensation in patients with HCC treated with Atezolizumab-Bevacizumab. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2024;**56**(9):1621-30. [PubMed ID: 38548580]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2024.02.018.
- Han J, Kuai W, Yang L, Tao X, Wang Y, Zeng M, et al. Impact of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease on the efficacy of immunotherapy in patients with chronic hepatitis Brelated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Biol Med.* 2024;21(9):813-25. [PubMed ID: 38712819]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11414222]. https://doi.org/10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2024.0048.
- 46. Suzuki K, Yasui Y, Tsuchiya K, Matsumoto H, Yamazaki Y, Uchihara N, et al. Impact of immune-related adverse events in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with atezolizumab plus bevacizumab. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2024;**39**(6):1183-9. [PubMed ID: 38494668]. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.16532.
- 47. Kai M, Hikita H, Kazuki M, Tahata Y, Shinkai K, Doi A, et al. Clinical factors associated with the therapeutic efficacy of atezolizumab plus

bevacizumab in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: A multicenter prospective observational study. *PLoS One.* 2024;**19**(1). e0294590. [PubMed ID: 38165900]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10760712]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294590.

- Sun W, Yin X, Liu X, Wei J, Yu M, Li W, et al. The clinical significance of sarcopenia in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with lenvatinib and PD-1 inhibitors. *Front Immunol.* 2024;**15**:1380477. [PubMed ID: 38698848]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11063286]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1380477.
- Lu Y, Zhu M, Li W, Lin B, Dong X, Chen Y, et al. Alpha fetoprotein plays a critical role in promoting metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2016;20(3):549-58. [PubMed ID: 26756858]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4759472]. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12745.
- Ma KP, Fu JX, Duan F, Wang MQ. Efficacy and predictive factors of transarterial chemoembolization combined with lenvatinib plus programmed cell death protein-1 inhibition for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastrointest Oncol.* 2024;**16**(4):1236-47. [PubMed ID: 38660650]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11037041]. https://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v16.i4.1236.
- Yau T, Park JW, Finn RS, Cheng AL, Mathurin P, Edeline J, et al. Nivolumab versus sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 459): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2022;23(1):77-90. [PubMed ID: 34914889]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00604-5.
- 52. Ren Z, Xu J, Bai Y, Xu A, Cang S, Du C, et al. Sintilimab plus a bevacizumab biosimilar (IBI305) versus sorafenib in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (ORIENT-32): a randomised, open-label, phase 2-3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;**22**(7):977-90. [PubMed ID: 34143971]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00252-7.
- Qin S, Chan SL, Gu S, Bai Y, Ren Z, Lin X, et al. Camrelizumab plus rivoceranib versus sorafenib as first-line therapy for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (CARES-310): a randomised, open-label, international phase 3 study. *Lancet.* 2023;402(10408):1133-46. [PubMed ID: 37499670]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00961-3.
- 54. Persano M, Rimini M, Tada T, Suda G, Shimose S, Kudo M, et al. Adverse Events as Potential Predictive Factors of Activity in Patients with Advanced HCC Treated with Atezolizumab Plus Bevacizumab. *Target Oncol.* 2024;**19**(4):645-59. [PubMed ID: 38689194]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11230956]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11523-024-01061-0.
- 55. Hatanaka T, Kakizaki S, Hiraoka A, Tada T, Hirooka M, Kariyama K, et al. Prognostic impact of C-reactive protein and alpha-fetoprotein in immunotherapy score in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with atezolizumab plus bevacizumab: a multicenter retrospective study. *Hepatol Int.* 2022;**16**(5):1150-60. [PubMed ID: 35749019]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-022-10358-z.
- Karar J, Maity A. PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway in Angiogenesis. Front Mol Neurosci. 2011;4:51. [PubMed ID: 22144946]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3228996]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2011.00051.
- Wang S, Zhu M, Wang Q, Hou Y, Li L, Weng H, et al. Alpha-fetoprotein inhibits autophagy to promote malignant behaviour in hepatocellular carcinoma cells by activating PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9(10):1027. [PubMed ID: 30301886]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6177398]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-1036-5.
- Hegde PS, Wallin JJ, Mancao C. Predictive markers of anti-VEGF and emerging role of angiogenesis inhibitors as immunotherapeutics. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2018;**52**(Pt 2):117-24. [PubMed ID: 29229461]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.12.002.

- Yamamoto M, Tatsumi T, Miyagi T, Tsunematsu H, Aketa H, Hosui A, et al. alpha-Fetoprotein impairs activation of natural killer cells by inhibiting the function of dendritic cells. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2011;**165**(2):211-9. [PubMed ID: 21592114]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3142646]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2011.04421.x.
- Hu X, Chen R, Wei Q, Xu X. The Landscape Of Alpha Fetoprotein In Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Where Are We? Int J Biol Sci. 2022;18(2):536-51. [PubMed ID: 35002508]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8741863]. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.64537.
- Lee WS, Yang H, Chon HJ, Kim C. Combination of anti-angiogenic therapy and immune checkpoint blockade normalizes vascularimmune crosstalk to potentiate cancer immunity. *Exp Mol Med.* 2020;**52**(9):1475-85. [PubMed ID: 32913278]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8080646]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-020-00500-y.
- 62. Liu H, Qin X, Xu Z, Wu M, Lu T, Zhou S, et al. Comparison of effectiveness and safety of camrelizumab between HBV-related and non-B, non-C hepatocellular carcinoma: A retrospective study in China. *Front Genet.* 2022;**13**:1000448. [PubMed ID: 36160021]. [PubMed

Central	ID:	PMC9500546].
https://doi.org/10.	3389/fgene.2022.1000448.	

- Diggs LP, Ruf B, Ma C, Heinrich B, Cui L, Zhang Q, et al. CD40mediated immune cell activation enhances response to anti-PD-1 in murine intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J Hepatol.* 2021;74(5):1145-54. [PubMed ID: 33276030]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9662232]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.11.037.
- Okiyama N, Tanaka R. Immune-related adverse events in various organs caused by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Allergol Int.* 2022;**71**(2):169-78. [PubMed ID: 35101349]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2022.01.001.
- 65. Huang J, Cai M. Research progress on immunotherapy of liver cancer targeting alpha-fetoprotein. *J Clin Hepatobiliary Dis.* 2001;**17**(4):203-5.
- Hommes JW, Verheijden RJ, Suijkerbuijk KPM, Hamann D. Biomarkers of Checkpoint Inhibitor Induced Immune-Related Adverse Events-A Comprehensive Review. Front Oncol. 2020;10:585311. [PubMed ID: 33643899]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7905347]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.585311.