# **Research Article**

# How Much Weight Loss is Effective on Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease?

# Alireza Ghaemi<sup>1</sup>, Fourugh Azam Taleban<sup>1</sup>, Azita Hekmatdoost<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Rafiei<sup>2</sup>, Vahid Hosseini<sup>3</sup>, Zohreh Amiri<sup>4</sup>, Reza Homayounfar<sup>4</sup>, Hafez Fakheri<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup>Molecular and Cell Biology Center, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran <sup>2</sup>Inflammatory Diseases of Upper Gastrointestinal Tract Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran <sup>4</sup>Department of Basic Sciences, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

\*Corresponding Author: Hafez Fakheri, Inflammatory Diseases of Upper Gastrointestinal Tract Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran. Tel: + 98-1512261701-4, Fax: +98-1512264037, E-mail: fakher42@vahoo.com.

Received: October 6, 2013; Revised: October 23, 2013; Accepted: November 17, 2013

Background: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disease worldwide with no specific treatment. Weight loss is the most effective therapeutic strategy in its management; however, there is no consensus on its specifics. Thus, this study was conducted to evaluate the effects of weight loss on liver enzymes, markers of inflammation, oxidative stress and CK18-M30 (cytokeratin 18) as a biomarker of hepatocellular apoptosis.

Objectives: To study the effect of weight reduction diet as an exclusive treatment for NAFLD.

Patients and Methods: Forty four patients with NAFLD received a diet including a 500 to 1000 kcal per day intake reduction as 30% fat, 15% protein, and 55% carbohydrate for six months. Anthropometric parameters, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), lipid profile, malondialdehyde (MDA), TNF-α, IL-6, CK18-M30 were measured at baseline and at the end of the study. At the end of follow up, patients were classified as adherent or nonadherent to treatment according to a weight loss of  $\geq$  5%, or < 5% of initial body weight, respectively.

Results: Twenty five patients were classified as adherent group and nineteen as nonadherent group (9.7% vs. 1.9% total body weight loss after 6 months, respectively). After 6 months, changes in adherent and nonadherent groups were as follows: reduction in body weight from 93.7±15.8 kg to 84.2±13.4 kg vs. 94±16.6 kg to 92.2±16.2 kg (P<0.05), BMI from 32.7±3.9 to 29.5±3.2 vs.31.8±5.4 to 31.1±5.3 (P<0.001), and waist circumference from 105.1  $\pm$  12.6 cm to 97.4  $\pm$  9.8 cm vs.106.8  $\pm$  14.2 cm to 103.7  $\pm$  14 cm (P < 0.001), respectively. Diastolic blood pressure was significantly decreased in adherent group (from  $80.2 \pm 5.1$  mmHg to  $76.9 \pm 5$  mmHg; P < 0.001). Also, total cholesterol, LDL, triglyceride, ALT, AST, GGT and CK18-M30 levels were significantly decreased in the adherent group compared to nonadherent group (P < 0.05)

Conclusions: This intervention offers a practical approach for treatment of patients with NAFLD with diet therapy.

Keywords: Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease; Diet; Weight Loss

# 1. Background

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is characterized by accumulation of fat in liver when it exceeds 5-10% of its weight (1-3). It is a spectrum of liver disease ranging from simple fatty infiltration of liver parenchyma (steatosis) to an inflammatory progression to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and ultimately cirrhosis (3-6). Estimates suggest that about 34% to 46% of the general adult population and 70-80% of obese individuals in western countries have some degrees of NAFLD (7, 8). The prevalence of NAFLD in an Iranian adult general population has been reported as high as 21.5% to 31.5% (9-11). If the diagnosis is not established in early stages of the disease, it would be converted to a major health burden. It is also associated with a large amount of health care cost (3, 12-14). The efficacy and safety profile of pharmacotherapy in the treatment of NAFLD has yet been remained uncertain (15-17). There is no effective specific therapy for NAFLD. The most acceptable strategy in the management of these patients is the use of diet to decrease body weight, but there are limited data in details of diet modification such as how, how much and how rapidly to lose weight (5, 16-20). Furthermore, precise hepatic and extra hepatic benefits of weight loss are not well defined (5, 21, 22).

# 2. Objectives

Since there is no consensus on the specifics of weight loss for management of NAFLD, this single-arm trial study

#### Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Considering the high prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is going to be increasingly prevalent. Diet intervention can be the first step in the management of NAFLD. This study showed that 9.7% weight reduction through diet interventions could improve NAFLD characteristics.

Copyright @ 2013, Kowsar Corp.; Published by Kowsar Corp. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

was conducted to evaluate the effects of weight loss on NAFLD characteristics and some related conditions while addressing some of its mechanism of action.

# 3. Patients and Methods

We are trying to detect a mean difference of  $\Delta = 13$  IU/L for a variable ALT with a standard deviation of 21 IU/L(19). Assuming a type one error of 5% and a type two error of 20%, the sample size was then calculated to be 43 subjects. Forty four patients with NAFLD were recruited from two GI clinics in Sari, Mazandaran, Iran between October 2012 to July 2013. We used convenience sampling technique. The diagnosis of NAFLD was established by the presence of steatosis of the liver on ultrasound associated with a persistent increase in alanine aminotransferase (ALT). and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) of at least 1.5 times the limit of normal and body mass Index (BMI) between 25 and 40. Also, they were all tested for HBS-Ag, HBc-Ab, HCV antibody, antinuclear antibody (ANA), antimitochondrial antibody (AMA), anti-smooth muscle antibody (ASMA), anti-liver-kidney-microsomal antibody (anti LKM), Ceruloplasmin and ferritin to rule out the presence of other liver diseases. Other exclusion criteria were: 1) alcohol consumption; 2) patient receiving hepatotoxic and insulin-sensitizing medication; 3) cigarette smoking; 4) weight reduction surgery within the past year, or used weight loss medication or program in the previous 3 months. All patients signed a written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Nutrition and Food technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran with the ethic number of 043434.

# 3.1. Dietary Intervention and Anthropometric Data

All patients received an individual nutritional instruction. The diet included a reduction of 500 to 1000 kcal/ day regarding the Adjusted Ideal Body Weight containing 30% fat, 15% protein, and 55% carbohydrate. Anthropometric measurement (height, weight, waist/hip diameter) and blood pressure were collected by a trained field worker while patient wore light clothing with no shoes. Height was measured by Seca stadiometer, readout accuracy: 0.5 cm. weight was measured by Seca scale, readout accuracy: 100 g. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Waist circumference was measured with the subject standing and wearing only under wear, at the level midway between the lower rib margin and iliac crest, and hip circumference at the widest portion of buttock. Waist to hip ratio (WHR) was calculated by dividing waist circumference by hip circumference. Nutritional intake was assessed using three 24-hourrecall (including 2 work days, and 1 weakened). Physical activity was evaluated using the Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET) questionnaire at first, and the end of months 3, and 6. The questionnaire had been previously modified and validated among an Iranian young

# 3.2. Laboratory Tests

Blood samples were obtained from all patients after an overnight fasting (12-14 hours) at the beginning of the study, and at the end of months 3 and 6. Serum fasting glucose, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides were measured using Hitachi autoanalyzer 911 (Japan) with ParsAzmun reagents kits (Tehran, Iran). The homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) value was calculated as fasting glucose (mg/dL) multiplied by fasting insulin ( $\mu$ U /mL)/405, and the quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index (QUICKI) was calculated as 1/[ log fasting insulin( $\mu$ U/mL) + log fasting glucose (mg/dL) ] (25). Serum was frozen at -80°Cto measure Insulin, malondialdehyde (MDA), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and cytokeratin 18 -M30 (CK18-M30). After a single thawing, assays were performed using enzymelink immunosorbent assays. Insulin, MDA, TNF-α, IL-6 and CK18-M30 were measured using Elisa kits DIAPLUS (North York, Canada), GLORY (Del Rio, TX, USA), Orgenium (Vantaa Finland), Orgenium (Vantaa Finland), and PEVIVA (Bromma, Sweden) respectively.

# 3.3. Follow Up

The intervention was weight loss within 6 months. The participants were visited monthly by an expert nutritionist and were also visited by a gastroenterologist at baseline and after 3 and 6 months. During the follow up visits, each subject received individual nutrition counseling to achieve dietary goals (3, 17, 18). Anthropometric, dietary intake, physical activity and all blood tests were assessed at the beginning and the end of the study; furthermore, anthropometric, dietary intake, physical activity and liver enzymes were evaluated at the end of the third month (26).

# 3.4. Statistical Analysis

To examine the normal distribution of quantitative variables, Shapiro-Wilk test was used. Baseline parameters were compared between the groups using Mann-Whitney U test and for comparing the variables before and after therapy, Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used for variables that were not normally distributed. Student's t-tests were used for normal distributed parametric quantitative data. In addition, repeated measure test was also used to compare mean values within the groups. Moreover, to analyze the three days food records, Nutritionist IV software was used. SPSS software package v.20 (Chicago, IL) was used for all the analyses.

All p -values were two-tailed, and a P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

# 4. Results

# 4.1. Characteristics of the Participants

From October 2012 to July 2013, 50 patients were initially invited to participate in this 6-month intervention program, but six were lost to follow up (Figure 1).



A total of 44 patients, 28 men and 16 women, completed the 6-month intervention. The mean age was  $36.9 \pm 8.8$ years. At the end of the 6th month of intervention, 25 participants were classified as adherent, and 19 as nonadherent according to weight loss (  $\geq 5\%$  or < 5% of initial bodyweight) (2, 19).

The baseline characteristics of adherent and nonadherent groups are shown in Table 1. Only GGT levels significantly differed between the two groups at the beginning of the study (P = 0.006). The variation of GGT concentration from the beginning of the study to the end was significantly different between the two groups (-12.8  $\pm$  20.4) in adherent group vs. 2.7  $\pm$  11 in nonadherent group, (P < 0.002).

# 4.2. Anthropometric and Clinical Parameters

The anthropometrics and clinical parameters showed significant improvement in weight loss, BMI, waist circumference and WHR in the two groups after 3 and 6 months of intervention. But diastolic blood pressure (DBP) decreased significantly only in adherent group (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

At the end of 6th month, the participants in adherent group lost an average of 9.7% ( $9.5 \pm 8.6$  Kg) of baseline weight vs. 1.9% ( $1.9 \pm 2.8$  Kg) in nonadherent group (P < 0.05).

### 4.3. Glucose, Insulin and Lipid Profile

After 6 months intervention neither adherent group nor nonadherent showed any significant change in Fasting blood glucose (FBG), Fasting serum insulin, HOMA-IR, QUICKI, but total cholesterol (P = 0.004), LDL (P = 0.007) and Triglyceride levels (P = 0.035) in adherent group were significantly improved when compared to the nonadherent group (Table 3).

#### 4.4. Liver Enzyme

In adherent group ALT, AST and GGT were significantly decreased after 3 and 6 months of intervention when compared to the baseline levels (P < 0.001). But in non-adherent group, ALT (P = 0.003) and AST (P = 0.016) were significantly decreased only after the third month in comparison to baseline levels (Table 2).

#### 4.5. MDA, CK18-M30 and Markers of Inflammation

CK18-M30 as a biomarker of hepatocellular apoptosis was significantly decreased from baseline level only in adherent group (P = 0.003).

In both groups MDA increased and TNF- $\alpha$  decreased slightly (but not significantly). IL -6 tended to increase with intervention, but was significantly increased only in the nonadherent group (P = 0.001) (Table 3).

### 4.6. Dietary Intakes

There was no difference in dietary intakes between the two groups at baseline. Analysis of food intakes by patients at baseline, 3rd, and 6th month reflected the amount of weight loss. All subjects who achieved  $\geq 5$  % or,<5% weight loss presented a decrease in calorie intake (kcal) (P < 0.001), protein (g) (P < 0.001), carbohydrate(g) (P < 0.001), total sugar (g) (P < 0.001), sucrose (g) (P < 0.05), total fat (g) (P < 0.001), saturated fat (g) (P < 0.001) mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) (g), and poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) (g). Selenium and fiber intake were reduced significantly in the both groups, but all were in the normal range during the study.

Ghaemi	AR et	al.
--------	-------	-----

Table 1. Baseline Demographic, Anthropometric and Biochemical Characteristics of Patients <sup>a</sup>						
Characteristic	Adherent (n = 25)	Non-adherent (n = 19)	P value			
Male/Female, No.	15/10	13/6	0.753			
Age, y	38±9.9	35.4 ± 7.2	0.328			
Weight, kg	93.7±15.8	$94 \pm 16.6$	0.950			
BMI , kg/m <sup>2b</sup>	32.7±3.9	$31.8 \pm 5.4$	0.556			
Waist circumference, cm	105.1±12.6	$106.8 \pm 14.2$	0.676			
WHR <sup>b</sup>	$0.92\pm0.08$	$0.96\pm0.08$	0.181			
SBP , mmHg <sup>b</sup>	$120.4 \pm 8.9$	$118.9 \pm 5.7$	0.537			
DBP, mmHg <sup>b</sup>	80.2±5.1	$79.7 \pm 4.2$	0.750			
ALT , IU/L <sup>b</sup>	$87.1 \pm 40.8$	69.1±32.4	0.122			
AST, IU/L <sup>b</sup>	$51.9 \pm 25.2$	$42.7 \pm 12$	0.121			
GGT , IU/L <sup>b</sup>	56.1±36.8	$32.9 \pm 12.9$	0.006			
FBG , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	98±19.7	$109.3 \pm 45$	0.329			
Fasting insulin, IU/mL	$12.9 \pm 21.1$	$18.6 \pm 28.8$	0.345			
HOMA-IR <sup>b</sup>	$2.9 \pm 4.3$	$4.9\pm6.4$	0.124			
QUICKI <sup>b</sup>	0.36± 0.05	$0.34\pm0.06$	0.336			
TCHO , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$207.8 \pm 42.1$	$206.7 \pm 45.3$	0.932			
HDL-C , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$46.4 \pm 9.9$	$44.8 \pm 14.9$	0.668			
LDL-C, mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$120.4 \pm 34.9$	111.2 ± 34.7	0.389			
TG <sup>b</sup> , mg/dL	$195.7 \pm 94.5$	$203.8 \pm 124.9$	0.807			
MDA <sup>b</sup> , nmol/mL <sup>c</sup>	15.3 (12.9–27.7)	14.5 (13–31.6)	0.804			
TNF-α <sup>b</sup> , pg/mL <sup>c</sup>	39.8 (16.2-48.2)	38.4 (19.5–61.3)	0.991			
IL-6 <sup>b</sup> , pg/mL <sup>c</sup>	0.33 (0.16-31.9)	0.19 (0.16-6)	0.943			
<b>CK18- M30<sup>b</sup>, U/L</b> <sup>c</sup>	460.3 (380.6-649.4 )	404.9 (258.5-543.7)	0.181			

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, Body mass index; CK18- M30, Cytokeratin 18- M30; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; FBG, Fasting blood glucose; GGT, y-glutamyltransferase; HDL-C, High- density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IL-6, Interleukin-6; LDL-C, Low- density lipoprotein cholesterol; MDA, Malondialdehyde; QUICKI, quantitative insulinsensitivity check index; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; TCHO, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride; TNF-a, Tumor necrosis factor-a; WHR, Waist to hip ratio. <sup>C</sup> Median (Interquartile range), Mann-Whitney test.

Table 2. Anthropometric, Clinical Parameters and Liver Enzyme Level for Adherent and Nonadherent Patients at Baseline and After 3 and 6 Months of Nutritional Intervention<sup>a</sup>

Characteristic	Adherent (n = 25)			Non adherent (n = 19)		
	Baseline	3 months	6 months	baseline	3 months	6 months
Weight, kg	$93.7 \pm 15.8$	$86.6 \pm 13.5^{\circ}$	$84.2 \pm 13.4^{c,d}$	$94 \pm 16.6$	$92.1 \pm 16.5^{\circ}$	$92.2 \pm 16.2^{e}$
BMI, kg/m <sup>2b</sup>	$32.7\pm3.9$	$30.4\pm3.3^{\circ}$	$29.5 \pm 3.2^{c,d}$	$31.8\pm5.4$	$31.2\pm5.4^{\text{C}}$	$31.1 \pm 5.3^{C}$
Waist circumference, cm	$105.1 \pm 12.6$	$99.5 \pm 10.4^{\circ}$	$97.4 \pm 9.8^{c,d}$	$106.8\pm14.2$	$104.3\pm14^{\text{C}}$	$103.7 \pm 14^{C}$
WHR <sup>b</sup>	$0.92\pm0.08$	$0.90\pm0.06^{e}$	$0.90\pm0.06$	$0.96\pm0.08$	$0.95\pm0.08$	$0.94\pm0.08^{e}$
SBP, mmHg <sup>b</sup>	$120.4\pm8.9$	$120.6\pm5.4$	118.1±6.3	$118.9\pm5.7$	$117.9\pm6.3$	$117.8\pm7.1$
DBP, mmHg <sup>b</sup>	$80.2\pm5.1$	$81.2\pm2.6$	$76.9 \pm 5^{c,d}$	$79.7\pm4.2$	$78.4\pm3.7$	$77.5 \pm 4.5$
ALT, IU/L <sup>b</sup>	$87.1\pm40.8$	$45.9\pm23.6^{\rm C}$	$45.6 \pm 19.8^{\circ}$	69.1±32.4	$48.9\pm21.7^{\rm C}$	$57.8\pm33.1$
AST, IU/L <sup>b</sup>	$51.9\pm25.2$	$31.5\pm11.9^{\rm C}$	$31.3 \pm 11.4^{\circ}$	$42.7\pm12$	$34.3 \pm 12.2^{e}$	$39.5 \pm 19.4$
GGT , IU/L <sup>b</sup>	$56.1 \pm 36.8$	$43.4 \pm 33.9^{\circ}$	$43.2 \pm 31^{\circ}$	32.9±12.9	31.8 ± 11.9	35.7±11.9

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as mean ± SD. <sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, Body mass index; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; GGT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; WHR, Waist to hip ratio.  $^{C}$  P< 0.001 Comparison with baseline within group.

d P< 0.001 Comparison with month 3 within group.

<sup>e</sup> P< 0.05 Comparison with baseline within group.

Characteristic	Adherent (n = 25)		P value <sup>c</sup>	Non-adherent (n = 19)		P value <sup>c</sup>	P value <sup>e</sup>
	Baseline	6 months		baseline	6 months		
FBG <sup>b</sup> , mg/dL	$98\pm19.7$	90.8±13.8	0.056	$109.3\pm45$	$109.9\pm62.1$	0.912	0.143
Fasting Insu- lin, μIU/mL	$12.9 \pm 21.1$	12.9±13.1	0.991	$18.6\pm28.8$	11.6±10.9	0.322	0.705
HOMA-IR <sup>b</sup>	$2.9 \pm 4.3$	$2.9\pm2.9$	0.965	$4.9\pm6.4$	3.1±3.1	0.226	0.8
<b>QUICKI</b> <sup>b</sup>	$0.36 \pm 0.05$	$0.35 \pm 0.06$	0.587	$0.34\pm0.06$	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	0.742	0.792
TCHO , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$207.8\pm42.1$	181.3 ± 38.3	0.004	$206.7 \pm 45.3$	191.7±39	0.056	0.381
HDL-C , $mg/dL^b$	$46.4\pm9.9$	$42.7\pm7.4$	0.057	$44.8\pm14.9$	$41.8\pm10.9$	0.295	0.730
LDL-C , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$120.4\pm34.9$	$99.9 \pm 26.5$	0.007	111.2 ± 34.7	$102.3\pm26.8$	0.113	0.770
TG , mg/dL <sup>b</sup>	$195.7\pm94.5$	$159.8\pm84.2$	0.035	$203.8\pm124.9$	$221.7 \pm 144.8$	0.22	0.082
MDA , nmol/ mL <sup>b</sup> , <sup>d</sup>	15.3 (12.9-27.7)	17.4 (13.9-40.2)	0.313	14.5 (13-31.6)	15.2 (13.5-31.5)	0.748	0.915
<b>CK-18 M30 , U/</b> L <sup>b</sup> , <sup>d</sup>	460.3 (380.6- 649.4 )	370.7 (298.4- 468.3)	0.003	404.9 (258.5 - 543.7)	469 (276.9-704.9)	0.295	0.213
<b>TNF-</b> $\alpha$ , <b>pg</b> / <b>mL</b> <sup>b</sup> , <sup>d</sup>	39.8 (16.2-48.2)	39.9 (10.5-49)	0.737	38.4 (19.5 - 61.3)	26.3 (9.4-57.8)	0.184	0.594
<b>IL-6 , pg/mL</b> <sup>b</sup> , <sup>d</sup>	0.33 (0.16-31.9)	0.45 (0.18-48.4)	0.323	0.19 (0.16 - 6)	0.34 (0.16-85.3)	0.001	0.831

**Table 3.** Comparison of Glucose, Insulin, HOMA-IR, QUICKI, Lipid Profile, MDA, CK18-M30 and Markers of Inflammation Between Adherent and Nonadherent Patients at Baseline and After 6 Months Nutritional Intervention<sup>a</sup>

 $^{a}$  Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: CK-18 M30, Cytokeratin 18- M30; FBG, Fasting blood glucose; HDL-C, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IL-6, Interleukin-6; LDL-C, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MDA, Malondialdehyde; QUICKI, Quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index; TCHO, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride; TNF-α, Tumor necrosis factor- α.

<sup>C</sup> P value within group.

<sup>d</sup> Median (Interquartile range), Wilcoxon signed rank test within group and Mann-Whitney test between the groups at 6th month.

<sup>e</sup> P value between group at month 6.

 $\beta$ - Carotene intake increased significantly in the both groups (P < 0.001). The intake of vitamin A-RAE was decreased and alphacarotene increased none significantly in the both groups. Vitamin C intake in nonadherent group was significantly decreased; however, it was always in the normal Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) range. Vitamin E intake was reduced significantly decreased in adherent group. Fructose intake was significantly decreased in adherent group at months 3 and 6, but in nonadherent group, it was significantly decreased at month 3, but not after the 6th month.

No difference in the patients' physical activity was seen at baseline and after 3 and 6 months of dietary intervention in the both groups (Table 4).

# 5. Discussion

Our results indicate that weight reduction might be a good therapeutic strategy for NAFLD in obese patients who achieve weight loss of 9.7% of initial body weight after 6 months of diet therapy.

In our study, 9.7% weight reduction (adherent group)

was associated with reduction in BMI, waist circumference, waist to hip ratio (WHR), DBP, total cholesterol, LDL, triglyceride, liver enzymes, and CK 18-M30 levels. Even a mean reduction of only 2% of initial body weight (nonadherent group) during 3 months led to a significant decrease in BMI, waist circumference and waist to hip ratio, although we could not find any significant reduction in whole body inflammation (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL6) and oxidant status (MDA).

Regarding the anthropometric indices, our study results are in line with those of previous ones (16, 19, 22, 27-32); our study is an exclusive nutritional intervention. We observed that 56% of the patients with NAFLD lost more than 5% of their initial weight after 6 months. All anthropometric indices were significantly decreased in those who lost more than 5% of their initial weight, which is in line with other similar studies evaluating the exclusive nutritional intervention in patients with NAFLD. However, the studies assessing lifestyle modifications including both dietary and physical activity recommendations have also shown the similar results.

Characteristic	c Adherent (n = 25)			Non-adherent (n = 19)			
	baseline	3 months	6 months	baseline	3 months	6 months	
Activity, MET	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	
Energy, Kcal/d	$2888.7\pm984.9$	$20271 \pm 641.2^{\text{C}}$	$2015.1 \pm 676.2^{\rm C}$	$3021.6 \pm 953.9$	$2380.6 \pm 747.9^{\circ}$	$2301.9 \pm 692.8^{\text{C}}$	
Protein, g/d	$123.3\pm47$	$95.4 \pm 33.9^{\circ}$	$92.8 \pm 33.3^{\circ}$	$134.6 \pm 51.3$	$105.9 \pm 37.6^{\circ}$	$104.8 \pm 35.7^{\text{C}}$	
Carbohydrates, g/d	$450\pm147.9$	$303 \pm 99.7^{\circ}$	305±104.9 <sup>c</sup>	445.9±150.3	346.3±119.9 <sup>c</sup>	338.9 ± 88.8 <sup>°</sup>	
Sugar total, g/d	$171.8\pm63.6$	$127\pm40.7^{\rm C}$	$121.4\pm38.9^{\text{C}}$	$176.8\pm66.9$	$128.5 \pm 50.3^{\circ}$	$132.1 \pm 30.3^{\circ}$	
Fructose, g/d	$29\pm16.4$	$21.3\pm10^{\rm d}$	$18.7\pm8.1^{\text{C}}$	$28.1 \pm 16.6$	$21\pm11.6^{\rm d}$	$22.6\pm7.3$	
Sucrose, g/d	$34.4\pm20.7$	$27.1 \pm 17.2$	$21\pm11.9^{\rm C}$	$29.6 \pm 16.4$	$16.9 \pm 11.3^{\rm C}$	$19.5\pm10.2^{\text{d}}$	
Fiber-total, g/d	47±15.4	$34.6 \pm 10.9^{\circ}$	$34.6 \pm 13.9^{\circ}$	$46.4 \pm 22.6$	$38.5 \pm 21$	$35.6 \pm 12.3^{\text{d}}$	
Total Fat , g/d <sup>b</sup> , g/d	74.3±28.4	$54.4 \pm 17.7^{\text{C}}$	53.7±19.9 <sup>C</sup>	84.1±31.8	$69.8 \pm 27.4^{\mathrm{d}}$	$65.3 \pm 30.5^{\circ}$	
Sat Fat, g/d	$23 \pm 9.2$	$18\pm5.9^{\circ}$	$17.8\pm6.7^{\rm C}$	$26.4\pm9.3$	$22.4\pm7.6^{\rm d}$	$20.2\pm8.9^{\text{C}}$	
MUFA , g/d <sup>b</sup> , g/d	$27 \pm 11.2$	$19.6 \pm 7.4^{\circ}$	$18.9\pm7.6^{\rm C}$	30.1±12	$25\pm10.7^{ ext{d}}$	$23.9 \pm 11.4^{\text{d}}$	
PUFA , g/d <sup>b</sup> , g/d	$15.2 \pm 6.6$	$10.7\pm4.4^{\rm C}$	$10.8\pm4.7^{\text{C}}$	$18.3\pm8.9$	$14\pm0.7^{\rm d}$	$13.8 \pm 7^{d}$	
Cholesterol, mg/d	345.1±231.6	245.2 ± 139.1 <sup>d</sup>	254.7±140.7	$469 \pm 369.9$	318 ± 218	319.6±202.1	
Vita A, RAE/d	$782.7 \pm 1782.7$	$229.4 \pm 149.5$	$416.3\pm542$	$663.8 \pm 1115.2$	$576 \pm 1186.5$	566.8±1035.4	
Beta carotene, µg/d	$764.9 \pm 789.5$	1096.9±1455.6	1682.2±1535.8 <sup>C</sup>	$579.5 \pm 610.9$	655.9±456.8	1350.9 ± 882.8 <sup>c</sup>	
Alphacarotene, µg/d	172.4±305.3	270.3±553.9	219.1±538.8	92.8±100.9	91.6±114.9	128.7±161.5	
Vit C, mg/d	$167.7 \pm 136.8$	$130.5\pm83.3$	$110.1\pm74.1$	186.1±172.1	$92.9\pm68.4^{\rm d}$	$110.1 \pm 74.1$	
Vit E, mg/d	$12\pm4.6$	$9\pm3.7^{\rm C}$	$9.1 \pm 2.4^{C}$	$13.4 \pm 6.1$	11 <b>.</b> 2 ± 6	$12.8\pm7$	
Alpha tocoph- erol, mg/d	$6.4\pm2.6$	$5.1 \pm 2.4^{d}$	$5\pm1.5^{C}$	7.1±3.6	6.1±3.9	7.1±4.2	
Selenium, µg/d	$230.4\pm96.2$	$154.3 \pm 61.2^{\circ}$	$158.9 \pm 66.9^{\circ}$	$245.8\pm95.9$	$193.4 \pm 66.9^{\circ}$	$187.8 \pm 64.9^{\circ}$	

Ghaemi AR et al.

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: Sat Fat, saturated fat; MUFA, Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids.

 $^{\rm C}$  P < 0.001 Comparison with baseline within group.

 $^{\rm d}$  P < 0.05 Comparison with baseline within group.

The results of the present study also demonstrate that weight loss has additional beneficial effect on blood pressure. In particular, the adherent group had a greater reduction in DBP. Shah K et al. reported a significant reduction in systolic blood pressure (SBP) in NAFLD patients with only dietary intervention, and significant reduction in both SBP and DBP in patients with both diet and physical activity intervention (30). Also, Oza et al. (29) showed that lifestyle modification resulted in a significant decrease in both SBP and DBP in patients with NAFLD; however, both of these studies were conducted on older patients. Tomas et al. could not find any significant change in SBP and DBP which might have been due to less weight reduction (4%) in their study population (31). Neither our study, nor other similar studies have evaluated the salt intake of the patients as a covariate in determining the blood pressure changes in these patients. Since there was no recommendation about salt consumption in any group, it seems that weight loss more than 5% of initial weight per se can affect blood pressure in patients with NAFLD.

Among the selected biochemical characteristic total cholesterol, LDL and TG were significantly decreased in adherent group after 6 months of nutritional intervention which is in linewith the result of a similar study (27). There was no significant effect on blood glucose and insulin in the adherent group (16, 27, 31). This data is in line with the study conducted by Thomas et al. (31) who concluded that this was probably due to normal glucose and insulin levels before the intervention; thus, their weight loss did not significantly affect their fasting glucose and insulin levels.

Hung et al. reported that loosing 5% and 7% of total body weight improves liver enzymes and histological changes, respectively (33). In the present study, we found that weight reduction was associated with ALT, AST and GGT improvement within three months (7.1% weight reduction) and 6 months (9.7% weight reduction) follow up in adherent group, but in nonadherent group only 2% weight loss within 3 months was associated with ALT, AST improvement. In our patients, reduction of liver enzymes was correlated with the amount of weight loss without necessarily normal BMI. The reason for this observation is not entirely clear, but may be related to changes in eating habits or dietary component (16). In our study, intake of carbohydrate, total sugar, sucrose, fructose, total fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol was significantly decreased. Therefore, the reduction of liver enzymes might be at least partially due to the reduction in sugar and fat consumption (5, 18, 34).

To our best knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating that weight loss more than 5% of initial body weight can reduce CK18 significantly. Caspase-cleaved CK18-M30 as a specific measurement of apoptosis is a reliable noninvasive biomarker to monitor disease activity, and to evaluate the therapeutic response of patients with NAFLD (5, 35, 36). It was suggested that CK-18 fragment levels greater than 380.2 U/L can definitely predict NASH (5). It has been demonstrated that SFAs are potentially hepatotoxic through induction of lipoapoptosis (37). So, weight loss can prevent hepatocellular apoptosis in NAFLD through improving fatty acids metabolism (38).

In this study, IL-6 was significantly increased in nonadherent group, and TNF- $\alpha$  tended to slightly decrease in the both groups. Initial reports supported a hepato-protective action of IL- 6 in steatotic liver, but long term IL- 6 exposure may sensitize liver to injury and apoptotic cell death (20, 39, 40). Lang et al. suggested that significantly weight loss and long term weight -control through life style pattern modification for 12 months is necessary for decreasing TNF -  $\alpha$  level (27).

Extensive evidence supports a central role of TNF- $\alpha$  and other pro inflammatory cytokines in development of fatty liver, but several reasons might explain the discrepancies. In fact, in humans, TNF- $\alpha$  has a relatively short half - life and low circulation level, which may not reflect the changes occurring in the liver. Furthermore, we cannot adjust all factors that can influence the level of circulating TNF- $\alpha$ .

Of course, some factors might have influenced TNF- $\alpha$  level such as difference in the study population. We also did not adjust for other factors that may influence TNF- $\alpha$  circulating level (39). Gene expression of TNF- $\alpha$  and TNF receptor is increased in liver of patients with NASH compared to both normal liver and fatty liver, and expression is higher in those patients with more severe disease (41). A similar trend has been observed for IL-6 level (40).

We measured serum MDA as a lipid peroxidation index with high solubility, and we could not find any significant changes in its serum level in the both groups. MDA was increased in 90% of NASH patients compared to patients with steatosis, illustrating the increase of oxidative stress. MDA, a product of polyunsaturated fatty acids and reactive oxygen species (ROS), is widely used as a marker of lipid oxidant because of its simplicity to assess. Its use in plasma as a biomarker remains controversial since it does not originate exclusively from lipid peroxidation, and is not metabolically stable and the colorimetric determination lacks specificity (42). Diet constituents including antioxidant vitamins can modulate redox reaction and the extent of oxidative stress (43).

Although diet modification in our study resulted in fewer intakes of antioxidant agents such as vitamin E, C and selenium, it could not affect the redox system of the body because all components of dietary intake including antioxidants and oxidants were decreased similarly. On the other hand, no significant correlation has yet been found between any measures of oxidative stress and either grade of inflammation or stage of fibrosis in patients with NAFLD (44).

# 5.1. The Limitations of the Study

Our study had limitations; our patients had both biochemical and ultra sonographic findings indicating NAFLD, but it was not possible to distinguish between simple fatty liver and NASH. Also we did not adjust for other factors that might have influenced TNF- $\alpha$  or IL-6 levels. Low sample size and sampling strategy and inability to generalize findings to target population is another limitation of our study.

The advantage of this study was the measurement of CK18 as a reliable marker of hepatocyte apoptosis, which was measured before and after weight loss. Our results showed that weight reduction can reduce hepatocellular apoptosis and ultimately less hepatic fibrosis.

#### 5.2. Summary

In conclusion, our findings support the evidence recommending nutritional intervention for weight loss as the first step in the management of patients with NAFLD.

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge all the participants of the study.

# **Authors' Contribution**

Ghaemi AR, Taleban FA, and Hekmatdoost A designed the research; Ghaemi AR, Fakheri H, Rafiei A, and Hosseini V performed the research; Amiri Z and Homayounfar R analyzed data; Ghaemi AR, Hekmatdoost A, and Fakheri H wrote the paper.

#### **Financial Disclosure**

None of the authors have any financial disclosure.

# **Funding/Support**

This study was financially supported by National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran and Ma-

#### zandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran.

# References

- Festi D, Colecchia A, Sacco T, Bondi M, Roda E, Marchesini G. Hepatic steatosis in obese patients: clinical aspects and prognostic significance. *Obesity Rev.* 2004;5(1):27–42.
- Mensink RP, Plat J, Schrauwen P. Diet and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Curr Opin lipidol. 2008;19(1):25.
- 3. Zivkovic AM, German JB, Sanyal AJ. Comparative review of diets for the metabolic syndrome: implications for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;**86**(2):285.
- Ratziu V, Bellentani S, Cortez-Pinto H, Day C, Marchesini G. A position statement on NAFLD/NASH based on the EASL 2009 special conference. *J hepatol.* 2010;**53**(2):372–384.
- Vuppalanchi R, Chalasani N. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Selected practical issues in their evaluation and management. *Hepatology*. 2009;49(1):306–317.
- Khedmat H, Taheri S. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: An update in pathophysiology, diagnosis and therapy. *Hepat Mon.* 2010;11(2):74–85.
- Browning JD, Szczepaniak LS, Dobbins R, Horton JD, Cohen JC, Grundy SM, et al. Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in an urban population in the United States: impact of ethnicity. *Hepatology*. 2004;40(6):1387-1395.
- Williams CD, Stengel J, Asike MI, Torres DM, Shaw J, Contreras M, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis among a largely middle-aged population utilizing ultrasound and liver biopsy: a prospective study. *Gastroenterol.* 2011;**140**(1):124–131.
- 9. B Lankarani K, Ghaffarpasand F, Mahmoodi M, Lotfi M, Zamiri N, Heydari ST, et al. Non Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Southern Iran: A Population Based Study. *Hepat Mon.* 2013;**13**(5):e9248.
- Sotoudehmanesh R, Sotoudeh M, Ali-Asgari A, Abedi-Ardakani B, Tavangar SM, Khakinejad A, et al. Silent liver diseases in autopsies from forensic medicine of Tehran. Arch Iran Med. 2006;9(4):324– 328.
- Jamali R, Khonsari M, Merat S, Khoshnia M, Jafari E, Kalhori AB, et al. Persistent alanine aminotransferase elevation among the general Iranian population: prevalence and causes. World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14(18):2867.
- Baumeister SE, Völzke H, Marschall P, John U, Schmidt CO, Flessa S, et al. Impact of fatty liver disease on health care utilization and costs in a general population: a 5-year observation. *Gastroenterol.* 2008;**134**(1):85–94.
- Erickson SK. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Lipid Res. 2009;50(Supplement):S412-S416.
- Ghamar Chehreh ME, Vahedi M, Pourhoseingholi MA, Ashtari S, Khedmat H, Amin M, et al. Estimation of Diagnosis and Treatment Costs of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Two-Year Observation. *Hepat Mon.* 2013;13(5):e7382.
- Duvnjak M, Tomasic V, Gomercic M, Smircic-Duvnjak L, Barsic N, Lerotic I. Therapy of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: current status. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2009;60(Suppl 7):57–66.
- Promrat K, Kleiner DE, Niemeier HM, Jackvony E, Kearns M, Wands JR, et al. Randomized controlled trial testing the effects of weight loss on nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology*. 2010;**51**(1):121-129.
- Zelber-Sagi S, Ratziu V, Oren R. Nutrition and physical activity in NAFLD: an overview of the epidemiological evidence. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2011;17(29):3377.
- Assy N. Nutritional recommendations for patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases. World J Gastroenterol. 2011;17(29):3375.
- Elias MC, Parise ER, Carvalho L, Szejnfeld D, Netto JP. Effect of 6-month nutritional intervention on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nutrition*. 2009;**26**:1094-99.
- Tsochatzis EA, Papatheodoridis GV, Archimandritis AJ. Adipokines in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: from pathogenesis to implications in diagnosis and therapy. *Mediators inflamm.* 2009;831670.
- 21. Dixon JB, Bhathal PS, Hughes NR, O'Brien PE. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: improvement in liver histological analysis with

weight loss. Hepatology. 2004;**39**(6):1647-1654.

- 22. Hickman IJ, Jonsson JR, Prins JB, Ash S, Purdie DM, Clouston AD, et al. Modest weight loss and physical activity in overweight patients with chronic liver disease results in sustained improvements in alanine aminotransferase, fasting insulin, and quality of life. *Gut.* 2004;**53**(3):413.
- 23. Aadahl M, Jorgensen T. Validation of a new self-report instrument for measuring physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;**35**(7):1196-202.
- 24. Kelishadi R, Ardalan G, Gheiratmand R, Gouya MM, Razaghi EM, Delavari A, et al. Association of physical activity and dietary behaviours in relation to the body mass index in a national sample of Iranian children and adolescents: CASPIAN Study. Bulletin World Health Org. 2007;85(1):19–26.
- H ebí ek J, Janout V, Malin íková J, Horáková D, ížek L. Detection of insulin resistance by simple quantitative insulin sensitivity check index QUICKI for epidemiological assessment and prevention. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol. 2002;87(1):144–144.
- Merriam PA, Ma Y, Olendzki BC, Schneider KL, Li W, Ockene IS, et al. Design and methods for testing a simple dietary message to improve weight loss and dietary quality. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2009;9(1):87.
- Lang HF, Chou CY, Sheu WH, Lin JY. Weight loss increased serum adiponectin but decreased lipid levels in obese subjects whose body mass index was lower than 30 kg/m(2). Nutr Res. 2011;31(5):378–86.
- Larson-Meyer DE, Newcomer BR, Heilbronn LK, Volaufova J, Smith SR, Alfonso AJ, et al. Effect of 6-month calorie restriction and exercise on serum and liver lipids and markers of liver function. *Obesity*. 2008;**16**(6):1355–1362.
- 29. Oza N, Eguchi Y, Mizuta T, Ishibashi E, Kitajima Y, Horie H, et al. A pilot trial of body weight reduction for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with a home-based lifestyle modification intervention delivered in collaboration with interdisciplinary medical staff. J Gastroenterol. 2009;44(12):1203–1208.
- Shah K, Stufflebam A, Hilton TN, Sinacore DR, Klein S, Villareal DT. Diet and exercise interventions reduce intrahepatic fat content and improve insulin sensitivity in obese older adults. *Obesity.* 2009;17(12):2162-2168.
- 31. Thomas EL, Brynes AE, Hamilton G, Patel N, Spong A, Goldin RD, et al. Effect of nutritional counselling on hepatic, muscle and adipose tissue fat content and distribution in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2006;**12**(36):5813.
- 32. Uslan I, Acarturk G, Karaca E, Albayrak R, Yuksel S, Colbay M, et al. The effects of weight loss on normal transaminase levels in obese patients. *American J Med Sci.* 2007;**334**(5):327.
- 33. Huang MA, Greenson JK, Chao C, Anderson L, Peterman D, Jacobson J, et al. One-year intense nutritional counseling results in histological improvement in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: a pilot study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2005;**100**(5):1072-1081.
- Rebollo A, Roglans N, Alegret M, Laguna JC. Way back for fructose and liver metabolism: Bench side to molecular insights. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18(45):6552.
- Tamimi TI, Elgouhari HM, Alkhouri N, Yerian LM, Berk MP, Lopez R, et al. An apoptosis panel for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis diagnosis. J Hepatol. 2011;54(6):1224-1229.
- Younossi ZM, Page S, Rafiq N, Birerdinc A, Stepanova M, Hossain N, et al. A biomarker panel for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and NASH-related fibrosis. *Obesity Surg.* 2011;21(4):431–439.
- Malhi H, Bronk SF, Werneburg NW, Gores GJ. Free fatty acids induce JNK-dependent hepatocyte lipoapoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(17):12093-12101.
- 38. Tabuchi M, Tomioka K, Kawakami T, Murakami Y, Hiramatsu M, Itoshima T, et al. Serum cytokeratin 18 M30 antigen level and its correlation with nutritional parameters in middle-aged Japanese males with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). J Nutr Sci Vitaminol. 2010;56(5):271-278.
- 39. Carter-Kent C, Zein NN, Feldstein AE. Cytokines in the pathogenesis of fatty liver and disease progression to steatohepatitis: implications for treatment. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2008;**103**(4):1036–1042.
- 40. Wieckowska A, Papouchado BG, Li ZZ, Lopez R, Zein NN, Feldstein AE. Increased hepatic and circulating interleukin-6 lev-

els in human nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2008;**103**(6):1372–1379.

- Rolo AP, Teodoro JS, Palmeira CM. Role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2012;**52**(1):59–69.
- Koek GH, Liedorp PR, Bast A. The role of oxidative stress in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. 2011;412(15):1297– 1305.
- 43. Grattagliano I, Palmieri VO, Portincasa P, Moschetta A, Palasciano G. Oxidative stress-induced risk factors associated with the metabolic syndrome: a unifying hypothesis. *J Nutr Biochem*. 2008;**19**(8):491–504.
- Madan K, Bhardwaj P, Thareja S, Gupta S, Saraya A. Oxidant stress and antioxidant status among patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2006;40(10):930–935.