Interferon Alpha-2b Therapy in Chronic Hepatitis Delta
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Background: Approximately 5% of hepatitis B virus (HBV) carriers are coinfected with hepatitis D virus (HDV). HBV/HDV coinfection is a major cause of cirrhosis and end stage liver disease in chronic HBsAg carriers. The only approved therapy for chronic hepatitis delta is interferon alpha (IFN α) in either pegylated or conventional forms. Although higher doses and longer durations of IFN α therapy in HBV/HDV coinfected patients are currently applied, yet treatment response is low.

Objectives: We aimed to determine the efficacy of IFN α-2b therapy in patients with HBV/HDV coinfection.

Patients and Methods: In this cross sectional study, 20 HBsAg carriers with positive Anti-HDVAb and RT-PCR for HDV RNA were recruited and treated for three year duration with 5 million units (MU) of IFN α-2b, three times weekly or one year with 5 MU of IFN α-2b daily. Sustained virological response (SVR) was defined as a negative qualitative HDV RT-PCR, 6 months after treatment cessation.

Results: Overall, 3 (15%) subjects achieved SVR, 10 cases (50%) relapsed after treatment cessation and 7 (35%) patients did not clear HDV during the treatment.

Conclusions: HDV coinfection with HBV had very low response rate to high doses and long durations of IFN α-2b therapy.
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Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education: Treatment of hepatitis delta virus (HDV) infection is a challenging issue in the field of hepatology. On the other hand, there is little data regarding treatment of hepatitis delta in the literature. In this study, we searched for the efficacy of interferon alpha-2b in Iranian patients with HBV/HDV coinfection and hoped that our findings would be interesting to the professional audience of hepatitis monthly who are in the field of hepatology and infectious diseases.
ti-HDVAb and RT-PCR for HDV, who were referred to the Tehran Hepatitis Center (Tehran, Iran) and Tehran Blood Transfusion Hepatitis Clinic (Tehran, Iran) between 2008 and 2011 were enrolled to the study and treated. The CHD diagnosis was based on anti-HDVAb and HDV RNA positivity and histological findings of CHD. All patients had compensated liver disease with histological evidence of chronic hepatitis to cirrhosis. The patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: seropositivity for human immunodeficiency virus antibody, seropositivity for anti-HCV antibody, serious medical illness, white blood cell count less than 3,000/mm³, absolute neutrophil count less than 1,500/mm³, platelet count under 70,000/mm³, presence of decompensated liver disease or a history of ascites, variceal hemorrhage, hepatic encephalopathy, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Iranian Blood Transfusion Organization. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 declaration of Helsinki.

3.2. Treatment Regimen, Patient Management and Laboratory Assessments

IFN α-2b (PDferon B®), Pooyesh Daru, Tehran, Iran, was started with five MU three times a week for one month in order to evaluate tolerability of the treatment and patients adherence to it. In patients who tolerated the treatment, IFN α-2b dose was increased to 5 MU once daily for one year (treatment group A), and the remaining were treated with 5 MU three times weekly for 3 years (treatment group B). The treatment group B consisted of patients with severe liver disease and treatment adverse events. The patients had two physician visits in the first month and once a month in the following period of treatment. Serial hematological, biochemical, and virological studies were performed during visits.

HBeAg, anti-HBeAb, HBsAg, anti-HBsAb, anti-HDVAb were tested with the ELISA (Radim SpA, Rome, Italy) method. Plasma HBV DNA level was assessed at the beginning of treatment, at months 6, 12 and 24, at the end of treatment, and at the end of the follow-up period using COBAS Amplicore HBV Monitor Test (Roche Diagnostics). HDV RNA was detected using RT-PCR. Briefly, viral RNA was extracted from anti-HDVAb positive patients using QIAmp Viral RNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNA was subjected to reverse transcription by Revert Aid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania). The cDNA samples were amplified using primers 8276 (5'-ACA AGG AGA GCC AGG ATC ACC GAC-3') and 5413 (5'-GCC CAG GTG GGA CCG CGA GGA GGT-3') for the first round of PCR and primers 5415 (5'-GAA GGA AGG CCC TCG AGA ACA AGA-3') and 5414 (5'-GAG ATG CCA TGC CGA CCC GAA GAG-3') for the nested round of PCR (10).

All patients undergone liver biopsy and the specimens were assessed by an expert pathologist according to the modified Knodell scoring system. The biopsy results with liver fibrosis scores 0 to 4 and the ones with more than 4 were categorized as mild to moderate and severe fibrosis, respectively.

3.3. Definition of Treatment Response

A biochemical response was defined as normal serum alanine transaminase (ALT) level (< 30 IU/L) at the end of treatment, a virological response as the absence of serum HDV RNA at the end of treatment and sustained virological response (SVR) as a negative qualitative PCR, 6 months after treatment cessation. Patients remaining HDV RNA positive at the end of treatment were considered as non-responders. Patients whom were HDV RNA negative at the end of treatment and became positive 6 months after treatment cessation were considered as relapers.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were expressed by frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed by mean ± standard deviation (SD). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software for Windows (SPSS, version 17).

4. Results

The study group was comprised of 20 HDV infected patients. All patients were HBsAg and anti-HBeAb positive and none were HBeAg positive. The demographic, laboratory, and histological characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients completed the treatment course and the most observed treatment complications were anorexia, weakness, and weight loss. Twelve (60%) patients had mild to moderate liver fibrosis and 8 (40%) patients had severe liver fibrosis, from which 2 had compensated liver cirrhosis. Seven (35%) patients met the non-responder criteria, 10 (50%) relapsed, and 3 (15%) patients had SVR. Interestingly, none of the female patients were non-responder (Table 1). Also, we observed lower rate of non-response and relapse and higher rate of SVR in patients who had received longer durations of treatment (group B) than group A (Table 1). The mean ALT level was evaluated before and after treatment in non-responders, relapers and responders. The mean ALT decreased at the end of the treatment in all response groups but it rose at the end of the follow up time (Table 1). Sixteen (80%) patients had HBV DNA less than 10⁴ copies/mL before the treatment and all patients achieved HBV DNA less than 10⁴ copies/mL at the end of the follow up time. The mean platelet count was in the normal range before the treatment and it had decreased at the end of the treatment in all response groups. HBsAg seroconversion was observed only in one female patient after completion of the treatment course.
Table 1. Demographic, Laboratory, and Histological Characteristics of Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender, No. (%)</th>
<th>SVR, n = 3</th>
<th>Relapse, n = 10</th>
<th>Non-response, n = 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (20.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, Mean ± SD, y</td>
<td>43.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>42.7 ± 11.6</td>
<td>43.1 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Group, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>7 (70.0)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>3 (30.0)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Fibrosis, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild to moderate</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>5 (50.0)</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HBV DNA Level (copies/mL), No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $10^4$</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $10^4$</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT a (Baseline), Mean ± SD, IU/L</td>
<td>75.7 ± 66.7</td>
<td>79.4 ± 31.4</td>
<td>74.9 ± 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (EOT a), Mean ± SD, IU/L</td>
<td>35.0 ± 17.5</td>
<td>53.2 ± 26.9</td>
<td>47.4 ± 24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (Follow up), Mean ± SD, IU/L</td>
<td>53.3 ± 14.2</td>
<td>78.0 ± 53.8</td>
<td>57.6 ± 39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST a (Baseline), Mean ± SD, IU/L</td>
<td>42.0 ± 7.9</td>
<td>77.0 ± 56.4</td>
<td>67.3 ± 23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT a (Baseline), Mean ± SD, x10^3/mm³</td>
<td>138.0 ± 44.7</td>
<td>147.2 ± 30.7</td>
<td>164.7 ± 52.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; EOT, end of treatment; PLT, platelet; SD, standard deviation; SVR, sustained virological response.

5. Discussion

HDV is an etiological agent of acute and chronic liver disease and most chronically infected patients experience severe forms of liver damage such as cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (11-13). In our study, 40% of patients had severe liver fibrosis (stage > 4). In an Italian cohort study, among 188 HDV infected patients, 82 (43%) patients had a histology of chronic hepatitis and the remaining 106 (57%) individuals had a clinical/histological diagnosis of cirrhosis (14). In another study by Farci et al. half of the patients had active cirrhosis in their liver biopsies (15). A few studies evaluated the response rate to IFN α treatment in CHD but their results are difficult to interpret because of differences in their study design and patient characterization. Additionally, most studies, which evaluated the efficacy of IFN therapy in CHD, consisted of a small number of patients (less than 25 individuals) (6, 16, 17). However, the majority of these studies showed biochemical and histological improvement but virological response had only occurred in a minority of patients (16, 18, 19). Most current antiviral agents such as nucleoside analogues inhibiting HBV replication are ineffective against HDV and combination therapy of IFN α with lamivudine or ribavirin has not shown significant advantages over monotherapy with either IFN α or PEG-IFN α (13, 20, 21). High dose IFN α is the only effective agent with limited activity against HDV (7). In our study, group B patients receiving IFN α-2b for a longer duration had higher SVR rate than group A patients who received IFN α-2b for a shorter course. In a review article, was also stated that patients may benefit more of long duration IFN treatment (7). In a study from Turkey, a two year treatment did not appear to increase sustained response rate to IFN α over one year treatments (6). Another study from Turkey showed longer duration of PEG-IFN α (2 years vs. 1 year) had no significant effect on SVR (22). Farci et al. found that patients who received higher doses of IFN α gained higher SVR rates compared to the subjects treated with lower doses of IFN α (15, 23). The main aim of the treatments is HDV RNA negativity and persistence of it during the follow up period. Among participants in this study, 12 (60%) patients lost HDV RNA at the end of treatment but this situation was stable for only 3 (15%) subjects at the end of the follow up period. In a study by Niro et al. the rate of final response (SVR) was similar (12%) to that determined in the present study (24). Also, Abbas et al. reported SVR in about 17% of IFN α treated patients (25). Interestingly, among patients achieving SVR in the current study, one female patient cleared HBV and HDV simultaneously. In a study by Lau et al. among the 6 HBV/HDV coinfected patients who completed at least 11 months of treatment with IFN α-2b, four cases lost serum HBsAg, while three of them developed anti-HBsAb (17). Some experts recommended prolonging IFN treatment duration until HBsAg loss in treatment.
responders and adjusting the IFN dose to patient tolerance (7, 26, 27). Recently, application of PEG-IFN α in HDV infected patients has increased virological response to about 30% (2, 24, 28) and in a study from Turkey, response rate to PEG-IFN α-2a or 2b in HDV infected patients was 47% (29). On the other hand, a recent systematic review which included randomized clinical trials of HDV treatment, indicated that the efficacy of treatment with IFN at high doses and PEG-IFN was the same (30). In another study from France, combination therapy with PEG-IFN α and a nucleoside/tide analogue seemed to be more effective than IFN α alone (31). Patients with high HBV replication may benefit from this combination therapy (32).

In the current study, 6 (30%) patients achieved normal ALT levels at the end of the treatment, in other similar studies, 20%-25% of patients were found to have normal ALT levels at the end of the treatment (4, 19). Among 6 patients with normal ALT at the end of the treatment, 5 (25%) subjects remained to have normal ALT levels during the follow up, while this rate was 26% in the study by Rosina et al.(19). Hadziyannis et al. showed that IFN α had an impact on normalization of ALT value during therapy but this effect did not last during the follow up course (33). Another finding by different studies was the suppressing effect of HDV on HBV replication (12, 34). Low HBV DNA levels before and after treatment in most of our patients confirmed this theory. However, according to the results of other studies, there are different patterns of replication in HBV/HDV co-infected patients (active HDV/inactive HBV: 70%, active HDV and HBV: 23%, inactive HDV/active HBV: 4%, both inactive: 3%) (14). The main limitation of the current study was the small sample size, which was caused by the low prevalence of HDV in Iran and exclusion of cirrhotic patients who were intolerable of IFN therapy. In conclusion, our study demonstrated that IFN α has limited effects on hepatitis D. It seems that prolonging the treatment duration has positive effects on treatment response. Further studies with larger sample sizes and newer drugs are required to evaluate the response rate.
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