The Effects of Lifestyle Modifications Including Dietary and Physical Interventions with Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy on Quality of Life and Cancer-Recurrence Rate among Patients with Breast Cancer and Survivors: A Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trial

Elham Razmpoosh[1], Maryam Mazloom[1], Maryam Bassiri[1], Ali Montazeri [2], Akram Sajadian[1, *], Mitra Zarrati[3] and Amin Salehi-Abargoueil[4, 5]

[1]Integrative Oncology and Quality of life Department, Breast Cancer Research Center, Motamed Cancer Institute, Academic Center for Education, Culture, and Research (ACECR), Tehran, Iran
[2]Iranian Institute for Health Sciences Research, Tehran, Iran
[3]Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[4]Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran
[5]Nutrition and Food Security Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

Corresponding author: Integrative Oncology and Quality of life Department, Breast Cancer Research Center, Motamed Cancer Institute, Tehran, Iran. Email: assajadi@yahoo.com

Abstract

Context: Lifestyle modifications consist of three components including diet, exercise, and cognitive-behavioral therapy which can reduce side effects of breast cancer. Cognitive-behavioral therapy is a complementary strategy that promotes new skills for any treatment. Published trials have investigated the co-efficacies of the two or three components of lifestyle modifications, especially dietary and cognitive-behavioral interventions in breast cancer survivors.

Evidence Acquisition: This protocol is about a meta-analysis which will systematically report the simultaneous effects of dietary intervention or physical activity with cognitive-behavioral therapy, or three of them on quality of life, the recurrence levels and anthropometric measurements among patients with breast cancer and survivors. It was prepared in accordance with the PRISMA-P checklist and will be performed in accordance with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of intervention. Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials, PubMed, EMBASE and ISI web of science will be searched for peer-reviewed literature using defined MeSH terms. Included randomized controlled trials on the combination effects of cognitive-behavioral therapy with either dietary or physical interventions will be assessed. Continuous data will be meta-analyzed using the STATA and will be gathered using random-effects models. The effect size will be reported as standardized mean difference with 95%CIs. Heterogeneity assessment, publication bias, and sensitivity analysis will be performed. The heterogeneity between some trials may be a limitation of this study.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis will provide beneficial guidance for healthcare providers and family members to improve the current understanding of the role of lifestyle modification on alleviating the important problems of patients with breast cancer.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Breast Neoplasms, Diet, Exercise, Cognitive Therapy

1. Context

1.1. Rationale

Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer among women. The estimated five-year relative survival rate for 50 to 69-year-old women diagnosed with breast cancer between 2001 and 2013 is over 80% (1). Overall, well-being of patients after their cancer diagnosis and post-treatment period is a concern and can affect the breast cancer recurrence. Hence, related interventions by maintaining or enhancing lifestyle modifications can increase their overall-wellbeing (2). Furthermore, lifestyle modifications are highly recommended to patients with breast cancer during or after their treatments as an adjunct to standard breast cancer therapies in order to increase their quality of life (3, 4). Quality of life is an important factor for ev-

ery human being, especially for patients, which is affected by the condition of disease and the length of patient's life (5). The exact concept of quality of life is hard to describe and it mostly relies on the particular comprehension of disease and health; however, according to the phrase given by the World Health Organization (WHO), quality of life includes not only mental, physical, and social health but cognitive-behavioral working ability and life-long pleasure which can be measured via different validated scales (5). On the other hand, based on the Nature definition, lifestyle modifications not only altering diet and physical activity but also having new behavioral changes for a long time (6).

With regard to the dietary feature of lifestyle modifications, related principal aspects include energy intake, dietary fiber, dietary fat and carbohydrate intakes, micronutrients, and alcohol consumption (7). Considerable attention has subsequently been directed towards energy restriction programs that cause reductions in body weight. There are numerous evidence that shows losing weight is associated with decreased risk of breast cancer recurrence (8, 9) and improved quality of life and psychological well-being (10). As a consequence, the present study will mainly focus on dietary modifications related to weight loss that generally include reduced fat and simple carbohydrate intakes.

Similar to energy restriction, physical activity also causes higher quality of life. A previous systematic review reported that patients with higher physical activity levels were observed to experience a lower relative risk of cancer recurrence and had less intense adverse events compared with those who had less exercise (11). Further, a recent meta-analysis reported that exercise resulted in beneficial effects on quality of life and physical functions of patients with breast cancer who had different demographic or clinical characteristics during and following their treatments (12).

Meanwhile, the third substantial component of lifestyle modifications is cognitive-behavioral therapy which can be incorporated into breast cancer survivorship programs (13). In fact, recent comprehensive lifestyle modification programs consider cognitive-behavioral strategies as the combination factors between dietary and physical activity recommendations in order to improve patients' adherence to a long-term treatment (14). Promising data have indicated that performing multidisciplinary lifestyle modifications would provide patients with comprehensive long-term management of their disease treatment (15, 16). Beneficial effects were found via cognitive-behavioral therapy; Lichtenthal et al. showed that cognitive-behavioral intervention led to improvements in health worries and interpretation biases in patients with breast cancer compared with the control arm (17). Further, cognitive-behavioral therapy has been shown to have additional benefits to patients' mood and quality of life (18). However, in spite of such beneficial effects, insignificant or contrary findings were also reported (19).

As mentioned above, there are published clinical trial articles that assessed cognitive-behavioral therapy with either dietary intervention or physical activity as well as the simultaneous effects of three of them among patients with breast cancer and survivors (20-25). Meffered et al. (20) postulated that the combined intervention of the three lifestyle modifications aspects could decrease the recurrence rate and prevent the obesity risk in overweight breast cancer survivors. Sanft et al. (22) also found that the combination of cognitive-behavioral, physical, and dietary interventions led to a prevention of the disease recurrence compared with the control group.

With regard to the previous systematic review articles, there are published studies that separately reported the efficacies of dietary (26-29), physical (12, 30), and cognitive-behavioral intervention (31) on quality of life and disease recurrence of patients with breast cancer and survivors. Besides, compared to the present study protocol, there is only one systematic review protocol article that has been published in 2014 and included only dietary modification and physical activity features of quality of life and their relation with breast cancer recurrence, without considering articles that included cognitive-behavioral therapy along with the other two aspects; further, the results of this systematic review protocol has not yet been fully published (32). Consequently, there are no meta-analysis or systematic review articles that specifically report the effects of either dietary or physical activity interventions with cognitive-behavioral therapy or even three of them on quality of life and/or cancer recurrence among patients with breast cancer and survivors. Hence, performing the present meta-analysis in order to identify lifestyle related factors that can improve survival rate and quality of life of patients during or after the treatment process, would be of great value. The specific strengths of the present study would be as followed:

In comparison with similar publications, one of the aims of this article is to provide a more specific and precise assessment on the role of lifestyle modification in patients with breast cancer and the efficacy of its three important aspects including dietary, exercise, and psychological interventions on quality of life of patients with breast cancer and survivors.

As cognitive-behavioral therapy is a complementary therapy that has shown to have long-lasting effects on noncommunicable diseases such as breast cancer, another aim of this study is to consider those trials that included the

cognitive-behavioral therapy in the treatment process of breast cancer along with dietary or physical interventions.

This meta-analysis will provide beneficial guidance for healthcare providers and family members to augment the current perception of the function of all the lifestyle modifiable components on alleviating the important problems of lifestyle of patients with breast cancer.

The beneficial results will prolong the life of breast cancer survivors.

The primary objectives of the present study are assessing the simultaneous effects of dietary intervention or physical activity with cognitive-behavioral therapy, or three of them, as the main aspects of lifestyle modification on quality of life and the recurrence levels among patients with breast cancer/survivors. The secondary objectives include the assessment of the above-mentioned lifestyle modification factors on anthropometric factors, including weight, body mass index (BMI), waist-hip ratio, and/or body fat.

2. Evidence Acquisition

2.1. Design

This systematic review and meta-analysis protocol was prepared in accordance with the PRISMA-P checklist (Appendix 1 in Supplementary File) (33). With regard to the instructions, this protocol of a systematic review was registered according to the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) on 24 July 2018 and the last update was on 25 November 2019 (registration number: CRD42018100628). It will be conducted according to a format brought in the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of intervention (34) and the related data will be reported following the recommendations of PRISMA statement (35). Quantitative extracted data from included randomized clinical trials (RCTs) will be meta-analyzed.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

2.2.1. Types of Studies

This review study will only include either full-scale or pilot randomized controlled trials that assessed the dietary or physical activity interventions with cognitive-behavioral therapy or the combination of three of them, compared to a control or usual care. Types of blinding of included articles will not be considered as the inclusion criteria and will only be assessed according to the quality assessment tools. The control group for every intervention part can be either a watchful-waitlist as passive control or groups that received other standard care management or placebo as active control. No limitations for sample size or

sampling methods will be considered. Trials with before-after designs will be primarily excluded. We will exclude studies if they are animal or in-vitro models. Any records without full texts will also be excluded as well as the studies that are irrelevant to the context.

2.2.2. Types of Participants

Eligible participants will include patients aged 18 to 65 with breast cancer stage I to III who are undergoing treatment process as well as breast cancer survivors who have completed their treatments in the previous 10 years. No ethnicity limitation will be considered.

2.2.3. Types of Intervention

Intervention types of included RCTs will consist of lifestyle modification including three main aspects which are dietary interventions, physical activity, and cognitive-behavioral interventions. Dietary interventions will consist of dietary counseling and programs including particular diets for weight management such as a low-calorie diet, low-carbohydrate, and/or low-fat diets that are performed individually or through group meetings or those that are given by telephone calls or mail correspondence, particular for weight management. We will not consider other dietary modifications that include smoking cessation, alcohol consumption, or different supplement therapies. Physical activity intervention will consist of any type of exercise with different duration. Trials with cognitive-behavioral interventions will be included which have been given either via a face-to-face conversation or by online mode.

2.3. Information Sources

One reviewer (ER) will search electronic databases, including Scopus, ISI Web of Science, Pubmed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, CINAHL, and EMBASE for peer-reviewed literature. No time limitations will be considered and the search will be performed after the submission.

The search strategy will include related keywords of breast cancer which will be separately combined with the synonyms of either of the dietary intervention, cognitive-behavioral therapy, or physical activity (Appendix 2 in Supplementary File).

We will also perform Grey literature by the "GREY MATTERS" checklists which are from the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH).

There will be no language restrictions and the searches will be re-conducted right before the final analysis. Translation tools will be used for articles in languages other than English.

2.4. Search Strategy

Related keywords that will be used in the search strategy will consist of selected keywords from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) database and the other non-MeSH terms. The MeSH terms will be made for sequential searches. Other synonyms of every three of the main components will also be searche'd separately. Final search results will be concatenated with BOOLEAN operators including "OR" for the synonyms and "AND" for every combination between the "breast cancer" component and each of the three intervention keywords.

2.5. Study Records

2.5.1. Data Management

Two researchers (AS and ER) will conduct the main management of the study. Data collection will be performed according to the PRISMA flow diagram for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses studies ([36]). Hence, all of the pooled records will be automatically duplicated. As finding duplicates via EndNote software (version 8.2, Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, USA) are inadequate, further duplication will be performed via hand-searching.

2.5.2. Selection Process

Five reviewers (AS, ER, MZ, MB, and AM) will perform the screening of titles and abstracts of studies according to the mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria. All reviewers will meet and compare their screening at least three times to compare and discuss the related results. If any doubt still exists, the full text of the related study will be checked for more precise assessment.

2.5.3. Data Collection Process

The five reviewers (AS, ER, MB, MZ, and AM) will review the full texts of articles and will perform data extraction independently for each study via a standardized form which is from the Cochrane Data Collection for Randomized Controlled trials ([37]). The data which will be extracted are as followed:

1) The basic characteristics of the study including the author names, publication year and the region of the published article as well as the methodological quality and the design of each study including randomized or non-randomized, pilot, or full trials.

2) Characteristics of trial participants including total participants, age, breast cancer stage, type of treatment, breast cancer biological subtype, and ethnicity.

3) Type of interventions including diet or exercise intervention with psychotherapy or three of them along with

details of the interventions and durations versus the control groups.

4) Outcome measures and their definitions and units; the drop-out and completion rates, the measurement tools, and the final analysis with or without adjusted variables and the per-protocol or intention-to-treat analysis.

Data will be separately entered for studies that have more than one outcome measure in order to conduct individual analysis. We will calculate the mean differences of selected data in SPSS (version 20, IBM, Chicago IL, USA). Data type in the present meta-analysis will be means and standard deviations of continuous data among participants either in the intervention or control groups and if data present in forms other than means and standard deviations, they will be accordingly converted in order to conduct the pooled analysis. Two reviewers will revise the accuracy of the extracted data (AS and ER) and they will resolve any discrepancies or disagreement through group discussion by re-checking the extracted data and full texts of all articles.

2.6. Outcomes and Prioritization

The following primary and secondary outcome measurements will be evaluated and analyzed based on the reported data from every included trial:

2.6.1. Primary Outcomes Measure
Quality of life and disease recurrence rates.

2.6.2. Secondary Outcomes Measure
Anthropometric factors, including weight, BMI, waist-hip ratio, and/or body fat.

2.7. Quality Assessment

2.7.1. Risk of Bias Assessment

The risk of bias of all the included studies will be assessed one by one by the reviewers using the Cochrane collaboration's risk of bias assessment tool ([38], [39]). There will be Six main domains of bias which will be assessed, including selection, attrition, detection, performance, reporting bias, and other biases, all of which will be evaluated through the Review Manager software and then will be classified as either "high-risk", "low-risk" or "by some concern" ([39]). The disagreements will be checked through meetings in the Breast Cancer Research Center.

2.7.2. Quality of Evidence

We used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to assess the quality of evidence for each outcome according

to the following domains: risk of bias, publication bias, imprecision of results, heterogeneity, and indirectness of evidence (40). Finally, the quality of evidence will be categorized as very low, low, moderate, and high.

2.8. Data Synthesis

The STATA software (version 14.2, College Station, Texas, USA) will be used as the principal software for conducting meta-analysis. The random-effects model will be performed to take into account the between-study variations. For each outcome measure, means and standard deviations of continuous data of every included study, will be extracted and analyzed and finally, standardized mean difference (SMD) with their corresponding standard errors (SEs) will be calculated. The text and quantitative data will be checked by the two main reviewers (AS and ER). In case of incomplete or missing data presents, attempts will be made to communicate with the original authors of published articles to receive full texts of more clarifications.

2.8.1. Assessment of Heterogeneity and Publication Bias

The heterogeneity of studies will be assessed using the I-squared and Cochra's Q-statistical tests (41). Heterogeneity will be considered important if the I-squared statistic will be more than 50%. Then, if the heterogeneity presents, sub-group analyses will be performed to identify the source of heterogeneity based on between-study differences of included studies.

The publication bias will be performed with Begg's adjusted rank correlation and the Egger's tests (42). In the present of asymmetry, the "trim and fill" method will be used for performing more adjustment of publication bias (43).

2.8.2. Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to assess the robustness of the measures and to understand the extent to which the conclusions depend whether upon a particular study or a group of studies. In fact, by using sensitivity analysis, the effect of included studies which have higher levels of missing data will also be evaluated in the overall assessment of treatment effect.

The levels of attrition will be noted for included studies. As far as possible, the analyses will be performed according to an intention-to-treat basis. Thus, participants will be analyzed regardless of whether they received the allocated intervention and only the group that they were allocated will be considered for the analysis.

3. Discussion

This meta-analysis includes a complete aspects of lifestyle modification and, when will be done, will provide beneficial guidance for healthcare providers and family members to better understand the role of modifiable lifestyle factors on alleviating their major problems which will prolong breast cancer survivors' lives.

The limitations of the present study may be the heterogeneity from some trials.

3.1. Ethics, Knowledge Dissemination and Impact of Study

The ethics and feasibility of the present study is registered at Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research, ACECR, Department of Breast Cancer, Tehran, Iran (no.: IR.ACECR.IBCRC.REC.1397.102). The authors believe that this meta-analysis will provide critical evidence-based guidance for government or priority setters as well as healthcare stakeholders in determining whether lifestyle modification is a prior and preferred remedy for breast cancer-related outcomes among its patients and survivors.

All data in this study will be saved and managed electronically and password will be encrypted. In addition, backup of data will be made on USB flash drives.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Academic Center for Education Culture and Research, Motamed Cancer Institute for their executive supports.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: AS is the guarantor. ER drafted the manuscript. All authors will be contributed to the development of the selection criteria, the risk of bias assessment strategy, and data extraction criteria. AS, ER, and AS have started selecting publications and assessing the eligibility and quality. The other reviewers (ER and AM) have started collaboration with AS to revise the completed tasks. ER will develop the search strategy. AS will provide statistical expertise. All authors read, provided feedback and approved the final protocol manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: None of the authors have conflict of interests.

Ethical Approval: The ethics and feasibility of the present study is registered at Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research, ACECR, Department of Breast Cancer, Tehran, Iran (no.: IR.ACECR.IBCRC.REC.1397.102).

References

1. Woods LM, Rachet B, Cooper N, Coleman MP. Predicted trends in long-term breast cancer survival in England and Wales. *British Journal of Cancer*. 2007;**96**(7):1135–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603668. [PubMed Central: PMC2360119].

2. Yang H, Brothers BM, Andersen BL. Stress and quality of life in breast cancer recurrence: moderation or mediation of coping? *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*. 2008;**35**(2):188–97. doi: 10.1007/s12160-008-9016-0. [PubMed: 18347897].

3. Hamer J, Warner E. Lifestyle modifications for patients with breast cancer to improve prognosis and optimize overall health. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2017;**189**(7):E268–74. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.160464. [PubMed Central: PMC5318212].

4. Palesh O, Scheiber C, Kesler S, Mustian K, Koopman C, Schapira L. Management of side effects during and post-treatment in breast cancer survivors. *Breast J*. 2018;**24**(2):167–75. doi: 10.1111/tbj.12862. [PubMed: 28845551].

5. Gavric Z, Vukovic-Kostic Z. Assessment of Quality of Life of Women with Breast Cancer. *Global journal of health science*. 2015;**8**(9):52792. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n9p1. [PubMed: 27157152].

6. Nature. *LifeStyle Modifications, Latest Research and reviews*. 2016. Available from: https://www.nature.com/subjects/lifestyle-modification.

7. Tramm R, McCarthy AL, Yates P. Dietary modification for women after breast cancer treatment: a narrative review. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2011;**20**(3):294–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2354.2011.01238.x. [PubMed: 21362074].

8. Dieli-Conwright CM, Lee K, Kiwata JL. Reducing the Risk of Breast Cancer Recurrence: an Evaluation of the Effects and Mechanisms of Diet and Exercise. *Current Breast Cancer Reports*. 2016;**8**(3):139–50. doi: 10.1007/s12609-016-0218-3. [PubMed Central: PMC5112289].

9. Pierce JP, Natarajan L, Caan BJ, Parker BA, Greenberg ER, Flatt SW, et al. Influence of a diet very high in vegetables, fruit, and fiber and low in fat on prognosis following treatment for breast cancer: the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) randomized trial. *Jama*. 2007;**298**(3):289–98. doi: 10.1001/jama.298.3.289. [PubMed: 17635889]. [PubMed Central: PMC2083253].

10. Kim N, Song S, Jung S, Lee E, Kim Z, Moon H, et al. Dietary pattern and health-related quality of life among breast cancer survivors. *BMC Women's Health*. 2018;**18**:65. doi: 10.1186/s12905-018-0555-7. [PubMed Central: PMC5946513].

11. Cormie P, Zopf EM, Zhang X, Schmitz KH. The impact of exercise on cancer mortality, recurrence, and treatment-related adverse effects. *Epidemiologic Reviews*. 2017;**39**(1):71–92. doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxx007.

12. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Sweegers MG, Courneya KS, Newton RU, Aaronson NK, et al. Effects and moderators of exercise on quality of life and physical function in patients with cancer: An individual patient data meta-analysis of 34 RCTs. *Cancer Treatment Reviews*. 2017;**52**:91–104. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.11.010.

13. Wadden TA, Webb VL, Moran CH, Bailer BA. Lifestyle Modification for Obesity: New Developments in Diet, Physical Activity, and Behavior Therapy. *Circulation*. 2012;**125**(9):1157–70. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATION-AHA.111.039453. [PubMed Central: PMC3313649].

14. Wadden TA, Neiberg RH, Wing RR, Clark JM, Delahanty LM, Hill JO, et al. Four-year weight losses in the Look AHEAD study: factors associated with long-term success. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2011;**19**(10):1987–98. doi: 10.1038/oby.2011.230. [PubMed: 21779086]. [PubMed Central: PMC3183129].

15. Howard-Anderson J, Ganz PA, Bower JE, Stanton AL. Quality of life, fertility concerns, and behavioral health outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2012;**104**(5):386–405. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr541. [PubMed: 22271773].

16. Montesi L, El Ghoch M, Brodosi L, Calugi S, Marchesini G, Dalle Grave R. Long-term weight loss maintenance for obesity: a multidisciplinary approach. *Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity : targets and therapy*. 2016;**9**:37–46. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S89836. [PubMed: 27013897].

17. Lichtenthal WG, Corner GW, Slivjak ET, Roberts KE, Li Y, Breitbart W, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial of cognitive bias modification to reduce fear of breast cancer recurrence. *Cancer*. 2017;**123**(8):1424–33. doi: 10.1002/cncr.30478.

18. Mann E, Smith MJ, Hellier J, Balabanovic JA, Hamed H, Grunfeld EA, et al. Cognitive behavioural treatment for women who have menopausal symptoms after breast cancer treatment (MENOS 1): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncology*. 2012;**13**(3):309–18. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(11)70364-3.

19. Rogers LQ, Hopkins-Price P, Vicari S, Pamenter R, Courneya KS, Markwell S, et al. A randomized trial to increase physical activity in breast cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2009;**41**(4):935–46. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818e0e1b. [PubMed: 19276838].

20. Mefferd K, Nichols JF, Pakiz B, Rock CL. A cognitive behavioral therapy intervention to promote weight loss improves body composition and blood lipid profiles among overweight breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2007;**104**(2):145–52. doi: 10.1007/s10549-006-9410-x. [PubMed: 17058023].

21. Patterson RE, Marinac CR, Sears DD, Kerr J, Hartman SJ, Cadmus-Bertram L, et al. The Effects of Metformin and Weight Loss on Biomarkers Associated With Breast Cancer Outcomes. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djy040. [PubMed: 29788487].

22. Sanft T, Lu L, Harrigan M, Cartmel B, Zhou Y, Chagpar A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of weight loss vs. usual care on telomere length in women with breast cancer: The lifestyle, exercise and nutrition (LEAN) study. *Cancer Research*. 2016;**76**. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.sabcs15-p3-08-01.

23. Sheppard VB, Hicks J, Makambi K, Hurtado-de-Mendoza A, Demark-Wahnefried W, Adams-Campbell L. The feasibility and acceptability of a diet and exercise trial in overweight and obese black breast cancer survivors: The Stepping STONE study. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2016;**46**:106–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2015.12.005. [PubMed: 26655430]. [PubMed Central: PMC5091075].

24. Avery KNL, Donovan JL, Horwood J, Lane JA. Behavior theory for dietary interventions for cancer prevention: A systematic review of utilization and effectiveness in creating behavior change. *Cancer Causes and Control*. 2013;**24**(3):409–20. doi: 10.1007/s10552-012-9995-9.

25. Rock CL, Flatt S, Byers T, Colditz GA, Demark-Wahnefried W, Ganz PA, et al. Results of the exercise and nutrition to enhance recovery and good health for you (ENERGY) trial: A behavioral weight loss intervention in overweight or obese breast cancer survivors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2015;**33**(15).

26. Davies AA, Davey Smith G, Harbord R, Bekkering GE, Sterne JAC, Beynon R, et al. Nutritional interventions and outcome in patients with cancer or preinvasive lesions: Systematic review. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2006;**98**(14):961–73. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djj263.

27. Halfdanarson TR, Thordardottir EO, West CP, Jatoi A. Does dietary counseling improve quality of life in cancer patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Supportive Oncology*. 2008;**6**(5):234–7.

28. Playdon M, Thomas G, Sanft T, Harrigan M, Ligibel J, Irwin M. Weight Loss Intervention for Breast Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review. *Curr Breast Cancer Rep*. 2013;**5**(3):222–46. doi: 10.1007/s12609-013-0113-0. [PubMed: 26605003]. [PubMed Central: PMC4655116].

29. Xing MY, Xu SZ, Shen P. Effect of low-fat diet on breast cancer survival: a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2014;**15**(3):1141–4. [PubMed: 24606431].

30. Harder H, Parlour L, Jenkins V. Randomised controlled trials of yoga interventions for women with breast cancer: A systematic literature review. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2012;**20**(12):3055–64. doi: 10.1007/s00520-012-1611-8.

31. Rossi A, Friel C, Carter L, Garber CE. Effects of Theory-Based Behavioral Interventions on Physical Activity Among Overweight and Obese Female Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Integrative Cancer Therapies*. 2018;**17**(2):226–36. doi: 10.1177/1534735417734911.

32. Falavigna M, Lima KM, Giacomazzi J, Paskulin D, Hammes LS, Ribeiro RA, et al. Effects of lifestyle modification after breast cancer treatment: a systematic review protocol. *Syst Rev*. 2014;**3**:72. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-3-72. [PubMed: 24997590]. [PubMed Central: PMC4107599].

33. BMJ. *Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation*. 2015. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/349/bmj.g7647.

34. Higgins JPT, Green S. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]*. The Cochrane Collaboration;; 2011. Available from: www.cochrane-handbook.org..

35. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic

36. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;**339**. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2700.

37. The Cochrane Collaboration. *Data Collection Form-Intervention review for RCTs only. Secondary Data Collection Form-Intervention review for RCTs only*. Cochrane Training; 2014.

38. The Cochrane Collaboration. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Cochrane Training; 2011.

39. The Cochrane Collaboration. *Criteria for judging risk of bias in the 'Risk of bias' assessment tool*. Cochrane Training; 2011.

40. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*. 2008;**336**(7650):924–6. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.ad. [PubMed: 18436948].

41. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002;**21**(11):1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186. [PubMed: 12111919].

42. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *Bmj*. 1997;**315**(7109):629–34. [PubMed: 9310563]. [PubMed Central: PMC2127453].

43. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. 2000;**56**(2):455–63. [PubMed: 10877304].

The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Bmj*. 2009;**339**:b2700. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2700. [PubMed: 19622552]. [PubMed Central: PMC2714672].