Published online 2022 February 17.

Brief Report

Investigation of *Cyclooxygenase-2* Gene Polymorphism in Patients with Gastric Cancer and Healthy Individuals in Northern Iran

Danial Khajavi¹ and Leila Fozouni 💿^{1,*}

¹Department of Biology, Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan, Iran. Email: lili_kia@yahoo.com

Received 2021 October 08; Revised 2021 December 18; Accepted 2022 January 01.

Abstract

Background: Gastric cancer is one of the most common types of cancer in the world. *Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)* is a pro-inflammatory enzyme and an important mediator of tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between *COX-2* gene polymorphism and the risk of developing gastric cancer.

Methods: This case-control study was carried out on 150 patients with gastric cancer and 150 healthy individuals. Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified method of protein precipitation at high salt concentrations. Polymorphisms of the *COX-2* gene were investigated by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis.

Results: In this study, the frequency of GG genotype and CC genotype was significantly higher in patients with gastric cancer and healthy individuals, respectively (P < 0.05). However, the frequency of CC genotype did not significantly differ between the 2 study groups.

Conclusions: We demonstrated that the homozygous GG genotype of *COX-2* is significantly more frequent in patients with gastric cancer compared to healthy individuals. This could indicate the possible role of *COX-2* in the development or progression of gastric cancer.

Keywords: Gastric Cancer, Cyclooxygenase 2, Polymorphism

1. Background

Gastric cancer is the fourth most common type of cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death worldwide (1). Developing this disease in humans is a multistage process which mainly caused by infectious, environmental and genetic factors (2, 3). *Helicobacter pylori* infection, lifestyle, and diet are among the environmental risk factors of gastric cancer, while mutations and polymorphisms are the main genetic factors associated with this cancer. These genetic determinants exert their impact by altering the expression or function of proteins (4). Moreover, polymorphisms influence the susceptibility of a person to diseases or response to drug therapy, and are therefore recognized as important factors in personalized medicine (5).

Increased levels of prostaglandin (PG) have been observed in patients with cancer, which could play an important role in cancer progression and metastasis (6, 7). Producing PG depends on the activation of *cyclooxygenase* (COX), which transforms arachidonic acid into eicosanoids, including PG. There are 2 forms of COX enzymes: COX-1 is continuously expressed in most tissues, while *COX-2* is rapidly induced as part of inflammatory responses to extracellular stimuli and plays an important role in the regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, and carcinogenicity (8, 9). Previous studies have shown increased levels of *COX-2* in transformed cells and various cancer cells (10, 11). The increased amount of *COX-2* may be involved in reducing intracellular free arachidonic acid, which subsequently prevents apoptosis (12, 13). *COX-2* also increases the ability of cancer cells to invade neighboring tissues (14, 15). Studies have shown that the COX enzymes, particularly *COX-2*, play an important role in the development of gastrointestinal cancer (12, 16). In addition, some studies demonstrated a direct relationship between *COX-2* expression and the development of cancer (17, 18).

2. Objectives

Given the controversial role of *COX-2* gene polymorphism and its expression in gastric cancer, this study investigated polymorphism of the *COX-2* gene and the amount

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Sequence of the Primers Used in the Study				
Length	Sequence (5'-3')	%GC		
25	Forward 5'- GTCCATCAGAAGGCAGGAAACTTTA -3'	44		
25	Reverse 5'- TGTCTGGTCTGTACGTCTTTAGAGG -3'	48		

of the *COX-2* enzyme in patients with gastric cancer. The findings of this study could be useful for controlling and treating this type of cancer.

3. Methods

In this case-control study, 150 patients with T-stage gastric cancer (average age: 62.14 ± 12.66 years) were admitted to hospitals affiliated with the Golestan University of Medical Sciences in Gorgan (Iran) between 2018 and 2020. The sample size was determined based on the desired accuracy with a confidence level of 95% source (P = 50\%). With the help of physical and endoscopic examinations, diagnosis of stomach cancer was made based on the International Standard Classification of Diseases for Oncology IX, code 151, Lauren criteria (19), and was later confirmed by a pathologist. In addition, 150 healthy individuals (average age: 58.93 \pm 14.2 years) were selected as a control group. They matched with the patients in terms of age, gender, region, and race were selected as controls. The subjects had no history of systemic diseases and gastrointestinal system diseases such as pancreatic pseudocyst, biliary dyskinesia, and hepatitis. The GI mucosa in these individuals appeared visually appeared normal with endoscopy. The study procedures were performed in accordance with medical ethics standards. Demographic data were collected using a questionnaire, and 10 mL of venous blood were taken from all subjects. Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified method of protein precipitation at high salt concentrations. First, the DNA extraction solution (DNG-Plus kit, Cinnagen, Iran) was placed at 37°C for 20 minutes. Then, 100 μ L of the purified sample was mixed with 400 μ L of the DNG-Plus solution. After homogenization, the samples were dissolved in isopropanol and 75% ethanol. The mixture was centrifuged and the DNA-containing supernatant was transferred to a separate tube. The purity of the extracted DNA was assessed by reading absorbance using a spectrophotometer (OD 260/280). Primers were designed based on the polymorphism in the promoter region of the COX-2 gene (-765 G \rightarrow C), using the NCBI, and Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD) websites. The primers were then synthesized by CinnaGen Co., Iran (Table 1).

Amplification of the desired region was performed using PCR. A 406 bp fragment was the anticipated polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product. Final PCR solution (25 μ L) contained 150 ng of genomic DNA, 2.5 μ g of PCR buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 nmol dNTP, 200 nmol of each primer, 0.3 μ mol of Tag polymerase, and dH₂O. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 84°C for 30 sec, annealing at 58°C for 45 sec, extension at 72°C for 45 sec, and final extension at 72°C for 12 min. The PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on 5% agarose gel.

Restriction fragment length polymorphism-PCR (RFLP-PCR) was used for detecting polymorphisms. The PCR products were digested with appropriate restriction enzymes, and the presence or absence of the restriction sites was confirmed by electrophoresis. For the restriction enzyme digestion, 2.5 units of the enzyme AciI (identifies and cuts G allele in the G/C polymorphism region) and 1 μ L of enzyme buffer were incubated at 37°C for 16 hours. The products were separated by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel. Using the genotypes derived from RFLP, frequencies of the C and G alleles in the promoter region were calculated.

The activity of the *COX-2* enzyme was evaluated by spectrophotometry at 270 nm at 37°C. Data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 20). Chi-square and *t*-test were utilized to investigate the relationship between *COX-2* gene polymorphism and *COX-2* activity. The frequency of genotypes and alleles following *COX-2* polymorphism was evaluated using direct observation and count of amplified gene fragments. After verifying the normality of data distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, quantitative data were analyzed using an independent *t*-test and qualitative data were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. The relationship between gene polymorphism and disease and its various stages was investigated using logistic regression analysis, and the odds ratio was measured at a confidence level of 95%.

4. Results

Based on the results, family history of gastric cancer was positive in 29 (19.33%) patients and 13 (8.66%) control individuals. Moreover, the 2 groups significantly differed in terms of risk factors and positive history of *H. pylori* infection (Table 2).

In this study, we observed a 309-bp band for homozygous CC, 3 bands of 100, 209, and 309 bp for heterozygous CG, and 2 bands of 100 and 209 bp for heterozygous GG (Figure 1).

The results showed that the G-765C polymorphism is related to the risk of gastric cancer, and there was a significant difference in the frequency of homozygous CC genotype between the patients with cancer and healthy controls. In patients with gastric cancer, the frequency of CC,

Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Demographic Characteristics in Patients with Gastric Cancer and Healthy Individuals ^a						
Variables	Controls	Cancer Patients	P-Value			
Risk factors						
Smoking	20 (13.33)	22 (33)				
Consumption of hot drinks	38 (25.33)	76 (50.66)				
Consumption of salted fish	15 (10)	16 (10.66)				
Consumption of fast food	41 (27.33)	29 (19.33)				
Consumption of pickled food	100 (66.66)	88 (50.66)				
Gender			0.36			
Male	74 (49.33)	77 (55.33)				
Female	76 (50.65)	73 (48.66)				
Helicobacter pylori infection			0.00 ^b			
Yes	32 (21.33)	76 (50.66)				
No	118 (78.66)	74 (49.33)				

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

^bSignificant difference between the study groups based on the chi-square test.

CG, and GG genotypes was 23.33%, 50.66%, and 26%, respectively. In the healthy subjects, the frequency of CC, CG, and GG genotypes was 27.33%, 60%, and 12.66%, respectively. Overall, the frequency of GG genotype and CC genotype was significantly higher in patients with gastric cancer and healthy individuals, respectively (P < 0.05). However, the frequency of CC genotype did not differ significantly between the 2 study groups (Table 3).

Table 3. Frequency of CC, CG and GG Genotypes in Patients with Gastric Cancer and Healthy Individuals ^a

	C/C	C/G	G/G
Gastric cancer	35 (23.33)	76 (50.66)	39 (26.00)
Healthy individuals	41 (27.33)	90 (60.00)	19 (12.66)
P-value	0.0932	0.0312	0.004

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

5. Discussions

COX, also known as PG-endoperoxide synthase, is a key enzyme in the conversion of arachidonic acid to PGs, which is associated with inflammation, pain, angiogenesis, cancer, and Alzheimer's disease (9). In the present study polymorphism of the *COX-2* gene and amount of the *COX-2* enzyme in patients with gastric cancer were confirmed. Numerous studies have shown elevated levels of *COX-2* in transformed cells and various cancer cells (14, 20). Elevation of *COX-2* has been also observed in the cartilage

of patients with osteoarthritis and the joint tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. On the other hand, antiinflammatory cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13 as well as glucocorticoids, reduce COX-2 expression, which can be effective for managing inflammation. It has been revealed that regular use of aspirin or other non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) reduces the risk of developing colorectal cancer by 40 - 50%. Studies on animal models of colon cancer also demonstrated that the use of NSAIDs significantly reduces the number of tumors. Preliminary observations in this regard have revealed the presence of high COX-2 levels in colorectal tumors, while the amount of the enzyme is negligible in natural gastrointestinal mucosa (9). The relationship between COX-2 levels and the risk of cancer has been less extensively investigated in patients with gastric or esophageal cancer. Researchers produced transgenic mice capable of overexpressing the human COX-2 gene, especially in the mammary gland (21). This caused a high rate of hyperplasia, dysplasia, and mammary gland transformation in female mice, which indicates the role of COX-2 expression in tumor induction. Furthermore, COX-2-knockout mice had a 75% lower risk of developing chemically-induced skin papillomas. According to pharmacological evidence, selective COX-2 inhibitors, such as celecoxib and rofecoxib could reduce tumor formation in the tongue, bladder, lung, skin, breast, and intestine of animal models (14). Another study also reported that COX-2 knockout reduces the number and size of intestinal polyps (22). Biramijamal et al. reported an increased level of COX-2 in Iranian patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, which was also accompanied by mutation in





the p53 gene (20). Increased level of COX-2 is also associated with anti-apoptotic effects and increased VEGF production and angiogenesis, all of which contribute to tumorigenesis and progression to metastasis (12). However, the effects of COX-2 overexpression in colon cancer can be reversed by selective COX-2 inhibition with NS-398 (14). In this study, we found that the GG genotype of COX-2 was significantly more frequent in patients with gastric cancer compared to healthy individuals. A study by Hafez and Tahoun in Egypt found a positive relationship between COX-2 overexpression and gastric cancer (18). In a study on 100 patients with cancer and 150 healthy individuals reported the positive relationship of COX-2-765G/G genotype, alcohol consumption, and smoking with risk of gastric cancer (23), which is in line with our findings. In a similar study in Turkey, COX-2 expression was positive in 16 (61%) tumor samples and negative in 6 (23%) tumor samples, but the overall expression of the enzyme in patients with gastric cancer was higher in tumor tissues than in tumor-adjacent tissues (24). In another study in Iran, older age and CC genotype in women were significantly associated with the risk of developing gastric adenocarcinoma (25), which is inconsistent with our findings. COX-2 is responsible for the production of PGs in response to internal and external stimuli.

5.1. Conclusions

Based on the results, it can be concluded that inhibition of *COX-2* might be effective for preventing or treating cancer. Nevertheless, further studies should be carried out on the mechanism of action of *COX-2* inhibition in cancer treatment.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all the colleagues who helped conduct the present research.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: F.L. and Kh.D., contributed to study concept and edited the final manuscript. Kh.D. performed laboratory examinations and interpreted the data. All authors discussed the results and implications and provided their comments during all stages.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: We hereby declare all ethical standards have been respected in preparation of the submitted article. Ethical permission was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Hospital.

Funding/Support: No financial interests related to the content of this manuscript are declared.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2011;61(2):69–90. doi:10.3322/caac.20107. [PubMed: 21296855].
- Zabaleta J. Multifactorial etiology of gastric cancer. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012;863:411–35. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-612-8_26. [PubMed: 22359309]. [PubMed Central: PMC3625139].
- Qin G, Xu F, Qin T, Zheng Q, Shi D, Xia W, et al. Palbociclib inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis in breast cancer via c-Jun/COX-2 signaling pathway. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(39):41794–808. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.5993. [PubMed: 26540629]. [PubMed Central: PMC4747189].
- Dong LM, Potter JD, White E, Ulrich CM, Cardon LR, Peters U. Genetic susceptibility to cancer: the role of polymorphisms in candidate genes. *JAMA*. 2008;**299**(20):2423-36. doi: 10.1001/jama.299.20.2423. [PubMed: 18505952]. [PubMed Central: PMC2772197].
- Rogers JE. Patient considerations in metastatic colorectal cancer role of panitumumab. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2017;10:2033-44. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S115430. [PubMed: 28435294]. [PubMed Central: PMC5391168].
- Prasad KN, Saxena A, Ghoshal UC, Bhagat MR, Krishnani N. Analysis of Pro12Ala PPAR gamma polymorphism and Helicobacter pylori infection in gastric adenocarcinoma and peptic ulcer disease. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;**19**(7):1299–303. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdn055. [PubMed: 18372284].
- Kent CN, Guttilla Reed IK. Regulation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in endometrial cancer: connecting Pl3K, estrogen signaling, and microRNAs. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2016;18(11):1056–61. doi: 10.1007/s12094-016-1492-2. [PubMed: 26856598].
- Ugras N, Ozgun G, Ocakoglu G, Yerci O, Ozturk E. Relationship between HER-2, COX-2, p53 and clinicopathologic features in gastric adenocarcinoma. Do these biomarkers have any prognostic significance? *Turk J Gastroenterol*. 2014;**25 Suppl 1**:176–81. doi: 10.5152/tjg.2014.5280. [PubMed: 25910300].
- Xing LL, Wang ZN, Jiang L, Zhang Y, Xu YY, Li J, et al. Cyclooxygenase 2 polymorphism and colorectal cancer: -765G>C variant modifies risk associated with smoking and body mass index. World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14(11):1785-9. doi: 10.3748/wjg.14.1785. [PubMed: 18350611]. [PubMed Central: PMC2695920].
- Forones NM, Kawamura KY, Segreto HR, Artigiani Neto R, Focchi GR, Oshima CT. Expression of COX-2 in stomach carcinogenesis. J Gastrointest Cancer. 2008;39(1-4):4–10. doi: 10.1007/s12029-008-9039-6. [PubMed: 19107602].
- Prescott SM, Fitzpatrick FA. Cyclooxygenase-2 and carcinogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2000;1470(2):M69–78. doi: 10.1016/s0304-419x(00)00006-8.
- Bakhle YS. COX-2 and cancer: a new approach to an old problem. Br J Pharmacol. 2001;134(6):1137–50. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0704365. [PubMed:

11704632]. [PubMed Central: PMC1573056].

- G. TEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet. 2013;45(6):580–5. doi: 10.1038/ng.2653. [PubMed: 23715323]. [PubMed Central: PMC4010069].
- Dannenberg AJ, Altorki NK, Boyle JO, Dang C, Howe LR, Weksler BB, et al. Cyclo-oxygenase 2: a pharmacological target for the prevention of cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2001;2(9):544–51. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(01)00488-0.
- Yuan C, Rieke CJ, Rimon G, Wingerd BA, Smith WL. Partnering between monomers of cyclooxygenase-2 homodimers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006;103(16):6142-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0601805103. [PubMed: 16606823]. [PubMed Central: PMC1458845].
- Blobaum AL, Marnett LJ. Structural and functional basis of cyclooxygenase inhibition. J Med Chem. 2007;50(7):1425-41. doi: 10.1021/jm0613166. [PubMed: 17341061].
- Cronstein BN. Cyclooxygenase-2-selective inhibitors: translating pharmacology into clinical utility. *Cleve Clin J Med*. 2002;69 Suppl 1:SI13–9. doi:10.3949/ccjm.69.suppl_1.si13. [PubMed: 12086289].
- Hafez NH, Tahoun NS. Expression of cyclooxygenase 2 and vascular endothelial growth factor in gastric carcinoma: Relationship with clinicopathological parameters. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst. 2016;28(3):149–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jnci.2016.05.005. [PubMed: 27342370].
- Lauren P. The Two Histological Main Types of Gastric Carcinoma: Diffuse and So-Called Intestinal-Type Carcinoma. An Attempt at a Histo-Clinical Classification. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand*. 1965;64:31–49. doi: 10.1111/apm.1965.64.1.31. [PubMed: 14320675].
- Biramijamal F, Allameh A, Mirbod P, Groene HJ, Koomagi R, Hollstein M. Unusual profile and high prevalence of p53 mutations in esophageal squamous cell carcinomas from northern Iran. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61(7):3119–23. [PubMed: 11306496].
- Liu CH, Chang SH, Narko K, Trifan OC, Wu MT, Smith E, et al. Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 is sufficient to induce tumorigenesis in transgenic mice. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(21):18563–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M010787200. [PubMed: 11278747].
- 22. Oshima M, Murai N, Kargman S, Arguello M, Luk P, Kwong E, et al. Chemoprevention of intestinal polyposis in the Apcdelta716 mouse by rofecoxib, a specific cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor. *Cancer Res.* 2001;**61**(4):1733–40. [PubMed: 11245490].
- Blair V, Martin I, Shaw D, Winship I, Kerr D, Arnold J, et al. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: diagnosis and management. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006;4(3):262–75. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2005.12.003. [PubMed: 16527687].
- 24. Bekdemir H, Tekin SB, Bilici M, Cayir K, Gundogdu C. COX-2 Expression in Gastric Cancer. Int J Hematol Oncol. 2010;**20**(1):34–41.
- Rostami M, Kadivar M, Aznab M, Abachi M. Influence of age and gender on association between -765G > C COX-2 genetic polymorphism and gastric adenocarcinoma risk: a case-control study in Iran. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench.* 2012;5(1):29–34. [PubMed: 24834195]. [PubMed Central: PMC4017443].