Published online 2016 June 8.

Review Article

The Prognostic Value of Age, Sex, and Subsite in Cutaneous Head and Neck Melanoma: A Clinical Review of Recent Literature

Sameep Kadakia,^{1,*} David Chan,² Moustafa Mourad,^{1,*} and Yadranko Ducic²

¹Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, New York Eye and Ear Infirmary of Mount Sinai, New York, USA
²Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Facial Plastic Surgery Associates, Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas

^{*} *Corresponding authors*: Sameep Kadakia, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, New York Eye and Ear Infirmary of Mount Sinai, New York, USA. Tel: +212-9794000, Fax: +212-9794315, E-mail: skadakia@nyee.edu; Moustafa Mourad, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, New York Eye and Ear Infirmary of Mount Sinai, New York, USA. E-mail: mmourad@nyee.edu

Received 2015 December 18; Revised 2016 January 21; Accepted 2016 June 05.

Abstract

Context: Cutaneous head and neck melanoma is a challenging disease owing to its aggressive nature and often times advanced stage at presentation. Age, sex, and subsite are three prognostic indicators which can be determined prior to treatment or testing, and can allow the practitioner to counsel the patient before initiating therapy.

Evidence Acquisition: A PubMed search was conducted utilizing various terms relating to the subject matter. Articles over the past 25 years were analyzed and appropriately selected for review.

Results: It appears that patients older than 65 have a decreased overall 5 year survival compared to their younger counterparts. Male patients have poorer prognosis compared to female patients as noted by the decreased overall survival, decreased disease specific survival, and shorter time to distant metastasis. Scalp subsite was most uniformly accepted as having the worst prognosis in the head and neck, and may even serve as an independent prognostic indicator.

Conclusions: Advanced age, male sex, and scalp subsite all portend poor prognosis in patients with cutaneous head and neck melanoma.

Keywords: Melanoma, Sub-Site, Age, Prognostic Factor, Sex

1. Context

Melanoma is a malignant tumor of the melanocytes, cells responsible for producing the pigment melanin (1). While it has been described to affect both cutaneous and mucosal surfaces, cutaneous melanoma has been examined more closely than its mucosal counterpart, and more information has been reported in the oncologic literature. Cutaneous melanoma is most commonly found in the head and neck region and accounts for up to 20% of all cutaneous melanoma each year (2-5). Risk factors for the development of cutaneous head and neck melanoma (CHNM) include sun exposure, lighter skin tone, and number of nevi (6-9). Immunosuppression, environmental exposures, and personal history of non-melanoma skin cancer have also been suggested to increase risk (10, 11).

In the United States and other industrialized countries, the incidence of cutaneous melanoma has been increasing, especially in the Caucasian population (12). Although the exact reason for this increase is unknown, it has been suggested that increased amounts of leisure time in industrialized countries has led to greater time spent outdoors. Despite the known risk of skin cancer, a study by Scerri et al. reported that 48% of people feel that sun tanning and
 Table 1. Risk Factors for Cutaneous Head and Neck Melanoma Based on English Literature

Risk Factors for Cutaneous Melanoma of the Head and Neck	
Sun Exposure	
Lighter Skin Tone	
Nevi	
Immunosuppression	
Environmental Exposure	
History of Skin Cancer	

increased sun exposure is not harmful as long as sunburn does not occur (13).

Diagnosis of CHNM hinges on physical examination followed by biopsy of suspicious lesions. Careful examination of the asymmetry, border, color, diameter, and evolution of skin lesions are all crucial in determining the likelihood of pigmented lesions being melanomatous (6). Of these, irregular border has been shown to be the strongest predictor of malignancy (14). Typically, excisional biopsy with wide margins is recommended; however, in the case

Copyright © 2016, Iranian Journal of Cancer Prevention. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. of larger lesions, a core biopsy may suffice. Treatment of melanoma is generally centered on wide surgical excision and reconstruction if needed. The definitive role of chemotherapy and radiation has been suggested, but is unclear.

Table 2. ABCDE Acronym for Examination of Skin Lesions		
Key Components of Examining Skin Lesions		
Asymmetry		
Borders		
Color		
Diameter		
Evolution		

As CHNM poses a significant challenge to the patient and practitioner alike, prognostic factors can be extremely helpful in determining a thoughtful, patient-centered care plan. Ulceration, melanoma sub-type, tumor thickness, and presence of distant metastasis all portend a poor prognosis (15). Multiple studies of sentinel lymph node status in head and neck melanoma have suggested a shortened disease free survival in patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes and as such, have recommended sentinel node biopsy for certain lesions for staging and prognostication (15, 16).

Recently, specific studies addressing the prognostic value of age, sex, and subsite were performed and have suggested significant prognostic value in each of the abovementioned parameters. As these three sources of information are readily apparent at the initial examination, they could provide valuable information for patient counseling prior to initiating therapy. This paper seeks to collate the recent literature and provide a succinct discussion of the value of age, sex, and subsite in the prognosis of CHNM. A full discussion of all prognostic factors is beyond the scope of this paper; the reader is referred to the oncologic literature for a comprehensive reading source.

2. Evidence Acquisition

Using keywords such as melanoma, head and neck, prognosis, age, sex, and subsite, a thorough PubMed search was conducted to find high quality articles pertaining to the central theme of this paper. Articles from the past 10 years were gleaned for pertinent information, and subsequently reviewed by each of the authors. Articles deemed to provide valuable information to the topic were isolated for further analysis and included in the content of the current study.

3. Results

Understanding the prognostic value of age, sex, and subsite allows the practitioner to counsel melanoma patients prior to undergoing treatment. Although there are many other prognostic factors as previously mentioned, these are among the few factors that do not require any additional workup or testing.

Age is often times not reported as a prognostic factor, but rather as an epidemiologic marker of certain malignancies. Ciocan et al. (17) report that CHNM typically occurs in patients older than 70. Despite the greater incidence in this age group, previously there were no studies done analyzing age at presentation and outcome. Stokes et al. (18) performed a study using the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) database examining age, sex, site, stage, and histology. Patients were grouped into 3 age categories, 1 - 44, 45 - 64, and 65+. They were also classified as being early (stages 1 and 2) or late (stages 3 and 4). Their study included 12,195 patients with CHNM, and revealed that patients older than 65 more commonly presented with early stage CHNM compared with the two younger groups (P < 0.001) (18). It also appeared that the 45 - 64 and 65+ categories were male dominated.

Overall survival was calculated as compared to agematched cancer free controls and reveals a statistically significant drop in 5-year overall survival for each consecutive age group compared to the previous. Using a multivariate regression model, the study found that increased age is an independent poor prognostic variable for CHNM (18).

In a review of head and neck melanoma, Cheriyan et al. (6) state that men are at higher risk for CHNM than women (6, 19, 20). However, similar to age, sex has never been independently studied as a prognostic variable in CHNM. In a 2014 study by Arce et al. (21), 13,507 patients with CHNM were examined, of which approximately 30% were female and 70% male. Males were found to present with higher stage tumors (P < 0.001) and at more advanced ages (P < 0.001) than their female counterparts (21). Interestingly, the 5- year disease specific survival for females was 90.4% compared to 87.1% for males. Females were found to be 23% less likely to die from CHNM than males (21).

In a study of approximately 27,000 patients with CHNM, Tseng et al. (4) found similar findings, also suggesting that female sex was associated with a better overall survival and prognosis compared to male patients. Joosse et al. (22) specifically analyzed overall survival difference between men and women with cutaneous melanoma. Although their study was not limited to CHNM, they concluded that female gender conferred significantly improved overall survival, disease specific survival, and time to distant metastasis.

Studies suggest that cutaneous melanoma affecting the head and neck already has a worse prognosis than cutaneous melanoma of the remainder of the body with significantly lower overall survival (5, 23-25). To specifically analyze prognosis of subsite within the head and neck, de Giorgi (26) reviewed 67 patients with CHNM and stratified them into one of two groups, those with scalp lesions (8 patients) and those with face or neck lesions (59 patients). The 5-year overall survival for patients with scalp CHNM was 66.7% while the 5-year overall survival for patients with face/neck CHNM was 81.8%. Patients with scalp malignancy had an increased risk of death compared to other subsites (26).

Wanebo et al. (27) examined a series of 83 patients with CHNM stratified by stage, location, and thickness. They found that ear and scalp CHNM portended the worst prognosis in CHNM (5-year approximately survival 30% - 40%) compared to 78% for face CHNM and 58% survival for neck CHNM (27). An independent study from MD Anderson cancer center in 1970 stated that the scalp subsite has the poorest prognosis and is an independent poor prognostic factor in CHNM (28). In 2006, these findings were further delineated by Leong et al. who claimed that scalp CHNM had the highest recurrence rate and had three times greater mortality than any other subsite of the head and neck (29). Lachiewicz SEER database study of 50,000 patients revealed that scalp and neck CHNM were the poorest prognostic indicators when compared to other head and neck subsites (25).

Table 3. Stratification of Subsite Prognosis Based on 5-Year Survival

Prognosis Based on 5-Year Survival	
Scalp 30% - 40%	
Neck 58%	
Face 78%	

Based on articles over the past 25 years, it appears that advanced age greater than 65, male sex, and scalp subsite are poor prognostic indicators in patients with cutaneous head and neck melanoma. Practitioners should be aware of these indicators of outcome and provide appropriate information to patients during their pre-treatment counseling.

4. Conclusions

It appears that age, sex, and subsite are important prognostic factors when evaluating patients with cutaneous head and neck melanoma. Male sex, age greater than 65, and scalp subsite confer poor prognosis and lower 5 year overall survival when compared to females, patients younger than 65, and those with cutaneous melanoma of the face or neck. Patients with ear CHNM have also been reported to have poor prognosis, but the literature is less robust than the claims made for scalp CHNM.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Sameep Kadakia writing, literature review, final editing David Chan writing, literature review, editting Moustafa Mourad writing, literature review Yadranko Ducic conception of work, final revision and editing, overseeing.

Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosure: No financial disclosures.

Funding/Support: None declared.

References

- 1. Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. *Ann surg oncol.* 2010;**17**(6):1471–4.
- Marashi-Pour S, Morrell S, Cooke-Yarborough C, Arcorace M, Baker D. Competing risk analysis of mortality from invasive cutaneous melanoma in New South Wales: a population-based study, 1988-2007. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2012;36(5):441–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-6405.2012.00912.x. [PubMed: 23025365].
- Boniol M, Autier P, Boyle P, Gandini S. Cutaneous melanoma attributable to sunbed use: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e4757. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e4757. [PubMed: 22833605].
- Tseng WH, Martinez SR. Tumor location predicts survival in cutaneous head and neck melanoma. J Surg Res. 2011;167(2):192–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2010.10.008. [PubMed: 21176922].
- Kienstra MA, Padhya TA. Head and neck melanoma. Cancer Control. 2005;12(4):242-7. [PubMed: 16258496].
- Cheriyan J, Wernberg J, Urquhart A. Head and neck melanoma. Surg Clin North Am. 2014;94(5):1091–113. doi: 10.1016/j.suc.2014.07.011. [PubMed: 25245970] ix.
- Chang YM, Barrett JH, Bishop DT, Armstrong BK, Bataille V, Bergman W, et al. Sun exposure and melanoma risk at different latitudes: a pooled analysis of 5700 cases and 7216 controls. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2009;**38**(3):814–30. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyp166. [PubMed: 19359257].
- Caini S, Gandini S, Sera F, Raimondi S, Fargnoli MC, Boniol M, et al. Meta-analysis of risk factors for cutaneous melanoma according to anatomical site and clinico-pathological variant. *Eur J Cancer.* 2009;45(17):3054–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2009.05.009. [PubMed: 19545997].
- Elwood JM, Gallagher RP, Hill GB, Spinelli JJ, Pearson JC, Threlfall W. Pigmentation and skin reaction to sun as risk factors for cutaneous melanoma: Western Canada Melanoma Study. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1984;288(6411):99–102. [PubMed: 6419839].
- National Cancer Institute . Genetics of Skin Cancer 2015. [cited December 5]. Available from: http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopic/pdq/ genetics/skin/healthprofessional/page4/.

- Kosary CL, Altekruse SF, Ruhl J, Lee R, Dickie L. Clinical and prognostic factors for melanoma of the skin using SEER registries: collaborative stage data collection system, version 1 and version 2. *Cancer.* 2014;**120** Suppl 23:3807–14. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29050. [PubMed: 25412392].
- Lens MB, Dawes M. Global perspectives of contemporary epidemiological trends of cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2004;**150**(2):179-85. [PubMed: 14996086].
- Scerri L, Aquilina S, Amato GA, Dalmas M. Sun awareness and sun protection practices in Malta. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2002;16(1):47– 52. [PubMed: 11952290].
- 14. Mackie RM. Illustrated guide to recognition of early malignant melanoma. Edinburgh: Pillans and Wilson; 1986.
- Cappello ZJ, Augenstein AC, Potts KL, McMasters KM, Bumpous JM. Sentinel lymph node status is the most important prognostic factor in patients with melanoma of the scalp. *Laryngoscope*. 2013;**123**(6):1411–5. doi:10.1002/lary.23793. [PubMed: 23625541].
- Monroe MM, Pattisapu P, Myers JN, Kupferman ME. Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy Provides Prognostic Value in Thick Head and Neck Melanoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;153(3):372-8. doi: 10.1177/0194599815589948. [PubMed: 26070510].
- Ciocan D, Barbe C, Aubin F, Granel-Brocard F, Lipsker D, Velten M, et al. Distinctive features of melanoma and its management in elderly patients: a population-based study in France. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2013;**149**(10):1150-7. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2013.706. [PubMed: 23945633].
- Stokes WA, Lentsch EJ. Age is an independent poor prognostic factor in cutaneous head and neck melanoma. *Laryngoscope*. 2014;**124**(2):462–5. doi: 10.1002/lary.24315. [PubMed: 23881555].
- Shashanka R, Smitha BR. Head and neck melanoma. ISRN Surg. 2012;2012:948302. doi: 10.5402/2012/948302. [PubMed: 22570796].
- Carlson GW, Murray DR, Lyles RH, Hestley A, Cohen C. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in the management of cutaneous head and neck melanoma. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2005;115(3):721-8. [PubMed: 15731669].
- Arce PM, Camilon PR, Stokes WA, Nguyen SA, Lentsch EJ. Is sex an independent prognostic factor in cutaneous head and neck melanoma?. *Laryngoscope*. 2014;**124**(6):1363–7. doi: 10.1002/lary.24439. [PubMed:

24122966].

- 22. Joosse A, Collette S, Suciu S, Nijsten T, Lejeune F, Kleeberg UR, et al. Superior outcome of women with stage I/II cutaneous melanoma: pooled analysis of four European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase III trials. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(18):2240–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.38.0584. [PubMed: 22547594].
- Golger A, Young DS, Ghazarian D, Neligan PC. Epidemiological features and prognostic factors of cutaneous head and neck melanoma: a population-based study. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007;133(5):442–7. doi: 10.1001/archotol.133.5.442. [PubMed: 17515502].
- Gillgren P, Brattstrom G, Frisell J, Persson JO, Ringborg U, Hansson J. Effect of primary site on prognosis in patients with cutaneous malignant melanoma. A study using a new model to analyse anatomical locations. *Melanoma Res.* 2005;15(2):125–32. [PubMed: 15846146].
- Lachiewicz AM, Berwick M, Wiggins CL, Thomas NE. Survival differences between patients with scalp or neck melanoma and those with melanoma of other sites in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program. Arch Dermatol. 2008;144(4):515–21. doi: 10.1001/archderm.144.4.515. [PubMed: 18427046].
- 26. de Giorgi V, Rossari S, Gori A, Grazzini M, Savarese I, Crocetti E, et al. The prognostic impact of the anatomical sites in the 'head and neck melanoma': scalp versus face and neck. *Melanoma Res.* 2012;22(5):402–5. doi: 10.1097/CMR.0b013e3283577b96. [PubMed: 22922466].
- Wanebo HJ, Cooper PH, Young DV, Harpole DH, Kaiser DL. Prognostic factors in head and neck melanoma. Effect of lesion location. *Cancer*. 1988;62(4):831-7. [PubMed: 3395962].
- Ballantyne AJ. Malignant melanoma of the skin of the head and neck. An analysis of 405 cases. *Am J Surg.* 1970;**120**(4):425–31. [PubMed: 5507326].
- Leong SP, Accortt NA, Essner R, Ross M, Gershenwald JE, Pockaj B, et al. Impact of sentinel node status and other risk factors on the clinical outcome of head and neck melanoma patients. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2006;**132**(4):370–3. doi: 10.1001/archotol.132.4.370. [PubMed: 16618904].