Published online 2018 January 23.

Research Article

Plasma Cell Immunophenotyping Improve Prognostic Stratification

of Multiple Myeloma Patients

Yanis Meddour,^{1,*} Momahed Cherif Rahali,² Salah Eddine Belakehal,² Nacera Benfenatki,³ Fatma Zohra Ardjoune,² Samia Chaib,¹ and Reda Djidjik⁴

¹Immunology Department, Central Hospital of Army, Algiers, Algeria

²Hematology Department, Central Hospital of Army, Algiers, Algeria

³Internal Medicine Department, EPH Rouiba, Algiers, Algeria

⁴Immunology Department, Beni-Messous University Hospital, Algiers, Algeria

^{*} Corresponding author: Yanis Meddour, Immunology Department, Central Hospital of Army, BP 244, Kouba, Algiers, Algeria. Tel: +213-661810434, Fax: +213-21545454, E-mail: yanis_md@yahoo.fr

Received 2016 January 10; Revised 2017 October 23; Accepted 2018 January 17.

Abstract

Background: The aims of this study were to establish the clinical value of multi-parametric flow cytomentry (MFC) in multiple myeloma (MM) and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS).

Methods: We analyzed bone marrow aspirates from 112 MM and 17 MGUS patients by MFC, using 3 combinations of 9 color labeling: a, CD38 / CD138 / CD45 / CD56 / CD19 / CD27 / CD117 / CD20 / CD33; b, CD38 / CD138 / CD45 / cytoplasmic Kappa / cytoplasmic Lambda; and c, CD38 / CD138. MFC data were classified based on clinical features and prognosis factors.

Results: Myeloma's patients compared to MGUS group had plasma cells (PCs) with abnormal immunophenotypic patterns, including high CD56 and CD20 expression and weak or negative CD45, CD19, and CD27 expression without significant median differences in expression of CD33 and CD117. Multiple myeloma patient with low expression of CD19, CD27 or CD45, overexpression of CD56 or with a high proportion of PCs at diagnosis demonstrated shorter overall survival times. Moreover, MM patients with combined abnormal expressions of 4 or 5 antigens demonstrated shorter survival times (P = 0.001). These high-risk MFC patients were associated with poor clinical outcomes, including ISS stage III and DS stage III, low hemoglobin levels, and elevated serum beta2-microglobilin (P = 0.01, P = 0.006, P = 0.01 and P = 0.008, respectively).

Conclusions: The present study highlights the benefits of assessing abnormal antigen expression for clinical uses. These measures could facilitate proper diagnosis of disorders and improve risk stratification for a targeted early treatment regimen.

Keywords: Multiple Myeloma, Flow Cytometry, Prognosis, Plasma Cell, MGUS

1. Background

Multiple myeloma (MM) and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) are clonal plasma cell disorders (PCDs), characterized by abnormal clonal expansion of plasma cells (PCs) that produce monoclonal protein (M protein). The technological development of multi-parametric flow cytometry (MFC), in addition to the availability of anti-bodies to detect specific antigens, has provided insights into pathophysiology comprehension (1-3) and advanced the uses of MFC in clinical practice (4-12). Its main applications in relation to monoclonal gammopathies are diagnosis and differential diagnosis (7, 13, 14), evaluation of risk progression in MGUS and asymptomatic MM (aMM) (9), detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) in MM-treated patients (15, 16), and identification of patients with poor prognosis (10, 17). In this study, we reported the results of a study performed on newly diagnosed MM and MGUS patients in 2 hematology centers in Algeria with a focus on differential diagnosis and predictive value of neoplastic PC (NeoPC) markers. In particular, we sought to determine the cutoff point values of aberrant antigen expression on plasma cell myeloma (PCM) that could be important in determining a patient's potential prognosis.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients and Samples

Diagnostic bone marrow (BM) samples were analyzed at the department of immunology (army central hospital, Algiers, Algeria) from 112 newly diagnosed MM and 17 MGUS patients. Diagnosis was based on the international myeloma working group criteria (18). All patients with MM

Copyright © 2018, Cancer Research Center (CRC), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

were treated uniformly with high-dose therapy (HDT) protocol; patients who received no treatment / palliative care or corticosteroids only were excluded. MM group included 65 men and 47 women (median age: 62 years). Patients with MGUS included 11 men and 6 women (median age: 65 years). MM patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. At the time of final analysis, 18 patients (16%) had died. The median overall survival (OS) was 18.55 months, with a median follow-up period of 22.4 months for survivors. Patients and their families gave written consent, and the protocol was approved by the hospital ethics committee.

Table 1. Patients' Characteristics^a

Variables	MM, N = 112
Age, y	62 [24-88]
Male/Female	65/47
M protein subtypes	
$IgG/IgA/IgD//\lambda/$	71/10/03/12/12
biclonal/non-secretory	02/02
ISS stage I/II/III	49/13/50
DS stage I/II/III	22/25/65
WHO score 1/2/3/4	46/25/21/20
Diagnosis age: > 65/<65, y	73/39
Infections	21 (0.21)
Lytic bone lesions	61 (0.54)
Calcium, mg/dL	11 [7.2-13.2]
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.1 [0.4-8.1]
Hemoglobin, g/dL	10.3 [3.9-15.6]
Albumin, g/dL	2.3 [1.4-4.0]
CRP, mg/L	16 [3-172]
eta2M, mg/L	8.3 [1.3-19.3]
LD, UI/L	230 [107-2731]
sFLC / λ abnormal ratio	103 (0.91)
Platelets, $ imes$ 10 ⁹ /L	230 [102-321]
BMPC, %	25 [12-65]
BJP positive	40 (0.36)

Abbreviations: BJP, Bence-Jonce Protein; BMPC, Bone Marrow Plasma Cells; CRP, C-Reactive Protein; DS, Durie-Salmon; ISS, International Staging System; LD, Lactate Dehydrogenase; sFLC, Serum Free Light Chain; WHO, World Health Organization Performance Status; *B*2M, Beta-2 Microglobulin.

^aValues are expressed as No. (%) or Median [Range].

2.2. MFC Analysis

Evaluations of NeoPC phenotypes were performed on BM aspirates, using a 4 laser flow cytometer (LSR Fortessa; BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Three combinations of 9 colors staining of whole erythrocyte-lysed BM samples were performed, as shown in Table 2.

In accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA), approximately 10⁶ cells were labeled with pretitrated volumes of conjugated monoclonal anti-bodies (BD Biosciences). After lysis step, cells were, then, washed with phosphate-buffered saline and suspended in FACS flow (BD Bioscience). Using FACS Diva 8.0 software (BD Biosciences), acquisition was performed with at least 5 \times 10⁵ events per tube. The CD138 and CD38 tubes were used to determine PC counts and the PC autofluorescence background. Using the 9 and 6 color tubes, NeoPCs were defined as being CD56 positive, CD45 weak or negative, CD19 positive, and having an abnormal $cyto/\lambda$ ratio (Figure 1). At the same time, the expression levels of aberrantly expressed antigens (CD20, CD56, CD28 and CD117) and a lack of normal expression of CD19 and CD27 were assessed. For differential diagnosis, the expression of an antigen was considered positive when > 20% of PCs expressed the antigen at the time of diagnosis. To assess the prognostic impact of our results, we tested various threshold values of antigen expression to identify the most prognostically significant cutoff points.

2.3. Clinical Significance and Risk Stratification

MM patient characteristics at the time of diagnosis were determined according to the percentage of antigens expressed to estimate the impact on clinical features and prognosis. These characteristics include demographic data (age at diagnosis, sex and paraprotein isotype), clinical stage (Durie-Salmon system, DS), international staging system (ISS) designation, world health organization status, survival data (progression free survival, PFS), clinical features (infections, renal failure, neurologic symptoms, and lytic bone lesions) and laboratory parameters (calcium, creatinine, hemoglobin, M protein, β 2-microglobulin (B2M), albumin, lactate dehydrogenase (LD), platelet count, bone marrow PC (BMPC) count determined by light microscopy, Bence-Jones proteinuria (BJP), and serum free light chains (sFLC) ratio).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed, using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Nominal variable differences were evaluated, using the Chi-square test, and differences between continuous variables were evaluated, using the Mann-Whitney U test. PFS was measured from the start of treatment until disease progression or death. Survival curves were plotted according to the Kaplan-Meier method and compared, using the log-rank test. When necessary, a multivariate anal-

Tube	APC-H7	BV421	V500	PE-Cy7	BV605	FITC	APC	PE	PerCP-Cy5.5.
1	CD38	CD138							
2	CD38	CD138	CD45	CD56	CD19	CD27	CD117	CD20	CD33
3	CD38	CD138	CD45		CD19	Cyto Lambda		Cyto Kappa	
	Events-MM120/01 Control	P1-MM120/01 10 ⁻ 10	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Pi-MM120/01 Control	10 ⁵ 10 ¹⁰ 10 ¹⁰ 10 ¹⁰ 10 ² 0	MM120/01 Control	PI-MM120/01 Control	PI-MM120/01 Control	Pi-MM120/01 Control

10⁵ CD27 PE-A

P1-MM120/0

CD27 PE-A

CD138 BV421-A

547

-1,230

103 10 CD19 BV605-A

CD19 BV605-A

P1-MM120/01

Table 2. Anti-Body and Dye Combinations Used in MEC Labeling



ysis was performed, using Cox modeling. A two-sided P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

CD56 PE-Cy7-A

CD56 PE-Cy7-A

21-7

D138 |

P1-MM120/01

3. Results

3.1. Differential Diagnosis

10³ 10⁴ CD38 APC-H7-A

CD38 APC-H7-A

D138

-934

MM-120/0

Using MFC analysis, we identified a mean plasma cell of 10.8% (range: 5.1% - 65%) in the MM patient group compared to 1.67% (range: 0.1% - 6.42%) in the MGUS patient group (P < 0.01). Median differences in antigen expression between 2 groups were statistically significant. In the MM and MGUS groups, respectively, the differences were as follows: CD45- (67.2 vs. 82.9, P = 0.03), CD19- (54.7 vs. 76.1, P < 0.01), CD56+ (17.5 vs. 61.7, P < 0.01), CD27- (24.5 vs. 57.8, P < 0.01), and CD20+ (8.6 vs. 18.6, P < 0.01). No significant differences were detected for CD33 and CD117 between 2 groups. For MM patients, 65% had PCs with monotypic kappa light chains (73/112) and 35% had PCs with lambda light chains (39/112), whereas an even PC cytoplasmic light chain λ ratio was observed in MGUS patients.

3.2. Aberrant Immunophenotype Frequencies in MM Patients

In MM patients, a lack of CD45 and CD19 expression was predominant in 98.2% (110 out of 112) and 88.4% (98 out of 112) of patients, respectively. At diagnosis, the overexpression of CD56, a plasma cell anchoring antigen to the stromal environment, was found in 75% of the patients (85 out of 112) and low level expression of CD27, a plasma cell differentiation antigen, was found in 85.7% (96 of 112) of the

patients. However, CD33, a myeloid-associated marker, was present in only 21.4% of patients (24 of 112). CD117, a tyrosine kinase receptor, and CD20, a B-cell maturation antigen, were detected in 28.6% (32 out of 112), and 15.4% (14 of 91) of the patients, respectively.

D138

10³ 10 CD117 APC-A

P1-MM120/0

CD117 APC-A

3V421-A

CD138

-442

CD45 V500-A

P1-MM120/0

CD45 V500-A

10² 10³ 10⁴ CD33 Per CP-Cy5-5-A

P1-MM120/01

CD33 Per CP-Cy5-5-A

3.3. Prognosis Factors

Ê 102 23

D138

-482

Based on standard risk stratification variables (DS, ISS and WHO status), the results of the Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that patients in a high-risk stage (DS stage III, ISS stage III, WHO score of 3 or 4) demonstrated significantly shorter OS times than other patients (P < 0.01). Similarly, patients who were older at the time of diagnosis (> 65 years) or those presented with infections or lytic bone lesions demonstrated shorter PFS times than did other patients (P = 0.0001, P = 0.006 and P = 0.02, respectively).

Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that decreased platelet (< 150.10⁹/L), Hb (< 10 g/dL), or albumin (< 3.5 g/dL) levels or increased levels of calcium (> 11 mg/dL), creatinine (> 2 mg/dL), B2M (> 4 mg/dL), CRP (> 6 mg/L), or BMPCs (>30%) significantly influenced OS and PFS times. Moreover, patients with an abnormal FLC ratio (< 0.03 or > 32) had shorter OS and PFS times (P = 0.001). Other clinical or biological parameters had no statistically significant impact on OS and PFS times (Table 3).

3.4. Impact of MFC Results on Prognosis and Risk Stratification

For MFC results, using a 20% positivity cutoff, Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that there was no difference in

Prognostic Factors	Median Survival, Mo		Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox)	
	With Factor	Without Factor	Chi-Square	Рс
ISS stage III	29	NR	10.1	0.001
DS stage III	32	NR	7.2	0.007
WHO status of 3 or 4	28	NR	20.1	0.0001
Age > 65, y	28	NR	22.8	0.0001
Infections	29	NR	7.5	0.006
Lytic bone lesion	32	35	5.4	0.02
Platelets < 150 $ imes$ 10 ⁹ /L	27	NR	13.4	0.0001
sFLC Ratio < 0.03 or > 32	29	NR	10.3	0.001
Calcium > 11 mg/dL	29	NR	9.7	0.002
BMPC > 30%	29	NR	8.7	0.003
Hb < 10 g/dL	32	NR	7.5	0.006
B2M > 4 mg/L	30	NR	7.3	0.007
Albumin < 3.5 g/dL	29	NR	6.6	0.01
Creatinine > 2 mg/dL	34	NR	4.9	0.02
CRP > 6 mg/L	32	NR	4.3	0.03
LD > 250 UI/L	32	32	0.1	NS
IgA isotype	29	35	0.9	NS
BJP positive	34	34	0.2	NS

Table 3. Median Survival (Months) and Log-Rank Significance Among Prognosis Factors

Abbreviations: B2M, Beta-2 Microglobulin; BJP, Bence-Jonce Protein; BMPC, Bone Marrow Plasma Cells; CRP, C-Reactive Protein; DS, Durie-Salmon; ISS, International Staging System; LD, Lactate Dehydrogenase; NR, Not Reached; sFLC, Serum Free Light Chain; WHO, World Health Organization Performance Status.

OS or PFS times between MM patients. Subsequently, we tested and evaluated different cutoff points for marker expression until a significant difference in OS and PFS times was observed. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Based on the CD38+/CD138+ ratio and CD19-, CD56+, CD27- and CD45- levels, we combined all of them to obtain an MFC score that ranged from 0 to 5. Score 0 means the absence of expression abnormality of these antigens. A score of 1 means that at least 1 antigen is expressed abnormally and score 5 means that all these antigens are abnormally expressed. The Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated shorter OS and PFS times (P = 0.03) in MM patients with MFC scores of 4 or 5 compared to other subsets (Figure 2A).

For subsequent analysis, patients with MFC scores of 4 or 5 were designated as group A (n = 46) and other patients as group B (n = 69). The Kaplan-Meier test demonstrated better discrimination between longer and shorter survival times with an overall statistical significance of P = 0.001 (Figure 2B). To better understand the relationship between these 2 groups and their disease characteristics, we used multivariate analysis to compare prognostic factors. Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated shorter OS and PFS times

in group A patients, as well as diagnoses of ISS stage III (P = 0.01), DS stage III (P = 0.006), increased serum B2M (P = 0.01), and lower hemoglobin levels (P = 0.008) (Figure 3A - D). All of these results point to a poor prognosis.

4. Discussion

In the last decade, MFC immunophenotyping has been used routinely in clinical settings for the differential diagnosis and risk stratification of patients with monoclonal gammopathy (MG). The present study demonstrated the clinical utility of MFC in the characterization of NeoPCs and the evaluation of patients with PCD.

Immunophenotyping of NeoPCs by MFC allowed us to discriminate between MM and MGUS, with a high statistical significance. Typical antigen levels were more commonly associated with symptomatic MM than MGUS with an overexpression of aberrant markers (CD56, CD20, CD117, CD33) and low expression or absence of normally expressed PC antigens (CD19, CD27). However, it is normal for BM aspirates from patients with MGUS to exhibit a neoplastic phenotype with decreased CD19 and/or CD27 expression

Immunophenotyping		Median Survival, Mo		Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox)	
Markers	Cutoff point,%	> Cutoff	< Cutoff	Chi-Square	Рс
CD38+CD138+	10	30	NR	4.2	0.04
CD19-	90	28	NR	15.5	0.001
CD56+	60	29	NR	5.1	0.02
CD27-	90	29	NR	5.3	0.02
CD45-	60	32	34	2.9	0.06
CD20+	20	32	33	1.1	0.12
CD117+	20	34	32	2.9	0.08
CD33+	20	32	34	2.9	0.08
Abbreviation: NR, Not Reached.					

Table 4. Impact of Antigen Expression Levels on Median Survival (Months) and Log-Rank Significance

Α B Score = 01,0-1,0 MFC Score < 4 Score = 1n = 69 core = 20,8 0,8 Progression Free Survival, % Score = 3Progression Free Survival, % 0,6 0,6 0,4 0,4 MFC Score ≥ 4 0,2 Score = 40,2 n = 46Score = 5 Log Rank Log Rank p = 0,001 p = 0,03 0,0 0.0 0 10 20 30 40 50 0 10 20 30 40 50 Time From Diagnosis (Months) Time From Diagnosis (Months)



and reduced levels of CD45 or overexpression of CD117, as observed with MM patients. Nevertheless, the number of PCs with these marker levels was still less than 1%. This particular expression pattern has been correlated with disease progression in patients with MGUS (9).

From a clinical perspective, the distinction between normal and aberrant PCs has been of great utility in the differential diagnosis of MGUS and MM (19, 20), the evaluation of the risk of transformation of MGUS, and the progression of smoldering MM into symptomatic MM (9). For example, patients with > 5% normal PCs within their BM samples can be assessed for the risk of transformation of MGUS and smoldering MM into symptomatic disease, with 5-year progression rates predicted by PC levels of 25\% versus 5% (P < 0.001) and 64% versus 8%, respectively (P < 0.001) (9).

Taken together, these results should encourage the use



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier analysis of progression-free survival curves in MM patients, using MFC scores for **A**, ISS stage III; **B**, DS stage III; **C**, B2M high level (> 3.5 mg/L), and **D**, low hemoglobin levels (< 10 g/dL). MFC scores ≥ 4 discriminated between high-risk patients with shorter survival times in poor prognosis categories (ISS stage III, P = 0.01; DS stage III, P = 0.008; β, P = 0.01; hemoglobin, P = 0.01). (ISS, International Staging System; Hb, Hemoglobin; MFC, Multi-parametric Flow Cytometry)

of MFC in routine practice for MM diagnosis. However, immunohistochemical patterns and plasmablastic morphology assessed by light microscopy are still standard procedures for diagnosis. In Algeria, the routine use of these standard procedures is likely due to the low number of centers conducting MFC analysis; these few centers are focused more on the diagnosis of hematologic malignancies such as leukemia and lymphoma. Another difficulty may be the variable data reports between international groups involved in this field. Even if immunophenotyping were beneficial in differential diagnosis in PCD, clinical correlations and risk stratification have not been consistent.

In agreement with previous studies (18, 21), most of the classical prognosis factors, such as ISS stage, DS stage, WHO status, clinical features, and biological parameters (e.g., FLC ratio, albumin, hemoglobin, creatinine, and platelets levels) discriminated between longer and shorter survival times with overall statistical significance.

On the other hand, multiple studies have clearly demonstrated the prognostic value of specific patterns of

antigen expression on NeoPCs. A > 5% proportion of normal plasma cells in patients with MM is associated with favorable clinical outcomes and a lower frequency of highrisk cytogenetic abnormalities (10). Furthermore, the expression of specific antigens has been shown to correlate with prognosis, including CD45, CD56, CD27, CD28, CD19, CD33, CD44, CD52, and CD117 (22-24). In light of this finding, we analyzed the predictive value of NeoPC phenotypes and demonstrated that expression patterns may be a risk stratification factor when analyzing at a different cutoff point than normal (20%). Thus, weak or negative CD19 and CD27 (< 10%), positive CD56 (> 60%), weak or negative CD45 (< 60%), and a flow cytometry PC count (CD38+ CD138+) > 10% demonstrated potential prognosis values. We have also shown that patients having combinations of 4 or 5 of these aberrant antigen expression levels had a negative prognosis and presented with high-risk ISS stage III, DS stage III, lower hemoglobin values, and increased β 2microglobulines levels.

Other groups have reported similar data. CD56 (NCAMneural cell adhesion molecule), a commonly used marker for identifying abnormal plasma cells, has been correlated with a bad prognosis in myeloma patients treated with conventional therapies compared to patients, who have received autologous stem cell transplants (25, 26). One study demonstrated that a lack of CD56 expression is correlated with the presence of circulating plasma cells (27). Even if heterogeneity of CD56 expression among MM patients implies a prognostic value, it could be difficult to use for data interpretation (28). A study by Moreau et al. demonstrated that a lack of CD27 on myeloma patient PCs had an effect on disease progression. At diagnosis, low CD27 expression correlates with a shorter overall survival rate (29). In addition, transcriptome analysis data show that the lowest CD27 levels are observed in patient groups with poor prognoses (30, 31). In MM patients, CD19 expression levels at the time of diagnosis correlate with an adverse outcome (11), although its clinical value is somewhat hampered by the low frequency (4%) of CD19 expression in MM cases (11).

CD45 is an early stage marker of PC development that progressively decreases during PC maturation. In PCD, the CD45+/CD45- ratio appears to be abnormal in relation to the disease stage. In a study carried out on 95 MM patients undergoing high-dose therapy, patients lacking CD45 expression on PCs had shorter survival times (32). However, these results can be explained by some important biological differences between these 2 cell populations, including their proliferative rates, IL-6 responsiveness and dependence, chromosomal abnormalities, and angiogenic capability (32). A small portion of NeoPCs also expresses the CD20 antigen, as reported by several studies (33, 34).

CD20 antigen expression has been associated with

small mature plasma cell morphology and the occurrence of t(11;14) in lymphomas (35). Given the success of anti-CD20 directed monoclonal anti-body therapy in lymphoma, trials have been carried out with Rituximab in myeloma, but without any clear efficacy, suggesting little hope for a positive prognosis (1). Unfortunately, our study did not confirm the prognostic value of the CD117 antigen (36).

Nevertheless, based on Kaplan-Meier analysis, the expression patterns of this antigen within our cohort showed a trend toward longer survival times in patients with positive CD117 expression. In addition to its capacity to distinguish between neoplastic and normal plasma cells (16), CD117 may be a valuable marker for prognosis, as demonstrated in different cohorts (11, 37). Interestingly, patients with a CD45-/CD19-/CD56+/CD27- antigen combination and high PC count (> 10% CD38+ CD138+) demonstrated lower survival times. Furthermore, high-risk ISS stage III, DS stage III, low hemoglobin, and high B2M levels at the time of diagnosis represented the best combination of independent variables for predicting both PFS and OS. These results reinforce the clinical relevance of these antigens and may suggest the possibility of these combinations in assessing the prognosis of MM.

Even though our cohort is relatively homogeneous in terms of therapeutic modalities and clinical outcomes, one possible bias within this study is the lack of patients, who have received autologous stem cell transplants. Regardless of these limitations, this is the first study to describe the clinical usefulness of MFC for assessing PCD patients in Algeria. These data might offer vital baseline information for future clinical trials, using MFC in Algeria.

In conclusion, our results provided a comprehensive approach for the use of MFC in the management of PCD patients by measuring the percentage of NeoPCs and characterizing their specific immunophenotypes. MFC analysis, in conjunction with well-described prognosis factors, can identify subsets of patients with aggressive outcomes and poor prognosis for early treatment regimens.

Acknowledgments

None declared.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: None declared.

Conflict of Interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Financial Disclosure: None declared.

References

- Kumar S, Kimlinger T, Morice W. Immunophenotyping in multiple myeloma and related plasma cell disorders. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2010;23(3):433–51. doi: 10.1016/j.beha.2010.09.002. [PubMed: 21112041].
- Paiva B, Almeida J, Perez-Andres M, Mateo G, Lopez A, Rasillo A, et al. Utility of flow cytometry immunophenotyping in multiple myeloma and other clonal plasma cell-related disorders. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom.* 2010;**78**(4):239–52. doi: 10.1002/cyto.b.20512. [PubMed: 20155853].
- Raja KR, Kovarova L, Hajek R. Review of phenotypic markers used in flow cytometric analysis of MGUS and MM, and applicability of flow cytometry in other plasma cell disorders. *Br J Haematol.* 2010;**149**(3):334–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2010.08121.x. [PubMed: 20201947].
- San Miguel JF, Almeida J, Mateo G, Blade J, Lopez-Berges C, Caballero D, et al. Immunophenotypic evaluation of the plasma cell compartment in multiple myeloma: a tool for comparing the efficacy of different treatment strategies and predicting outcome. *Blood*. 2002;**99**(5):1853– 6. doi: 10.1182/blood.V99.5.1853. [PubMed: 11861305].
- Lin P, Owens R, Tricot G, Wilson CS. Flow cytometric immunophenotypic analysis of 306 cases of multiple myeloma. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2004;**121**(4):482–8. doi: 10.1309/74R4-TB90-BUWH-27JX. [PubMed: 15080299].
- Perez-Persona E, Vidriales MB, Mateo G, Garcia-Sanz R, Mateos MV, de Coca AG, et al. New criteria to identify risk of progression in monoclonal gammopathy of uncertain significance and smoldering multiple myeloma based on multiparameter flow cytometry analysis of bone marrow plasma cells. *Blood.* 2007;**110**(7):2586–92. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-05-088443. [PubMed: 17576818].
- Paiva B, Vidriales MB, Rosinol L, Martinez-Lopez J, Mateos MV, Ocio EM, et al. A multiparameter flow cytometry immunophenotypic algorithm for the identification of newly diagnosed symptomatic myeloma with an MGUS-like signature and long-term disease control. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(10):2056–61. doi: 10.1038/leu.2013.166. [PubMed: 23743858].
- Paiva B, Vidriales MB, Cervero J, Mateo G, Perez JJ, Montalban MA, et al. Multiparameter flow cytometric remission is the most relevant prognostic factor for multiple myeloma patients who undergo autologous stem cell transplantation. *Blood.* 2008;**112**(10):4017–23. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-05-159624. [PubMed: 18669875].
- Perez-Persona E, Mateo G, Garcia-Sanz R, Mateos MV, de Las Heras N, de Coca AG, et al. Risk of progression in smouldering myeloma and monoclonal gammopathies of unknown significance: comparative analysis of the evolution of monoclonal component and multiparameter flow cytometry of bone marrow plasma cells. *Br J Haematol.* 2010;**148**(1):110–4. doi: 10.1111/ji.1365-2141.2009.07929.x. [PubMed: 19821821].
- Paiva B, Vidriales MB, Mateo G, Perez JJ, Montalban MA, Sureda A, et al. The persistence of immunophenotypically normal residual bone marrow plasma cells at diagnosis identifies a good prognostic subgroup of symptomatic multiple myeloma patients. *Blood.* 2009;**114**(20):4369–72. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-05-221689. [PubMed: 19755674].
- Mateo G, Montalban MA, Vidriales MB, Lahuerta JJ, Mateos MV, Gutierrez N, et al. Prognostic value of immunophenotyping in multiple myeloma: a study by the PETHEMA/GEM cooperative study groups on patients uniformly treated with high-dose therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(16):2737-44. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.4120. [PubMed: 18443352].
- San Miguel JF, Gutierrez NC, Mateo G, Orfao A. Conventional diagnostics in multiple myeloma. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;**42**(11):1510–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2005.11.039. [PubMed: 16762540].
- 13. Olteanu H, Wang HY, Chen W, McKenna RW, Karandikar NJ. Immunophenotypic studies of monoclonal gammopathy of undeter-

mined significance. *BMC Clin Pathol*. 2008;**8**:13. doi: 10.1186/1472-6890-8-13. [PubMed: 19040735].

- Kraj M, Kopec-Szlezak J, Poglod R, Kruk B. Flow cytometric immunophenotypic characteristics of plasma cell leukemia. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol*. 2011;49(1):168–82. [PubMed: 21526505].
- Gupta R, Bhaskar A, Kumar L, Sharma A, Jain P. Flow cytometric immunophenotyping and minimal residual disease analysis in multiple myeloma. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2009;**132**(5):728–32. doi: 10.1309/AJCP1GY17EHQYUYK. [PubMed: 19846814].
- Klabusay M, Doubek M, Adam Z, Hajek R, Krivanova A. [Detection of myeloma cells in peripheral blood using multi-parameter flow cytometry: monitoring residual disease]. *Cas Lek Cesk*. 2000;**139**(14):432–6. [PubMed: 11048405].
- Paiva B, Vidriales MB, Perez JJ, Mateo G, Montalban MA, Mateos MV, et al. Multiparameter flow cytometry quantification of bone marrow plasma cells at diagnosis provides more prognostic information than morphological assessment in myeloma patients. *Haematologica*. 2009;**94**(11):1599–602. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2009.009100. [PubMed: 19880781].
- Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Criteria for diagnosis, staging, risk stratification and response assessment of multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. 2009;23(1):3-9. doi: 10.1038/leu.2008.291. [PubMed: 18971951].
- Kovarova L, Buresova I, Buchler T, Suska R, Pour L, Zahradova L, et al. Phenotype of plasma cells in multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Neoplasma*. 2009;**56**(6):526–32. doi: 10.4149/neo_2009_06_526. [PubMed: 19728762].
- Sezer O, Heider U, Zavrski I, Possinger K. Differentiation of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and multiple myeloma using flow cytometric characteristics of plasma cells. *Haematologica*. 2001;86(8):837-43. [PubMed: 11522540].
- Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Witzig TE, Lust JA, Lacy MQ, Dispenzieri A, et al. Review of 1027 patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2003;**78**(1):21–33. doi: 10.4065/78.1.21. [PubMed: 12528874].
- Hu K, Wang J, Zhu MX, Wang YF, Ke XY. [Immunophenotype characteristics of patients with multiple myeloma in different risk stratification]. *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*. 2014;22(6):1624–7. doi: 10.7534/j.issn.1009-2137.2014.06.023. [PubMed: 25543486].
- Guo J, Chang CK, Su JY, Zhang X, Li X. [Recent advances on the prognostic value of immunophenotyping in multiple myeloma by flow cytometry]. *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*. 2014;**22**(4):1178-82. doi: 10.7534/j.issn.1009-2137.2014.04.056. [PubMed: 25130852].
- Paiva B, Chandia M, Vidriales MB, Colado E, Caballero-Velazquez T, Escalante F, et al. Multiparameter flow cytometry for staging of solitary bone plasmacytoma: new criteria for risk of progression to myeloma. *Blood*. 2014;**124**(8):1300–3. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-04-567909. [PubMed: 24876564].
- Sahara N, Takeshita A, Shigeno K, Fujisawa S, Takeshita K, Naito K, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic characteristics of CD56-negative multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;**117**(4):882–5. [PubMed: 12060125].
- Hundemer M, Klein U, Hose D, Raab MS, Cremer FW, Jauch A, et al. Lack of CD56 expression on myeloma cells is not a marker for poor prognosis in patients treated by high-dose chemotherapy and is associated with translocation t(11;14). *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2007;40(11):1033–7. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705857. [PubMed: 17891186].
- Rawstron A, Barrans S, Blythe D, Davies F, English A, Pratt G, et al. Distribution of myeloma plasma cells in peripheral blood and bone marrow correlates with CD56 expression. Br J Haematol. 1999;104(1):138–43. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.1999.01134.x. [PubMed: 10027726].
- Kraj M, Sokolowska U, Kopec-Szlezak J, Poglod R, Kruk B, Wozniak J, et al. Clinicopathological correlates of plasma cell CD56 (NCAM) expression in multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2008;49(2):298–305. doi: 10.1080/10428190701760532. [PubMed: 18231917].
- 29. Moreau P, Robillard N, Jego G, Pellat C, Le Gouill S, Thoumi S, et al. Lack of CD27 in myeloma delineates different presentation and outcome.

Br J Haematol. 2006;**132**(2):168-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05849.x. [PubMed: 16398651].

- Guikema JE, Hovenga S, Vellenga E, Conradie JJ, Abdulahad WH, Bekkema R, et al. CD27 is heterogeneously expressed in multiple myeloma: low CD27 expression in patients with high-risk disease. *Br J Haematol.* 2003;**121**(1):36–43. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2003.04260.x. [PubMed: 12670329].
- Morgan TK, Zhao S, Chang KL, Haddix TL, Domanay E, Cornbleet PJ, et al. Low CD27 expression in plasma cell dyscrasias correlates with high-risk disease: an immunohistochemical analysis. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2006;**126**(4):545–51. doi: 10.1309/ELGMGX81C2UTP55R. [PubMed: 16938662].
- Moreau P, Robillard N, Avet-Loiseau H, Pineau D, Morineau N, Milpied N, et al. Patients with CD45 negative multiple myeloma receiving high-dose therapy have a shorter survival than those with CD45 positive multiple myeloma. *Haematologica*. 2004;**89**(5):547–51. [PubMed: 15136217].
- 33. Carulli G, Ottaviano V, Cannizzo E, Giuntini S, Manetti C, Ciancia EM, et al. Multiparameter immunophenotyping by flow cytometry as a

diagnostic tool in multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Clin Ter.* 2012;**163**(5):387–92. [PubMed: 23099966].

- Cao FF, Chen F, Hu YP, Zhang JH. [Immunophenotype in multiple myeloma cells detected by multiparameter flow cytometry]. *Zhong*guo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi. 2012;20(3):620–3. [PubMed: 22739168].
- Robillard N, Avet-Loiseau H, Garand R, Moreau P, Pineau D, Rapp MJ, et al. CD20 is associated with a small mature plasma cell morphology and t(11;14) in multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2003;**102**(3):1070–1. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-11-3333. [PubMed: 12702507].
- Pruneri G, Ponzoni M, Ferreri AJ, Freschi M, Tresoldi M, Baldini L, et al. The prevalence and clinical implications of c-kit expression in plasma cell myeloma. *Histopathology*. 2006;48(5):529–35. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.2006.02375.x. [PubMed: 16623778].
- Bataille R, Pellat-Deceunynck C, Robillard N, Avet-Loiseau H, Harousseau JL, Moreau P. CD117 (c-kit) is aberrantly expressed in a subset of MGUS and multiple myeloma with unexpectedly good prognosis. *Leuk Res.* 2008;**32**(3):379–82. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2007.07.016. [PubMed: 17767956].