Published online 2018 October 7.

Letter

# Prevalence of Castration Success Rate in Iranian Metastatic Prostate Cancer Patients: A Referral Center Statistics

Farzad Allameh<sup>1</sup>, Amir Hossein Rahavian<sup>1</sup> and Saleh Ghiasy<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*</sup>*Corresponding author*: Saleh Ghiasy, Urology Resident, Department of Urology, Shohadae-Tajrish Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, P.O. Box: 1985717443, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-9128198037, Email: saleh.ghiasy@sbmu.ac.ir

Received 2018 August 25; Revised 2018 September 28; Accepted 2018 October 01.

# Dear Editor,

Prostate cancer (PC) is the most common malignancy in men (1, 2). Choosing the appropriate treatment is important, especially in metastatic PC (3), and one of the treatments that is used, is luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) agonist drugs that cause hormonal castration and tumor deprivation (4). But, in some cases, patients do not respond to this drugs and the level of testosterone failed to drop. In these cases, we require the use of other treatments (5, 6).

In this prospective study, after the approval of Ethics Committee was obtained, 36 patients with mean age of 71.86  $\pm$  7.25 years (minimum 58 years and maximum 84 years), who were referred to Shohada-e-Tajrish Hospital, Tehran, Iran, were treated with LH-RH agonist (Diphereline 3.75 mg IPSEN company) for 3 months. Serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and testosterone levels were evaluated in the two phases including before and 3 months after treatment; then, the frequency of the participants with testosterone level above 20 ng/dL (castration not achieved) were determined and reported based on the age and location of the metastasis.

Mean testosterone concentrations in the morning before and 3 months after starting hormone therapy were  $362.94 \pm 141.9 \text{ ng/dL}$  and  $12.21 \pm 10.97 \text{ ng/dL}$ , respectively, which was significantly decreased. The mean PSA level before and 3 months after the start of treatment was 20.68  $\pm$  12.18 ng/mL and  $6.29 \pm 6.2 \text{ ng/mL}$ , respectively. In two cases (5.6%), the PSA level was not less than 50% of the baseline 3 months after starting the treatment, and in 4 cases (11.1%), testosterone level did not drop to less than 20 ng/dL (castration not achieved). All four patients that have been considered as "castration not achieved" have been associated with multiple metastases. Three cases (75%) of these patients had visceral metastasis. It was found that the success in castration was significantly correlated with location of the metastasis (P = 0.001) and there was no correlation with age and number of metastases.

Usually, the time required for hormonal castration after LH-RH agonist administration is 1 to 2 months, and the patients will follow up with PSA to evaluate the success of treatment.

In recent studies, there were cases of treatment failure that the patients after hormonal castration were presented with relapse and castration failures without increasing PSA (7).

Determining the prevalence of this group is very important in specification the effective factors in the castration success rate in patients with metastatic prostate cancer, and help to choose of appropriate alternative treatment. Currently, early chemotherapy is advised in patients with visceral metastasis (8, 9). The prevalence of castration success rate ranged from 47% to 90.5% in same studies (10). In this study, the prevalence of treatment success rate was 94.4% in patients, who were checked with PSA only and castration not achieved in 5.6% of patients, who were followed by PSA and 11.1% of patients, who were checked with PSA and testosterone. Therefore, PSA checking would not seem to be sufficient only, and it would be advisable to check the level of testosterone alongside the PSA.

The present study showed that the prevalence of castration success rate by measuring serum testosterone level in Iranian metastatic prostate cancer patients underwent LH-RH agonist treatment was 88.9%.

Copyright © 2018, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

N	Age	Metastasis Site	GS	Baseline PSA (ng/mL)	Base Line Testosterone (ng/dL)	PSA After 3 Month (ng/mL)	Testosterone After 3 Month (ng/dL)
1	79	Bone, liver and lung	10	100	234.98	65.5	86.9
2	66	Bone and lung	10	54	388.61	45.7	57.9
3	66	Bone and lung	9	28	364.2	10.3	23.45
4	73	Only bone	9	21.1	459.9	7.6	27.87

Table 1. Characteristic Data of Castration Failure Patients<sup>a</sup>

Abbreviation: GS, Gleason score.

<sup>a</sup> In cases 1 and 2, the PSA level remained elevated despite treatment.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to all the radiation-oncology ward staff.

### Footnotes

Author's Contribution: None declared.

Conflict of Interests: There was no conflict of interest. Funding/Support: None declared.

### References

- Allameh F, Qashqai H, Salavati A. A dynamic model for predicting prostate cancer in Iranian men based on a perceptron neural network. *INT J Cancer Manag.* 2017;10(3). doi: 10.5812/ijcm.7415.
- Abedi AR, Fallah-Karkan M, Allameh F, Ranjbar A, Shadmehr A. Incidental prostate cancer: A 10-year review of a tertiary center, Tehran, Iran. Res Rep Urol. 2018;10:1–6. doi: 10.2147/RRU.S146159. [PubMed: 29392121]. [PubMed Central: PMC5768285].
- 3. Javanmard B, Yousefi M, Yaghoobi M, Hasanzadeh Hadad A, Amani M, et al. Ureteral reimplantation or Percutaneous nephrostomy: which one is better in management of complete ureteral obstruction due to

advanced prostate cancer? Int J Cancer Manag. 2017;10(9). e6074. doi: 10.5812/ijcm.6074.

- Crawford ED. Hormonal therapy in prostate cancer: Historical approaches. *Rev Urol.* 2004;6 Suppl 7:S3-S11. [PubMed: 16985934]. [PubMed Central: PMC1472891].
- Gomella LG. Effective testosterone suppression for prostate cancer: Is there a best castration therapy? *Rev Urol*. 2009;**11**(2):52–60. [PubMed: 19680526]. [PubMed Central: PMC2725306].
- Denmeade SR, Isaacs JT. A history of prostate cancer treatment. Nat Rev Cancer. 2002;2(5):389–96. doi: 10.1038/nrc801. [PubMed: 12044015]. [PubMed Central: PMC4124639].
- Gravis G, Audenet F, Irani J, Timsit MO, Barthelemy P, Beuzeboc P, et al. Chemotherapy in hormone-sensitive metastatic prostate cancer: Evidences and uncertainties from the literature. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2017;55:211–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.09.008. [PubMed: 27665366].
- Reis LO. Variations of serum testosterone levels in prostate cancer patients under LH-releasing hormone therapy: An open question. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2012;19(3):R93-8. doi: 10.1530/ERC-12-0040. [PubMed: 22399012].
- Perlmutter MA, Lepor H. Androgen deprivation therapy in the treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *Rev Urol.* 2007;9 Suppl 1:S3-8. [PubMed: 17387371]. [PubMed Central: PMC1831539].
- Kirby M, Hirst C, Crawford ED. Characterising the castration-resistant prostate cancer population: A systematic review. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2011;65(11):1180–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02799.x. [PubMed: 21995694].