Published online 2019 January 8.

Systematic Review



# Meta-Analysis of Association Between BRIP1 Polymorphisms and Breast Cancer Risk

# Ali Dianatpour 💿<sup>1</sup>, Sepideh Faramarzi<sup>1</sup> and Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard 💿<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

corresponding author: Department of Medical Genetics, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: s.ghafourifard@sbmu.ac.ir

Received 2018 September 13; Revised 2018 December 26; Accepted 2018 December 26.

## Abstract

**Context:** Several studies have assessed the associations between BRCA1-interacting protein 1 (BRIP1) polymorphisms and risk of breast cancer. However, their results were mostly inconsistent and questionable.

**Objectives:** The aim of the current study was to appraise the association between BRIPI variants and susceptibility to breast cancer through performing a meta-analysis.

**Data Sources:** We investigated and gathered English literature existed in Medline, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Google Scholar (up to January 2018) by the search terms "BRIP1 gene", "breast cancer", "SNPs", and "polymorphism".

**Results:** Case-control researches with almost identical strategies and adequate information for calculation of odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were included in the present study. Consequently, 3 publications in distinct ethnic groups including 986 cases and 1087 controls were chosen. The meta-analysis showed that the single-nucleotide polymorphism of rs4988344 in the BRIP1 gene was associated with breast cancer risk in homozygous (P = 0.46 for heterogeneity, OR = 1.66, 95% CI = [1.05, 2.63]) and recessive models (P = 0.44, OR = 1.62, 95% CI = [1.07, 2.46]), while rs7213430 was associated with breast cancer in dominant model (P = 0.03 for heterogeneity, OR = 0.74, 95% CI = [0.55, 0.98]).

Conclusions: The current meta-analysis showed the association between certain BRIP1 polymorphisms and breast cancer risk.

Keywords: BRIP1, Breast Cancer, Meta-Analysis

#### 1. Context

Breast cancer as a complex disorder is a field of interactions between several genetic and environmental factors (1). Numerous association studies have been conducted to assess the influence of single nucleotide variants (SNPs) in human genome on susceptibility to breast cancer (2). Among possible susceptibility, loci is the gene coding for BRCA1-interacting protein 1 (BRIP1), which is a member of the DEAH helicase family (3). The essential role of BRIP1 in DNA repair and genomic stability as well as its colocalization with BRCA1 in nuclear foci (4) supports its putative role in the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Moreover, germline mutations in BRIP1 led to Fanconi anemia, a genetic disorder of both chromosome instability and cancer predisposition (5). There are a number of association studies, which have assessed the role of certain SNPs within this gene in conferring the risk of breast cancer in different populations (6-8). However, the results of these studies are inconclusive.

## 2. Objectives

To further examine the association between SNPs within BRIP1 and predisposition to breast cancer, we conducted a systematic search and meta-analysis of eligible association studies.

#### 3. Data Sources

#### 3.1. Publication Search

We searched Medline/PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Google Scholar to find eligible studies until January 2018. The search terms "BRIP1 gene", "breast cancer", "S-NPs", and "polymorphism" were used. In addition, we assessed the references of associated publications to get all of relevant publications. We just selected studies with fulltext articles in English.

#### 3.2. Inclusion Criteria

The succeeding inclusion criteria were considered: (1) Assessment of the BRIP1 variants and predisposition to

Copyright © 2019, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

breast cancer; (2) case control studies with appropriate design; (3) adequate published data to calculate odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI); (4) written in English; (5) reporting comprehensive genotype and alleles frequencies. Figure 1 shows the diagram of choosing studies for including in the meta-analysis.

#### 3.3. Data Extraction

The first two authors extracted data from all eligible studies based on the mentioned inclusion criteria. First author's family name, time of publication, origin of study, ethnicity, type of genetic material applied for analysis, total amount of cases and controls, and genotypes quantities in each study group were extracted from each selected study.

#### 3.4. Statistical Analysis

The assessments were implemented in RevMan version 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). The association between each SNP and breast cancer risk was assessed through calculation of ORs with 95% CI under 4 inheritance models, namely the allelic (wild type [W] compared with minor [M] allele), the homozygote (WW compared with MM), the dominant (WW + WM compared with MM), and the recessive (WW compared with WM + MM) models. We also assessed the compliance with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). The Q parameter was calculated to evaluate the amount of heterogeneity between the studies. P values greater than 0.10 were considered as indicators of homogeneity among publications. We applied the mixed-effects model and the random-effects model to merge parameters obtained from distinct studies, if they were expected to be homogenous or heterogeneous correspondingly. Funnel plots were depicted to assess publication bias.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. The Characteristics of Eligible Studies

Three publications in distinct populations including 986 cases and 1 087 controls were chosen for the metaanalysis. The rs2048718 was the only SNP that was assessed in the 3 mentioned studies. Table 1 summarizes the principle features of these publications.

#### 4.2. Assessment of Allele Frequencies

When all the studies were pooled, rs7213430 was found to be linked with breast cancer risk (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = [0.64, 0.98]). However, I2 value showed the heterogeneity between two studies included in this meta-analysis (P = 0.02). Figure 2 demonstrates the outcomes of the metaanalysis of associations between BRIP1 SNPs and breast cancer risk in allelic model.

#### 4.3. Assessment of Genotype Frequencies

Our meta-analysis showed that rs4988344 was associated with breast cancer risk in homozygous (P = 0.46 for heterogeneity, OR = 1.66, 95% CI = [1.05, 2.63]) and recessive models (P = 0.44, OR = 1.62, 95% CI = [1.07, 2.46]), while rs7213430 was associated with breast cancer in dominant model (P = 0.03 for heterogeneity, OR = 0.74, 95% CI = [0.55, 0.98]). Figures 3 - 5 show the outcomes of the meta-analysis of associations between BRIP1 SNPs and breast cancer risk in homozygous, dominant, and recessive models, respectively.

Moreover, the funnel plots were illustrated to appraise the presence of publication bias in all assumed genetic models (Figure 6A-D). The overall results of the funnel plots demonstrated relatively symmetrical profiles indicative of low probability of publication bias in allelic and dominant models.

#### 5. Discussion

BRIP1 has a direct interaction with the BRCT motif containing domain of BRCA1 and collaborates with BRCA1 in maintenance of genome instability and tumor suppressor effects (9). Moreover, inactivating truncating mutations in this gene are associated with both Fanconi anemia and vulnerability to breast cancer in homozygous and heterozygous states, respectively (10). Based on the emerging evidences regarding the role of BRCA1and Fanconi anemiarelated genes in breast cancer susceptibility (7), we performed the present meta-analysis to evaluate the effects of SNPs within BRIP1 gene in conferring risk of breast cancer. We showed that rs4988344 was associated with breast cancer risk in homozygous and recessive models, while rs7213430 was associated with breast cancer in dominant model. The rs4988344 and rs7213430 have been located in 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) and intron 5 of BRIP1, respectively (8). Ren et al. have reported the association between the A allele of rs7213430 and breast cancer risk Chinese Han population (8). The rs7213430 is located in the miR-101 seed-binding region and the sequence having G allele has a greater binding affinity with miR-101 than the sequence containing the A allele (11). Although the role of miR-101 as a tumour suppressor has been well documented in breast cancer (12), there are no data regarding its interaction with BRCA1 or BRIP1 in breast tissue. Future studies are needed to elaborate such possible interactions and their role in the pathogenesis of this kind of malignancy. The association between rs4988344 and breast cancer has been evaluated in Chinese Han population (8) as well as French Canadian individuals (7). Both studies failed to detect any significant association. However, the pooled data of these studies confirmed the association of this variant with breast cancer risk.



Figure 1. The PRISMA flowchart of selection of studies for including in the meta-analysis

Our meta-analysis had some limitations. Firstly, the sample size was still small even after data pooling, which may influence the study power to appraise the association between the BRIP1 polymorphisms and predisposition to breast cancer. Secondly, we could not analyze the effects of environmental risk factors, such as menarche and menopause age, history of breastfeeding, and use of any hormonal medications, which might contribute in breast cancer risk. Based on the absence of such data, we could not assess gene-environment interactions in the present study. Moreover, based on the scarcity of data regarding the association between BRIP1 variants and breast cancer, we could not perform subgroup analysis. However, Begg's test demonstrated low probability of publication bias, implying that the favored inclusion of positive results does not happen.

## 6. Conclusions

Our meta-analysis suggests that the rs4988344 within the BRIP1 gene was associated with breast cancer risk in homozygous and recessive models, while rs7213430 was associated with breast cancer in dominant model.

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl					
1.1.1 [S2048/18	407	4440	620	4424	66 OW	0.00/0.02 4.44	<b>_</b>					
Frank 2007 Cup/pord 2000	497	1142	628	1424	00.8%	0.98 [0.83, 1.14]						
Dop 2012	100	620	1 4 1	610	9.370	0.01 [0.52, 1.25]						
Subtotal (95% CI)	130	1972	141	2174	100.0%	0.95 [0.83, 1.08]						
Total events	710		831									
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	071 df=	2 (P =	n 7m l <sup>2</sup> =	= 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.83 (P = 0.41)												
1.1.2 rs7213430												
Gue'nard 2008	87	192	49	124	16.4%	1.27 [0.80, 2.01]						
Ren 2013	191	638	232	612	83.6%	0.70 [0.55, 0.89]						
Subtotal (95% CI)		830		736	100.0%	0.79 [0.64, 0.98]	◆					
Total events	278		281									
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	5.11, df =	1 (P =	0.02); l² =	= 80%								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.18	(P = 0.0	13)									
1.1.3 rs4986763												
Gue'nard 2008	89	192	58	142	19.8%	1.25 [0.81, 1.94]						
Ren 2013	187	638	200	608	80.2%	0.85 [0.67, 1.08]	-					
Subtotal (95% CI)		830		750	100.0%	0.93 [0.75, 1.14]	•					
Total events	276		258									
Heterogeneity: Chi* = Test for overall effect:	2.36, df = Z = 0.71 (	1 (P = (P = 0.4	0.12); i*= (7)	= 58%								
1.1.4 rs4988344												
Gue'nard 2008	24	192	15	136	10.2%	1.15 [0.58, 2.29]						
Ren 2013	275	638	234	612	89.8%	1.22 [0.98, 1.53]						
Subtotal (95% CI)		830		748	100.0%	1.22 [0.98, 1.51]	◆					
Total events	299		249									
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.03, df =	1 (P =	0.87); l² =	= 0%								
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.79	(P = 0.0	17)									
							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10					
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi² = :	8.03, df=	3 (P =	0.05), I² =	62.6%	Decreased Risk Increased Risk					

Figure 2. Forest scheme of the association of BRIP1 polymorphisms and breast cancer in allelic inheritance model. The error bars specify 95% confidence intervals. Solid cubes show individual studies. Solid rhomboids show pooled OR.



Figure 3. Forest scheme of the association of BRIP1 polymorphisms and breast cancer in homozygous inheritance model. The error bars specify 95% confidence intervals. Solid cubes show individual studies. Solid rhomboids show pooled OR.

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI				
1.3.1 rs2048718											
Frank 2007	390	571	484	712	56.8%	1.02 [0.80, 1.29]					
Gue'nard 2008	58	96	47	70	8.9%	0.75 [0.39, 1.42]					
Ren 2013	118	319	128	305	34.3%	0.81 [0.59, 1.12]					
Subtotal (95% CI)		986		1087	100.0%	0.92 [0.77, 1.11]	•				
Total events	566		659								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.65, df = 2 (P = 0.44); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)											
1.3.2 rs7213430											
Gue'nard 2008	68	96	39	62	12.6%	1.43 [0.73, 2.82]					
Ren 2013	154	319	182	306	87.4%	0.64 [0.46, 0.87]					
Subtotal (95% CI)		415		368	100.0%	0.74 [0.55, 0.98]	$\bullet$				
Total events	222		221								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.53, df =	1 (P =	0.03); l² =	= 78%							
Test for overall effect: .	Z = 2.10 (	(P = 0.0	14)								
1.3.3 rs4986763											
Gue'nard 2008	69	96	46	71	14.2%	1.39 [0.72, 2.69]					
Ren 2013	151	319	167	304	85.8%	0.74 [0.54, 1.01]					
Subtotal (95% CI)		415		375	100.0%	0.83 [0.62, 1.10]	◆				
Total events	220		213								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	2.88, df =	1 (P =	0.09); l² =	= 65%							
Test for overall effect: .	Z=1.29 (	(P = 0.2	20)								
1.3.4 rs4988344											
Gue'nard 2008	21	96	15	68	17.1%	0.99 [0.47, 2.09]					
Ren 2013	210	319	191	306	82.9%	1.16 [0.84, 1.61]					
Subtotal (95% CI)		415		374	100.0%	1.13 [0.84, 1.53]	<b></b>				
Total events	231		206								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.15, df=	1 (P =	0.70); l² =	= 0%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.80 (	(P = 0.4	2)								
							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10				
Tact for subgroup dif	foroncos	- Chił	- 4 50 0	f - 3 /	2 - 0 21)	12-33.4%	Decreased Risk Increased Risk				

Figure 4. Forest scheme of the association of BRIPI polymorphisms and breast cancer in dominant inheritance model. The error bars specify 95% confidence intervals. Solid cubes show individual studies. Solid rhomboids show pooled OR.



Figure 5. Forest scheme of the association of BRIPI polymorphisms and breast cancer in recessive inheritance model. The error bars specify 95% confidence intervals. Solid cubes show individual studies. Solid rhomboids show pooled OR.



Figure 6. The funnel plots of the associations between BRIPI SNPs and risk of breast cancer in all assumed inheritance models separately (Log [OR], logarithm of the odds ratio; perpendicular mark, mean effect size).

Table 1. The Characteristics of Studies Selected for Meta-Analysis															
Authors		Time	Region	Genetic Material	Ethnicity	Number of Cases	Number of Controls	Genotype Number in Controls			Genotype Number In Patients			NOS Score	Hardy- Weinberg Equilibrium/chi- Square
								ww	WM	ММ	ww	WM	ММ		
rs2048	718														
	Frank et al. (6)	2007	German	Blood	European	571	712	228	340	144	181	283	107	7	0.4012/0.70
	Guénard et al. (7)	2008	Canada	Blood	French Canadian	96	70	23	32	15	38	41	17	8	0.5379/0.38
	Ren et al. (8)	2013	China	Blood	Asian	319	305	177	115	13	201	98	20	7	0.2883/1.13
rs72134	30														
	Guénard et al. (7)	2008	Canada	Blood	French Canadian	96	62	23	29	10	28	49	19	8	0.8656/0.03
	Ren et al. ( <mark>8</mark> )	2013	China	Blood	Asian	319	306	124	132	50	165	117	37	7	0.1433/2.14
rs4986	763														
	Guénard et al. (7)	2008	Canada	Blood	French Canadian	96	71	25	34	12	27	49	20	8	0.9393/0.006
	Ren et al. (8)	2013	China	Blood	Asian	319	304	137	134	33	168	115	36	7	0.9782/0.000
rs4988	344														
	Guénard et al. (7)	2008	Canada	Blood	French Canadian	96	68	53	15	0	75	18	3	8	0.3066/1.04
	Ren et al. (8)	2013	China	Blood	Asian	319	306	115	148	43	109	145	65	7	0.6744/0.18

## Acknowledgments

None declared.

#### Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: None declared.

## Conflict of Interests: None declared.

Financial Disclosure: No financial support was received. Funding/Support: No funding/support was received.

## References

1. Rudolph A, Chang-Claude J, Schmidt MK. Gene-environment in-

teraction and risk of breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;**114**(2):125–33. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.439. [PubMed: 26757262]. [PubMed Central: PMC4815812].

- Nagrani R, Mhatre S, Rajaraman P, Chatterjee N, Akbari MR, Boffetta P, et al. Association of Genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified SNPs and risk of breast cancer in an Indian population. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:40963. doi: 10.1038/srep40963. [PubMed: 28098224]. [PubMed Central: PMC5241870].
- 3. De Nicolo A, Tancredi M, Lombardi G, Flemma CC, Barbuti S, Di Cristofano C, et al. A novel breast cancer-associated BRIP1 (FANCJ/BACH1) germ-line mutation impairs protein stability and function. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;**14**(14):4672–80. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0087. [PubMed: 18628483]. [PubMed Central: PMC2561321].
- Song H, Ramus SJ, Kjaer SK, Hogdall E, Dicioccio RA, Whittemore AS, et al. Tagging single nucleotide polymorphisms in the BRIP1 gene and susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer. *PLoS One*. 2007;2(3). e268. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000268. [PubMed: 17342202]. [PubMed Central: PMC1800910].
- 5. Levran O, Attwooll C, Henry RT, Milton KL, Neveling K, Rio P, et al. The BRCA1-interacting helicase BRIP1 is deficient in Fanconi anemia. *Nat Genet.* 2005;**37**(9):931-3. doi: 10.1038/ng1624. [PubMed: 16116424].
- Frank B, Hemminki K, Meindl A, Wappenschmidt B, Sutter C, Kiechle M, et al. BRIP1 (BACH1) variants and familial breast cancer risk: a case-control study. *BMC Cancer*. 2007;7:83. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-7-83. [PubMed: 17504528]. [PubMed Central: PMC1887536].

- Guénard F, Labrie Y, Ouellette G, Beauparlant CJ, Simard J, Durocher F. Mutational analysis of the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRIP1/BACH1/FANCJ in high-risk non-BRCA1/BRCA2 breast cancer families. *J Hum Genet*. 2008;53(7):579–91.
- Ren LP, Xian YS, Diao DM, Chen Y, Guo Q, Dang CX. Further evidence for the contribution of the BRCA1-interacting protein-terminal helicase 1 (BRIP1) gene in breast cancer susceptibility. *Genet Mol Res.* 2013;**12**(4):5793-801. doi: 10.4238/2013.November.22.6. [PubMed: 24301948].
- Cantor SB, Bell DW, Ganesan S, Kass EM, Drapkin R, Grossman S, et al. BACH1, a novel helicase-like protein, interacts directly with BRCA1 and contributes to its DNA repair function. *Cell.* 2001;**105**(1):149–60. [PubMed: 11301010].
- Seal S, Thompson D, Renwick A, Elliott A, Kelly P, Barfoot R, et al. Truncating mutations in the Fanconi anemia J gene BRIPI are low-penetrance breast cancer susceptibility alleles. *Nat Genet.* 2006;**38**(11):1239–41. doi: 10.1038/ng1902. [PubMed: 17033622].
- Liu H, Gao F, Dahlstrom KR, Li G, Sturgis EM, Zevallos JP, et al. A variant at a potentially functional microRNA-binding site in BRIPI was associated with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Tumor Biol.* 2016;**37**(6):8057–66. doi: 10.1007/s13277-015-4682-6).
- Wang L, Li L, Guo R, Li X, Lu Y, Guan X, et al. miR-101 promotes breast cancer cell apoptosis by targeting Janus kinase 2. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2014;34(2):413–22. doi: 10.1159/000363010.