Published online 2019 January 9.

Outcomes of Breast Cancer (Invasive Lobular and Ductal Carcinoma) Treated with Boost Intraoperative Electron Radiotherapy Versus Conventional External Beam Radiotherapy

Hassan Moayeri ¹,^{2,*}, Mohammad Esmaeil- Akbari ¹,^{**}, Nahid Nafissi ³, Seied Rabi Mahdavi ⁴, Hamid Reza Mirzaei¹, Azam Salati¹, Maryam Khayamzade¹, Zeinab Shormeij¹, Jafar Shakeri¹, Mojgan Nabatzadeh¹, Minoo Shahani¹, Marzieh Kiaee⁵ and Sajad Noorian Noorian ⁶

¹Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Surgery, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran ³Department of Breast Surgery, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴Medical Physics Department, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁵Department of Optic and Photonic, Iran Telecom Research Center, Tehran, Iran ⁶Department of Statistics, Faculty of Scence, University of Qom, Qom, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: moaiery@gmail.com ^{**} Corresponding author: Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: profmeakbari@gmail.com

Received 2018 October 02; Revised 2018 November 20; Accepted 2018 November 26.

Abstract

Background: Breast conserving surgery (BCS) and its following radiotherapy is an accepted therapeutic method for patients with invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) and invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC).

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of intraoperative electron radiotherapy on women with breast cancer (invasive lobular and ductal carcinoma).

Methods: Between August 2013 and September 2017, 968 patients, who were referred to Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences with invasive breast cancer, were treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy. Of those, 426 patients received a tumor bed boost with intraoperative electron radiotherapy (IOERT) during lumpectomy (58 patients with pure invasive lobular carcinoma, 239 patients with pure invasive ductal carcinoma, and 129 patients with other diagnoses). 542 patients received a tumor bed boost with conventional external beam radiotherapy post lumpectomy (24 patients with pure invasive lobular carcinoma, 418 patients with pure invasive ductal carcinoma, and 100 patients with other diagnoses). The patients were followed up to 49 months. A comprehensive list of clinical and pathologic features was evaluated for all patients. We retrospectively analyzed outcomes of breast cancer treated with boost intraoperative electron radiotherapy (pure ILC and IDC groups) and in other group treated with boost conventional external beam radiotherapy (pure ILC group).

Results: None of the ILC patients had recurrence in the two groups. The four-year survival rate for ILC patients was 100%, but in the IDC group the survival rate was 97%. Survival analyses showed patients with IDC had a higher risk of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence (IBTR) and metastasis.

Conclusions: Overall, the rates of IBTR and metastasis in the ILC boost IOERT group were significantly low. This finding suggests that IOERT technique deployment in ILC had no inferiority compared with the control group.

Keywords: IOERT, Ipsilateral Breast Recurrence, ILC, IDC

1. Background

Since 1980, the deployment of external beam radiotherapy quickly expanded as a therapeutic modality in patients with advanced breast cancer. Due to the advancement of various treatment methods, radiotherapy following breast conserving surgery (BCS) is standard method in order to control and prevent the recurrence occurrence in patients with advanced breast cancer, which became equivalent to radical mastectomy (1-3).

This change in treatment method is arising from medical perspective in order to improve the quality of life and decreasing surgical morbidity and complications rate while increasing the quality of treatment (4, 5). In patients with proper breast preservation, radiotherapy accepted as a complementary therapeutic component after BCS (6, 7).

Many studies show good results of deploying the

Copyright © 2019, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

method of accelerated partial breast irradiation in comparison with standard external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) to minimize surgical complications and improve the quality of treatment process (8-13).

According to the retrospective studies, which were performed on more than 30 000 patients, most of the patients and physicians tend to use intraoperative radiotherapy technique (14).

In the past, with this notion that invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) is a lesion with multifocal and diffuse pattern of spreading, BCS was not recommended (15). Meanwhile, in later studies with the same surgical technique, the local recurrence rate was almost equal with when the radiotherapy was performed after the surgery (16-18).

In another study performed on 45 German-Australian patients, who had ILC and undergoing BCS and accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI), there was no significant difference in 4-year recurrence. Based on this evidence and studies that demonstrated the equivalence of this method in two types of ILC and IDC pathologies, later designs, BCS, and APBI were accepted as a valid method for later studies (19-21).

Still, there are many challenges about the appropriate ness of using APBI for these patients because of having favorable outcomes in ILC patients (22, 23). This is due to the existence of older patients with higher levels of hormones and less proliferation in group of ILC compared with IDC patients (22, 23).

According to the literature, the rate of breast cancer local recurrence after electron IOERT was 0.02% per personmonth, with an adjusted 5-year recurrence rate of 2.7% (24, 25). These findings confirm the recent guidelines published by American Society of Radiation Oncology (ASTRO), supporting the use of electron IOERT for low-risk patients (24, 25).

In another study, 2 800 patients were evaluated and 21% of them underwent the BCS and IOERT. Intraoperative radiotherapy resolved the problem of patients, whose homes were far from the radiotherapy centers or located in remote villages, border cities, or mountainous areas and there was impossibility of daily radiotherapy being performed for them (26).

In a recent study, it has been shown that APBI is an acceptable modality in ILC (26).

2. Methods

Between August 2013 and September 2017, 968 patients, who were referred to Cancer Research Center of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences with invasive breast cancer, were treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy. Of these, 426 patients received a tumor bed boost with IOERT during lumpectomy (58 patients with pure invasive lobular carcinoma, 239 patients with pure invasive ductal carcinoma, and 129 patients with other diagnoses). The conventional EBRT was delivered to 542 patients (24 patients with pure invasive lobular carcinoma, 418 patients with pure invasive ductal carcinoma, and 100 patients with other diagnoses). The patients were followed up to 49 months. A comprehensive list of clinical and pathologic features was evaluated for all the 3 group patients (pure ILC and IDC and conventional EBRT).

According to the result of biopsy sample, which was obtained from all patients, they were candidate to undergo BCS. All pathologic and biologic characteristics of patients were defined before the surgery. A multidisciplinary team, including a cancer surgeon, a radiotherapist, and a physicist was present in the operating room. After the tumor removal, clearness of margins cells was defined, using frozen section technique; according to our criteria, electrons (with dose of 12 Gray (Gy)) in boost were radiated to the healthy margins, using LIAC linear accelerators, (Sordina IOERT Technologies S.p.A., Italy), while the patient was anesthetized.

In clinically negative axilla, the axillary lymph nodes were checked, using sentinel biopsy and in the case of axillary lymph node involvement, dissection was performed; this is very important in determining the type of radiotherapy.

Acording to IRIORT (Iranian intraoperative radiotherapy) working group criteria shown in Table 1, patients who were candidates for radical IOERT were excluded from this study

Systemic therapy, chemotherapy, and/or endocrine therapy were appropriate for the selected patients. All patients were followed-up on a regular basis to assess local disease control and survival. Clinical examinations were performed at least every 6 months and mammograms and ultrasound were required yearly if indicated. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was not routinely performed. This review was approved by the Ethics Committee of Cancer Research Center of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

2.1. Statistical Methods

In this study, we evaluated the incidence of local and distance (metastasis) recurrence in all patients, whichever occurred first and was evaluated by the Kapla-Meier method. The log-rank test was used to assess the difference in the incidence of IBTRs and distance recurrence in patients with pure IDC and pure ILC. We obtained 4-year event rates with respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs) from actuarial survival analysis.

Factors	Suitable	Possible	Contraindicated
Age, y	\geq 45	40 - 44	< 40
Tumor size, cm	< 3	3 - 3.5	> 3.5
Margin	Negative	Negative	Positive
Grade	1 and 2	Any	-
LVI	Negative	Any	-
ER,PR	Positive	Any	-
Multicentricity	No	No	Yes
Multifocality	No	Yes	-
IDC	Yes	Yes	-
ILC	Yes	Yes	-
Pure DCIS, cm	\leq 3	3 - 4	> 4
EIC,	< 25	≥ 25	Diffuse
HER2	Any	-	-
LCIS associated	Any	Any	Any
Nodal status	Negative	Negative	Positive
Axillary surgery	SLNB	SLNB or ALND	-
Neoadjuant Th.	Not allowed	Not allowed	If used

T-hl-d IDIODT Comments for Dedical IOFDT

In the multivariate analysis, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression were represented by molecular subtype. A "reduced" multivariate model was, then, built, using only those parameters that were statistically significant in a fully adjusted model. The multivariate-adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for the risk of IBTR and distance (metastatic) recurrence in patients with ILC (IOERT and EBRT) and IDC was compared in different patients' subgroups. In addition, a propensity score matching analysis was performed in the group of ILC, in which patients were matched with an equivalent group of IDC. The propensity score was built via a multivariate logistic regression model, considering all the variables listed in Table 2; all analyses were performed with SPSS software (version 22).

3. Results

The follow-up period was 49 months (August 2013 to September 2017). Table 2 summarizes the clinical and pathologic characteristics by histology of breast cancer (pure ILC and IDC) treated with boost intraoperative electron radiotherapy (IOERT), and (pure ILC) conventional boost external beam radiotherapy (EBRT).

The median age of patients IOERT was 50 and EBRT 46.3 years for the ILC group and 47 years for the IDC group. Com-

pared with the IDC group, patients with ILC were older (56-65 years, 24.1% ILC IOERT, and 16.6 ILC EBRT vs. 13.3% IDC IOERT) with more lymph node involvement (pN2, 20.6% ILC IOERT, and 20.8 ILC EBRT vs. 10.8% IDC IOERT), less grade (grade 3, 20.6% ILC IOERT, and 25% ILC EBRT vs. 46% IDC IOERT), and less peri tumoral vascular invasion (34.4% ILC IOERT and 20.8 ILC EBRT vs. 64.4% IDC IOERT).

Patients with low risk of recurrence, who had desirable criteria to receive radical dose of IOERT (suitable for radical dose), were excluded from this study and patients of both groups of ILC and IDC had been radiated based on the same technical parameters. All patients, who had received radiation, had been diagnosed with pure ILC and IDC and none of them had mixed ILC/IDC tumor. It is worth noting that in a parallel study, there was no significant difference between ILC and mixed ductal and lobular patients, who underwent BCS and external radiotherapy (27).

Among 58 patients in the ILC group treated with IO-ERT boost and 24 patients in the ILC group treated with EBRT boost, none of the patients experienced a relapse either locally or distantly, with a 4-year survival rate of 100%. But in the IDC group treated with IOERT boost, the survival rate was 97% (232 out of 239 patients). In the IDC group, 2 patients experienced IBTR and 5 patients progressed to metastatic disease (2 patients had lung involvement and 3 patients had brain and bone involvement).

The average interval between the time of disease diagnosis to the time of local and distant recurrence occurrence was 15 and 20 months, respectively. During the follow up period, no patient of ILC group (IOERT and EBRT) suffered from contralateral breast carcinoma and none of them experienced local and distant recurrence simultaneously. The odds ratio between two rows is defined as:

$$\theta = \frac{odds1}{odds2} = \frac{\frac{\pi_1}{1-\pi_1}}{\frac{\pi_2}{1-\pi_2}}$$

According to Agresti, the sample odds ratio θ equals 0 or ∞ if any of entries has zero frequency, and it is undefined if both entries in a row or column are zero. He preferred the below estimator for the case that there is a cell or cells with zero frequency,

$$\theta = \frac{(n_{11} + 0.5)(n_{22} + 0.5)}{(n_{12} + 0.5)(n_{21} + 0.5)} = \frac{0.5 \times 232.5}{7.5 \times 58.5} = 0.265$$

When $0 < \theta < 1$, the odds of recurrence are lower in row 1 (ILC Group) than in row 2 (IDC group). So, the odds of recurrence in IDC group are $3.77 \left(\frac{1}{0.265}\right)$ times the odds of recurrence in ILC group. Thus, women in ILC group are less likely to have the cancer recurrence than are women in IDC group. But, they are not statistically dependent, because the P value of Fisher exact test is 0.215.

Table 2. Clinicopathologic-Related Characteristics for ILC and IDC Groups					
Characteristic	ILC, N = 82		IDC, N = 239		
Patient Series, No.	IOERT, N = 58	EBRT, N = 24	IOERT, N = 239		
Age, y					
\leq 45	18 (31)	10 (41.6)	99 (41.4)		
46 - 55	19 (32.7)	10 (41.6)	78 (32.6)		
56 - 65	14 (24.1)	4 (16.6)	32 (13.3)		
≥ 65	3 (5.1)	0(0.0)	19 (7.9)		
Missing	4 (6.8)	0(0.0)	11 (4.6)		
Pathologic size, cm					
< 2	10 (17.2)	4 (16.6)	86 (35.9)		
2-5	33 (56.8)	14 (58.3)	96 (40.1)		
> 5	10 (17.2)	5 (20.8)	36 (15)		
Missing	5 (8.6)	1(4.1)	21 (8.7)		
Nodal (N) status					
NO	20 (34.4)	10 (41.6)	92 (38.4)		
N1	21 (36.2)	5 (20.8)	103 (43)		
N2	12 (20.6)	5 (20.8)	26 (10.8)		
N3	4 (6.8)	2 (8.3)	15 (6.2)		
Missing	1 (1.7)	2 (8.3)	3 (1.2)		
Tumor grade					
G1	10 (17.2)	7 (29.1)	14 (5.8)		
G2	35 (60.3)	7 (29.1)	110 (46)		
G3	12 (20.6)	6 (25)	110 (46)		
Missing	1 (1.7)	4 (16.6)	5(2)		
Lymphovascular invasion					
Absent	38 (65.5)	18 (75)	85 (35.5)		
Present	20 (34.4)	5 (20.8)	154 (64.5)		
Missing	0 (0.0)	1(4.1)	0 (0.0)		
Estrogen receptor					
Absent	6 (10.3)	12 (50)	69 (28.8)		
Present	51 (87.9)	10 (41.6)	161 (67.3)		
Missing	1 (1.7)	2 (8.3)	9 (3.7)		
Progesterone receptor					
Absent	5 (23.0)	10 (41.6)	69 (28.8)		
Present	53 (76.9)	11 (45.8)	160 (66.9)		
Missing	0 (0.0)	3 (12.5)	10 (4.1)		
HER2					
Not overexpressed	50 (86.2)	16 (66.6)	163 (68.2)		
Overexpressed (+++)	4 (6.8)	6 (25)	43 (17.9)		
Missing	4 (6.8)	2 (8.3)	33 (13.8)		

4. Discussion

Breast conserving surgery and its following radiotherapy is an accepted therapeutic method for patients with breast cancer. In the past decades, ILC patients underwent mastectomy, but today, BCS and its following radiotherapy is a confirmed treatment technique for these patients (28). Of course, due to the nature of multifocal lesions in ILC, The instructions raised this issue more cautiously (27, 29). However, in recent studies, higher recurrence in ILC patients has not been seen (26, 30).

In the present study, we evaluated the local and distant recurrence in patients with breast cancer and with pathology of pure ILC and pure IDC. All patients with the high-risk of recurrence in both groups underwent BCS and radiotherapy. In the group of pure ILC (IOERT boost or EBRT boost), all patients have been cured and there were no symptoms of local or distant recurrence during 4-year follow-up. In the group of pure IDC, 2 and 5 patients expeTable 3. The Pattern of First Relapse^a

Variable	ILC, N = 82		IDC N - 220
	IOERT, N = 58	EBRT, N = 24	100,11 - 255
Disease-free	58 (100)	24 (100)	232 (97)
IBTR	0(0)	0(0)	2(0.8)
IBTR and distance	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
Distant metastasis	0(0)	0(0)	5(2)
Contralateral breast cancer	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

rienced local and distant (metastasis) recurrence (Table 3).

There is no similar study on evaluating local and distant recurrence in patients with breast cancer and highrisk of recurrence. However, in patients, who underwent radical IOERT (low-risk of recurrence) in early stage, Leonardi et al. compared two types of pathology, who have received full dose IOERT with electrons and found that local recurrence in ILC group was higher than it in IDC group (27).

Some literatures have limitations such as follow-up periods (31). In the current study, despite the clinical, epidemiological, and pathological factors such as tumor size and immuno histo chemistry (IHC) tests, due to lack of recurrence in ILC of the discussed groups, there was no possibility to compare the data accurately and statistically.

Lack of local/distant recurrence in patients with breast cancer and with pathology of ILC (IOERT boost and EBRT) may lead to use IOERT modality as a boost; it has no inferiority in comparison with those who suffered from breast cancer with IDC pathology. According to an unpublished study in cancer research center of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences on biological effects and processes of electron IOERT as a boost dose, which was induced immediately and after 24-hours from a 12Gy radiation, the effect lasted over the time. This study showed not only the effect of 12Gy boost had no inferiority compared with a higher dose as 21Gy radical, but also it had more biological effects in the induction of cellular and molecular positive effects. It could show the local and systemic effects of radiation on tissue and may be affected due to help improving immune system to control distant recurrence; our epidemiological data confirm this status data as well.

4.1. Conclusions

We suggest that boost IOERT can be considered as a radiotherapy modality in ILC similar to IDC. The strength of our study was the non-selectivity of patients based on Although this conclusion cannot strongly validate this hypothesis at present, but we cannot ignore the effects of high-dose radiation on healthy tissue surrounding the tumor. Therefore, we recommend more studies should be done with a larger sample size and longer follow-up period.

Acknowledgments

None declared.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: None declared.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest between authors.

Ethical Considerations: Ethics Committee of Cancer Research Center of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

Financial Disclosure: None declared.

Funding/Support: None declared.

References

- Veronesi U, Saccozzi R, Del Vecchio M, Banfi A, Clemente C, De Lena M, et al. Comparing radical mastectomy with quadrantectomy, axillary dissection, and radiotherapy in patients with small cancers of the breast. N Engl J Med. 1981;305(1):6–11. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198107023050102. [PubMed: 7015141].
- Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, Greco M, Saccozzi R, Luini A, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breastconserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;**347**(16):1227–32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa020989. [PubMed: 12393819].
- Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J, Margolese RG, Deutsch M, Fisher ER, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(16):1233–41. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa022152. [PubMed: 12393820].
- 4. Curran D, van Dongen JP, Aaronson NK, Kiebert G, Fentiman IS, Mignolet F, et al. Quality of life of early-stage breast cancer patients treated with radical mastectomy or breast-conserving procedures: results of EORTC Trial 10801. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC), Breast Cancer Co-operative Group (BCCG). *EurJ Cancer*. 1998;**34**(3):307–14. [PubMed: 9640214].
- de Haes JC, Curran D, Aaronson NK, Fentiman IS. Quality of life in breast cancer patients aged over 70 years, participating in the EORTC 10850 randomised clinical trial. *Eur J Cancer*. 2003;**39**(7):945– 51. [PubMed: 12706363].

- Bazan JG, Stephens J, Terando A, Skoracki R, McElroy S, Sexton J, et al. Abstract OT2-03-01: Multi-institution phase II trial of intraoperative electron beam radiotherapy boost at the time of breast conserving surgery with oncoplastic reconstruction in women with early-stage breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2018;**78**(4 Supplement):OT2–3-01-OT2-03-01. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.sabcs17-ot2-03-01.
- Gage I, Recht A, Gelman R, Nixon AJ, Silver B, Bornstein BA, et al. Longterm outcome following breast-conserving surgery and radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1995;33(2):245–51. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(95)02001-R. [PubMed: 7673011].
- Antonucci JV, Wallace M, Goldstein NS, Kestin L, Chen P, Benitez P, et al. Differences in patterns of failure in patients treated with accelerated partial breast irradiation versus whole-breast irradiation: A matched-pair analysis with 10-year follow-up. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2009;74(2):447–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.08.025. [PubMed: 19058921].
- Arthur DW, Winter K, Kuske RR, Bolton J, Rabinovitch R, White J, et al. A phase II trial of brachytherapy alone after lumpectomy for select breast cancer: Tumor control and survival outcomes of RTOG 95-17. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008;72(2):467-73. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.12.056. [PubMed: 18294778]. [PubMed Central: PMC2604132].
- Benitez PR, Keisch ME, Vicini F, Stolier A, Scroggins T, Walker A, et al. Five-year results: The initial clinical trial of MammoSite balloon brachytherapy for partial breast irradiation in early-stage breast cancer. *Am J Surg*. 2007;**194**(4):456–62. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2007.06.010. [PubMed: 17826055].
- Gomez-Iturriaga A, Pina L, Cambeiro M, Martinez-Regueira F, Aramendia JM, Fernandez-Hidalgo O, et al. Early breast cancer treated with conservative surgery, adjuvant chemotherapy, and delayed accelerated partial breast irradiation with high-dose-rate brachytherapy. *Brachytherapy*. 2008;7(4):310–5. doi: 10.1016/j.brachy.2008.04.006. [PubMed: 18778971].
- Krishnan I, Jewell WR, Tawfik OW, Krishnan EC. Breast conservation therapy with tumor bed irradiation alone in a selected group of patients with stage I breast cancer. *Breast J.* 2001;7(2):91–6. [PubMed: 11328314].
- Niehoff P, Polgar C, Ostertag H, Major T, Sulyok Z, Kimmig B, et al. Clinical experience with the MammoSite radiation therapy system for brachytherapy of breast cancer: Results from an international phase II trial. *Radiother Oncol.* 2006;**79**(3):316–20. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2006.05.010. [PubMed: 16780977].
- Smith BD, Arthur DW, Buchholz TA, Haffty BG, Hahn CA, Hardenbergh PH, et al. Accelerated partial breast irradiation consensus statement from the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2009;74(4):987-1001. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.031. [PubMed: 19545784].
- Ashikari R, Huvos AG, Urban JA, Robbins GF. Infiltrating lobular carcinoma of the breast. *Cancer*. 1973;31(1):110–6. [PubMed: 4345605].
- van Limbergen E, van den Bogaert W, van der Schueren E, Rijnders A. Tumor excision and radiotherapy as primary treatment of breast cancer. Analysis of patient and treatment parameters and local control. *Radiother Oncol.* 1987;8(1):1–9. [PubMed: 3809596].
- Fodor J, Major T, Polgar C, Toth J, Nemeth G. The impact of radiotherapy on the incidence and time of occurrence of local recurrence in early-stage breast cancer after breast conserving therapy. *Neoplasma*. 2000;**47**(3):181–6. [PubMed: 11043843].
- Weiss MC, Fowble BL, Solin LJ, Yeh IT, Schultz DJ. Outcome of conservative therapy for invasive breast cancer by histologic subtype. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1992;23(5):941-7. [PubMed: 1322387].
- Ott OJ, Hildebrandt G, Potter R, Hammer J, Lotter M, Resch A, et al. Accelerated partial breast irradiation with multi-catheter brachytherapy: Local control, side effects and cosmetic outcome for 274 pa-

tients. Results of the German-Austrian multi-centre trial. *Radiother Oncol.* 2007;**82**(3):281-6. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2006.08.028. [PubMed: 17126940].

- Strnad V, Ott OJ, Hildebrandt G, Pötter R, Hammer J, Lotter M, et al. Long-term results of the German-Austrian phase II study – accelerated partial breast irradiation using multicatheter brachytherapy for early breast cancer. *Brachytherapy*. 2009;8(2):107. doi: 10.1016/j.brachy.2009.03.011.
- Polgar C, Van Limbergen E, Potter R, Kovacs G, Polo A, Lyczek J, et al. Patient selection for accelerated partial-breast irradiation (APBI) after breast-conserving surgery: Recommendations of the Groupe Europeen de Curietherapie-European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (GEC-ESTRO) breast cancer working group based on clinical evidence (2009). *Radiother Oncol.* 2010;**94**(3):264–73. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2010.01.014. [PubMed: 20181402].
- Bharat A, Gao F, Margenthaler JA. Tumor characteristics and patient outcomes are similar between invasive lobular and mixed invasive ductal/lobular breast cancers but differ from pure invasive ductal breast cancers. *Am J Surg.* 2009;**198**(4):516–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2009.06.005. [PubMed: 19800459].
- Arpino G, Bardou VJ, Clark GM, Elledge RM. Infiltrating lobular carcinoma of the breast: tumor characteristics and clinical outcome. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2004;6(3):R149–56. doi: 10.1186/bcr767. [PubMed: 15084238]. [PubMed Central: PMC400666].
- Harness JK, Davies K, Via C, Brooks E, Zambelli-Weiner A, Shah C, et al. Meta-analysis of local invasive breast cancer recurrence after electron intraoperative radiotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2018;25(1):137–47. doi: 10.1245/s10434-017-6130-x. [PubMed: 29110277].
- Vaidya JS, Bulsara M, Wenz F, Coombs N, Singer J, Ebbs S, et al. Reduced mortality with partial-breast irradiation for early breast cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016;**96**(2):259–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.05.008. [PubMed: 27478165].
- Veronesi U, Orecchia R, Maisonneuve P, Viale G, Rotmensz N, Sangalli C, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy versus external radiotherapy for early breast cancer (ELIOT): A randomised controlled equivalence trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(13):1269–77. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70497-2. [PubMed: 24225155].
- Leonardi MC, Maisonneuve P, Mastropasqua MG, Cattani F, Fanetti G, Morra A, et al. Comparison of treatment outcome between invasive lobular and ductal carcinomas in patients receiving partial breast irradiation with intraoperative electrons. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2017;**99**(1):173–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.04.033. [PubMed: 28816144].
- Peiro G, Bornstein BA, Connolly JL, Gelman R, Hetelekidis S, Nixon AJ, et al. The influence of infiltrating lobular carcinoma on the outcome of patients treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiation therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2000;**59**(1):49–54. [PubMed: 10752679].
- Correa C, Harris EE, Leonardi MC, Smith BD, Taghian AG, Thompson AM, et al. Accelerated partial breast irradiation: Executive summary for the update of an ASTRO evidence-based consensus statement. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2017;7(2):73–9. doi: 10.1016/j.prro.2016.09.007. [PubMed: 27866865].
- Veronesi U, Orecchia R, Luini A, Galimberti V, Zurrida S, Intra M, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy during breast conserving surgery: A study on 1,822 cases treated with electrons. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2010;**124**(1):141–51. doi: 10.1007/s10549-010-1115-5. [PubMed: 20711810].
- Shah C, Wilkinson JB, Shaitelman S, Grills I, Wallace M, Mitchell C, et al. Clinical outcomes using accelerated partial breast irradiation in patients with invasive lobular carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2011;81(4):e547–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.04.050. [PubMed: 21640491].