Published online 2016 August 7.

**Research Article** 

## Purification of the Specific Antibody of Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus Serotype A by Ion Exchange Chromatography

## Maryam Shojaee,<sup>1</sup> and Saeed Zibaee<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Payame Noor University of Mashhad, Mashhad, IR Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Research and Biotechnology, Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Mashhad, IR Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Saeed Zibaee, Department of Veterinary Research and Biotechnology, Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Mashhad, IR Iran. E-mail: s.zibaee@mrazi.ac.ir

Received 2016 April 27; Revised 2016 May 09; Accepted 2016 May 09.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an acute and contagious disease in domestic ruminants, which is currently the most economical viral disease that threatens livestock industry. The virus that causes the disease is belongs to Aphthovirus genus from the picornaviridae family. This family contains seven serotypes and is about 30 nanometers in diameter and no external membrane similar to other picornaviruses.

**Objectives:** The current study aimed to introduce ion exchange chromatography as a convenient method to purify specific antibody against 146S antigen.

**Methods:** The study was performed in vaccine and serum research of Razi institute, Tehran, Iran, after purification of 146S antigen of foot-and-mouth disease virus serotypes A using sucrose gradient procedure; two Guinea pigs were immunized with 30  $\mu$ g 146S antigen combined with complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) and booster with incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) according to the protocol. After bleeding and serum obtaining, agglutination assay, dot blot and the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) were used to confirm specific antibody against 146S. Ion exchange chromatography was used to purify specific antibody against 146S antigen. Finally, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) electrophoresis was used to evaluate the purification.

**Results:** The interaction of 146S antigen with the immunized rabbit resulted in agglutination reaction. Assaying with the heterologous antigen showed negative result that confirmed the production of specific antibody in the rabbit. In dot blot, presence of brown spots was confirmed by binding specific antibody with 146S antigen. The result of ELISA showed that each antigen of different serotypes reacted better with homolog antibody. Since IgG containing positive charge and 25, 2-diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) Cephadex gel in ion exchange chromatography also had positive charge, IgG was eluted at the first step by buffer (pH = 9) and the other proteins were eluted by buffer at different pH levels. The absorbance amount of IgG was 0.197 that showed IgG = 0.725 mg/mL. **Conclusions:** With respect to the results as well as the speed of ion exchange chromatography, this method is advised to purify antibodies.

Keywords: Specific Antibody, S146 Antigen, Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus, Serotype A, Ion Exchange Chromatography

#### 1. Background

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an infectious and sometimes fatal viral disease (1). This disease influences cloven-hoofed animals, such as domestic and wild bovines (2). FMD has severe implications for animal farming. Since FMD is highly infectious, it can be spread by polluted animals by aerosols, contact with contaminated farming equipment, vehicles, clothing, or feed, and through domestic and wild predators (3).

The virus responsible for FMD is a picornavirus, a member of the genus Aphthovirus. Seven serotypes (4) of this virus are observed including A, C, O, Asia 1, SAT1, SAT2 and SAT3 (5).

FMD results in serious economic losses when outbreaks of the disease occur. Similar to other picornaviruses, FMDV has a single stranded positive RNA molecule (6) encapsidated in an icosahedral capsid made of 60 copies each containing four proteins: VP1, VP2, VP3, and VP4 (7). The virus exhibits a great antigenic variability extensively characterized in tissue culture and in the field (8-11). Of the four structural proteins, only VP1 elicits neutralizing antibodies in animals (12-15). A main antigenic site on FMDV is identified around amino acid residues 140 to 160 of VP1, the extreme carboxy terminus (residues 200 to 213) of that protein likely contribute to the antigenicity (16-19).

Humans are very rarely infected with FMD through contact with polluted animals. The virus that causes FMD cannot spread to humans via consumption of infected meat because it is sensitive to stomach acid. The last confirmed human case happened in 1966 (20-22) in the

Copyright © 2016, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. United Kingdom. Moreover, only a few other cases were recorded in countries of the continental Europe, Africa, and South America. FMD in humans has symptoms including malaise, fever, vomiting, red ulcerative lesions of the oral tissue and sometimes vesicular lesions of the skin. One case reported that FMD killed two children supposedly due to infected milk in England in 1884 (23). Since FMD is on top of the world organization for animal health (OIE) list, early and rapid virus detection has a great importance. Complement fixation test (CFT) was widely used to detect the FMD virus, but it was alternated by ELISA due to its sensitivity and assessment of a lot of samples. At present, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) along with ELISA and cell culture are used for early detection and determination of the type of FMD virus in the world reference laboratory (WRL) (24). The current study aimed to introduce ion exchange chromatography as a convenient method to purify specific antibody against 146S antigen of FMDV serotype A.

#### 2. Objectives

The current study aimed to introduce ion exchange chromatography as a convenient method to purify specific antibody against 146S antigen.

#### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Virus Source

Foot-and-mouth disease virus serotype A was provided by research and production of foot-and-mouth disease vaccine center of Razi institute, Tehran, Iran. The virus was inactivated by ethylene amine double method and purified by sucrose gradient procedure.

## 3.2. Immunizing a White New Zealand Rabbit to Produce Specific Antibody

Laboratory animals were provided by production and research center of Razi institute. For immunization, a twomonth male rabbit (2 Kg weight) was selected; 120  $\mu$ L of purified antigen 146S along with 880  $\mu$ L Tris buffer 0.05 M and 1 mL of the CFA adjuvant in a total volume of 2 mL was prepared as an injection suspension. One injection was performed subcutaneously on the back of the rabbit. After 28 days, the second injection was done, as mentioned above, but this time incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) was used as an adjuvant. Twelve days after the second injection (40<sup>th</sup> day), bleeding was performed from heart of the rabbit. To obtain the serum, the samples maintained in the incubator (37°C) for 30 minutes and then located in refrigerator (4°C) for one hour. Finally, the samples were centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 minutes. Agglutination reactions produce visible aggregates of antibody-antigen complexes when antibodies or antigens reacting against each other. In this method, one drop of pure antigen was put onto the slide and then one drop of the antibody was added. Due to enhancing of the reaction, slide was shaken. The quality of the result is determined by the time of incubation with the antibody source, amount of the antigen and conditions of the test environment. Optical microscope was used for better observation.

#### 3.4. Dot Blot

Presence of the antigen 146S was confirmed by dot blot; 2  $\mu$ L of the purified antigen 146S and 2  $\mu$ L of phosphatebuffered saline (PBS), as a negative control, were spotted on a piece of nitrocellulose membrane with a distance of 1 cm from each other. The membrane was placed in a plastic container and incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes and blocked with PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (pH 7.4) at room temperature for 45 minutes. After washing with PBS containing Tween 20 (5%); the membrane was incubated with sera (specific antibody solution against 146S antigen) at 1:10 dilution at 37°C for 45 minutes. After washing with PBS-T, the membrane was incubated with the enzyme-labeled secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-rabbit immunoglobulin; IgG, 1: 1000) at 37°C for 45 minutes. Then, the membrane was washed again with PBS-T, and the enzyme reaction was developed with 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution and peroxidase.

#### 3.5. ELISA

The immunized rabbit serum was evaluated for anti-146S-specific antibodies by ELISA. Briefly, flat-bottom 96well microplates were coated with 150  $\mu$ L of 146S and incubated at 37°C for one hour. After washing with PBS containing Tween-20 (5%), the plates were blocked with 1% BSA. Then, 150  $\mu$ L of the immunized rabbit serum was added to each well. The plates were washed and incubated with 150  $\mu$ L of anti-rabbit IgG-enzyme conjugate (dilution 1: 1000). After washing, enzyme-specific substrate, 2, 2'-azinobis-(3ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), was added to each well. The reaction was stopped by 2N sulfuric acid and the absorbance was measured at 490 nm.

## 3.6. Purification of the Specific Antibody by Ion Exchange Chromatography

In the current study, 25, 2-diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) Cephadex (containing positive charge) was used as a solid phase. The sample was poured into the column. Immunoglobulins have positive charge in pH 9; therefore at the beginning they do not bind to the bead and elute from the column. Then, the column was washed by Tris in different pH levels (8.5, 8, 7.5, 7 and 6). The absorbance of each fraction was measured by spectrophotometer at 280 nm and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used to observe the protein band.

### 4. Results

## 4.1. Agglutination

The interaction of 146S antigen with immunized rabbit led to agglutination reaction. Assay with heterologous antigen showed negative result that confirmed production of specific antibody in the rabbit (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Agglutination of Rabbit Serum With 146S Antigen

## 4.2. Dot Blot Assay for the 146S Antigen and the Antibody Elicited from Immunized Rabbit

In the dot blot, presence of brown spots is resulted from binding of specific antibody to 146S antigen. The severity of color depends on the amount of antibody and the purification procedure.

## 4.3. Specific Antibody Against Serotypes O, A and Asia1 by ELISA

It should be noted that all samples were tested twice and the average of the results was mentioned here for the accuracy of conclusion. The result of ELISA showed that each antigen of different serotypes reacted better with homolog antibody (Table 1). However, to achieve the best dilution of antigen and antibody further testing is required.

# 4.4. Purification of the Rabbit IgG Against 146S Antigen of FDM Virus Serotype A

Since IgG contained positive charge and DEAE Cephadex gel in ion exchange chromatography also had positive charge, IgG was eluted at the first step by buffer (pH9) and the other proteins were eluted by buffer at different pH levels (Figure 3). The amount of absorbance of IgG was 0.197 that showed IgG 0.725 = mg/mL.

## 5. Discussion

Foot-and-mouth disease is an acute viral disease which is highly contagious and afflicts almost all even hoofed animals. Fever, general malaise, epithelial blisters, weight loss, abortion, limping and death of young animals are considered as main symptoms of the disease (25, 26). FMD is rarely fatal but the diagnosis is significantly important as it spreads fast and widely and brings in great economic damage. FMD is one of the endemic diseases in Iran and costs annual high expenses to defeat (27-29). The ELISA is one of the most valid diagnosis tests which are now available in the reference centers such as WRL. The production of the above kit is done by the laboratories of FMD global reference and is very expensive. This kit is produced by the WRL of FMD which is very expensive. Specific antibodies related to FMD serotypes are coated in this kit; 146S particle of FMD virus is a complete viral particle favorable to neutralize antibodies produced against it. In this complete viral particle, there are four antigenic surfaces; including VP1, VP2, VP3 and VP4. In the current study, the whole 146S particle was used. One of the first steps in the production of diagnostic kits by ELISA technique is purifying antigen 146S of FMDV. In the present study, 20% - 50% sucrose gradients were used for the purification. This antigen was disintegrated into RNA, structural protein (VP4) and also VP1, VP2, VP3 proteins in the PH of less than 6.5. The current study aimed to purify specific antibodies against serotype A of this virus. In the current study, the 146S antigen was used, which includes viral RNA molecules and 60 copies of each VP1-4. The VP4 protein is internal and VP1-3 proteins are located on the surface of the capsid. The VP1-3 proteins as antigenic markers are located on the surface of the virus, but only the VP1 protein can stimulate neutralizing





A, 15 mg/mL of the 146S; B, 10 mg/mL of the 146S antigen; C, positive control; D, negative control.

Table 1. Confirmation of the Antibody Against Different Serotypes in the Immunized Rabbit and Guinea Pig Serum by ELISA

	Rabbit	Ab A	Ab Asia1	Ab O	Blank PBS
Α	AgA	1.288	1.014	0.695	0.286
В	Ag Asia1	0.878	1.259	0.778	0.322
C	Ag O	0.602	0.604	0.888	0.338

Abbreviations: ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.





antibodies. In order to purify 146S antigen of FMD virusserotype A-sucrose gradient method was used. The laboratory animals selected for immunization and antibody production were New Zealand white rabbits weighing 2,000 grams.

In 1980, Cartwright et al. studied the association of serology and immunology between 146S particle and 12S of FMD virus. Tests conducted to confirm the presence of specific antibodies in the sera of immunized rabbits were performed by rapid agglutination on slides, dot blotting and ELISA (30). In line with the current study, Butcher and McCullough studied the production of monoclonal antibodies against 146S and 12S particles of serotype O of FMD virus. They used sucrose gradient to purify these particles (31).

Gurhan et al. used western blotting and indirect ELISA to confirm the presence of specific antibodies against FMD virus antigen-serotype O (32).

Aggarwal et al. studied the association and specificity of antibodies against the whole particle of FMD virus (146S) -serotype O- with antigenic sites of viral capsid. In the study, ELISA was used to detect specific polyclonal antibodies (33).

The results showed that 12S particles are on the complete viral particle (146S) of FMD virus, there are antigenic sites with similar structure that can stimulate antibody production (33).

In short, purification of 146S antigen of FMD virus was performed by sucrose gradient. The injection methods and production of specific antibodies in the current study were based on the valid reference, WRL. To confirm the presence of antibodies against 146S antigen of FMD virus serotype A, dot blotting and ELISA were applied. To achieve the best result of antigen-antibody dilution additional tests should be performed.

#### References

- Arzt J, Juleff N, Zhang Z, Rodriguez LL. The pathogenesis of footand-mouth disease I: viral pathways in cattle. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2011;58(4):291–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01204.x. [PubMed: 21366894].
- Arzt J, Baxt B, Grubman MJ, Jackson T, Juleff N, Rhyan J, et al. The pathogenesis of foot-and-mouth disease II: viral pathways in swine, small ruminants, and wildlife; myotropism, chronic syndromes, and molecular virus-host interactions. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2011;58(4):305–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01236.x. [PubMed: 21672184].
- Roth JA, Spickler AR. Duration of immunity induced by companion animal vaccines. *Anim Health Res Rev.* 2010;11(2):165–90. doi: 10.1017/S1466252310000150. [PubMed: 21029515].
- Grubman MJ, Baxt B. Foot-and-mouth disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004;17(2):465-93. [PubMed: 15084510].
- Yoon SH, Park W, King DP, Kim H. Phylogenomics and molecular evolution of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Mol Cells*. 2011;**31**(5):413–21. doi: 10.1007/s10059-011-0249-6. [PubMed: 21448588].
- Bachrach HL, editor. Foot and mouth disease virus: properties, molecular biology, and immunogenicity. Beltsville Symposia in Agricultural Research; Virology in Agriculture. 1977;.
- Saiz JC, Gonzalez MJ, Borca MV, Sobrino F, Moore DM. Identification of neutralizing antigenic sites on VP1 and VP2 of type A5 foot-andmouth disease virus, defined by neutralization-resistant variants. *J Vi*rol. 1991;65(5):2518–24. [PubMed: 1707983].
- Domingo E, Davila M, Ortin J. Nucleotide sequence heterogeneity of the RNA from a natural population of foot-and-mouth-disease virus. *Gene.* 1980;11(3-4):333–46. [PubMed: 6260578].
- Martinez MA, Carrillo C, Plana J, Mascarella R, Bergada J, Palma EL, et al. Genetic and immunogenic variations among closely related isolates of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Gene.* 1988;62(1):75–84. [PubMed: 2453395].
- Sobrino F, Davila M, Ortin J, Domingo E. Multiple genetic variants arise in the course of replication of foot-and-mouth disease virus in cell culture. *Virology*. 1983;128(2):310–8. [PubMed: 6310859].
- Sobrino F, Palma EL, Beck E, Davila M, de la Torre JC, Negro P, et al. Fixation of mutations in the viral genome during an outbreak of foot-andmouth disease: heterogeneity and rate variations. *Gene.* 1986;**50**(1-3):149–59. [PubMed: 3034729].
- Bachrach HL, Moore DM, McKercher PD, Polatnick J. Immune and antibody responses to an isolated capsid protein of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *J Immunol.* 1975;115(6):1636–41. [PubMed: 171309].
- Bolwell C, Clarke BE, Parry NR, Ouldridge EJ, Brown F, Rowlands DJ. Epitope mapping of foot-and-mouth disease virus with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies. J Gen Virol. 1989;70 (Pt 1):59–68. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-70-1-59. [PubMed: 2471783].
- Kleid DG, Yansura D, Small B, Dowbenko D, Moore DM, Grubman MJ, et al. Cloned viral protein vaccine for foot-and-mouth disease: responses in cattle and swine. *Science*. 1981;**214**(4525):1125–9. [PubMed: 6272395].
- Laporte J, Grosclaude J, Wantyghem J, Bernard S, Rouze P. Neutralisation en culture cellulaire du pouvoir infectieux du virus de la fièvre aphteuse par des sérums provenant de porcs immunisés a l'aide d'une protéine virale purifiée. CR Acad Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D. 1973;276(25):3399–401.

- Bittle JL, Houghten RA, Alexander H, Shinnick TM, Sutcliffe JG, Lerner RA, et al. Protection against foot-and-mouth disease by immunization with a chemically synthesized peptide predicted from the viral nucleotide sequence. *Nature*. 1982;298(5869):30–3. [PubMed: 7045684].
- DiMarchi R, Brooke G, Gale C, Cracknell V, Doel T, Mowat N. Protection of cattle against foot-and-mouth disease by a synthetic peptide. *Science*. 1986;232(4750):639–41. [PubMed: 3008333].
- Robertson BH, Morgan DO, Moore DM. Location of neutralizing epitopes defined by monoclonal antibodies generated against the outer capsid polypeptide, VP1, of foot-and-mouth disease virus A12. *Virus Res.* 1984;1(6):489–500.
- Strohmaier K, Franze R, Adam KH. Location and characterization of the antigenic portion of the FMDV immunizing protein. J Gen Virol. 1982;59(Pt 2):295–306. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-59-2-295. [PubMed: 6176678].
- Rweyemamu M, Roeder P, Mackay D, Sumption K, Brownlie J, Leforban Y, et al. Epidemiological patterns of foot-and-mouth disease worldwide. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2008;55(1):57–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2007.01013.x. [PubMed: 18397509].
- 21. Davies G. Foot and mouth disease. *Res Vet Sci.* 2002;73(3):195-9. [PubMed: 12443674].
- 22. Simon J. Foot and Mouth Disease. The Guardian. 2007.
- 23. Simon J. Foot and mouth killed people in 1800s. The Guardian. 2007.
- Reid SM, Hutchings GH, Ferris NP, De Clercq K. Diagnosis of foot-andmouth disease by RT-PCR: evaluation of primers for serotypic characterisation of viral RNA in clinical samples. *J Virol Methods*. 1999;83(1-2):113–23. [PubMed: 10598089].
- Alexandersen S, Zhang Z, Donaldson AI. Aspects of the persistence of foot-and-mouth disease virus in animals-the carrier problem. *Microbes Infect.* 2002;4(10):1099–110. [PubMed: 12191660].
- Barnett PV, Carabin H. A review of emergency foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) vaccines. Vaccine. 2002;20(11-12):1505–14. [PubMed: 11858856].
- Blancou J. History of the control of foot and mouth disease. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002;25(5-6):283-96. [PubMed: 12365805].
- Brown F. The history of research in foot-and-mouth disease. *Virus Res.* 2003;91(1):3-7. [PubMed: 12527434].
- Haydon DT, Samuel AR, Knowles NJ. The generation and persistence of genetic variation in foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Prev Vet Med*. 2001;**51**(1-2):111–24. [PubMed: 11530198].
- Cartwright B, Morrell DJ, Brown F. Nature of the antibody response to the foot-and-mouth disease virus particle, its 12S protein subunit and the isolated immunizing polypeptide VP1. J Gen Virol. 1982;63(2):375– 81. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-63-2-375. [PubMed: 6296284].
- McCullough KC, Butcher R. Monoclonal antibodies against foot-andmouth disease virus 146S and 12S particles. *Arch Virol.* 1982;74(1):1–9. [PubMed: 6186225].
- Gurhan S, Gurhan B, aynagoz G, Unal N, Unver G. Characterization of monoclonal antibodies against foot and mouth disease virus and determination of antigenic variations in field strains. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci.* 1999;23(5):403–8.
- Aggarwal N, Barnett PV. Antigenic sites of foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV): an analysis of the specificities of anti-FMDV antibodies after vaccination of naturally susceptible host species. *J Gen Virol.* 2002;83(Pt 4):775–82. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-83-4-775. [PubMed: 11907326].