Published online 2020 April 15.

**Review Article** 

# Endoscopic Injection of Bulking Agents for the Treatment of Vesicoureteral Reflux and Introducing a New Material: Acellular Lyophilized Prepuce as a Natural Scaffold

Mohammad Javad Mohseni<sup>1</sup>, Layla Shojaie<sup>1, 2</sup>, Masoumeh Majidi Zolbin <sup>1</sup>, Alireza Mohseni<sup>3</sup>, Hossein Amirzargar<sup>1</sup>, Pooya Hekmati<sup>1</sup>, Abdol-Mohammad Kajbafzadeh<sup>1,\*</sup> and Hamid Arshadi<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Tissue Engineering and Stem Cells Therapy, Pediatric Urology and Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Research Center for Liver Disease, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

<sup>3</sup>Tracheal Disease Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Corresponding author: Section of Tissue Engineering and Stem Cells Therapy, Pediatric Urology and Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: kajbafzd@sina.tums.ac.ir

<sup>\*\*</sup>Corresponding author: Pediatric Urology and Regenerative Medicine Research Center, Section of Tissue Engineering and Stem Cells Therapy, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: drhamidarshadi@yahoo.com

Received 2020 January 12; Revised 2020 March 24; Accepted 2020 March 27.

#### Abstract

**Context:** Endoscopic therapy has been introduced for vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) treatment from the early 1980s and emerged as the first-line treatment in all grades of VUR. Various materials have been used for the endoscopic suburethral application, and their curative role has been characterized by different potential. In this study, we aimed to summarize the global experience with the various bulking agents used for endoscopic treatment of VUR, especially the cure and complication rates, and review our newly introduced bulking agent.

**Evidence Acquisition:** The current literature and our experience on the outcome of endoscopic treatment with different bulking agents were reviewed.

**Results:** Various foreign materials have been used for endoscopic suburethral injections, and their success rate has been different from 40 to  $\leq$  90 percent. Dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer (Dx/HA), currently the most common bulking agent used for VUR resolution, is an artificial material that helps to grow collagen and fibroblasts. Activation of the immune reaction and the formation of granuloma pseudocysts are impediments after the injection of this agent. Therefore, despite the better consequences in comparison to other agents, the use of this material might be associated with the risk of appearance of serious and persistent complications.

**Conclusions:** Our newly designed decellularized prepuce tissue as a biocompatible tissue-engineered bulking agent, is comparable to Dx/HA regarding low immunoreaction and inflammatory responses with lower price. So, it could be a proper candidate for future randomized clinical trials to investigate the potential of clinical application of the current bulking agent; moreover, it can be an appropriate alternative for Dx/HA.

Keywords: Endoscopic Treatment, Vesicoureteral Reflux (VUR), Tissue-Engineering, Bulking Agent

## 1. Context

Vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) is one of the most common anomalies of the urinary tract among children and can lead to progressive kidney injury and consequently kidney failure. In the long term, it is one of the main causes of high blood pressure, growth disorder, and renal failure in childhood. Endoscopic modification has been presented for vesicoureteral reflux treatment from the early 1980s and appeared as the foremost management in all grades of VUR (1, 2). This method has some positive characteristics like less length of treatment, lower charge, and is a less complex process than open surgery (3). These points of interest are significant in choosing a treatment strategy because the decision is usually based on the balance between the intervention's side effects and the indistinctness of self-correction. Reducing problems and morbidities can increase the tendency toward endoscopic treatment in a selected group of patients (4). The success rate of this method is closely related to the VUR development stage and agent used (5). Morbidities following this procedure are not frequent and mainly include the ureterovesical

Copyright © 2020, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

junction obstruction and the growth of new contralateral reflux following treatment of unilateral VUR (6). Different materials such as polytetrafluoroethylene, polydimethylsiloxane, and bovine collagen have been used for the endoscopic suburethral application, and their cure rate has been different from 60 to 80 percent (7-9). However, they had documented side effects in some studies such as granuloma formation (10, 11), migration to distant organs (12), autoimmune reactions, and early recurrence of VUR (9). Also, new alternative agents like Urocol that certainly had a very effective role in improving the condition of these children has some hindrances. It has inadequate viscosity and sometimes it is stuck in the injection equipment and the procedure needs to be repeated, which means that the child should undergo another general anesthesia, cystoscopy, and intervention (13). Dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer (Deflux®) as an artificial material, helps to grow collagen and fibroblasts and its hyaluronic acid can keep the implant in place. The complication of Deflux® includes activation of the immune reaction and the formation of granuloma pseudocysts around the Deflux<sup>®</sup> after the injection of this substance (14).

Nowadays, the extracellular matrix (ECM) is widely used in various forms in cellular and tissue engineering (15-17). Different types of ECM-based materials or tissue structures are developed for regeneration purposes. In this regard, using a natural scaffold (especially autologous) for infants and children, which has fewer complications, has attracted much attention. We aimed to summarize the worldwide experience with the various bulking agents used for endoscopic treatment of VUR, particularly the cure and complication rates. Also, we have discussed our newly introduced bulking agent: acellular lyophilized prepuce as a natural scaffold.

## 2. Evidence Acquisition

#### 2.1. Dextranomer/Hyaluronic Acid Copolymer

Dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer known as Deflux<sup>®</sup> is the most frequently used material for endoscopic treatment of VUR. It is composed of dextranomer microspheres in sodium hyaluronate solution (18). The success rate with Deflux<sup>®</sup> is inversely proportional to the grade of reflux. It is reported from 78.5% for grades I and II to 51% for grade V (19). Taskinlar et al. (20) reported the lowest success rate of 52.6% after the first injection that improved to 66.6% after the second injection. They retrospectively investigated children with VUR grade II-IV. The highest success rate of 98.9% is reported by Kajbafzadeh and Tourchi (21), when they used concomitant autologous blood and dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer using hydrodistention autologous blood injection technique (HABIT) protocol for grades II-IV. Others reported the success rate between these two measures (52.6% - 98.9%) (22-27). Pichler et al. (22) reported post-operative UTI with persistent VUR in 2.25%. Taskinlar et al. (20) encountered UTI in 20% of their cases which is considerable.

Some complications have also been reported for Dx/HA. In addition to persistent VUR, obstruction is the most frequent complication, with an incidence ratio of 0% - 5.7% in published case series (28-31). In a retrospective multicenter study Vandersteen et al. (28) outlined only 5/745 (0.7%) cases of urethral obstruction. Christen et al. (32) reported a case of VUR endoscopically treated with Dx/HA with the longest interval between injection and the appearance of obstruction. Ben-Meir et al. (33) believed that in high-grade reflux as well as dilated ureter cases, because of the higher risk of late obstruction, surgery is indicated so endoscopy cannot be determined as proper therapeutic choice. Zyczkowski et al. (14) reported two cases of persisted reflux and UTI resistant to antibiotics with the histopathology of long-lasting inflammation, granuloma, and pseudocysts around the injection site of Dx/HA. Another investigation demonstrated that Dx/HA injection causes a granulomatous inflammation reaction with the existence of CD86 and tryptase positive cells with an abnormal stock of collagenous stroma and remodeling of ECM through myofibroblast differentiation, myofibroblasts cause tissue contraction with urethral diameter reduction and modifying the ratio of urethral length to diameter. This will result in urine reflux prevention (34).

Dexell<sup>®</sup> is another agent that is composed of hyaluronic acid gel (17 mg versus 15 mg in Deflux<sup>®</sup>) but with different size of dextranomer microspheres (80 - 120  $\mu$ m and 80 - 250  $\mu$ m in Deflux<sup>®</sup>)(27). A study compared these two similar agents, and reported that the success rate was 75.2% for Deflux<sup>®</sup> versus 71.8% in Dexell<sup>®</sup> that were not statistically different (P > 0.05). The mean age of treated children was 78 months, and they concluded that younger age and previous history of failure in injection is associated with unfavorable results. There was no difference in outcomes and UTI for these two agents (27).

# 2.2. Polydimethylsiloxane

Polydimethylsiloxane as a non-biodegradable material was first used in 1994 to treat children with VUR. Histopathological experimental investigations showed the development of a well-encapsulated foreign body reaction at the injection site, including giant cells, fibroblasts, and collagen, with no risk of migration because of the size of the particles (100 - 200  $\mu$ m in diameter) (8, 35, 36). Distant migration is the consequence of phagocytosis by macrophages or circulating monocytes. Because macrophages do not phagocyte particles greater than 80  $\mu$ m in diameter, PDS is safe from phagocytosis (35). Moreover, compared with other agents, the higher viscosity and the absence of shrinkage make PDS more reliable (37). The success rate of VUR endoscopic treatment with PDS is reported form 51.6% by Mohamed et al. (38) to 90% by Herz et al. (39). The low success rate was considered due to inexperienced operative's inaccuracies in selecting the injection site or depth and preoperative bladder detrusor instability and voiding dysfunction that should be treated before endoscopic injection. The UTI incidence in their follow-up was also high (60%) which might be related to sex like 70% of their patients were females. Other reports represented the success rate between 70% and 90% and UTI incidence of < 20% (40-43). A case of symptomatic obstruction following PDS injections was reported which was attributed to an excess amount of material injected (40). There was also one technical error of nearly complete loss of PDS freely floating on the bladder floor in the 3-month follow-up. The reason was suggested to be the too superficial injection or excessive fluidity of substance (41). The most common complication with Macroplastique® injection was the occurrence of contralateral denovo reflux in the unilateral cases in Herz et al. (39). They reported de novo contralateral grades I and II reflux developed in 3% of children (17).

#### 2.3. Polyacrylate-Polyalcohol Copolymer

Polyacrylate-polyalcohol copolymer (PPC) that belongs to the acrylic family results in the formation of the fibrotic capsule with good stability and long-term durability in the endoscopic treatment of VUR. PPC particles are flexible with the irregular shape when compressed. Most of the particles have an average of 300  $\mu$ m diameter with low risk of migration (44). There are few studies with PPC as the bulking agent in VUR. The mean volume of the injected agent was 0.6-1 mL in different studies. The cure rate was reported as 77% to 94.9% (45-49). Postoperative UTI incidence was < 10% in most studies, but Chertin et al. (46) reported a 13% incidence of UTI after endoscopic injection of PPC. These studies showed that PPC has a high level of efficacy and safety in grades I-IV VUR. Chertin et al. (47) reported urethral obstruction in two patients, treated with stent insertion in one case and open urethral implantation in another. Ormaechea et al. (49) encountered a case of progressive hydronephrosis on ultrasonography after a urinary infection that was resolved by extravesical ureteral reimplantation.

## 2.4. Poly (Lactic-co-Glycolic Acid)

Poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) due to its biodegradability and biocompatibility can be used in the different therapeutic applications such as tissue engineering scaffolds (50), cell culture substrate (51), and drug delivery vehicle (52, 53). This copolymer is synthesized by co-polymerization of cyclic dimers (1,4-dioxane-2,5-diones) of glycolic acid and lactic acid (54). Cho et al. (55) have

injected PLGA in the submucosal layer of 6 rabbit bladders. They encountered no needle obstruction. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images showed spherical structures with a slick surface. Gross views and histological analyses showed the formation of a hybrid tissue. Hematoxylin and eosin (H & A) staining showed host cells from the surrounding bladder muscle tissue migrated and filled the space between the microspheres. Masson's trichrome staining indicated hybrid tissue containing collagen. They suggested that PLGA microspheres could be a potentially beneficial bulking agent for urologic injection therapies. The potential role of PLGA microspheres in combination with hyaluronic acid also can be considered as a urologic bulking agent, but no clinical trials regarding these agents were found (56).

# 2.5. Polyacrylamide Hydrogel

Polyacrylamide hydrogel is a lucid gel with 97.5% apyrogenic water and 2.5% cross-linked polyacrylamide. PAHG can be used as a biocompatible, non-resorbable, microparticle-free, and migration resistant bulking agent. Previous studies have shown the tissue integration, efficacy, and safety of PAHG (57-59). Ramsay et al. (60) have reported the PAHG cure rate of 70.7% for grade I-V VUR in a prospective study and a 12% incidence of UTI after injection. They did not exclude patients with renal duplication or previous history of endoscopic injections or ureteral reimplantation from the study that might have affected the final outcomes. Cloutier et al. (61) experienced a cure rate of 81.2% with up to 2 injections of PAHG. Only 2.5% of UTI was reported. No de novo or worsening hydronephrosis and no bulking agent calcification occurred in these two studies. PAHG has similar short-term efficacy to other bulking agents for the endoscopic resolution of VUR. PAHG costs less than other bulking agents such as Dx/HA so that it can be preferred over other bulking agents.

# 2.6. Collagen

Collagen injected endoscopically to treat VUR has a resolution rate of 93.9%, 91.7%, 85.3%, and 81.8% in one month, six months, two years, and four years, respectively (62). Studies revealed that in simple ureters, especially with grade III VUR, collagen can treat the reflux. Haferkamp et al. (63) reported a 95% success rate on the first postoperative voiding cystourethro-gram (VCUG). However, after 37 months, only 9% of their patients were reflux free. So, they concluded that a single endoscopic bovine collagen is not effective in the long-term for the treatment of VUR and the recurrence rate is high (63).

# 2.7. Polytetrafluoroethylene

Polytetrafluoroethylene is a chemical substance formed by tetrafluoroethylene gas polymerization at high

temperature and pressure. Ninety percent of the particles have a diameter smaller than 40  $\mu$ m, ranging from 4 -100  $\mu$ m (11). Aragona et al. (64) believed that the major concern is the migration of particles to regional lymph nodes and even other organs and caution is needed in the selection of patients for endoscopic VUR treatment with Polytetrafluoroethylene. Chertin et al. (65) declared a 58% and 84% resolution of refluxing units after first and second Polytetrafluoroethylene injection, respectively. One of their female patients encountered a unilateral ureteral obstruction and UTI incidence was 2.3%. In more than 18 years of follow-up, no unexpected clinical effects occurred (65).

## 2.8. Polymethylmethacrylate/Dextranomer

The polymethylmethacrylate/dextranomer (PMMA/Dx) agent comprises 15% PMMA and 75% crosslinked dextran. Korean FDA in 2010 have approved its application for soft tissue augmentation. Cross-linked dextran and PMMA microspheres have diameters of 45 to 120 mm and 32 to 120 mm, respectively. They cannot be phagocytized due to the large molecular size. PMMA/Dx as a bulking agent is biocompatible, nonresorbable, and migration free (66). PMMA/Dx revealed better shape and volume maintenance after three weeks compared to Dx/HA in an animal study, and inflammatory cells or macrophages' infiltration did not happen at the injection site with PMMA/Dx (67). Kim et al. (66) displayed well-positioned PMMA/Dx material at the injection site and no obstruction on postoperative ultrasound during a short-term follow-up period (3 months) of 18 children. They reached a 69.7% of success rate with PMMA/Dx, which is in the range of Dx/HA injection outcomes. They reported urinary retention in one patient improved after two weeks of urinary catheterization and mild pyelectasis in another patient which spontaneously resolved after three months.

## 2.9. Calcium Hydroxyapatite

Hydroxyapatite (HA) as mineral structure of bones and teeth has a broad range of applications in orthopedics and dentistry fields. Coaptite which is mostly consisted of HA has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women (68-70). Because of the radiopacity feature of calcium hydroxyapatite (CaHA), it is easy to assess the migration of this bulking agent. Mevorach et al. (71) conducted the first study of CaHA in the treatment of VUR. The third months' success rate for grades II, III, and IV VUR was 95, 55, and 42%, respectively, and no significant side effect was reported. Only one case with transient obstruction was reported which resolved spontaneously within 48 hours. Tanhaeivash et al. (72) applied CaHA in VUR patients with febrile UTI and success rates of 52.5, 70, and 87.5% were achieved after the first, second, and third injection, respectively. Febrile UTI was resolved in 85% of cases. Other studies reported cure rates of 40% - 90% following CA injection (73-75). One serious complication following CaHA migration was high-grade hydronephrosis with loss of parenchyma on the left kidney resulting in nephroureterectomy because of a non-functioning kidney (75).

### 2.10. Autologous Injectable Agents

Mammalian autologous chondrocytes injection as a bulking agent for endoscopic therapy of VUR was reportedly successful to some extent (76). Autologous chondrocytes can form viable cartilage and form a stable submucosal bulk of tissue (77). Three-months and one-year success rate of chondrocyte suspensions harvested from articular cartilage injected as a bulking agent were 79% (78), and 70% (79), respectively. It is shown that myoblast transplantation can also regenerate damaged and degenerated tissue. The efficiency of transurethral injections of small depots of autologous myoblasts and fibroblasts in the treatment of urinary incontinence were observed (78). However, Mitterberger et al. (80) reported that in a vast bulk of cells, most cells become necrotic and myoblasts cannot be used as a bulking agent.

#### 2.11. Tissue-Engineered Bulking Agents

Tissue engineering with the concept of cell transplantation, material science, and biomedical engineering aims to build biologic substances for the substitution of damaged or defunct tissues and organs with normal or nearnormal function. To induce regeneration, functional cells are injected into the nonfunctional area. Scaffolds are synthetic or natural matrices, which stimulate the natural ability of the body to self-repair and guides the appropriate orientation and direction of tissue growth (81).

Tissue-engineered bulking agents have been used in the genitourinary tract for the formation of new, functional urologic structures (82). It uses selective autologous cell transplantation to create new functional, non immunogenic tissue that can survive in vivo. Before implantation, donor tissue is harvested and dissociated into individual cells or small tissue segments. This technique expands cultured cells to great numbers before their reimplantation. So, their use in surgical reconstruction is possible and the surgeon can rebuild almost normal anatomy in a physiologically functional tissue. A living, injectable, tissue-engineered autologous bulking agent can be used in the perseverance of vesico-ureteral reflux. Kershen et al. (3) showed promising experimental results obtained with tissue-engineered autologous chondrocytes and muscle cells.

## 2.12. A New Tissue-Engineered Bulking Agent

Today, the natural extracellular matrix (ECM) is widely used in cellular and tissue engineering in various forms (15-17). Various types of materials or tissue structures based on ECM have been fabricated for regeneration purposes. According to recent studies (83), a significant reduction of complications has attracted attention to using these substances. In this regard, we have been looking for a natural scaffold for infants and children, with fewer complications. Out of various tissues that are processed for this purpose, we chose prepuce tissue. Prepuce tissue can be stored in the tissue bank, after birth and circumcision, under sterile conditions and it could undergo the process of acellularization, lyophilization and micronization to be injected, in the related pathologic condition.

## 2.13. Decellularization and Recellularization Process

We obtained the prepuce from circumcising normal boys in the pediatric urology operating room. First, samples were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 minutes and were placed in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) 2% solution for 20 minutes, followed by 1% triton X-100 solution for one hour. In the next phase, the tissues were immersed in a trypsin ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution at 37°C for 10 minutes. To ensure the efficacy of decellularization process, samples were then stained with hemotoxylin and eosin (H & E) for general observation, Masson's trichrome for ECM architecture evaluation, and DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) to ensure the nuclear residue removal.

To evaluate cell adhesion and cytotoxicity, the recellularization of decellularized tissue was done with adiposederived mesenchymal stem cells (ASCs). We isolated ASCs, as described in our previous study (84). Decellularized tissues were prepared (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm) and were sterilized with PBS buffer containing antibiotics. After washing with PBS for three times, the decellularized prepuce tissues were seeded with ASCs at the density of  $1 \times 10^4$  and were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 3 hours to allow cell adhesion on the surface of decellularized tissues. Afterward 1 mL of 10% Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium/fetal bovine serum (DMEM/FBS medium) was added to the specimens and was kept in an incubator. After 48 hours of incubation, the specimens were transferred to new plates and were stored in an incubator for two weeks. The culture medium was substituted every two days. Then, the samples were washed with PBS and immersed in 10% NBF for histopathological evaluations. We selected rabbits for this experimental study.

#### 2.14. In Vivo Study

For in vivo study, eight rabbits were randomly divided into two groups. In the first group 0.2 cc of lyophilized

and micronized prepuce and in the second group 0.2 cc of Urodex<sup>®</sup> (viscous gel consisting of a suspension of dextranomer-microparticles and cross-linked hyaluronic acid) was injected into the seromuscular wall of the bladder. Microscopic examination of acellular prepuce compared with normal tissue showed the success of this process with no evidence of cellular remnants in the acellular tissue. Immunohistochemistry staining with CD68 and LCA revealed a higher inflammation grade in Urodex<sup>®</sup> as compared with Prepuce. The results of this study showed that lyophilized and micronized prepuce could be an operative alternative to Urodex<sup>®</sup> as a natural and non-synthetic bulking agent in the treatment of children with vesicourethral reflux.

Prepuce tissue is biocompatible and durable. This tissue also is more structurally similar to the urinary tract system (85). Due to the importance of the application of this material in infants and children, the human phase was postponed to evaluation and achieving favorable results by histopathologic and immunologic evidence of animal phase.

We successfully conducted the process of decellularization of prepuce tissue. This newly engineered bulking agent could have different advantages such as easy process and culture, excellent biocompatibility and degradability, and rarely induces immunoreaction and inflammatory responses. Long-term sustainability after endoscopic injection makes this tissue-engineered bulking agent a potential treatment for VUR. Also, our previous study showed that the submucosal injection of tissue-engineered micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue is easily performed, well-tolerated, safe, and effective with appropriate long-term durability. We investigated the development of fibrosis and inflammation of the tissues by inflammatory markers such as leukocyte common antigen (LCA), CD31, CD34, and CD68. The results confirmed that the inflammation rate and the incidence of fibrosis in the injected site of the prepuce tissue in the bladder were similar to Deflux<sup>®</sup>. In addition, the CD31 and CD34 staining, which illustrate endothelial regeneration and angiogenesis development (86), did not show significant differences between our new bulking agent and Deflux® one month after injection. Immunofluorescence staining disclosed that the inflammation and fibrosis rate decreased by six months after injection in prepuce (leukocyte common antigen (LCA), CD31, CD34, and CD68 markers) (Figures 1-4). So the characteristics of tissue-engineered micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue are more similar to Deflux® as a gold standard bulking agent.

Even though Deflux<sup>®</sup> is a good candidate for bulking agents, since it is synthetic with chemical ingredient it is more considerable to find an agent with close characteristics to the body structure. Therefore, tissue-engineered lyophilized prepuce can be considered as an appropriate



Figure 1. Immunofluorescence staining of the LCA marker 6 months after injection of micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue into the bladder



Figure 2. Immunofluorescence staining of the CD68 marker 6 months after injection of micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue into the bladder

alternative to  $Deflux^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ . It has similar characteristics to  $Deflux^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$  and lower prices, in addition, it can also be used

in both genders due to being decellularized.



Figure 3. Immunofluorescence staining of the CD34 marker 6 months after injection of micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue into the bladder



Figure 4. Immunofluorescence staining of the CD31 marker 6 months after injection of micronized and lyophilized prepuce tissue into the bladder

# 3. Conclusions

Various foreign materials have been used for endoscopic suburethral injections, and their success rate has been different from 40 to > 90 percent. However, there are documented disadvantages related to these materials in some studies such as granuloma formation (10, 11), migration to distant organs (12), autoimmune reactions, and early recurrence of VUR(9). Dx/HA, currently the most common bulking agent used for VUR resolution, is an artificial material that helps to grow collagen and fibroblasts. Activation of the body's defense system and the formation of granuloma pseudocysts around the Dx/HA are complications after the injection of this agent. Therefore, despite the better outcomes in comparison to other agents, the use of this material might be associated with the risk of appearance of serious and persistent complications (14). Another problem with Dx/HA in our country is its high cost. In order to find an alternative bulking agent, we formed decellularized prepuce tissue as a biocompatible tissueengineered bulking agent and showed that it is comparable to Dx/HA regarding low immunoreaction and inflammatory responses with lower price. Although, it could be the criterion of an ideal bulking agent for the future clinical application, it is necessary to perform further studies on the histopathological changes associated with this bulking agent and also long-term follow-ups in children to assess potential complications.

#### Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Mohammad Javad Mohseni, Abdol-Mohammad Kajbafzadeh, Hossein Amirzargar, and Hamid Arshadi did intelectual contribution in the ideas. Hossein Amirzargar, Hamid Arshadi, Pooya Hekmati, revision, Layla Shojaie, Masoumeh Majidi Zolbin, Alireza Mohseni did writing the review.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of Interest.

**Ethical Approval:** This is Review Paper and no need to have ethical approve.

**Funding/Support:** Tehran University of Medical Sciences funded this research.

# References

- Kajbafzadeh AM, Habibi Z, Tajik P. Endoscopic subureteral urocol injection for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol. 2006;175(4):1480-3. discussion 1483-4. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(05)00675-0. [PubMed: 16516028].
- Kirsch AJ, Perez-Brayfield M, Smith EA, Scherz HC. The modified sting procedure to correct vesicoureteral reflux: improved results with submucosal implantation within the intramural ureter. *J Urol.* 2004;**171**(6 Pt 1):2413–6. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000127754.79866.7f. [PubMed: 15126864].
- Kershen RT, Fefer SD, Atala A. Tissue-engineered therapies for the treatment of urinary incontinence and vesicoureteral reflux. World J Urol. 2000;18(1):51-5. [PubMed: 10766044].
- Atala A, Casale AJ. Management of primary vesicoureteral reflux. Urological Infections. 1990;2:39.
- Chertin B, Kocherov S. Long-term results of endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteric reflux with different tissue-augmenting substances. *Journal of Pediatric Urology*. 2010;6(3):251–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2009.10.011.
- Kim JW, Oh MM. Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in pediatric patients. *Korean J Pediatr.* 2013;56(4):145–50. doi: 10.3345/kjp.2013.56.4.145. [PubMed: 23646052].
- Chertin B, Colhoun E, Velayudham M, Puri P. Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux: 11 to 17 years of followup. J Urol. 2002;167(3):1443-5. discussion 1445-6. [PubMed: 11832767].

- Smith DP, Kaplan WE, Oyasu R. Evaluation of polydimethylsiloxane as an alternative in the endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol. 1994;152(4):1221-4. [PubMed: 8072107].
- Lipsky H, Wurnschimmel E. Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteric reflux with collagen. Five years' experience. *Br J Urol*. 1993;**72**(6):965–8. [PubMed: 8306167].
- Aaronson IA, Rames RA, Greene WB, Walsh LG, Hasal UA, Garen PD. Endoscopic treatment of reflux: migration of Teflon to the lungs and brain. *Eur Urol.* 1993;23(3):394–9. [PubMed: 8508896].
- Malizia AA, Jr, Reiman HM, Myers RP, Sande JR, Barham SS, Benson RC, Jr, et al. Migration and granulomatous reaction after periurethral injection of polytef (Teflon). *Jama*. 1984;251(24):3277–81. [PubMed: 6374180].
- Beisang A3, Ersek RA. Mammalian response to subdermal implantation of textured microimplants. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 1992;16(1):83–90. [PubMed: 1734636].
- Biesbrock AR, Edgerton M. Evaluation of the clinical predictability of hydroxyapatite-coated endosseous dental implants: a review of the literature. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1995;10(6):712–20. [PubMed: 8530174].
- Zyczkowski M, Prokopowicz G, Zajęcki W, Paradysz A. Complications following endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteric reflux with Deflux(<sup>®</sup>) - two case studies. *Central European journal of urology*. 2012;**65**(4):230–1. doi: 10.5173/ceju.2012.04.art12. [PubMed: 24578970].
- Mendoza-Novelo B, Castellano LE, Delgado J, Quintero I, Vargas-Mancilla J, Vega-Gonzalez A. Decellularized Laminate Tissues and their Derivatives as Templates Intended for Abdominal Wall Regeneration. 164. USA: Elsevier; 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2015.11.048.
- Kim SS, Lim SH, Cho SW, Gwak SJ, Hong YS, Chang BC, et al. Tissue engineering of heart valves by recellularization of glutaraldehydefixed porcine valves using bone marrow-derived cells. *Exp Mol Med*. 2006;**38**(3):273–83. doi: 10.1038/emm.2006.33. [PubMed: 16819286].
- Remlinger NT, Czajka CA, Juhas ME, Vorp DA, Stolz DB, Badylak SF, et al. Hydrated xenogeneic decellularized tracheal matrix as a scaffold for tracheal reconstruction. *Biomaterials*. 2010;31(13):3520–6. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.01.067. [PubMed: 20144481].
- Stenberg A, Lackgren G. A new bioimplant for the endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux: experimental and short-term clinical results. J Urol. 1995;154(2 Pt 2):800–3. doi: 10.1097/00005392-199508000-00127. [PubMed: 7541869].
- Elder JS, Diaz M, Caldamone AA, Cendron M, Greenfield S, Hurwitz R, et al. Endoscopic therapy for vesicoureteral reflux: a meta-analysis. I. Reflux resolution and urinary tract infection. *J Urol*. 2006;**175**(2):716– 22. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(05)00210-7. [PubMed: 16407037].
- Taskinlar H, Avlan D, Bahadir GB, Delibas A, Nayci A. The outcomes of two different bulking agents (dextranomer hyaluronic acid copolymer and polyacrylate-polyalcohol copolymer) in the treatment of primary vesico-ureteral reflux. *Int Braz J Urol.* 2016;**42**(3):514–20. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.lbju.2015.0274. [PubMed: 27286115]. [PubMed Central: PMC4920569].
- Kajbafzadeh AM, Tourchi A. Usefulness of concomitant autologous blood and dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer injection to correct vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol. 2012;188(3):948–52. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2012.04.119. [PubMed: 22819104].
- Pichler R, Buttazzoni A, Bektic J, Schlenck B, Radmayr C, Rehder P, et al. Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux using dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer in children: results of postoperative follow-up with real-time 3D sonography. Urol Int. 2011;87(2):192–8. doi: 10.1159/000327609. [PubMed: 21865656].
- Tekin A, Yagmur I, Tiryaki S, Dokumcu Z, Ulman I, Avanoglu A. Changing bulking agent may require change in injection volume for endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux. *Int Braz J Urol.* 2018;44(6):1194–9. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.Ibju.2018.0033. [PubMed: 30325612]. [PubMed Central: PMC6442161].
- 24. Garcia-Aparicio L, Blazquez-Gomez E, Martin O, Perez-Bertolez S, Arboleda J, Soria A, et al. Randomized clinical trial between polyacrylatepolyalcohol copolymer (PPC) and dextranomer-hyaluronic acid copolymer (Dx/HA) as bulking agents for endoscopic treatment of

primary vesicoureteral reflux (VUR). *World J Urol*. 2018;**36**(10):1651–6. doi:10.1007/s00345-018-2314-7. [PubMed: 29725806].

- Moore K, Bolduc S. Prospective Study of Polydimethylsiloxane vs Dextranomer/Hyaluronic Acid Injection for Treatment of Vesicoureteral Reflux. *The Journal of Urology*. 2014;**192**(6):1794–800. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.05.116.
- Stredele RJ, Dietz HG, Stehr M. Long-term results of endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children: comparison of different bulking agents. J Pediatr Urol. 2013;9(1):71–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2011.12.004. [PubMed: 22212178].
- Dogan HS, Altan M, Citamak B, Bozaci AC, Koni A, Tekgul S. Factors affecting the success of endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux and comparison of two dextranomer based bulking agents: does bulking substance matter? *J Pediatr Urol.* 2015;**11**(2):900–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2014.12.009. [PubMed: 25791422].
- Vandersteen DR, Routh JC, Kirsch AJ, Scherz HC, Ritchey ML, Shapiro E, et al. Postoperative ureteral obstruction after subureteral injection of dextranomer/hyaluronic Acid copolymer. *J Urol*. 2006;**176**(4 Pt 1):1593– 5. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2006.06.101. [PubMed: 16952696].
- Mazzone L, Gobet R, Gonzalez R, Zweifel N, Weber DM. Ureteral obstruction following injection of dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer: an infrequent but relevant complication. *J Pediatr Urol.* 2012;8(5):514–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2011.10.002. [PubMed: 22023846].
- Puri P, Mohanan N, Menezes M, Colhoun E. Endoscopic treatment of moderate and high grade vesicoureteral reflux in infants using dextranomer/hyaluronic acid. J Urol. 2007;178(4 Pt 2):1714–6. discussion 1717. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.03.172. [PubMed: 17707016].
- Lackgren G, Wahlin N, Skoldenberg E, Stenberg A. Long-term followup of children treated with dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer for vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol. 2001;166(5):1887–92. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(05)65713-8. [PubMed: 11586255].
- 32. Christen S, Mendoza M, Gobet R, Bode P, Weber D. Late ureteral obstruction after injection of dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer. *Urology*. 2014;83(4):920–2. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2013.10.053. [PubMed: 24411212].
- Ben-Meir D, Bahouth Z, Halachmi S. Late-onset Uretero-vesical Junction Obstruction Following Endoscopic Injection of Bulking Material for the Treatment of Vesico-ureteral Reflux. Urology. 2017;101:60–2. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2016.12.018. [PubMed: 27993711].
- Arena S, Fazzari C, Implatini A, Torre S, Villari D, Arena F, et al. Dextranomer/hyaluronic Acid copolymer implant for vesicoureteral reflux: role of myofibroblast differentiation. *J Urol.* 2009;**181**(6):2695–701. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2009.02.059. [PubMed: 19375111].
- Solomon LZ, Birch BR, Cooper AJ, Davies CL, Holmes SA. Nonhomologous bioinjectable materials in urology: 'size matters'? *BJU Int.* 2000;85(6):641-5. [PubMed: 10759657].
- Merguerian PA, McLorie GA, Khoury AE, Thorner P, Churchill BM. Submucosal injection of polyvinyl alcohol foam in rabbit bladder. *J Urol.* 1990;144(2 Pt 2):531-3. discussion 545. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)39514-9. [PubMed: 2197438].
- Aubert D. Vesico-ureteric reflux treatment by implant of polydimethylsiloxane (Macroplastique (TM)): Review of the literature. *Prog Urol.* 2010;20(4):251–9. doi: 10.1016/j.purol.2009.10.017.
- Mohamed EA, Shehata FH, Abdelbaset EA, Abdelkhalek MA, Abdelatif AH, Galal HA. Longterm outcome of Macroplatique injection for treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children. *Afr J Paediatr Surg.* 2014;**11**(2):174–8. doi: 10.4103/0189-6725.132829. [PubMed: 24841022].
- Herz D, Hafez A, Bagli D, Capolicchio G, McLorie G, Khoury A. Efficacy of endoscopic subureteral polydimethylsiloxane injection for treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children: a North American clinical report. J Urol. 2001;166(5):1880–6. [PubMed: 11586254].
- Al-Hunayan AA, Kehinde EO, Elsalam MA, Al-Mukhtar RS. Outcome of endoscopic treatment for vesicoureteral reflux in children using polydimethylsiloxane. *J Urol.* 2002;**168**(5):2181-3. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000034704.56305.ef. [PubMed: 12394755].
- Bartoli F, Niglio F, Gentile O, Penza R, Aceto G, Leggio S. Endoscopic treatment with polydimethylsiloxane in children with dilating vesico-ureteric reflux. *BJU Int.* 2006;97(4):805–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-

410X.2006.06023.x. [PubMed: 16536778].

- Dodat H, Aubert D, Chavrier Y, Geiss S, Guys JM, Lacombe A, et al. [Vesicoureteric reflux in children: long-term results of endoscopic treatment by Macroplastique injection]. *Prog Urol.* 2004;**14**(3):380–4. French. [PubMed: 15373181].
- van Capelle JW, de Haan T, El Sayed W, Azmy A. The long-term outcome of the endoscopic subureteric implantation of polydimethylsiloxane for treating vesico-ureteric reflux in children: a retrospective analysis of the first 195 consecutive patients in two European centres. *BJU Int.* 2004;**94**(9):1348–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.05172.x. [PubMed: 15610119].
- Ferrer FA, McKenna PH, Hochman HI, Herndon A. Results of a vesicoureteral reflux practice pattern survey among American Academy of Pediatrics, Section on Pediatric Urology members. *J Urol.* 1998;**160**(3 Pt 2):1031–7. doi: 10.1097/00005392-199809020-00019. [PubMed: 9719272].
- Sharifiaghdas F, Tajalli F, Otukesh H, Shamsabadi RH. Endoscopic correction of primary VUR by using polyacrylate polyalcohol copolymer (Vantris) in young girls: 2-year follow-up. J Pediatr Urol. 2014;10(6):1032–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2014.02.016. [PubMed: 24953543].
- Chertin B, Abu Arafeh W, Kocherov S. Endoscopic correction of complex cases of vesicoureteral reflux utilizing Vantris as a new non-biodegradable tissue-augmenting substance. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2014;30(4):445–8. doi: 10.1007/s00383-014-3468-z. [PubMed: 24448911].
- Chertin B, Arafeh WA, Zeldin A, Ostrovsky IA, Kocherov S. Endoscopic correction of VUR using vantris as a new non-biodegradable tissue augmenting substance: three years of prospective follow-up. Urology. 2013;82(1):201-4. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2013.01.024. [PubMed: 23465155].
- Corbetta JP, Bortagaray JI, Weller S, Ruiz J, Burek C, Sager C, et al. The use of polyacrylate-polyalcohol copolymer hydrogel in the endoscopic treatment of primary vesicoureteral reflux in children. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2015;**50**(3):485–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.07.001. [PubMed: 25746713].
- Ormaechea M, Ruiz E, Denes E, Gimenez F, Denes FT, Moldes J, et al. New tissue bulking agent (polyacrylate polyalcohol) for treating vesicoureteral reflux: preliminary results in children. J Urol. 2010;183(2):714-7. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2009.10.047. [PubMed: 20022037].
- Kang SW, Jeon O, Kim BS. Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres as an injectable scaffold for cartilage tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng.* 2005;11(3-4):438–47. doi: 10.1089/ten.2005.11.438. [PubMed: 15869422].
- Newman K. Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres as biodegradable microcarriers for pluripotent stem cells. *Biomaterials*. 2004;25(26):5763-71. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9612(04)00070-5.
- Cohen S, Yoshioka T, Lucarelli M, Hwang LH, Langer R. Controlled Delivery Systems for Proteins Based on Poly(Lactic/Glycolic Acid) Microspheres. *Pharmaceutical Research*. 1991;8(6):713–20. doi: 10.1023/A:1015841715384.
- Oldham JB, Lu L, Zhu X, Porter BD, Hefferan TE, Larson DR, et al. Biological activity of rhBMP-2 released from PLGA microspheres. J Biomech Eng. 2000;122(3):289–92. doi: 10.1115/1.429662. [PubMed: 10923299].
- Astete CE, Sabliov CM. Synthesis and characterization of PLGA nanoparticles. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed. 2006;17(3):247–89. [PubMed: 16689015].
- 55. Cho ER, Kang SW, Park HJ, Cho YS, Lee YS, Kim JC, et al. Submucosal injection of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres in rabbit bladder as a potential treatment for urinary incontinence and vesicoureteral reflux: preliminary results. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed*. 2005;**16**(9):1109–20. [PubMed: 16231602].
- Kang S, Cho ER, Kim BS. PLGA microspheres in hyaluronic acid gel as a potential bulking agent for urologic and dermatologic injection therapies. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2005;15(3):510–8.
- 57. Christensen LH, Nielsen JB, Mouritsen L, Sorensen M, Lose G. Tissue integration of polyacrylamide hydrogel: an experimental study of periurethral, perivesical, and mammary gland tissue in the pig. *Der*-

matol Surg. 2008;**34 Suppl 1**:S68-77. discussion S77. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4725.2008.34246.x. [PubMed: 18547185].

- Lose G, Sorensen HC, Axelsen SM, Falconer C, Lobodasch K, Safwat T. An open multicenter study of polyacrylamide hydrogel (Bulkamid(R)) for female stress and mixed urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2010;**21**(12):1471–7. doi: 10.1007/s00192-010-1214-1. [PubMed: 20645077]. [PubMed Central: PMC2977052].
- Toozs-Hobson P, Al-Singary W, Fynes M, Tegerstedt G, Lose G. Two-year follow-up of an open-label multicenter study of polyacrylamide hydrogel (Bulkamid®) for female stress and stress-predominant mixed incontinence. *International urogynecology journal*. 2012;23(10):1373–8. doi: 10.1007/s00192-012-1761-8. [PubMed: 22531952].
- Ramsay S, Blais AS, Morin F, Moore K, Cloutier J, Bolduc S. Polyacrylamide Hydrogel as a Bulking Agent for the Endoscopic Treatment of Vesicoureteral Reflux: Long-Term Results and Safety. J Urol. 2017;197(3 Pt 2):963–7. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2016.08.093. [PubMed: 27575606].
- Cloutier J, Blais AS, Moore K, Bolduc S. Prospective study using a new bulking agent for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux: polyacrylamide hydrogel. J Urol. 2013;190(3):1034-7. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.03.071. [PubMed: 23538241].
- Reunanen M. Correction of vesicoureteral reflux in children by endoscopic collagen injection: a prospective study. J Urol. 1995;154(6):2156– 8. [PubMed: 7500481].
- Haferkamp A, Contractor H, Mohring K, Staehler G, Dorsam J. Failure of subureteral bovine collagen injection for the endoscopic treatment of primary vesicoureteral reflux in long-term followup. Urology. 2000;55(5):759–63. doi: 10.1016/s0090-4295(00)00494-5. [PubMed: 10792096].
- Aragona F, D'Urso L, Scremin E, Salmaso R, Glazel GP. Polytetrafluoroethylene giant granuloma and adenopathy: long-term complications following subureteral polytetrafluoroethylene injection for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children. *J Urol.* 1997;**158**(4):1539– 42. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(01)64275-7. [PubMed: 9302169].
- Chertin B, De Caluwe D, Puri P. Endoscopic treatment of primary grades IV and V vesicoureteral reflux in children with subureteral injection of polytetrafluoroethylene. *J Urol.* 2003;**169**(5):1847– 9. discussion 1849. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000062300.71507.3a. [PubMed: 12686860].
- Kim SW, Lee YS, Im YJ, Han SW. New bulking agent for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux: Polymethylmethacrylate/dextranomer. *Investigative and clinical urology*. 2018;**59**(3):206–12. doi: 10.4111/icu.2018.59.3.206. [PubMed: 29744479].
- Woo Jang M, Kab Jin B, Hun Lee S, Hong Park J, Ryu JM, Yun SP, et al. Effect of PMMA and Cross-Linked Dextran Mixture on Bio-Safety and Volume in Rat. *Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*. 2010;7(1):57–63.
- Denissen HW, de Groot K. Immediate dental root implants from synthetic dense calcium hydroxylapatite. J Prosthet Dent. 1979;42(5):551–6. doi: 10.1016/0022-3913(79)90253-1. [PubMed: 290806].
- Uchida A, Nade SM, McCartney ER, Ching W. The use of ceramics for bone replacement. A comparative study of three different porous ceramics. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1984;66(2):269–75. [PubMed: 6323483].
- Bano F, Barrington JW, Dyer R. Comparison between porcine dermal implant (Permacol) and silicone injection (Macroplastique) for urodynamic stress incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2005;**16**(2):147-50. discussion 150. doi: 10.1007/s00192-004-1216y. [PubMed: 15378234].
- Mevorach RA, Hulbert WC, Rabinowitz R, Kennedy WA, Kogan BA, Kryger JV, et al. Results of a 2-year multicenter trial of endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux with synthetic calcium hydroxyapatite. J Urol. 2006;175(1):288–91. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(05)00013-3.

[PubMed: 16406928].

- Tanhaeivash R, Kajbafzadeh AM, Zeinoddini A, Khalili N, Vahidi Rad M, Heidari R. Combination of calcium hydroxyapatite and autologous blood for endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2014;46(7):1263–8. eng. doi: 10.1007/s11255-014-0659-4. [PubMed: 24554218].
- Ngo TC, Wong IY, Kennedy WA, 2nd. A comparison of calcium hydroxyapatite and dextranomer/hyaluronic Acid for the endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux. *Advances in urology*. 2013;2013:263602. doi: 10.1155/2013/263602. [PubMed: 24235969].
- Dirim A, Celik H, Hasirci E, Aygun C, Turunc T, Ozkardes H, et al. Renal transplant outcome after endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux using the subureteric injection of calcium hydroxyapatite. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2010;8(1):45–8. [PubMed: 20199370].
- Tarcan T, Tinay I, Temiz Y, Simsek F. Long-term results of endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux with the sub-ureteric injection of calcium hydroxyapatite. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2007;**39**(4):1011–4. doi: 10.1007/s11255-007-9222-x. [PubMed: 17602303].
- Atala A, Cima LG, Kim W, Paige KT, Vacanti JP, Retik AB, et al. Injectable alginate seeded with chondrocytes as a potential treatment for vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol. 1993;150(2 Pt 2):745–7. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)35603-3. [PubMed: 8326638].
- Guo JF, Jourdian GW, MacCallum DK. Culture and growth characteristics of chondrocytes encapsulated in alginate beads. *Connect Tissue Res.* 1989;19(2-4):277–97. doi: 10.3109/03008208909043901. [PubMed: 2805684].
- Diamond DA, Caldamone AA. Endoscopic correction of vesicoureteral reflux in children using autologous chondrocytes: preliminary results. J Urol. 1999;162(3 Pt 2):1185–8. doi: 10.1097/00005392-199909000-00086. [PubMed: 10458462].
- Caldamone AA, Diamond DA. Long-term results of the endoscopic correction of vesicoureteral reflux in children using autologous chondrocytes. *J Urol.* 2001;**165**(6 Pt 2):2224–7. doi: 10.1097/00005392-200106001-00002. [PubMed: 11371949].
- Mitterberger M, Marksteiner R, Schwaiger W, Klima G, Schwentner C, Muller T, et al. Can autologous myoblasts be used as a potential bulking agent? *BJU Int.* 2008;**102**(11):1731–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.07892.x. [PubMed: 18778357].
- Atala A. Regenerative medicine and tissue engineering in urology. Urol Clin North Am. 2009;36(2):199–209. viii-ix. doi: 10.1016/j.ucl.2009.02.009. [PubMed: 19406321].
- Atala A. Tissue engineering in urologic surgery. Urol Clin North Am. 1998;25(1):39–50. [PubMed: 9529535].
- Xing Q, Qian Z, Jia W, Ghosh A, Tahtinen M, Zhao F. Natural Extracellular Matrix for Cellular and Tissue Biomanufacturing. ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering. 2017;3(8):1462–76. doi: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00235.
- Moradi L, Vasei M, Dehghan MM, Majidi M, Farzad Mohajeri S, Bonakdar S. Regeneration of meniscus tissue using adipose mesenchymal stem cells-chondrocytes co-culture on a hybrid scaffold: In vivo study. *Biomaterials*. 2017;**126**:18–30. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.02.022. [PubMed: 28242519].
- Fu Q, Deng CL, Liu W, Cao YL. Urethral replacement using epidermal cell-seeded tubular acellular bladder collagen matrix. *BJU Int.* 2007;99(5):1162–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06691.x. [PubMed: 17244284].
- Rehg JE, Bush D, Ward JM. The Utility of Immunohistochemistry for the Identification of Hematopoietic and Lymphoid Cells in Normal Tissues and Interpretation of Proliferative and Inflammatory Lesions of Mice and Rats. *Toxicologic Pathology*. 2012;40(2):345-74. doi: 10.1177/0192623311430695. [PubMed: 22434870].