



Early Detection of Anterior Segment Visual Complications in Pediatrics with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

Mohammad Hossein Validad ¹, Maryam Nakhaee Moghadam ^{2,3}, Ilia Mirzaei ⁴, Abolfazl Parsi-Moud ^{5,*}, Mahdi Kankashian ³, Niloufar Hosseini Razavi ⁶

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

² Children and Adolescent Health Research Center, Resistant Tuberculosis Institute, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Zahedan, Iran

³ Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

⁴ Cellular and Molecular Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵ Student Research Committee, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

⁶ Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran. Email: aprs1015@yahoo.com

Received 2023 November 13; **Revised** 2024 March 25; **Accepted** 2024 May 12.

Abstract

Background: Evaluation of the Prevalence and Types of Ocular Complications in Children and Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM)

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 76 previously diagnosed diabetic children were selected. The patients' T1DM was monitored using glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), and an ophthalmologist conducted anterior corneal examinations. Visual acuity levels were assessed by an optometrist. Pupillary dilation was achieved using 1% Tropicamide ophthalmic drops prior to the slit-lamp examination of the anterior segment of both eyes.

Results: With an average age of 12.04 ± 3.7 years and average HbA1C levels of $10.24 \pm 2.47\%$, the most observed visual complication was posterior capsule opacification in 19 patients (24.9%). This was followed by refractive errors (10.5%), amblyopia (2.7%), pseudophakia, and cataract (1.4% for each). A total of 27 patients (35.5%) exhibited visual complications. Age and the duration of diabetes were significantly associated with the overall presence of visual complications and posterior capsular opacification, but not significantly related to other types of ocular complications.

Conclusions: Given the prevalence and distribution of visual complications in T1DM, particularly posterior capsule opacification, we suggest a routine screening examination of the anterior segment of the eye in these patients.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Type I, Diabetic Complications, Pediatrics

1. Background

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by insulin deficiency and hyperglycemia (1). In 2021, around 8.4 million people worldwide had T1DM, and it is estimated that this number will reach 17.4 million by 2040, doubling in the next 20 years (2). Diabetes mellitus (DM) causes microvascular damage in multiple organs (3), which can lead to visual loss in adults. Other manifestations of DM include cataracts, refractive errors, macular edema, retinopathy, optic nerve damage, and ocular muscle

dysfunction (4-6). Patients with uncontrolled diabetes are also at a higher risk of developing ocular complications such as dry eye syndrome, keratopathy, increased epithelial growth after Laser-Assisted In-Situ Keratomileusis surgery (LASIK), contact lens complications, iris neovascularization, vitreous depigmentation, and bleeding (7, 8).

Visual system impairment from childhood can severely diminish the quality of life while imposing high economic and emotional costs on society (9). The incidence and causes of ocular complications of T1DM in

adults have been well described, but information is lacking on both the prevalence and types of complications among children and adolescents (10-12).

2. Objectives

The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of ocular complications (especially those affecting the anterior segment) in children and adolescents with T1DM and to assess the relationship of these complications with age, gender, duration of T1DM, and hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c).

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design

This cross-sectional study was conducted through the collaboration between the Pediatrics Department of Ali-Ibn-Abitaleb Hospital, Ali Asghar Pediatric Hospital, and Al-Zahra Ophthalmology Hospital, all affiliated with Zahedan University of Medical Sciences in Zahedan, Iran. The patient selection was done by convenient sampling, and screening was done by a pediatrics endocrinologist, who then referred the patients to a corneal and anterior segment ophthalmologist for further examination.

The inclusion criteria were: (1) Katsarou, 2017 #1) a type 1 diabetes mellitus diagnosis based on both the World Health Organization (WHO) and International Society for Pediatrics and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) criteria (13, 14); (2) age under 18 years; and (3) consent and cooperation in all the examinations related to this study. The exclusion criteria included a history of ocular disease, surgery, or trauma. Based on the results of Geloneck et al. (15), the sample size was determined to be 76 patients (P: 19.5%, α : 90%, Z-Score: 1.65, β : 7.5%).

3.2. Data Collection

Patient information, including date of birth, gender, duration of T1DM, past medical history, and medication history (insulin regimens such as Lantus® -Lantus Solostar Injection, Sanofioaventis, Germany- Aspart® -Novorapid Flexpen, Novo Nordisk, Brazil-, Levemir® -Levemir injection, Novonordisk, Denmark-, NPH insulin -Lansulin N Vial, Exir Pharmaceutical Co., Iran- and Regular insulin-Lansulin R Vial, Exir Pharmaceutical Co., Iran-), was recorded on Form A. Baseline glycated

hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) were measured via high-performance liquid chromatography.

Subsequently, patients were examined by a corneal specialist at Al-Zahra Zahedan Ophthalmology hospital, Zahedan, Iran. Visual acuity (VA) was tested using a Snellen chart (MEDIZS LC13, MEDIZS, South Korea). Tropicamide ophthalmic drops (MYDRAX® 1%, Sina Darou, Iran) were applied to both eyes every 5 minutes for three consecutive times. Optometry examinations were performed 20 minutes after pupillary dilation. The eyelid, conjunctiva, cornea, anterior chamber, iris, pupil, and lens of each eye were examined using a slit-lamp biomicroscope (HAAG-STREIT BM900, HAAG-STREIT, Switzerland), and the obtained data were recorded on Form B by the ophthalmologist. For higher accuracy, the ophthalmologist was blinded to the information recorded on Form A.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

We used descriptive statistics to analyze the demographic characteristics of the study. Confounding adjustments were performed using multivariate linear regression to assess whether demographic or clinical factors directly influenced the results. The distributions of quantitative data were assessed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. Continuous and categorical variables were analyzed using the *t*-test and chi-squared test, respectively. Confidence intervals were computed at the P-value of 0.05 level, and analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 26 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA).

3.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was ethically approved by the Ethics Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences (Approval ID: [IR.ZAUMS.REC.1401.097](#)). Informed consent was obtained from the children and their parents.

4. Results

A total of 76 T1DM children were selected and evaluated for ocular complications. The average age was 12.04 ± 3.70 years (range: 4 - 20 years), with 39 (51.3%) boys and 37 (48.7%) girls. The duration of T1DM ranged from 1 to 11 years, with an average of 4.69 ± 2.49 years. Fifty-nine patients (77.6%) had no other remarkable

medical histories, but the frequencies of other diseases were as follows: 5 patients with hypothyroidism (6.5%), 5 patients with celiac disease (6.5%), and 2 with concomitant celiac and liver diseases (2.6%). Other conditions found included liver disorders, PCOS (polycystic ovarian syndrome), growth disorders, polyneuropathy, and the concomitant occurrence of celiac disease with hypothyroidism, each occurring in 1 patient (1.3%). The average HbA1c levels were $10.24 \pm 2.47\%$ (range: 4.5 - 17). The insulin regimens were as follows: Lantus+Aspart (60 participants, 79%), Levemir+Aspart (11 participants, 14.5%), and NPH+regular (5 participants, 6.5%). Regarding the stage of puberty, 35 patients (46%) were in the pre-pubertal stage, 32 patients (42.2%) were in the pubertal stage, and there were no patients in the post-pubertal stage (puberty stage was unknown in 9 patients) (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic Data and Paraclinical Characteristics of the Patients Characteristics Results

Variables	Values
Age	12.04 ± 3.70
Gender (male, female)	39, 37 (51.3, 48.7)
Duration of T1DM	4.69 ± 2.49
Insulin regimen: Lantus+Aspart, Levemir+Aspart, NPH+Regular, Missed	60, 11, 3, 2 (79, 14.5, 3.9, 2.6)
Puberty stage: Pre-pubertal, pubertal, post-pubertal, missed	35, 32, 0, 9 (46, 42.2, 0, 11.8)
HbA1c	10.24 ± 2.47
Past medical history: Celiac disease, hypothyroidism, hepatic disease, PCOS, growth disorder	8, 6, 3, 1, 1 (10.5, 7.8, 3.9, 1.3, 1.3)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean \pm SD.

Table 2 shows the visual acuity status (evaluated by Snellen chart) in T1DM patients. We found that approximately 85% of our diabetic patients had no refractive errors.

Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Visual Acuity (VA) in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients

VA	OD	OS
Normal vision [VA 6/12 or better (snellen equivalent)]	63 (82.9)	60 (78.9)
Mild visual impairment (VA worse than 6/12 to 6/18 (snellen equivalent))	5 (6.6)	7 (9.2)
Moderate visual impairment (VA worse than 6/18 to 6/60 (Snellen Equivalent))	0 (0)	1 (1.4)
Severe visual impairment (VA worse than 6/60 to 3/60 (Snellen Equivalent))	1 (1.4)	1 (1.4)
Blindness (VA worse than 3/60 (Snellen Equivalent))	0 (0)	0 (0)
Not cooperative	7 (9.2)	7 (9.2)
All patients	76 (100)	76 (100)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

The total prevalence of all visual complications in T1DM was 27 patients (35.5%). The most common visual complication was posterior capsular opacification, affecting 19 patients (24.9%). This was further categorized into multiple dot-shaped opacities in 12 patients (15.7%) and linear haziness opacities in 7 patients (9.2%). Notably, 1 patient (1.4%) with severe visual impairment (VA: 1.10) was diagnosed with a clinically significant cataract. Additionally, the prevalence of amblyopia and pseudophakia were 2.7% and 1.4%, respectively (Table 3).

Table 3. The Results of Ophthalmologist Examination in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients

Visual Complication	No. (%)
Clinically non-significant opacity	
Multiple dot shape opacity	12 (15.7)
Linear haziness opacity	7 (9.2)
(Clinically significant opacity) cataract	1 (1.4)
Refractive errors	8 (10.5)
Macular edema	0 (0)
Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD)	0 (0)
Amblyopia	2 (2.7)
Neuromuscular dysfunction	0 (0)
Pseudophakia	1 (1.4)
Diabetic retinopathy (DR)	0 (0)
Patients with visual complication	27 (35.5)

Our results indicate that the incidence of posterior capsule opacification and overall visual complications were significantly related to age ($P = 0.022$ and $P = 0.03$, respectively) (Table 4).

Table 4. Frequency of Vision Complications in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients Based on age

Visual Complication	Age ^a		P-Value ^b
	Yes	No	
Posterior capsular opacification	15.1 ± 2.2	10.8 ± 3.7	0.022
Cataract	11	12.1 ± 3.7	0.789
Amblyopia	9.8 ± 3.2	12.1 ± 3.7	0.293
Pseudophakia	19	11.9 ± 3.6	0.974
Patients with visual complication	13.2 ± 3.4	11.4 ± 3.5	0.032

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

^b Independent samples t-test.

There was no significant relationship between visual complications and gender ($P > 0.05$) (Table 5).

Table 5. Frequency of Vision Complications in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients Based on Gender^a

Visual Complication	Gender		P-Value
	Male	Female	
Posterior capsular opacification	7 (17.9)	12 (32.4)	0.145 ^b
Cataract	1 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.487 ^c
Amblyopia	1 (2.5)	1 (2.7)	1.000 ^c
Pseudophakia	0 (0)	1 (2.7)	0.487 ^c
Patients with visual complication	9 (23.1)	14 (40.5)	0.161 ^b

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b Chi-square test.^c Fisher's exact test.

In addition, posterior capsule opacification was significantly associated with the duration of T1DM ($P < 0.001$) (Table 6).

Table 6. Frequency of Vision Complications in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients Based on Duration on T1DM

Visual Complication	Duration on T1DM (Median, 95% CI)		P-Value ^a
	Yes	No	
Posterior capsular opacification	8 (6.7 - 9.9)	4 (3.8 - 4.9)	< 0.001
Cataract	9	4 (3.8 - 4.9)	0.158
Amblyopia	3 (1.5 - 8.8)	4.7 (4.1 - 5.3)	0.289
Pseudophakia	4	4 (4.1 - 4.6)	0.974
Patients with visual complication	5 (4.2 - 6.3)	4 (3.7 - 5.1)	0.111

^a Mann-Whitney test.

There was no significant correlation between visual complications and HbA1c levels; however, a single evaluation of HbA1c is not a reliable test ($P > 0.05$) (Table 7).

Table 7. Frequency of Vision Complications in the Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) Patients Based on HbA1c

Visual Complication	HbA1c (Median, 95% CI)		P-Value ^a
	Yes	No	
Posterior capsular opacification	10.1 (8.1 - 13.2)	9.9 (9.6 - 10.8)	0.615
Cataract	13.9	9.9 (9.7 - 10.8)	0.684
Amblyopia	8.9 (6.4 - 12.2)	9.9 (9.7 - 10.9)	0.412
Pseudophakia	9.4	9.9 (9.7 - 10.8)	0.737
Patients with visual complication	9.9 (9.6 - 11)	9.6 (9.1 - 11.2)	0.46

^a Mann-Whitney test.

5. Discussion

Diabetes mellitus (DM) has several ocular complications involving both the anterior and posterior segments of the eye, including cataracts, corneal pathologies, and retinopathies. Epidemiological studies have found that early detection of diabetic retinopathy (DR) is key to preventing vision loss; therefore, routine screening for DR is necessary (16). Screening guidelines state that the initial eye exam in T1DM patients should occur 5 years after diagnosis and then annually if no DR is found (17, 18). However, our study showed that ocular complications in T1DM are not exclusive to DR, and other complications also require early detection.

Šimunović et al. investigated cataracts as either the first sign of T1DM or one that occurs within 6 months of T1DM diagnosis. Furthermore, the prevalence of early diabetic cataracts varies between 0.7 - 3.4% in children and adolescents with T1DM (10). Essuman et al. reported the prevalence of anterior segment complications such as blepharitis, tear film instability, and cataracts in T1DM to be 79.3%, 65.5%, and 72%, respectively (19). Lu et al. also stated that the risk of cataract in T1DM patients compared to healthy individuals was about 5.8 times higher (20). Therefore, similar to the results of our study, signs of new onset cataracts, like lens opacity, are considered serious early complications of T1DM.

The mechanism of T1DM ocular complications is not well understood; however, prolonged hyperglycemia with ketoacidosis and subsequent dehydration, genetics, nutritional habits, and the environment play important roles in the development of early diabetic cataracts (21-23). The pathophysiology of T1DM involves osmotic damage, oxidative stress, and activation of other metabolic pathways (21-23). Additionally, the prevalence of lens opacity is higher in T1DM (10), which may be related to environmental factors such as higher temperatures and exposure to sunlight, as well as dehydration. Therefore, delayed diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents in the environmental conditions of southeastern Iran (and other similar climates) may cause considerable lens damage.

The health implications of addressing the risk of cataracts in T1DM are significant. Delayed diagnosis can lead to more complications, and anterior segment complications seem more prevalent in youth. We recommend regular ophthalmology visits to determine the occurrence of lens opacification. Additionally,

children under 8 years old, who have not yet achieved visual maturity, need to be screened to avoid amblyopia (24).

We found no DR, which may be due to the fact that most of our patients were in the pre-pubertal and pubertal stages. However, in a large cohort of 370 children with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus, no cases of DR were reported either (15). Thus, detecting DR in the young population is a rare occurrence. Ocular changes associated with T1DM were those related to the thickness of retinal nerve fiber layer, ganglion cell layer, and choroidal stroma occurs in pediatric patients with T1DM (25-27). Wang et al. compared the incidence and risk factors for developing diabetic retinopathy in the youths with type 1 or type 2 diabetes (T2DM) showing that the prevalence of DR in T1DM and T2DM patients were 20.1% and 7.2%, respectively. In addition, T1DM patients developed DR faster than those with T2DM (28).

Our results showed that the prevalence of visual impairment (VI) among diabetic patients was approximately 10% and VI was mild in most cases. Klein et al. studied the 25-year incidence of VI in T1DM patients before the age of 30. They showed that the incidence of VI in T1DM was 13% and increased risk was associated with severe retinopathy, presence of cataracts, and elevated glycosylated hemoglobin levels. They concluded that VI might be reduced by better glycemic and blood pressure control (29). Yet despite our lower mean of age (11.9 versus 24.9 years old), the occurrence of refractive errors in our population were similar, which directs us towards an existing correlation. Shadhan et al. studied the ocular complications in 150 children and adolescents with T1DM. Their results indicate that 16% of patients had ocular complication (almost two-thirds of the cases were cataract and the rest were DR). In addition, all of those who had developed ocular complications were above 10 years old, occurring significantly more in those with a higher duration of T1DM. Among 24 patients with eye complication, 18 patients (75%) had limited joint mobility, 17 patients (70.8%) had HbA1c level more than 10%, 16 patients (66.7%) had short stature, 8 patients had macro-albuminuria (33.3%), and 7 patients (29.1%) had celiac disease (CeD) (30). Interestingly, the simultaneous presence of other autoimmune diseases (such as rheumatic disease, CeD, and hypothyroidism) with T1DM brings attention to their mutual autoimmune origin. Roher et al. did a multicenter longitudinal analysis of

56,514 patients from the German-Austrian study in which they found that the risk of retinopathy and nephropathy were higher in patients with diabetes and CeD compared to those without CeD (31). Therefore, based on the prevalence of CeD and T1DM in our study, as well as the high prevalence of CeD in the southeastern Iran (32), screening for ocular complications in this population is necessary.

The limited population enrolled in the study as well as the lack of a control group limited the generalizability of our results; however, the similar statistical reports to other studies might mitigate these limitations and let the results speak for themselves. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the study design -while limiting follow-ups- establishes a pre-existing correlation between early ocular complications and T1DM in pediatrics.

5.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, with a rate of 35.5% ocular complications in T1DM patients, the most prevalent of which is posterior capsule opacification, we suggest routine screening of the anterior segment of the eye for T1DM pediatric patients prior to the presentation of blurry vision or visual acuity decline.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ali-Ebn-Abitaleb, Ali Asghar, and Al-Zahra Hospitals' colleagues and our patients for their support.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Conception and design: M.N.M., M.H.V., analysis and interpretation: I.M., A.P.M., data collection: M.N.M., M. K., supervision: M.N.M., M.H.V., writing-original draft: A.P.M., I.M., N.H.R., Writing-review & editing: M.N.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflicting interests.

Data Availability: The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval: This study adhered to the tenants of the Declaration of Helsinki and was ethically approved

by the Ethics Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences (Approval ID: [IR.ZAUMS.REC.1401.097](#))

Funding/Support: This research is a M.D. thesis supported by the Zahedan University of Medical Sciences (Thesis Number: 10436).

Informed Consent: Written informed consent, as appropriate, were obtained from each participant or parent/guardian.

References

- Katsarou A, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, Rawshani A, Dabelea D, Bonifacio E, Anderson BJ, et al. Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017;**3**(1). [PubMed ID: [28358037](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.16>.
- Mahase E. Type 1 diabetes: Global prevalence is set to double by 2040, study estimates. *British Med J*. 2022;**375**:e02289. [PubMed ID: [36137610](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e02289>.
- Craig ME, Jefferies C, Dabelea D, Balde N, Seth A, Donaghue KC. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2014. Definition, epidemiology, and classification of diabetes in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2014;**15** Suppl 20:4-17. [PubMed ID: [25182305](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12186>.
- Akil H, Buluş AD, Andiran N, Alp MN. Ocular manifestations of Type 1 diabetes mellitus in pediatric population. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2016;**64**(9):654-8. [PubMed ID: [02223307-201664090-00008](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0301-4738.194336>.
- Centers for Disease Control Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet: national estimates and general information on diabetes and prediabetes in the United States, 2011. *Sunshine Prosthetics & Orthotics*. 2011;**201**(1):2568-9.
- Sayin N, Kara N, Pekel G. Ocular complications of diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes*. 2015;**6**(1):92. [PubMed ID: [25685281](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.4239/wjdv6.1i.92>.
- Skarbez K, Priestley Y, Hoepf M, Koevary SB. Comprehensive review of the effects of diabetes on ocular health. *Expert Rev Ophthalmol*. 2014;**5**(4):557-77. [PubMed ID: [21760834](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1586/eop.10.44>.
- Zakeri A, Ojaghi H, Iranparvar Alamdari M, Amani F, Shooa M, Zahirian_Moghadam T. Ocular Complications in Type 1 Diabetes. *Int J Pharm Res*. 2020;**13**(1). <https://doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2021.13.01.182>.
- Lupón M, Armayones M, Cardona G. Quality of life among parents of children with visual impairment: A literature review. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2018;**83**:120-31. [PubMed ID: [30195210](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2018.08.013>.
- Šimunović M, Paradžik M, Škrabić R, Unić I, Bućan K, Škrabić V. Cataract as Early Ocular Complication in Children and Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2018;**2018**:1-6. [PubMed ID: [29755521](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6763586>.
- De Clerck EE, Schouten JS, Berendschot TT, Kessels AG, Nuijts RM, Beckers HJ, et al. New ophthalmologic imaging techniques for detection and monitoring of neurodegenerative changes in diabetes: a systematic review. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2015;**3**(8):653-63. [PubMed ID: [26184671](#)]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(15\)00136-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(15)00136-9).
- Wipf JE, Paauw DS. Ophthalmologic Emergencies in the Patient with Diabetes. *endocrinol metab clin north am*. 2000;**29**(4):813-29. [PubMed ID: [11149164](#)]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-8529\(05\)70166-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-8529(05)70166-3).
- Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, Di Renzo GC, et al. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative on gestational diabetes mellitus: A pragmatic guide for diagnosis, management, and care#. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2015;**131**: S173. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7292\(15\)30007-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7292(15)30007-2).
- Mayer-Davis EJ, Kahkoska AR, Jefferies C, Dabelea D, Balde N, Gong CX, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Definition, epidemiology, and classification of diabetes in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2018;**19**:7-19. [PubMed ID: [30226024](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12773>.
- Geloneck MM, Forbes BJ, Shaffer J, Ying GS, Binenbaum G. Ocular Complications in Children with Diabetes Mellitus. *Ophthalmol*. 2015;**122**(12):2457-64. [PubMed ID: [26341461](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.07.010>.
- Wong TY, Sun J, Kawasaki R, Ruamviboonsuk P, Gupta N, Lansingh VC, et al. Guidelines on Diabetic Eye Care. *Ophthalmol*. 2018;**125**(10):1608-22. [PubMed ID: [29776671](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.04.007>.
- Gale MJ, Scruggs BA, Flaxel CJ. Diabetic eye disease: A review of screening and management recommendations. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2021;**49**(2):128-45. [PubMed ID: [33438363](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ceo.13894>.
- Morya AK, Ramesh PV, Kaur K, Gurnani B, Heda A, Bhatia K, et al. Diabetes more than retinopathy, it's effect on the anterior segment of eye. *World J Clin Cases*. 2023;**11**(16):3736-49. [PubMed ID: [37383113](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i16.3736>.
- Essuman VA, Tagoe NN, Essuman A, Abaidoo B, Akpalu J, Sackey HA, et al. A Cross-Sectional Study of Ocular Changes in Children and Adolescents with Diabetes Mellitus in Selected Health Facilities in Ghana. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;**19**(9):5295. [PubMed ID: [35564690](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095295>.
- Lu WL, Shen PC, Lee CH, Su YT, Chen LM. High Risk of Early Cataracts in Young Type 1 Diabetes Group: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2020;**2020**:1-8. [PubMed ID: [33133186](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8160256>.
- Wilson ME, Levin AV, Trivedi RH, Kruger SJ, Elliott LA, Ainsworth JR, et al. Cataract associated with type-1 diabetes mellitus in the pediatric population. *J AAPOS*. 2007;**11**(2):162-5. [PubMed ID: [17306995](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaapos.2005.10.005>.
- Iafusco D, Prisco F, Romano MR, Dell'Omo R, Libondi T, Costagliola C. Acute juvenile cataract in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetic patients: a description of six cases. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2011;**12**(7):642-8. [PubMed ID: [21477105](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5448.2010.00749.x>.
- Goturu A, Jain N, Lewis I. Bilateral cataracts and insulin oedema in a child with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *BMJ Case Reports*. 2013;bcr2012008235. [PubMed ID: [23378550](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2012-008235>.
- Allen LE. Childhood cataract. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2020;**30**(1):28-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paed.2019.10.005>.
- Yu Q, Xiao Y, Lin Q, Xiang Z, Cui L, Chen Z, et al. Two-year longitudinal study on changes in thickness of the retinal nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell layer in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus without visual impairment or diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Eye Res*. 2022;**47**(8):1218-25. [PubMed ID: [35642554](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2022.2079142>.

26. Li T, Jia Y, Wang S, Xu Y, Yin Y, Wang A, et al. Change in peripapillary and macular choroidal thickness change in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus without visual impairment or diabetic retinopathy. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2019;**98**(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14225>.
27. van Dijk HW, Verbraak FD, Stehouwer M, Kok PH, Garvin MK, Sonka M, et al. Association of visual function and ganglion cell layer thickness in patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 and no or minimal diabetic retinopathy. *Vis Res.* 2011;**51**(2):224-8. [PubMed ID: 20801146]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2010.08.024>.
28. Wang SY, Andrews CA, Herman WH, Gardner TW, Stein JD. Incidence and Risk Factors for Developing Diabetic Retinopathy among Youths with Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes throughout the United States. *Ophthalmol.* 2017;**124**(4):424-30. [PubMed ID: 27914837]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.10.031>.
29. Klein R, Lee KE, Gangnon RE, Klein BE. The 25-Year Incidence of Visual Impairment in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Ophthalmol.* 2010;**117**(1):63-70. [PubMed ID: 19880184]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.06.051>.
30. Shadhan RM, Alzubaidi MA, Alquraishy NK. Eye Complications in Children and Adolescent with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Iraqi Postgraduate Med J.* 2016;**15**(2).
31. Rohrer TR, Wolf J, Liptay S, Zimmer KP, Fröhlich-Reiterer E, Scheuing N, et al. Microvascular complications in childhood-onset type 1 diabetes and celiac disease: a multicenter longitudinal analysis of 56,514 patients from the German-Austrian DPV database. *Diabetes Care.* 2015;**38**(5). [PubMed ID: 25690004]. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0683>.
32. Bahari A, Karimi M, SANEI ME, Firouzi F, Hashemi M. Prevalence of celiac disease among blood donors in Sistan and Baluchestan Province, Southeastern Iran. *Archives Iran Med.* 2010;**13**(4).