Published Online: 2025 May 7

**Research Article** 



# Epidemiological Analysis of Cytomegalovirus Infection in Children: A Five-Year Study

Hui Gao 🔟 <sup>1</sup>, Fengqing Cai<sup>1</sup>, Dan Chi<sup>1</sup>, Xu Han<sup>1</sup>, Zhongsheng Yu<sup>1</sup>, Wei Li<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hongqiang Shen 🔟 <sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author: Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. Email: chweige@zju.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding Author: Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. Email: shenhq@zju.edu.cn

Received: 31 December, 2024; Revised: 5 April, 2025; Accepted: 28 April, 2025

# Abstract

**Background:** Cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a ubiquitous pathogen of significant medical importance; however, up-to-date data on the prevalence of CMV urinary shedding and seropositivity in children are scarce.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to evaluate the epidemiological traits of human CMV and the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on its spread.

**Methods:** We retrospectively collected laboratory surveillance data on CMV infection in children aged under 18, comparing the periods before the COVID-19 pandemic (January 2018 to December 2019) and during the pandemic (January 2020 to December 2022). Urine samples were analyzed for CMV DNA using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, and CMV antibodies were detected by chemiluminescence immunoassay.

**Results:** Our cohort included 39,903 children tested for CMV DNA and 70,574 tested for CMV antibodies. The overall prevalence of CMV urine shedding was 16.6%, congenital CMV (cCMV) prevalence was 1.13%, and seroprevalence of IgM and IgG was 3.96% and 85.06%, respectively. Peaks of urinary CMV (uCMV) shedding and IgM antibody production occurred at 3 months to 1 year (67.02%) and 4 weeks to 3 months (11.96%), respectively, both declining sharply with age. Logistic regression indicates that the COVID-19 pandemic independently contributed to a decreased prevalence of urine CMV DNA and CMV IgM, while it led to an increase in the prevalence of CMV IgG.

**Conclusions:** Continuous monitoring and a deeper understanding of CMV's epidemiological characteristics are crucial for preventing CMV infections in children and for the potential development of a multivalent CMV vaccine.

Keywords: Children, Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epidemiology, COVOD-19

# 1. Background

Human cytomegalovirus (CMV), a large doublestranded DNA virus and a prototype member of the betaherpesvirinae subfamily (1), is transmitted in humans via close contact with bodily secretions (e.g., urine, saliva, genital secretions) from infected individuals, transplacental transmission, blood transfusions, and organ transplantation (2). Like other herpesviruses, acute CMV infection is characterized by high levels of viral lytic replication and spread, followed by the establishment of viral latency in long-lived cell types, leading to lifelong persistence (3). In healthy infection children, primary often remains asymptomatic or presents with mild illness such as fever, lymphadenopathy, and hepatosplenomegaly (4). Conversely, in immunocompromised or immunologically immature individuals, it can generate a robust immune response and pose life-threatening risks (5, 6). Additionally, congenital CMV (cCMV) is the most common nongenetic cause of birth defects and developmental disabilities worldwide (7). Emerging evidence suggests that CMV may negatively impact health through its indirect effects on the immune

Copyright © 2025, Gao et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Gao H, Cai F, Chi D, Han X, Yu Z, et al. Epidemiological Analysis of Cytomegalovirus Infection in Children: A Five-Year Study. Inn J Pediatr. 2025; In Press (In Press): e158932. https://doi.org/10.5812/ijpediatr-158932.

system (8). Specifically, latent CMV infection potentially contributes to age-related diseases (9, 10) and may even be associated with all-cause mortality at the population level (11).

Given the significant global disease burden imposed by CMV, there is a critical need for a comprehensive understanding of CMV infection in children across diverse countries or regions and the implementation of effective preventive strategies. Numerous studies have characterized CMV epidemiology in pediatric populations. However, existing research has mainly focused on cCMV infection or seroprevalence in specific age groups, with limited data on longitudinal trends of CMV shedding and seropositivity across a comprehensive pediatric population at varying risk levels. The COVID-19 pandemic has altered social behaviors and healthcare access, potentially affecting CMV transmission dynamics. To address these gaps, we analyzed CMV infection in 110,477 children in East China, spanning pre-pandemic and pandemic periods.

## 2. Objectives

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of CMV infection among children by analyzing electronic medical record data.

## 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Study Population

Between January 2018 and December 2022, children were enrolled based on the following criteria: Individuals under 18 years of age, regardless of symptoms, who underwent testing for CMV DNA or antibodies, including both outpatient and inpatient participants at the Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine. Cases lacking complete demographic or laboratory data were excluded. Participants' ages were stratified into five groups: Under 3 weeks ( $\leq$  3 weeks), 4 weeks to 3 months (4 weeks - 3 months), 3 months to 1 year (3 months - 1 year), 1 to 6 years (1 - 6 years), and over 6 years old (> 6 years). Sample collection aligned with four seasonal periods: Spring (March to May), summer (June to August), autumn (September to November), and winter (December to February), to explore seasonal influences on CMV infection rates.

## 3.2. Data Collection and Cytomegalovirus DNA Tests

DNA was extracted from fresh urine samples using commercial kits (Sansure Biotech Inc., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA samples were added to a PCR mixture containing PCR buffer, MgCl2, dNTPs, and Taq polymerase. Real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR was conducted on the ABI7500 instrument (ABI, USA) under the following conditions:  $50^{\circ}$ C for 2 minutes,  $94^{\circ}$ C for 10 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at  $94^{\circ}$ C and 30 seconds at  $57^{\circ}$ C. Samples with  $\geq 400$  copies/mL of CMV DNA were considered positive. Patients with multiple tests and at least one positive result were designated as CMV-positive from the date of their first positive test.

#### 3.3. Antibodies to Cytomegalovirus Detection

Serum anti-CMV antibodies were quantified using a two-step indirect chemiluminescence immunoassay on the iFlash 3000 platform (YHLO, China). According to the manufacturer's criteria, a sample was considered positive for CMV antibodies if it contained CMV-IgM at a concentration of 22 U/mL or greater, or CMV-IgG at a concentration of 14 U/mL or greater.

#### 3.4. Statistical Analyses

A descriptive analysis was conducted to present the numbers and percentages for categorical variables. Pearson's chi-squared test was used to assess differences in prevalence rates. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using a binomial exact test. The Cochran-Armitage test was used for prevalence trend analysis. Logistic regression was performed to analyze the independent effect of COVID-19 on CMV infection. Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 4.1.2. Graphs were generated with GraphPad Prism version 9.0. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. The cCMV infection was identified by detecting CMV DNA in urine samples within the first three weeks of life via PCR.

## 4. Results

The baseline clinical characteristics of children who underwent urinary CMV (uCMV) DNA testing are outlined in Appendix 1 in Supplementary File. A total of 39,903 children were tested, with 6,643 (16.6%) returning positive results. The median age was 19.0 days. The majority of children enrolled in the study were hospitalized (84.41%), male (56.83%), and  $\leq$  3 weeks old (52.96%). Table 1 presents the positive rates and 95% CIs for uCMV DNA across various age groups. The age group with the highest prevalence was 3 months to 1 year (67.02%), followed by those 1 to 6 years (37.70%), and 4 weeks to 3 months (23.87%). The overall and age-specific positive rates were significantly lower in 2022 compared to 2018, with the exception of the age group  $\leq$  3 weeks.

ariables	≤3 Weeks	4 Weeks - 3 Months	3 Months - 1 Year	1 - 6 Years	> 6 Years
verall	1.13 (0.99, 1.28)	23.87 (23.04, 24.70)	67.02 (65.53, 68.48)	37.70 (35.95, 39.49)	11.41 (9.91, 13.05)
ender					
Male	1.10 (0.92, 1.30)	23.99 (22.89, 25.12)	68.54 (66.63, 70.41)	36.79 (34.46, 39.17)	10.84 (8.95, 12.96
Female	1.17 (0.96, 1.41)	23.71 (22.47, 24.98)	64.80 (62.43, 67.13)	38.88 (36.21, 41.61)	12.24 (9.85, 14.96
P-value	0.67	0.76	0.03	0.26	0.42
ear					
2018	1.24 (0.96, 1.56)	24.90 (23.17, 26.70)	72.61 (69.47, 75.59)	52.96 (48.72, 57.17)	18.07 (14.08, 22.64
2019	0.99 (0.75, 1.28)	24.63 (22.94, 26.37)	68.10 (64.87, 71.21)	41.47 (37.91, 45.09)	8.56 (6.10, 11.61)
2020	0.97 (0.67, 1.37)	25.18 (23.00, 27.47)	69.41 (65.58, 73.05)	33.19 (28.97, 37.62)	11.63 (7.98, 16.18)
2021	1.17 (0.83, 1.59)	24.79 (22.90, 26.75)	66.79 (63.45, 70.00)	29.61 (26.04, 33.38)	10.16 (7.05, 14.04
2022	1.30 (0.93, 1.77)	19.58 (17.81, 21.44)	58.93 (55.55, 62.24)	29.91 (26.05, 33.98)	9.271 (6.25, 13.12
P-value	0.53	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0005
atient type					
Outpatient	18.84 (13.75, 24.84)	37.50 (35.64, 39.38)	68.18 (66.38, 69.94)	64.00 (60.18, 67.70)	14.29 (63.75, 26.22
Inpatient	0.95 (0.82, 1.09)	19.16 (18.28, 20.07)	64.62 (61.95, 67.22)	30.23 (28.35, 32.16)	11.31 (9.79, 12.97)
P-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.03	< 0.001	0.63
eason					
Winter	1.14 (0.87, 1.47)	21.34 (19.81, 22.94)	65.31 (61.82, 68.69)	32.21 (28.78, 35.78)	8.74 (6.29, 11.76)
Spring	0.97 (0.71, 1.29)	24.10 (22.43, 25.84)	64.58 (61.54, 67.54)	40.50 (36.89, 44.18)	11.06 (8.27, 14.40
Summer	1.35 (1.06, 1.69)	24.59 (22.89, 26.35)	68.96 (66.21, 71.61)	38.36 (35.00, 41.79)	11.66 (8.83, 15.01
Autumn	1.02 (0.77, 1.32)	25.60 (23.92, 27.33)	68.43 (65.53, 71.22)	39.68 (36.00, 43.45)	15.34 (11.53, 19.81
P-value	0.24	0.002	0.08	0.005	0.05

<sup>1</sup> Values are expressed as prevalence (%) (95% CI).

Outpatients were significantly more likely to test positive than inpatients. No clear seasonal variation in the CMV DNA positive detection rate was observed (Figure 1A). Seasonal fluctuations in positivity rates were not consistent across age groups. The overall and age-specific positive rates were significantly lower in 2022 than in 2018, except for the age group of  $\leq$  3 weeks. Furthermore, a clear downward trend over time was evident (Figure 1B). Urine DNA positive rates were significantly lower (P < 0.001) during the pandemic period for the age groups 3 months to 1 year and 1 to 6 years compared to the pre-pandemic period (Figure 1C).

A total of 70,574 children underwent testing for CMV antibodies. Among them, 2,794 (3.96%) tested positive for CMV IgM, and 60,031 (85.06%) tested positive for CMV IgG. The median age of the children was 141.0 days (range: 18.0 to 1,140.0). Notably, hospitalized children (83.20%), males (57.48%), and those  $\leq$  3 weeks old (27.68%) were more frequently represented in the study (Appendices 2 and 3 in Supplementary File). The CMV-IgM positive cases were predominantly found in children aged 4 weeks to 3 months, hospitalized patients, and boys, representing 51.29%, 76.99%, and 55.19% of the cases, respectively. For CMV-IgG positive cases, children under 3 weeks, inpatients, and boys had the highest proportions at 30.47%, 84.14%, and 57.50%,

respectively (Appendix 3 in Supplementary File). Significant differences were observed in age group, patient type, and year between CMV antibody-positive and -negative cases (P < 0.001).

Table 2 presents the positive rates and 95% CIs of CMV IgM across age groups. IgM positivity was highest among children aged 4 weeks to 3 months [11.96% (11.39, 12.56)], followed by those aged 3 months to 1 year [5.95% (5.53, 6.38)], with low positivity in the other three groups. Girls over 1 year were more likely to be CMVinfected than boys of the same age. Children over 3 months had higher CMV detection rates before the pandemic than during it. Monthly incidence rates of CMV IgM from May 2018 to December 2022 are shown in Figure 2A. A significant downward trend in CMV IgM positivity was observed for children older than 3 months (Figure 2B). The positive rates of CMV-IgM were significantly lower in the 1 to 6 years and > 6 years age groups (0.88% vs. 6.19%) during the pandemic than in the pre-pandemic period (0.57% vs. 2.39%) (Figure 2C).

Figure 3A shows the monthly distribution of CMV IgG antibodies over the five years. The 3 weeks to 1 year age group displayed an annual upward trend (Figure 3B). However, pandemic results indicate no significant difference in positivity rates among the five groups



Figure 1. A, Cytomegalovirus (CMV) DNA monthly distributions; and B, temporal trend of positive rates; C, positive rates before and during the COVID-19 pandemic

ariables	≤ 3 Weeks	4 Weeks - 3 Months	3 Months - 1 Year	1 - 6 Years	> 6 Years
verall	0.20 (0.14, 0.27)	11.96 (11.39, 12.56)	5.95 (5.53, 6.38)	2.79 (2.55, 3.05)	1.22 (1.01, 1.46)
ender					
Male	0.16 (0.10, 0.26)	12.04 (11.28, 12.84)	5.93 (5.39, 6.50)	2.26 (1.98, 2.57)	0.99 (0.74, 1.29
Female	0.25 (0.15, 0.38)	11.86 (11.00, 12.77)	5.97 (5.33, 6.67)	3.55 (3.13, 4.00)	1.51 (1.16, 1.93)
P-value	0.26	0.78	0.95	< 0.001	0.026
ear <sup>b</sup>					
2019	0.23 (0.13, 0.39)	12.40 (11.31, 13.55)	6.42 (5.58, 7.35)	3.61 (3.05, 4.25)	1.49 (1.01, 2.11)
2020	0.16 (0.06, 0.34)	14.20 (12.83, 15.65)	6.29 (5.39, 7.29)	0.80 (0.52, 1.16)	0.39 (0.16, 0.80
2021	0.18(0.06, 0.38)	12.79 (11.42, 14.25)	6.15 (5.21, 7,21)	0.66(0.43, 0.97)	0.41 (0.18, 0.80
2022	0.18 (0.06, 0.41)	10.39 (9.06, 11.84)	5.25 (4.32, 6.31)	1.15 (0.85, 1.53)	0.84 (0.51, 1.29)
P-value	0.83	0.002	0.34	< 0.001	< 0.001
atient type					
Outpatient	1.36 (0.28, 3.92)	16.00 (14.45, 17.65)	5.12 (4.47, 5.83)	1.84 (1.46, 2.29)	1.37 (0.77, 2.25)
Inpatient	0.19 (0.13, 0.26)	11.12 (10.51, 11.75)	6.38 (5.85, 6.94)	3.10 (2.81, 3.41)	1.19 (0.97, 1.45)
P-value	0.01	< 0.001	0.006	< 0.001	0.72

<sup>a</sup> Values are expressed as prevalence (%) (95% CI).

 $^{\rm b}$  We did not present the prevalence data in the year 2018 because of the lack of data for the first four months.

before and during the pandemic periods (Figure 3C). To further examine the independent effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on CMV infection, multivariable logistic regression analysis models were developed. The results are detailed in Table 3. The findings indicate that the COVID-19 pandemic independently contributes to an increase in the prevalence of urine CMV DNA and CMV IgM, while it exerts a negative effect on CMV IgG.

## 5. Discussion

The study examining the epidemiological characteristics of human CMV, including uCMV shedding and seroprevalence among children, revealed

several significant findings. Notably, the prevalence of CMV shedding and antibodies is age-specific, with children aged 3 months to 1 year and 4 weeks to 3 months exhibiting peak prevalence rates. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic significantly affected the positive rates of CMV shedding and CMV IgM, although it did not impact CMV IgG. Studies in China report varying prevalence of cCMV infection, ranging from 0.23% to 6.13% (12, 13). These discrepancies may be attributed to differences in laboratory techniques, the types of samples examined, and the criteria used to confirm cCMV. Urine or saliva samples are suggested for cCMV screening by the international congenital cytomegalovirus recommendations group (14). In this



Figure 2. A, Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific antibodies IgM monthly distributions; B, temporal trend of positive rates; and C, positive rates before and during the COVID-19 pandemic





study, a strict methodology was employed to identify cCMV-positive newborns by analyzing urine samples collected within 21 days of birth for screening purposes. The prevalence reported in this study aligns with that observed in a well-conducted multicenter prospective cohort study (1.32%, 84/6350) (13). Moreover, this finding is consistent with a systematic review indicating that average levels of cCMV in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are three times higher than those in high-income countries (15). The high prevalence of cCMV cases remains a significant and unresolved public health challenge in LMICs.

To our knowledge, this study represents the largest cohort of data characterizing uCMV shedding among children in China. Overall, we detected CMV DNA shedding in 16.6% of children aged 0 - 18 years and found that the prevalence of uCMV shedding was significantly associated with age. While frequent contact with children under 3 years old is well recognized as an important risk factor for acquiring CMV infection (16), our findings suggest that preschool children may also be an important source of CMV transmission. Both primary and non-primary CMV infections can lead to CMV shedding (17), with primary infection more likely to result in longer duration of shedding and higher viral loads (18). This study identified peak uCMV shedding between 3 months and 1 year of age, declining sharply thereafter, likely reflecting higher primary infection rates and prolonged shedding durations in younger children. Notably, previous research has identified sexassociated differences in uCMV shedding prevalence among children over 6 years of age (17). However, no sex differences were observed in the present analysis across all age groups.

Variables	OR	95% CI	P-Value
Multivariate analysis for urine CMV DNA prevalence			
Prepandemic	1.00 (Reference)	-	-
Pandemic	0.71	$0.67 \sim 0.76$	< 0.001
Multivariate analysis for CMV IgM prevalence			
Prepandemic	1.00 (Reference)	-	-
Pandemic	0.71	$0.65 \sim 0.76$	< 0.001
Multivariate analysis for CMV IgG prevalence			
Prepandemic	1.00 (Reference)	-	-
Pandemic	1.19	$1.14 \sim 1.25$	< 0.001

The prevalence of CMV IgG among children varies across populations and demonstrates an age-related increase. A study conducted in Germany indicated that the age-adjusted CMV seroprevalence among children and adolescents aged 1 - 17 years was 27.4%, with rates rising from 21.5% at ages 1 - 2 to 32.0% at ages 14 - 17 (19). Similarly, the seroprevalence was estimated at 29.0% among US children aged 1 - 5 years (20). In contrast, significantly higher seroprevalence rates have been reported in developing European countries, ranging from 50.8% (1 - 5 years) to 70.3% (13 - 19 years) (21). The data, including those from the present study, were diverse obtained from selected populations, encompassing participants at low and high risk of CMV disease in hospital-based settings. Although our findings may not fully represent the CMV status of the general population, they offer valuable insights into the burden of CMV infection in both low- and high-risk populations.

Generally, the transient presence of CMV IgM antibodies indicates a recent infection and is no longer used to distinguish primary infection from viral reinfection (22). Individuals with prior primary CMV infection typically retain lifelong CMV IgG antibodies (22). This persistence may account for the lack of a significant decrease in the IgG prevalence observed during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, the observed decline in IgM seropositivity during the pandemic has remained epidemiologically significant. This trend is likely attributable to behavioral modifications (23), including reduced social interactions, enhanced hygiene practices, and decreased exposure to high-risk environments such as daycare centers, which disrupt CMV transmission pathways. Infants aged 4 weeks to 3 months were at the highest risk of recent CMV infection, with IgM seropositivity remaining elevated throughout the first year of life.

Notably, the infection rates during the pandemic in this age group were slightly higher than the pre-pandemic levels. This paradoxical trend may reflect increased maternal-infant proximity during lockdowns, potentially amplifying opportunities for transmission. Prolonged breastfeeding may have further contributed to viral exposure (20) and may have lasted longer. These findings underscore the complex interplay between pandemic-related behavioral and shifts CMV transmission dynamics in vulnerable populations.

## 5.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings provide valuable insights into the epidemiology of CMV infection and offer evidence-based guidance for preventive and management strategies. Universal newborn screening earlv programs can enable identification of asymptomatic cases. This study highlights the importance of comprehensive longitudinal surveillance data in guiding public health policies and targeted interventions.

## Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

# Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** W. L. and H. S. were involved in the study conceptualization and study design. H. G. and F. C. carried out data analyses. D. C. and X. H. extracted data and contributed to the data cleaning. H. G. and Z. Y. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. W. L. polished the language of the manuscript. H. S. supervised the project. All the authors provided critical revisions of the article for important intellectual content, contributed to the interpretation of the data, and approved the final version of the article.

**Conflict of Interests Statement:** The authors declared no conflict of interests.

**Data Availability:** The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after its publication. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

**Ethical Approval:** This study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine (2024-IRB-0164-P-01).

**Funding/Support:** This study did not receive any funding or financial support. We thank the methodological support from Clinical Laborator Center led by Dr. Hongqiang Shen.

**Informed Consent:** This study was real retrospective. All data in our manuscript are anonymous, and the requirement for informed consent was therefore waived.

## References

- 1. Shenk T, Stinski MF. Human cytomegalovirus. **325**. New York, USA: Springer; 2008.
- Gantt S, Orem J, Krantz EM, Morrow RA, Selke S, Huang ML, et al. Prospective Characterization of the Risk Factors for Transmission and Symptoms of Primary Human Herpesvirus Infections Among Ugandan Infants. J Infect Dis. 2016;214(1):36-44. [PubMed ID: 26917575]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4907408]. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw076.
- Crawford LB, Diggins NL, Caposio P, Hancock MH. Advances in Model Systems for Human Cytomegalovirus Latency and Reactivation. *mBio*. 2022;**13**(1). e0172421. [PubMed ID: 35012351]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8749418]. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01724-21.
- 4. Taylor GH. Cytomegalovirus. *Am Fam Physician*. 2003;**67**(3):519-24. [PubMed ID: 12588074].
- Ye L, Qian Y, Yu W, Guo G, Wang H, Xue X. Functional Profile of Human Cytomegalovirus Genes and Their Associated Diseases: A Review. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;11:2104. [PubMed ID: 33013768]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7498621]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.02104.
- Jerry Teng CL, Wang PN, Chen YC, Ko BS. Cytomegalovirus management after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A mini-review. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2021;54(3):341-8. [PubMed ID: 33514495]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2021.01.001.
- Khalil A, Heath PT, Jones CE, Soe A, Ville YG; Royal College of Obstetricians Gynaecologists. Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection: Update on Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment: Scientific Impact Paper No. 56. *BJOG*. 2025;**132**(2):e42-52. [PubMed ID: 39434207]. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.17966.

- Brodin P, Jojic V, Gao T, Bhattacharya S, Angel CJ, Furman D, et al. Variation in the human immune system is largely driven by nonheritable influences. *Cell*. 2015;**160**(1-2):37-47. [PubMed ID: 25594173]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4302727]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.020.
- Muller L, Di Benedetto S. Immunosenescence and Cytomegalovirus: Exploring Their Connection in the Context of Aging, Health, and Disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2024;25(2). [PubMed ID: 38255826]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10815036]. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25020753.
- Weltevrede M, Eilers R, de Melker HE, van Baarle D. Cytomegalovirus persistence and T-cell immunosenescence in people aged fifty and older: A systematic review. *Exp Gerontol.* 2016;77:87-95. [PubMed ID: 26883338]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.02.005.
- Simanek AM, Dowd JB, Pawelec G, Melzer D, Dutta A, Aiello AE. Seropositivity to cytomegalovirus, inflammation, all-cause and cardiovascular disease-related mortality in the United States. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(2). e16103. [PubMed ID: 21379581]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3040745]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016103.
- Wang S, Wang T, Zhang W, Liu X, Wang X, Wang H, et al. Cohort study on maternal cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and prevalence and clinical manifestations of congenital infection in China. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 2017;96(5). e6007. [PubMed ID: 28151899]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5293462]. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000006007.
- Huang Y, Li T, Yu H, Tang J, Song Q, Guo X, et al. Maternal CMV seroprevalence rate in early gestation and congenital cytomegalovirus infection in a Chinese population. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2021;10(1):1824-31. [PubMed ID: 34392819]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8451685]. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1969290.
- 14. Rawlinson WD, Boppana SB, Fowler KB, Kimberlin DW, Lazzarotto T, Alain S, et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy and the neonate: Consensus recommendations for prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017;**17**(6):e177-88. [PubMed ID: 28291720]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30143-3.
- Ssentongo P, Hehnly C, Birungi P, Roach MA, Spady J, Fronterre C, et al. Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection Burden and Epidemiologic Risk Factors in Countries With Universal Screening: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(8). e2120736.
  [PubMed ID: 34424308]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8383138]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.20736.
- Adler SP. Prevention of Maternal-Fetal Transmission of Cytomegalovirus. *EBioMedicine*. 2015;2(9):1027-8. [PubMed ID: 26501098]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4588411]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.08.004.
- Amin MM, Bialek SR, Dollard SC, Wang C. Urinary Cytomegalovirus Shedding in the United States: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 1999-2004. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;67(4):587-92. [PubMed ID: 29471326]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6097960]. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy143.
- Stowell JD, Mask K, Amin M, Clark R, Levis D, Hendley W, et al. Crosssectional study of cytomegalovirus shedding and immunological markers among seropositive children and their mothers. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:568. [PubMed ID: 25388365]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4236433]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-014-0568-2.
- Voigt S, Schaffrath Rosario A, Mankertz A. Cytomegalovirus Seroprevalence Among Children and Adolescents in Germany: Data From the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Children and Adolescents (KiGGS), 2003-2006. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016;**3**(1):ofv193. [PubMed ID: 26817022]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4724754]. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofv193.
- 20. Lanzieri TM, Kruszon-Moran D, Dollard SC. Cytomegalovirus Seroprevalence Among US Children Aged 1 to 5 Years: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 2017-March 2020 Pre-Pandemic Dataset. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2022;**75**(1):e1211-2. [PubMed ID:

Inn J Pediatr. 2025; In Press(In Press): e158932

34748626]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9587760]. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab947.

- 21. Arapovic J, Rajic B, Pati S, Brizic I, Azinovic I, Susak B, et al. Cytomegalovirus Seroprevalence and Birth Prevalence of Congenital CMV Infection in Bosnia and Herzegovina: A Single-Center Experience. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2020;**39**(2):140-4. [PubMed ID: 31738327]. https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.000000000002510.
- 22. Edvardsen K, Hellesen A, Husebye ES, Bratland E. Analysis of cellular and humoral immune responses against cytomegalovirus in

patients with autoimmune Addison's disease. *J Transl Med*. 2016;**14**:68. [PubMed ID: 26956521]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4784442]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-016-0822-z.

 Zhao H, Jatana S, Bartoszko J, Loeb M. Nonpharmaceutical interventions to prevent viral respiratory infection in community settings: an umbrella review. *ERJ Open Res.* 2022;8(2). [PubMed ID: 35651370]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9149389]. https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00650-2021.