Published online 2016 July 18.

**Research Article** 

# Meta-Analysis to Assess Efficacy and Safety of High-Dose Ibuprofen Compared with Standard Treatment of Patent Ductus Arteriosus in Premature Infants

Jinmiao Lu,<sup>1</sup> Jing Li,<sup>1</sup> Qin Li,<sup>1</sup> and Zhiping Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Corresponding author: Zhiping Li, Department of Pharmacy, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China. Tel/Fax: +86-02164932030, E-mail: zplifudan@gmail.com

Received 2016 April 11; Accepted 2016 June 21.

# Abstract

**Background:** Patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) is a common congenital heart defect in premature infants. Intravenous injection of ibuprofen is used for PDA treatment, but its optimum dose, efficacy, and safety are unclear.

**Objectives:** This meta-analysis aimed to access randomized controlled trials that compared high- or low-dose ibuprofen with a standard dose of ibuprofen for closure of PDA in preterm infants.

**Methods:** The standard search methods of the Cochrane neonatal review group were used to screen ibuprofen versus indomethacin trials. All groups that used ibuprofen in those trials were filtered out. The high-dose group was defined as those using an average dose of ibuprofen greater than or equal to 10 mg/kg in the first three days.

**Results:** We identified 14 studies of good methodological quality comparing ibuprofen to indomethacin trials among neonates. Results showed that high-dose ibuprofen could remarkably raise the closure rate (relative risk = 0.90, 95% CI = [0.81, 1.00], P = 0.04). No significant differences were found in adverse effects, bleeding disorders, or oliguria. The closure rate in neonates with PDA increased with the ibuprofen dosage ( $R^2$  = 0.9990). The loading dose produced a significant closure rate compared with the low-dose group (relative risk = 1.91, 95% CI = [1.25, 2.92], P = 0.003), with no increase in toxic side effects.

**Conclusions:** Loading dose is a necessary strategy for infants with PDA. A high dose of ibuprofen for PDA closure was more effective than a normal dose of ibuprofen. The side effects in both treatment groups were not significantly different. Given the small sample size and risk of bias in all trials, the tolerability and safety of the dose regimen should be assessed in a large population before considering the use of these doses for PDA.

Keywords: Ibuprofen, Indomethacin, Patent Ductus Arteriosus, Premature Infant

# 1. Background

The ductus arteriosus is a normal fetal blood vessel that closes soon after full-term birth. Patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) is a congenital disorder in neonates in which the vessel fails to close after birth and remains patent or open (1, 2). In PDA, additional fluid from the ductus returning to the lungs increases lung pressure to the point that the neonate has great difficulty inflating the lungs (3-7). PDA is accompanied by the irregular transmission of blood, the increased work of breathing, and poor weight gain, which may lead to congestive heart failure or death (8). PDA is the second most frequent (41.7%) disease in preterm infants, behind respiratory distress syndrome (93.6%) and ahead of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (38.7%) (9).

PDA can be treated by both surgical and nonsurgical methods. Most immature infants are likely to require pharmaceutical treatment to close the ductus, thereby avoiding the need for PDA ligation (10, 11). Pharmaceutical treatment enables doctors to cope with more patients and save opera-

tion costs for infants (12, 13). Prostaglandin E1 is responsible for keeping the ductus patent, whereas indomethacin and ibuprofen are potent inhibitors of prostaglandin E1 synthesis. As a result, both drugs are usually prescribed for the treatment or prevention of PDA. Ibuprofen was recently reported to be as efficacious as indomethacin for the treatment of PDA, with less oliguria (14, 15). Therefore, ibuprofen is a potential viable alternative for premature infants.

However, research on neonates treated with ibuprofen is lacking (14-16). Most small sample studies, although useful, generally involve much higher doses that are difficult to extrapolate to all populations of preterm infants over prolonged periods. The present meta-analysis examined the basis for ibuprofen dosage in the neonatal population. This paper includes a commentary on the publication of a randomized controlled trial of intravenous (IV) ibuprofen versus IV indomethacin. The outcome between groups of different ibuprofen dosages is also analyzed.

Copyright © 2016, Growth & Development Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

# 2. Objectives

The aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the efficacy and safety of high-dose ibuprofen compared with the standard treatment of PDA in premature infants by evaluating randomized controlled trials that compared high- or lowdose ibuprofen with a standard dose of ibuprofen for the closure of PDA.

## 3. Methods

## 3.1. Inclusion Criteria

The criteria and standard methods of the Cochrane neonatal review group were used to assess all clinical studies. We searched the Cochrane library, Medline, EMBASE, clinicaltrials.gov, Controlled-trials.com, www.abstracts2view.com/pas, and personal files in December 2013. Medline (1966 to December 2013) was searched using the following MeSH terms: ibuprofen, newborn, infant, premature (or preterm) or low birth weight infant, and patent ductus arteriosus or PDA. Other databases searched included EMBASE (1980 to December 2013) and Medline (1966 to December 2013), which was searched using the following MeSH terms: ibuprofen (or mefenamic acid), newborn, infant, premature (or preterm) or low birth weight infant, and patent ductus arteriosus or PDA. This study involved preterm infants (gestational age < 37 weeks) or low birth weight infants (< 2500 g) with PDA diagnosed either clinically or by echocardiography during the neonatal period (< 14 days).

## 3.2. Identification of Trials

This meta-analysis reviewed randomized controlled trials of ibuprofen for the treatment of PDA in newborn infants. The therapeutic use of IV ibuprofen for the closure of PDA was compared with that of IV indomethacin in control infants. Oral ibuprofen was excluded in all studies. For this update in 2013, we included studies that compared the effectiveness of ibuprofen with indomethacin, studies that compared low-dose ibuprofen with a standard dose of ibuprofen, and studies that compared high-dose ibuprofen with a standard dose of ibuprofen for PDA closure.

# 3.3. Quality Assessment

We identified controlled studies that were assessed by the following criteria: ascertainment and validation of study outcomes, selection and comparability of controls, ascertainment of exposure, and control or adjustment for potential confounders. To determine the possibility of publication bias, a funnel plot was conducted for the primary outcome of failure to close a PDA (after three doses). The funnel plot was quite symmetrical, indicating no obvious indication of publication bias.

## 3.4. Data Extraction

Each review author extracted data separately using data abstraction forms. The review authors compared results and resolved differences. One review author (Jinmiao Lu) entered data into RevMan 5.1. The other review authors (Qin Li and Zhiping Li) cross-checked the printout against his data abstraction forms, and errors were corrected by consensus. For the studies identified as abstracts, we contacted some primary authors to ascertain whether a full publication was available if the full paper was not identified in an electronic database. We obtained information from the primary author if the published article provided inadequate information for the review. Retrieved articles were assessed, and data were abstracted. Disagreements were resolved by group discussion.

#### 3.5. Statistical Analyses

The data were analyzed using RevMan 5.1 software. Analysis was performed using relative risk, risk difference, and numbers needed to treat or harm for categorical variables and weighted mean difference for continuous variables. A 95% confidence interval (CI) was reported for each statistic. A fixed-effect model was used to pool data for this meta-analysis. If statistically significant results were obtained, a random-effect model was applied to assess whether the results were robust to changes in the statistical model. Heterogeneity was estimated by the I-squared (I<sup>2</sup>) statistic.

## 4. Results

# 4.1. Search Results

The search was run until December 2013. We first identified 163 abstracts using the prespecified search strategy, and 14 reports were retrieved for detailed evaluation (Figure 1). Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis. Three small randomized trials involving 24 neonates were eligible for inclusion in the high-dose group (10 mg/kg/day). Only one study tested IV ibuprofen versus IV indomethacin at a very low dosage (5 mg/kg/day) for PDA closure. Table 1 lists all included studies, such as those conducted by Mosca et al. (17), Cheng et al. (18), Patel et al. (19), Chotigeat et al. (20), Adamska et al. (21), Gimeno Navarro et al. (22), Hammerman et al. (23), Lago et al. (24), Patel et al. (25), Su et al. (26), Van Overmeire et al. (15) and Pezzatiet al. (27).

## 4.2. Primary Outcome

Upon combining the data of these studies regarding high-dose ibuprofen, the results (Figure 2) suggested that a high dosage of ibuprofen administered prophylactically



to all preterm infants (< 35 weeks gestation) for the first three days of life reduced the risk of developing a PDA (relative risk = 0.90, 95% CI = [0.81, 1.00], P = 0.04). Moreover, a decreased PDA closure rate (Figure 3) for ibuprofen to treat PDA in premature infants was noted without a loading dose (relative risk = 1.73, 95% CI = [1.09, 2.75], P = 0.02).

# 4.3. Secondary Outcomes

Ibuprofen can lead to blood loss in some people, which can result in dangerous side effects if left untreated. Complications of PDA include intraventricular hemorrhage, which can lead to severe brain damage. Significant evidence showing that a high dosage of ibuprofen prophylactically improves bleeding disorder events for premature infants is lacking (relative risk = 0.89, 95% CI = [0.43, 1.86], P

= 0.76). There is also no significant evidence to suggest that a low dosage of prophylactic ibuprofen decreases oliguria rates (relative risk = 1.24, 95% CI = [0.61, 2.53], P = 0.55). The funnel plot was quite symmetrical, showing no obvious indication of publication bias (Figure 6). Finally, no significant heterogeneity was found in any of the studies.

## 5. Discussion

PDA has a high occurrence of nearly 15.3% in premature infants (28). Persistent patency of the ductus arteriosus in preterm infants is associated with numerous morbidities including higher rates of bronchopulmonary dysplasia and increased mortality (16, 29, 30). Cyclooxygenase inhibitor interventions have adverse effects such as bleed-

Study			Treatment <sup>a</sup>			
	n/N	GA (weeks)	Dosage (mg/kg)	Duration days	Closure	-
Mosca 1997	8/16	28 (25 - 31)	10, 10, 10	3	8	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Cheng 2012	10/30	31 (27 - 35)	10, 10, 10	3	7	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Patel 1995	6/33	26 (23 - 28)	10, 10, 10	3	4	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Chotigeat 2003	15/30	26 (25 - 35)	10, 5, 5	3	7	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Cheng 2012	10/30	32 (29 - 35)	10, 5, 5	3	5	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Adamska 2005	16/35	28 (24 - 33)	10, 5, 5	3	11	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Gimeno Navarro 2005	23/47	28 (24 - 31)	10, 5, 5	3	19	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Hammerman 2008	32/63	27 (25 - 31)	10, 5, 5	3	19	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Lago 2002	94/175	28 (26 - 30)	10, 5, 5	3	69	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Patel 2000	18/33	26 (24 - 35)	10, 5, 5	3	14	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Su 2008	60/119	25 (23 - 28)	10, 5, 5	3	45	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Van Overmeire 2000	74/148	29 (27 - 32)	10, 5, 5	3	52	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Pezzati 1999	9/17	29 (26 - 32)	10, 5, 5	3	9	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
Patel 1995	12/33	26 (23 - 28)	5, 5, 5	3	7	IV Ibuprofen vs. IV Indomethacin
	Study   ANosca 1997   ANosca 1997   Cheng 2012   Patel 1995   Chotigeat 2003   Cheng 2012   Adamska 2005   Gimeno Navarro 2008   Hammerman 2008   Patel 2000   Su 2008   Van Overmeire 2000   Patel 1995	Study   n/N   n/N   Mosca 1997 8/16   Cheng 2012 10/30   Atal 1995 5/33   Chotigeat 2003 15/30   Chong 2012 10/30   Adamska 2005 32/43   Gimeno Navaro 2005 32/63   Hammerman 2008 94/175   Aga 2002 18/33   Su 2003 60/19   Su 2003 60/19   Yan Overmeire 2000 9/17   Pezzati 1995 9/17	Study n/N GA(weeks)   n/N GA(weeks) GA(weeks)   Mosca 1997 8/16 28 (25 - 31)   Cheng 2012 10/30 31(27 - 35)   Atal 1995 6/33 26 (23 - 28)   Chotigeat 2003 15/30 26 (25 - 35)   Cheng 2012 10/30 32 (29 - 35)   Adamska 2005 21/37 28 (24 - 31)   Gimeno Navarro 2005 23/47 28 (24 - 31)   Hammerman 2008 32/63 27 (25 - 31)   Atago 2002 94/175 28 (26 - 30)   Su 2003 18/33 26 (24 - 35)   Su 2004 18/33 26 (24 - 35)   Su 2005 60/19 25 (23 - 28)   Su 2008 60/19 25 (23 - 28)   Yan Overmeire 2000 74/148 29 (27 - 32)   Pezzati 1995 9/17 29 (26 - 32)   Patel 1995 12/33 26 (23 - 28)	Study IVIbuprofenction   n/N GA(weeks) Dosage(mg/kg)   Mosca 1997 8/16 28 (25 : 31) 10,10,10   Cheng 2012 10/30 31 (27 : 35) 10,10,10   Patel 1995 6/33 26 (23 : 28) 10,10,10   Chotigeat 2003 15/30 26 (23 : 28) 10,10,10   Chotigeat 2003 15/30 26 (23 : 28) 10,5,5   Cheng 2012 10/30 32 (29 : 35) 10,5,5   Gimeno Navarro 2005 13/47 28 (24 : 33) 10,5,5   Hammerman 2008 32/43 28 (24 : 33) 10,5,5   Patel 2000 18/33 26 (24 : 35) 10,5,5   Su 2008 60/19 25 (23 : 28) 10,5,5   Van Overmeire 2000 74/148 29 (27 : 32) 10,5,5   Yatel 1995 9/17 29 (26 : 32) 10,5,5	StudyIVIburpofenGovun/NGA(weeks)Dosage (mg/kg)Duration daysMosca 19978/1628 (25 :31)10,10,103Cheng 201210/3031 (27 :35)10,10,103Patel 19956/3326 (23 : 28)10,10,103Chorigeat 200315/3026 (25 : 35)10,5,53Chorigeat 200410/3022 (29 : 35)10,5,53Chamska 200516/3528 (24 : 31)10,5,53Gimeno Navarro 200623/4728 (24 : 31)10,5,53Hammerman 200832/6326 (24 : 35)10,5,53Patel 200018/3326 (24 : 35)10,5,53Su 200860/1925 (23 : 28)10,5,53Prezzati 19999/1729 (26 : 22)10,5,53Patel 199512/3326 (23 : 28)5,5,53	StudyIVIsupreferenceIn/NGA(weeks)Dosage (mg/kg)Duration daysGosareMosca 1997Si/GSa (25.31)10,10,1033Cheng 201210,3031(27.35)10,10,1034Chotigeat 200316,3026 (23.28)10,10,1039Chotigeat 200415/3026 (25.35)10,5,530Chotigeat 200410,3032 (29.35)10,5,530Chotigeat 200410,3028 (24.31)10,5,530Gimeno Navaro 200523 (23.24)10,5,53010Idago 200294/1528 (24.31)10,5,53010Patel 200018,3326 (24.32)10,5,53014Su 200860/1925 (25.28)10,5,53014Su 200819,1429 (27.32)10,5,53015Patel 19959/1729 (26.32)10,5,53015Patel 199519,1523 (26.32)10,5,53015

Table 1. Characteristics of the Studies Included

<sup>a</sup> IV, intravenous injection.

Study or Subgroup	Experir Events	nental Total	Contro Events	l Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Adamska 2005	11	16	19	24	8.1%	0.87 [0.59, 1.28]	
Cheng 2012	5	10	19	24	5.9%	0.63 [0.33, 1.21]	
Chotigeat 2003	3	7	19	24	4.5%	0.54 [0.22, 1.30]	
Gimeno Navarro 2005	19	23	19	24	9.8%	1.04 [0.79, 1.38]	<b>-</b> _
Hammerman 2008	19	32	19	24	11.5%	0.75 [0.53, 1.07]	
Lago 2002	69	94	19	24	16.0%	0.93 [0.73, 1.18]	
Patel 2000	14	18	19	24	8.6%	0.98 [0.71, 1.35]	_ <del></del>
Pezzati 1999	9	9	19	24	5.9%	1.22 [0.95, 1.57]	+
Su 2008	45	60	19	24	14.4%	0.95 [0.74, 1.22]	
Van Overmeire 2000	52	74	19	24	15.2%	0.89 [0.69, 1.14]	
Total (95% Cl)		343		240	100.0%	0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	•
Total Events	246		190				
Heterogeneity: Chi²= 10.7	4, df = 9 (I						
Test for Overall Effect: Z =	2.05(P = 0)	0.04)				F	
						Fa	vours Experimental Favours Control

Figure 2. Primary Outcome: Standard Dose of IV Ibuprofen Versus High Dose of IV Ibuprofen on PDA Closure

ing disorders and oliguria (31, 32). Dani et al. (33) highlighted the possible advantages of high-dose ibuprofen, concluding that the high-dose ibuprofen regimen is more effective than the standard-dose regimen in closing PDA in preterm infants without increasing adverse effects. None of the previous individual randomized controlled trials or the meta-analyses have been able either to demonstrate the dosage benefits of ibuprofen in inducing ductal closure or to demonstrate all side effects (34). They concluded that a meta-analysis is difficult to conduct in neonates, because comparable datasets cannot be extracted from all the small-sample or low-quality studies.

Our new analytical approach restricted analyses to studies that had collected data relevant to the comparisons of IV ibuprofen and indomethacin. Pooling of these studies yielded positive dose-response relations for ibuprofen. The prevalence of spontaneous ductal closure in premature neonates was 34% at one week postnatal (35). We found that the low relative risk with ibuprofen was due to the low dosage of ibuprofen with respect to either efficacy or adverse events. Our linear analysis (Figure 7A) suggested that ibuprofen, as used in clinical practice for PDA

Study or Subgroup	Experi Events	mental Total	Contro Events	ol Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Adamska 2005	11	16	7	12	9.5%	1.57 [0.33, 7.48]	
Cheng 2012	5	10	7	12	12.1%	0.71 [0.13, 3.87]	
Chotigeat 2003	3	7	7	12	11.2%	0.54 [0.08, 3.53]	
Gimeno Navarro 2005	19	23	7	12	6.1%	3.39 [0.70, 16.38]	+
Hammerman 2008	19	32	7	12	15.7%	1.04 [0.27, 4.02]	
Lago 2002	69	94	7	12	12.5%	1.97 [0.57, 6.78]	-+
Patel 2000	14	18	7	12	7.1%	2.50 [0.51, 12.35]	
Pezzati 1999	9	9	7	12	1.2%	13.93 [0.66, 293.99]	+
Su 2008	45	60	7	12	11.1%	2.14 [0.59, 7.77]	+
Van Overmeire 2000	52	74	7	12	13.6%	1.69 [0.48, 5.90]	
Total (95% Cl)		343		120	100.0%	1.73 [1.09, 2.75]	•
Total Events	246		70				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.9	5, $df = 9 (P$						
Test for Overall Effect: $Z = 2.30$ (P = 0.02)							0.002 0.1 1 10 500

Figure 3. Primary Outcome: Standard Dose of IV Ibuprofen Versus Low Dose of IV Ibuprofen on PDA Closure

Charles and Carls arrange	Experii	mental	Contro	l Tetel	147- <sup>1</sup> -1- 4	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
_ study or subgroup	Events	lotal	Events	lotal	weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% СГ
Adamska 2005	3	16	1	24	6.0%	4.50 [0.51, 39.53]	
Cheng 2012	0	10	1	24	6.9%	0.76 [0.03, 17.17]	
Chotigeat 2003	0	7	1	24	5.5%	1.04 [0.05, 23.12]	
Gimeno Navarro 2005	2	23	1	24	7.4%	2.09 [0.20, 21.48]	
Hammerman 2008	0	32	1	24	12.9%	0.25 [0.01, 5.94]	
Lago 2002	6	94	1	24	12.0%	1.53 [0.19, 12.13]	
Patel 2000	0	18	1	24	9.8%	0.44 [0.02, 10.18]	
Pezzati 1999	0	9	1	24	6.5%	0.83 [0.04, 18.79]	
Su 2008	0	60	1	24	16.1%	0.14 [0.01, 3.24]	
Van Overmeire 2000	0	74	1	24	17.0%	0.11 [0.00, 2.64]	
Total (95% Cl)		343		240	100.0%	0.89 [0.43, 1.86]	+
Total Events	11		10				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 6.74	4, df = 9 (P =	= 0.66);	$l^2 = 0\%$				
Test for Overall Effect: Z =	= 0.31 (P = 0)	.76)					0.001 0.1 1 10 1000
	`	,					Favours Experimental Favours Control

Figure 4. Secondary Outcome (1): Standard Dose of IV Ibuprofen Versus High Dose of IV Ibuprofen on Bleeding Disorders

in most countries, was associated with an increased closure rate of PDA in a dose-dependent manner as well as with data points prior to log transformation and curve fitting (log-agonist vs. response-variable slope,  $R^2 = 0.99909$ ). Our curve suggested that the population of nonresponse to ibuprofen therapy was distributed across all patients with PDA.

We also found that the ibuprofen dosage was positively correlated with the incidence of bleeding disorders and oliguria (Figure 7B). The differences were attributable to the fairly high dose of ibuprofen employed in clinical practice. A second course of ibuprofen may further improve the efficacy of the drug with great side effects. The evidence reviewed indicated that these doses were associated with clinical benefits. However, the risks recorded in these studies were also associated with the doses of ibuprofen. Our findings were in line with the results of a double-blind dose-finding clinical trial of newborns in France (36).

In conclusion, despite the potential shortcomings of the aforementioned trials, they provided substantial cumulative evidence that a high dose of ibuprofen could remarkably raise the closure rate in preterm infants. In addition, loading dose was necessary for PDA closure. However, the tolerability and safety of this dose regimen should be assessed in a large population before considering the use of these doses for ductus arteriosus closure. Large-scale tri-

Study or Subgroup	Experin Events	mental Total	Contro Events	l Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% C	Risk Ratio CI M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Adamska 2005	0	16	1	24	10.2%	0.49/0.02.11.331		
Cheng 2012	Ō	10	1	24	7.7%	0.76 [0.03, 17,17]		
Chotigeat 2003	3	7	1	24	3.8%	10.29 [1.26, 84.06]	i	
Gimeno Navarro 2005	2	23	1	24	8.2%	2.09 [0.20, 21.48]	i —   • —	
Hammerman 2008	0	32	1	24	14.3%	0.25 [0.01, 5.94]	·	
Lago 2002	1	94	1	24	13.3%	0.26 [0.02, 3.94]	i — • + -	
Patel 2000	0	18	1	24	10.8%	0.44 [0.02, 10.18]		
Pezzati 1999	0	9	1	24	7.2%	0.83 [0.04, 18.79]		
Su 2008	4	60	1	24	12.0%	1.60 [0.19, 13.59]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Van Overmeire 2000	5	74	1	24	12.6%	1.62 [0.20, 13.20]	ı — <del>  •</del>	
Total (95% Cl)		343		240	100.0%	1.24 [0.61, 2.53]	↓ ◆	
Total Events	15		10					
Heterogeneity: Chi²= 7.3	8, df = 9 (P	= 0.60);	$l^2 = 0\%$					
Test for Overall Effect: Z	= 0.59 (P =	0.55)				_	0.001 0.1 1 10	1000
	``					F	Favours Experimental Favours Control	i i

Figure 5. Secondary Outcome (2): Standard Dose of IV Ibuprofen Versus High Dose of IV Ibuprofen on Oliguria



als are needed to examine the side effects and long-term sequelae.



Figure 7. A, Closure Rate of PDA in a Dose-Dependent Manner and B, The Incidence of Adverse Drug Reactions

## Acknowledgments

We thank the authors of the included studies for clarification of the existing data. The original work reported in this paper was supported by a general program grant from the national natural science foundation of China (No. 81370776). No other conflicts of interest are reported.

# Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Study concept and design: Jinmiao Lu; acquisition of data: Qin LI and Jing LI; analysis and interpretation of data: Jinmiao Lu and Zhiping Li; drafting of the manuscript: Jinmiao Lu; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Jing Li, Qin Li and Zhiping Li; statistical analysis: Jinmiao Lu.

Funding/Support: General program grant from the national natural science foundation of China (No. 81370776).

#### References

- Kabra NS, Schmidt B, Roberts RS, Doyle LW, Papile L, Fanaroff A, et al. Neurosensory impairment after surgical closure of patent ductus arteriosus in extremely low birth weight infants: results from the Trial of Indomethacin Prophylaxis in Preterms. J Pediatr. 2007;150(3):229– 34. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.11.039. [PubMed: 17307535].
- Gersony WM, Peckham GJ, Ellison RC. Effects of indomethacin in premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus: Results of a national collaborative study. J Pediatr. 1983;102(6):895–906.
- Campbell DC, Hood RJ, Dooley BN. Patent ductus arteriosus. Review of literature and experience with surgical corrections. *J Lancet*. 1967;87(10):415–8. [PubMed: 6077620].
- Allen HD, Goldberg SJ, Valdes-Cruz LM, Sahn DJ. Use of echocardiography in newborns with patent ductus arteriosus: a review. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 1982;3(1):65-70. doi: 10.1007/BF02082335. [PubMed: 7155942].
- Blinova EI, Aleksi-Meskhishvili VV. [Patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants (review of the literature)]. *Pediatriia*. 1982(1):70–2. [PubMed: 6801617].
- Neal WA, Mullett MD. Patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants: a review of current management. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 1982;3(1):59–63. doi: 10.1007/BF02082334. [PubMed: 7155941].
- Neumann R, Schulzke SM, Buhrer C. Oral ibuprofen versus intravenous ibuprofen or intravenous indomethacin for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neonatology*. 2012;**102**(1):9–15. doi: 10.1159/000335332. [PubMed: 22414850].
- Noori S, McCoy M, Friedlich P, Bright B, Gottipati V, Seri I, et al. Failure of ductus arteriosus closure is associated with increased mortality in preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 2009;**123**(1):138–44. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2418. [PubMed: 19117835].
- Bancalari E, Claure N, Gonzalez A. Patent ductus arteriosus and respiratory outcome in premature infants. *Biol Neonate*. 2005;88(3):192– 201. doi: 10.1159/000087582. [PubMed: 16210841].
- Smyth JM, Collier PS, Darwish M, Millership JS, Halliday HL, Petersen S, et al. Intravenous indometacin in preterm infants with symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus. A population pharmacokinetic study. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2004;58(3):249–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2004.02139.x. [PubMed: 15327584].

- Tefft RG. The impact of an early Ibuprofen treatment protocol on the incidence of surgical ligation of the ductus arteriosus. *Am J Perinatol.* 2010;**27**(1):83-90. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1239492. [PubMed: 19784912].
- Novick WM, Watson DJ, Alpert BS, Becker JA, DiSessa TG, Ring JC, et al. Patent ductus arteriosus ligation: are we doing better? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1995;59(4):822-4. [PubMed: 7695403].
- Shenassa H, Sankaran K, Duncan W, Tyrrell M, Bharadwaj B. Surgical ligation of patent ductus arteriosus in a neonatal intensive care setting is safe and cost effective. *Can J Cardiol.* 1986;2(6):353–5. [PubMed: 3100008].
- Casalaz D. Ibuprofen versus indomethacin for closure of patent ductus arteriosus. N Engl J Med. 2001;344(6):457–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200102083440612. [PubMed: 11221607].
- Van Overmeire B, Smets K, Lecoutere D, Van de Broek H, Weyler J, Degroote K, et al. A comparison of ibuprofen and indomethacin for closure of patent ductus arteriosus. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;**343**(10):674–81. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200009073431001. [PubMed: 10974130].
- Clyman RI. Ibuprofen and patent ductus arteriosus. N Engl J Med. 2000;343(10):728-30. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200009073431009. [PubMed: 10974138].
- Mosca F, Bray M, Lattanzio M, Fumagalli M, Tosetto C. Comparative evaluation of the effects of indomethacin and ibuprofen on cerebral perfusion and oxygenation in preterm infants with patent ductus arteriosus. J Pediatr. 1997;131(4):549–54. [PubMed: 9386657].
- 18. Cheng DD, Ortiz EE, Angtuaco JL. A single-blind randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy of two doses of oral ibuprofen with intravenous indomethacin in terms of ductus arteriosus closure among premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus: A phase 2A clinical trial. Acta Medica Philippina. 2012;46-47(4-1):51-5.
- Patel J, Marks KA, Roberts I, Azzopardi D, Edwards AD. Ibuprofen treatment of patent ductus arteriosus. *Lancet.* 1995;**346**(8969):255. [PubMed: 7616831].
- Chotigeat U, Jirapapa K, Layangkool T. A comparison of oral ibuprofen and intravenous indomethacin for closure of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2003;86 Suppl 3:563–9. [PubMed: 14700149].
- Adamska E, Helwich E, Rutkowska M, Zacharska E, Piotrowska A. [Comparison of the efficacy of ibuprofen and indomethacin in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in prematurely born infants]. *Med Wieku Rozwoj.* 2005;9(3 Pt 1):335–54. [PubMed: 16547381].
- 22. Gimeno Navarro A, Cano Sanchez A, Fernandez Gilino C, Carrasco Moreno JI, Izquierdo Macián I., Gutiérrez Laso A, et al. Ibuprofen versus indomethacin in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2005;**63**(3):212–8.
- Hammerman C, Shchors I, Jacobson S, Schimmel MS, Bromiker R, Kaplan M, et al. Ibuprofen versus continuous indomethacin in premature neonates with patent ductus arteriosus: is the difference in the mode of administration? *Pediatr Res.* 2008;64(3):291-7. doi: 10.1203/PDR.0b013e31817d9bb0. [PubMed: 18458658].
- 24. Lago P, Bettiol T, Salvadori S, Pitassi I, Vianello A, Chiandetti L, et al. Safety and efficacy of ibuprofen versus indomethacin in preterm infants treated for patent ductus arteriosus: a randomised controlled trial. *EurJ Pediatr.* 2002;**161**(4):202-7. [PubMed: 12014386].
- Patel J, Roberts I, Azzopardi D, Hamilton P, Edwards AD. Randomized double-blind controlled trial comparing the effects of ibuprofen with indomethacin on cerebral hemodynamics in preterm infants with patent ductus arteriosus. *Pediatr Res.* 2000;47(1):36–42. [PubMed: 10625080].
- Su BH, Lin HC, Chiu HY, Hsieh HY, Chen HH, Tsai YC. Comparison of ibuprofen and indometacin for early-targeted treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in extremely premature infants: a randomised controlled trial. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2008;93(2):94–9. doi: 10.1136/adc.2007.120584. [PubMed: 17768157].
- 27. Pezzati M, Vangi V, Biagiotti R, Bertini G, Cianciulli D, Rubaltelli FF. Effects of indomethacin and ibuprofen on mesenteric and renal blood flow in preterm infants with patent ductus arteriosus. *J Pediatr.* 1999;**135**(6):733–8.

Iran J Pediatr. 2017; 27(4):e6328.

- Kitterman JA, Edmunds LJ, Gregory GA, Heymann MA, Tooley WH, Rudolph AM. Patent ducts arteriosus in premature infants. Incidence, relation to pulmonary disease and management. N Engl J Med. 1972;287(10):473–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197209072871001. [PubMed: 5048708].
- Thomas RL, Parker GC, Van Overmeire B, Aranda JV. A meta-analysis of ibuprofen versus indomethacin for closure of patent ductus arteriosus. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2005;**164**(3):135–40. doi: 10.1007/s00431-004-1596-5. [PubMed: 15717178].
- Van Overmeire B, Follens I, Hartmann S, Creten WL, Van Acker KJ. Treatment of patent ductus arteriosus with ibuprofen. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 1997;76(3):179–84. [PubMed: 9175948].
- Kanmaz G, Erdeve O, Canpolat FE, Oguz SS, Uras N, Altug N, et al. Serum ibuprofen levels of extremely preterm infants treated prophylactically with oral ibuprofen to prevent patent ductus arteriosus. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;69(5):1075–81. doi: 10.1007/s00228-012-1438-8. [PubMed: 23128963].
- 32. Bagnoli F, Rossetti A, Messina G, Mori A, Casucci M, Tomasini B. Treatment of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) using ibuprofen: re-

nal side-effects in VLBW and ELBW newborns. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2013;**26**(4):423-9. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2012.733775. [PubMed: 23057804].

- Dani C, Vangi V, Bertini G, Pratesi S, Lori I, Favelli F, et al. High-dose ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus in extremely preterm infants: a randomized controlled study. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2012;91(4):590–6. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2011.284. [PubMed: 22089267].
- Sajan Saini S, Mahajan V. High-dose Ibuprofen therapy for patent ductus arteriosus in extremely preterm neonates: do we have a final answer? *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2012;**92**(5):557. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2012.150. [PubMed: 22968043].
- Koch J, Hensley G, Roy L, Brown S, Ramaciotti C, Rosenfeld CR. Prevalence of spontaneous closure of the ductus arteriosus in neonates at a birth weight of 1000 grams or less. *Pediatrics*. 2006;**117**(4):1113–21. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-1528. [PubMed: 16585305].
- Desfrere L, Zohar S, Morville P, Brunhes A, Chevret S, Pons G, et al. Dosefinding study of ibuprofen in patent ductus arteriosus using the continual reassessment method. *J Clin Pharm Ther.* 2005;**30**(2):121-32. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2710.2005.00630.x. [PubMed: 15811164].