

A Systematic Review of Main Factors leading to Irrational Prescription of Medicine

Azam Mohamadloo,¹ Ali Ramezankhani,^{1*} Saeed Zarein-Dolab,² Jamshid Salamzadeh,³ and Fatemeh

Mohamadloo⁴

¹School of Public Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

²School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

³School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

⁴Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Ali Ramezankhani, Velenjak St., Shahid Chamran Highway, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2122432036, E-mail: azammohamadloo@gmail.com

Received 2016 December 29; Revised 2017 January 28; Accepted 2017 March 19.

Abstract

Context: One of the serious global problems is the irrational prescribing of medicines that can be regarded as harmful or wasteful. Inappropriate use and overuse of medicines, waste resources and therefore lead to health and economic consequences in patients. The goal of this systematic review was to identify factors associated with irrational prescriptions of medicine.

Evidence Acquisition: We searched the Cochrane database of systematic reviews (via Cochrane library), PubMed, Medline, Scopus, Science Direct, BMC, Scholar Google, and SID from 1980 up to October of 2016.

Results: Of the 594 papers, 26 papers were finally included. The combined results of the 26 papers indicated 24 factors for unnecessary prescriptions of medicine. Factors related to the patient were expectations, demand to prescribe, and poor medical knowledge. Factors related to the physician were inaccurate diagnosis, inadequate awareness and knowledge, low experience, information asymmetry, poor medical education, and the physician's attitude. Factors related to the institutional and political issues were fee-for-service, out-of-pocket payment, financial incentives, insurance reimbursements, insurance coverage, medicine subsidy, medicine advertisement, ineffective monitoring programs, regulation on prescription, prescription supervision, clinical guidance, and medicines near-expiry dates or expired.

Conclusions: It can be concluded that the irrational/unnecessary prescription of medicine was influenced by many different factors, such as patient, physician, and institution. Thus to prevent irrational/unnecessary prescription, one needs to consider all the involved factors.

Keywords: Drug Prescriptions, Prescriptions, Physician-Patient Relations

1. Context

Different forms of irrational prescription include misuse, abuse, overuse, and polypharmacy. One of the serious global problems is irrational prescribing of medicines that can be considered as harmful and/or wasteful (1). While patients have the choice to select their doctors, doctors have the choice to decide on the kind of diagnosis and the quantity of health services patients consume. In other words, the doctor is the first gate to medicine use (2, 3). However, because of the patient's inadequate information about medicine, the physician has the possibility to influence both the kind of diagnosis and the number of health services provided, as well as possibly the number of visits (4-8). Physician-induced demand clearly implies an effort to persuade patients to overuse services (4, 9-12). According to WHO reports, more than 50% of all medicines are inappropriately prescribed or sold, and nearly half of the patients do not take them appropriately (13). The re-

port shows that patients are treated better in public sectors than private ones based on standard clinical guidelines (1). Ramezankhani et al. in a study on assessing the medicine prescriptions of health care centers, found out that prescription of medicine in the private sector was more than that of the public sector due to better monitoring programs (14).

Certain studies reported that inappropriate use and/or overuse of medicines wasted resources and led to health and economic consequences in patients (13, 15, 16). In providing evidence for induced demand, Reynolds and McKee conducted a study on factors influencing antibiotic prescription in China and found out that doctors overprescribe antibiotics because they share the income made by pharmaceutical suppliers as well as hospitals (17). Brekke and Kuhn evaluated the effects of advertising in pharmaceutical markets and suggested that the pharmaceutical advertisement may prompt unnecessary visits and patient demand to prescribe unnecessary medicine (18). Cockburn

conducted a study on the effect of patients' expectations for medication; it showed that when the physician found out that the patient expects medication, the possibility of providing the patient with an unnecessary prescription was 10 times more than when the physician thought that the patient did not expect any medication. The predictor of an unnecessary prescription was the physicians' awareness of patients' expectations (19).

The goal of this systematic review was to identify factors associated with irrational prescriptions of medicine. The review examined all research studies on unnecessary prescriptions, irrational prescription, physician-induced demand, and irrational use of medicine.

2. Evidence Acquisition

We searched the Cochrane database of systematic reviews (via Cochrane library), PubMed, Medline, Scopus, Science Direct, BMC, Scholar Google, and SID from 1980 up to October of 2016. We used the following keywords (Mesh-terms): Patient, Client, Physician-Patient Relations, Doctor-Patient Relations, Drug Prescriptions, General Practitioners, Prescription Drug Overuse, Medication Overuse, and Prescription Drugs.

The searches were limited to the studies published in the English language on the following topics: unnecessary prescription, irrational prescription, irrational use of medicine, and induced demand for health service. A total of 594 papers were identified. At first we selected the appropriate studies based on the titles and abstracts. Then, the full-text copies of the selected papers were checked for possible relevance. The reference lists of all the selected articles were also checked as a backward search. We excluded studies in which the inclusion criteria were not explicit.

The quality of the selected papers was evaluated based on Grades of recommendation, assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE) (20) consisting of 6 general items: 1) study design (qualitative or quantitative), 2) limitations (due to, for example, study design), 3) method (to state study design, setting and data of the study), 4) consistency of results, 5) indirectness (e.g. generalizability of the findings), and 6) precision (e.g. Sufficient data). The overall quality was considered to be high (6 out of 6) when the study provided precise, sufficient, and consistent results. The quality was considered to be 5 out of 6 when one of the factors was not satisfied. Thus, the papers, which acquired 6 or 5 out of 6, were included in the study. All the steps of the search were double checked by another reviewer, and consensus was obtained in a meeting over any inconsistencies (Figure 1). This systematic review left 26 papers.




Figure 1. The Flow Diagram of Selection and Inclusion of Studies

3. Results

A total of 594 papers in the search process were screened for eligibility. Of these 594 papers, 26 papers were finally included. Table 1 displays summary information for each study (year, country/city, study design, and sample size) and Table 2 displays factors associated with irrational prescriptions of medicine.

Four studies have been performed to investigate the physician-induced demand and its related factors (5, 12, 22, 34). Wilensky et al. in 1983, reviewed the findings from a series of studies on induced demand from the national medical care expenditure survey of the national center for health services research. They reported that induced demand was due to factors as demand for medical care by patients, physician-to-population ratio, increasing physician-initiated visits, physician-initiated health services, reimbursement system, insurance reimbursements, insurance coverage, and the share of the bill paid out-of-pocket by the patient (12). Also, Izumidia, in a study on examining the physician-induced demand hypothesis, adopted the expenditure function approach and showed that physician-population ratio and self-payment price are influencing factors initiating the demand for unnecessary services (22). Amporfu, in examining the supplier-induced demand, compared the changes in demands for health care services in the public and private hospitals and reported that unnecessary visits, especially follow-up visits, increased the irrational use of medicines (34).

Three studies have been conducted to examine the effects of patient's expectations and demands for medication (19, 21, 29). Evidence suggests that prescribing behavior is influenced by patient's expectations. Coenen et al. in a study on the impact of patient's demand for prescription of antibiotic, showed that when GPs perceived patient's demand, there was a higher incidence of prescription of antibiotic (29).

Three qualitative studies investigated knowledge, attitudes, and practices in physician prescription (17, 23, 24).

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Articles

First Author	Years	Country/City	Design ^a	Sample
Wilensky (12)	1983	Virginia	4	-
Cockburn (19)	1997	Australia	3	22 GPs, 336 of their patients
Britten and Ukoumunne (21)	1997	London	2	544 unselected patients consulting 15 GPs
Izumidia et al. (22)	1999	Japan	3	Not Mention
Britten and Ukoumunne (23)	2000	UK	2	20 GPs, 35 consulting patients
Gosden et al. (15)	2001	Denmark	1	Six studies that compared fee-for-service, capitation, salary or target payments
Ely et al. (24)	2002	USA	2	9 academic GPs, 14 family doctors, 2 medical librarians
Delattr and Dormont (5)	2003	Switzerland	2	4500 French self-employed physicians
Madden et al. (25)	2005	Ireland	5	20,466 individuals aged 16 years and over
Blomqvist and Leger (26)	2005	Singapore	3	Not Mention
Akerman et al. (27)	2005	Netherlands	3	146 GPs included all patients during a 4 week period in the winter of 2002/2003
Doran et al. (28)	2005	Australia	2	33 in-depth interviews from all adult age groups
Coenen et al. (29)	2006	Belgium	3	85 Flemish GPs
Brekke and Kuhn (18)	2006	Germany	3	Two pharmaceutical firms
Manchikanti (30)	2007	Louisville	4	-
Devlin and Sarma (31)	2008	Canada	3	2004 Canadian National Physician
Leonard et al. (32)	2009	Belgium	1	25 papers
Reynolds and McKee (17)	2009	China	2	24 patients, 11 village doctors, 26 health workers, two independent pharmacists, two village leaders, and three family planning officials
Dusansky and Koc (33)	2010	Austin	3	Not mention
Manchikanti (30)	2010	India	2	Three FGDs with 36 prescribers
Amporfu (34)	2011	Ghana	3	2045 (1587patients received treatment from public hospitals and 458patients in private hospitals)
Yousefi et al. (35)	2012	Iran	2	15 GPs
Mao et al. (36)	2013	China	4	-
Teixeira Rodrigues et al. (37)	2013	Portugal	1	35 papers
Soleymani et al. (38)	2013	Iran	3	144 pharmacists
Chen et al. (39)	2014	Chin	3	8,258 prescriptions in 2007 and 8,278 prescriptions in 2010, from 83 primary health care facilities
Clemens and Gottlieb (40)	2014	Canada	2	2915 patients

^aStudy design: 1, systematic review; 2, qualitative; 3, quantitative; 4, review; 5, working paper.

Britten and Ukoumunne conducted interviews with participants to assess factors associated with decisions GPs make in prescribing medicine. Their results showed that inaccurate diagnosis and the patient's inadequate cooperation with the physician in the visits are associated with inappropriate decisions in prescribing medicine (23). Ely et al. conducted interviews with participants to describe obstacles in the appropriate medical practice and reported that gap in knowledge and lack of physician awareness were 2 main obstacles for rational prescription (24). Reynolds et al. in a semi-structured interview, assessed knowledge, attitudes,

and practices in prescribing antibiotics and reported that certain factors motivated the physicians to initiate an unnecessary prescription of antibiotic such as: speed up patient's recovery, financial incentives, and incomplete national guidance (17).

Three studies have examined the impact of the payment system on physician practices (15, 25, 31). All 3 studies suggested that fee-for-service provides a higher quantity of health care services compared with physicians paid by capitation and salary. Madden et al. in a study on determining the relation between payment system for GPs and visit-

ing rates, found out that the physicians who were paid on a fee-for-service method, behaved in their own economic self-interests and had higher visiting rates and more utilization of health care services (25).

Two studies assessed the interaction between insurance and health services consumption as well as demand (26, 33). Blomqvist and Leger in a study assessing the interaction between insurance and health services utilization, found out that in the context where the doctors were paid by capitation and patients who paid only part of the cost of their health services, the physician practice was significantly influenced by information asymmetry, patient cost sharing and fee-for-service payment method (26). Dusan-sky, in a study, assessed the effects of the interaction between insurance choice and medical service demand and reported that an increase in price for medical services led to a decrease in the request for medical services and also an increase in the request for more insurance coverage. However, the increase in insurance coverage increased the demand for medical services (33).

A total of 7 studies investigated effective factors on irrational prescription of medicine (27, 30, 35-38, 41). Akker-man et al. in a study, assessed determining factors of over-prescribing of antibiotics using the Dutch national guidelines as a benchmark. They reported that overestimation of the symptoms by physicians and patients' expectations could be the most important determinants of overprescribing (27). Manchikanti reviewed the facts on the overuse of medicine and found out that lack of education about the factors involved in unnecessary medication for physicians, pharmacists, and the public; and ineffective monitoring programs were important factors for overuse of medicine (30). Kotwani et al. conducted a qualitative study to examine the factors effective in prescribing antibiotics by primary care physicians in Delhi. They used 3 focus group discussions to explore the views of primary care physicians in both private and public sectors. They found out that the significant factors for antibiotic prescriptions were inaccurate diagnosis, patient's expectation and demand, sustainability in practice, financial incentives, and physician's inadequate knowledge. They reported 2 additional factors in the public sector, such as: prescribing overstocked and near-expiry date antibiotics to save money as well as spending inadequate time with the patient to visit more patients (41).

Yousefi et al. in a study, conducted interviews with GPs to assess effective reasons on the irrational prescription of Corticosteroids and found that the effective factors in irrational prescription were lack of physician awareness, physician-patient relationship, inadequate accessibility of alternative medicines, and poor supervision on the prescriptions (35). Mao et al. in a review study, discussed

the situation of irrational use of medicines, suggested that lack of knowledge in providers and patients fee-for-service payment were the factors involved in the irrational use of medicine (36).

Teixeira Rodrigues et al. in a systematic review about the physician's opinions on influencing factors for antibiotic prescribing, found out that physician's attitudes, patients' expectations, demand for previous clinical practice, poor education in university, lack of continuous medical education and years of experience, and clinical practice could interfere with appropriate prescription (37). Soleymani et al. in a cross-sectional study, used a pre-designed questionnaire in a convenient sampling of pharmacists to analyze the pharmacists' viewpoints about the main factors of rational use of medicine and reported that the most important determinant was the lack of public knowledge and awareness about the appropriate use of medicines (38).

Two studies have examined the impact of economics incentives on the physician's behavior (39, 40). Clemens et al. in a study, developed a model of physicians' joint supply and investment decisions and concluded that the health care supply was influenced by patient's demand, fee-for-service, out-of-pocket, and financial incentives (40).

Brekkea et al. in a study, investigated the effects of advertising on the use of medicine in the market. Their results showed that advertising could increase unnecessary visits to the physicians overloading physician's services, and consequently initiating unnecessary prescription (18).

Leonard et al. in a systematic review, assessed the correlation between doctor density and health care consumption. The results showed a significant positive correlation between doctor density and health care consumption. An increase in the number of doctors increased physician-induced demand and increased follow-up visits (32).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this systematic review was to identify factors leading to irrational prescriptions of medicine. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review study that considered factors involved in both irrational prescription and induced demand for medication in health care services. The combined results of 26 studies indicated 3 main categories: 3 patient's factors, 9 physician's factors, and 12 institutional and political factors.

The patient's factors were patient's expectations, demand to prescribe, and poor medical knowledge. Thus, we recommend that patients or the community could be targeted for educational interventions to modify the 3 factors. Such interventions might include education to increase

medical awareness of patients (35, 41), improve pharmaceutical knowledge (38), and change the patient's attitude based on an understanding of his beliefs (17). Ahmed, in 2009, suggested that there is a need to health education interventions to reduce the knowledge gap between the doctors and patient, and empower the patients to make choices and decisions about their treatment (16).

Physician's factors were inaccurate diagnosis, inadequate awareness and knowledge, low experience, information asymmetry, poor medical education, physician's attitude, physician-to-population ratio, increased follow-up visits, and physician-patient relationship. We recommend effective interventions to promote the best practice (17), improve diagnostic accuracy, enhance patient-centered consulting skills (27), optimize prescribing (29), promote the patient health (32), and upgrade knowledge and awareness through continuing medical educations (41). Yousefi, in 2012, suggested that interventions such as workshops, focused on training programs based on problem-solving approach and training programs for pharmacists can be useful in reducing irrational prescriptions (35).

The institutional and political factors were fee-for-service, out-of-pocket payment, financial incentives, insurance reimbursements, insurance coverage, medicine subsidy, medicine advertisement, ineffective monitoring programs, regulation on prescription, prescription supervision, lack of clinical guidance, and medicines near-expire dates or expired.

Thus, we recommend to consider multi-faceted strategies to change payment system from fee-for-service method to capitation (15, 25), prescribe base on clinical guidelines (29, 32, 35, 36, 42), develop a policy to establish a certain number of patient visits per week (31), control and establish stricter rules, regulations and policies for prescription (16, 35, 36, 38, 41), evaluate the legitimacy of a follow-up visit (32), remove perverse economics incentives (17, 36), improve surveillance (17, 38), prescribe based on essential drug lists (35, 36), and finance medicine policies (38).

Mao, in 2013, suggested some necessary strategies in the prevention of irrational prescription. The strategies, including separating medicines sales from service providing, prepayment mechanism based on fee-for-service and prescription based on clinical guidelines, and essential medicine list (36).

This review has 2 limitations. First, the search was limited to articles published in English. Second, we might have missed relevant unpublished studies.

It can be concluded that the irrational/unnecessary prescription of medicine was influenced by many different factors, which were related to the patient, physician, and institution. Thus to prevent irrational/unnecessary

prescription, one needs to consider all the involved factors and take measures to eliminate or reduce their impacts on the health care system.

Acknowledgments

This systematic review is the result the literature review and was conducted from the PhD thesis with title "Development and Testing a Model to Decrease Induced Demand for Medicine Prescription". We would like to thank everyone who participated in the study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Azam Mohamadloo, Ali Ramezankhani and Saeed Zarein-Dolab conceived and designed the study. Azam Mohamadloo and Fatemeh Mohamadloo performed data acquisition. Azam Mohamadloo, Ali Ramezankhani and Jamshid Salamzadeh performed analysis and interpretation of data. Azam Mohamadloo drafted the manuscript. Azam Mohamadloo, Saeed Zarein-Dolab, Ali Ramezankhani and Jamshid Salamzadeh revised it critically for important intellectual content. Azam Mohamadloo and Fatemeh Mohamadloo performed the statistical analysis. Azam Mohamadloo and Ali Ramezankhani performed administrative, technical, and material support and supervised the study. All authors read and approve the final manuscript.

Declaration of Interest: None.

Funding/Support: None.

References

- Holloway K, Dijk LV. The World Medicines Situation 2011: Rational use of medicines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
- Andargie G. Introduction to Health Economics. Lectures Notes, For All Health Science Students, EPTHI, Ethiopia Public Health Training Initiative. University of Gonder; 2008.
- Merino-Castello A. Demand for Pharmaceutical Drugs: A Choice Modelling Experiment. UPF Economics and Business Working Paper; 2004.
- Sorensen R, Grytten J. Competition and supplier induced demand in a health care system with fixed fees. *Health Econ.* 1999;8(6).
- Delattre E, Dormont B. Fixed fees and physician-induced demand: a panel data study on French physicians. *Health Econ.* 2003;12(9):741-54. doi: [10.1002/hec.823](https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.823). [PubMed: [12950093](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12950093/)].
- Rice TH, Labelle RJ. Do physicians induce demand for medical services? *J Health Polit Policy Law.* 1989;14(3):587-600. [PubMed: [2794423](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2794423/)].
- Peacock SJ, Richardson JR. Supplier-induced demand: re-examining identification and misspecification in cross-sectional analysis. *Eur J Health Econ.* 2007;8(3):267-77. doi: [10.1007/s10198-007-0044-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-007-0044-7). [PubMed: [17401594](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17401594/)].
- Grytten J, Sorensen R. Type of contract and supplier-induced demand for primary physicians in Norway. *J Health Econ.* 2001;20(3):379-93.

9. Shih YCT, Tai-Seale M. Physicians' perception of demand induced supply in the information age: a latent class model analysis. *Health Econ*. 2012;**21**(3):252-69.
10. Blomqvist A. The doctor as double agent: information asymmetry, health insurance, and medical care. *J Health Econ*. 1991;**10**(4):411-32. [PubMed: 10117012].
11. Labelle R, Stoddart G, Rice T. A re-examination of the meaning and importance of supplier-induced demand. *J Health Econ*. 1994;**13**(3):347-68. [PubMed: 10138860].
12. Wilensky GR, Rossiter LF. The relative importance of physician-induced demand in the demand for medical care. *Milbank Mem Fund Q Health Soc*. 1983;**61**(2):252-77. [PubMed: 653768].
13. World Health Organization . Promoting rational use of medicines: core components. World Health Organization; 2002.
14. Ramezankhani A, Nafisi M, Omrani M. Assessing Medicine Prescriptions in Health Care Centers. Tehran: Vice Chancellor for Health Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences; 2009.
15. Gosden T, Forland F, Kristiansen IS, Sutton M, Leese B, Giuffrida A, et al. Impact of payment method on behaviour of primary care physicians: a systematic review. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2001;**6**(1):44-55. doi: 10.1258/1355819011927198. [PubMed: 11219360].
16. Ahmed J, Shaikh BT. The Many Faces of Supplier Induced Demand in Health Care. *Iran J Public Health*. 2009;**38**(2):139-41.
17. Reynolds L, McKee M. Factors influencing antibiotic prescribing in China: an exploratory analysis. *Health Policy*. 2009;**90**(1):32-6. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.09.002. [PubMed: 18849089].
18. Brekke KR, Kuhn M. Direct to consumer advertising in pharmaceutical markets. *J Health Econ*. 2006;**25**(1):102-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2005.06.003. [PubMed: 16280182].
19. Cockburn J, Pit S. Prescribing behaviour in clinical practice: patients' expectations and doctors' perceptions of patients' expectations—a questionnaire study. *BMJ*. 1997;**315**(7107):520-3. [PubMed: 9329308].
20. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2004;**328**(7454):1490. doi: 10.1136/bmj.328.7454.1490. [PubMed: 15205295].
21. Britten N, Ukoumunne O. The influence of patients' hopes of receiving a prescription on doctors' perceptions and the decision to prescribe: a questionnaire survey. *BMJ*. 1997;**315**(7121):1506-10. [PubMed: 9420493].
22. Izumida N, Urushi H, Nakanishi S. An empirical study of the physician-induced demand hypothesis: The cost function approach to medical expenditure of the elderly in Japan. *Rev Popul Soc Policy*. 1999;**8**:11-25.
23. Britten N, Ukoumunne O. Misunderstandings in prescribing decisions in general practice: qualitative study. *BMJ*. 2000;**320**(7233).
24. Ely JW, Osheroff JA, Ebell MH, Chambliss ML, Vinson DC, Stevermer JJ, et al. Obstacles to answering doctors' questions about patient care with evidence: qualitative study. *BMJ*. 2002;**324**(7339):710. [PubMed: 11909789].
25. Madden D, Nolan A, Nolan B. GP reimbursement and visiting behaviour in Ireland. *Health Econ*. 2005;**14**(10):1047-60. doi: 10.1002/hec.995. [PubMed: 15791674].
26. Blomqvist A, Leger PT. Information asymmetry, insurance, and the decision to hospitalize. *J Health Econ*. 2005;**24**(4):775-93. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2004.12.001. [PubMed: 15939493].
27. Akkerman AE, Kuyvenhoven MM, van der Wouden JC, Verheij TJ. Determinants of antibiotic overprescribing in respiratory tract infections in general practice. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2005;**56**(5):930-6. doi: 10.1093/jac/dki283. [PubMed: 16155062].
28. Doran E, Robertson J, Henry D. Moral hazard and prescription medicine use in Australia—the patient perspective. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;**60**(7):1437-43. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.08.005. [PubMed: 15652677].
29. Coenen S, Michiels B, Renard D, Denekens J, Van Royen P. Antibiotic prescribing for acute cough: the effect of perceived patient demand. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2006;**56**(524):183-90. [PubMed: 16536958].
30. Manchikanti L. National drug control policy and prescription drug abuse: facts and fallacies. *Pain Physician*. 2007;**10**(3):399-424. [PubMed: 17525776].
31. Devlin RA, Sarma S. Do physician remuneration schemes matter? The case of Canadian family physicians. *J Health Econ*. 2008;**27**(5):1168-81. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2008.05.006. [PubMed: 18586341].
32. Leonard C, Stordeur S, Roberfroid D. Association between physician density and health care consumption: a systematic review of the evidence. *Health Policy*. 2009;**91**(2):121-34. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.11.013. [PubMed: 19150579].
33. Dusansky R, Koc C. Implications of the interaction between insurance choice and medical care demand. *J Risk Insurance*. 2010;**77**(1):129-44.
34. Amporfu E. Private hospital accreditation and inducement of care under the Ghanaian national insurance scheme. *Health Econ Rev*. 2011;**1**(1):13. doi: 10.1186/2191-1991-1-13. [PubMed: 22827881].
35. Yousefi N, Majdzadeh R, Valadkhani M, Nedjat S, Mohammadi H. Reasons for physicians' tendency to irrational prescription of corticosteroids. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2012;**14**(11):713-8. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.2284. [PubMed: 23396638].
36. Mao W, Tang S, Chen W. Does perverse economic incentive lead to the irrational uses of medicines?. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*. 2013;**13**(6):693-6. doi: 10.1586/14737167.2013.856266. [PubMed: 24219043].
37. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcao A, Figueiras A, Herdeiro MT. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2013;**41**(3):203-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.09.003. [PubMed: 23127482].
38. Soleymani F, Ahmadizar F, Meysamie A, Abdollahi M. A survey on the factors influencing the pattern of medicine's use: Concerns on irrational use of drugs. *J Res Pharm Pract*. 2013;**2**(2):59-63. doi: 10.4103/2279-042X.117385. [PubMed: 24991605].
39. Chen M, Wang L, Chen W, Zhang L, Jiang H, Mao W. Does economic incentive matter for rational use of medicine? China's experience from the essential medicines program. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2014;**32**(3):245-55. doi: 10.1007/s40273-013-0068-z. [PubMed: 23813440].
40. Clemens J, Gottlieb JD. Do Physicians' Financial Incentives Affect Medical Treatment and Patient Health?. *Am Econ Rev*. 2014;**104**(4):1320-49. doi: 10.1257/aer.104.4.1320. [PubMed: 25170174].
41. Kotwani A, Wattal C, Katewa S, Joshi PC, Holloway K. Factors influencing primary care physicians to prescribe antibiotics in Delhi India. *Fam Pract*. 2010;**27**(6):684-90. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cm0059. [PubMed: 20660529].
42. Simbar M, Nahidi F, Tehrani FR, Ramezankhani A. Fathers' educational needs for perinatal care in urban Iran: a qualitative approach. *J Biosoc Sci*. 2010;**42**(5):633-41. doi: 10.1017/S0021932010000167. [PubMed: 20470446].

Table 2. Factors Associated with Irrational Prescriptions of Medicine

First Author (Country)	Demand to Prescribe	Patient's Expectations	Inaccurate Diagnosis and Knowledge	Physician's Attitude	Physician's Poor Medical Knowledge	Low Experience	Physician to Population Ratio	Increase in Follow-up Visits	Physician's Relationship	Fee for Service	Insurance Reimbursements	Insurance Coverage	Out-of-Pocket	Medicine Subsidy	Medicine Advertisement	Ineffective Monitoring Programs	Lack of Regulation	Financial Incentives	Lack of Clinical Guidance	Medicines Near-Expiry Dates or Expired
Wilensky and Rossiter (2)	+						+	+		+	+	+								
Cockburn and Pitt (19)	+																			
Britten and UK-oumune (21)	+								+											
Izumida et al. (22)							+													
Britten and UK-oumune (23)									+											
Goeden et al. (5)										+										
Ely et al. (24)																				
Delattre and Dor-mont (5)									+											
Madden et al. (25)																				
Blomqvist-an-dleger (26)										+										
Alkerman et al. (27)																				
Doran et al. (28)																				
Coenen et al. (29)																				

