



Psychometric Evaluation of Mindfulness in Teaching Scale: Measurement Invariance in Educational Level and Age

Mostafa Zahirinia ^{1,*}, Hassan Khezri ², Abdolvahab Samavi ³

¹ Professor, Department of Social Sciences, University of Hormozgan, Bandar Abbas, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Firuzabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Firuzabad, Iran

³ Professor, Department of Educational Sciences, University of Hormozgan, Bandar Abbas, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Professor, Department of Social Sciences, University of Hormozgan, Bandar Abbas, Iran. Email: mzahirinia54@gmail.com

Received: 27 August, 2024; Revised: 30 March, 2025; Accepted: 4 April, 2025

Abstract

Background: This study examined the factorial structure and measurement invariance of the Mindfulness in Teaching Scale (MTS) among 735 Iranian teachers, comprising 370 primary and 365 secondary school teachers, aged 24 to 55.

Methods: Using multi-group confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) with AMOS-24, the study validated the MTS's dual-factor model, which includes intrapersonal and interpersonal mindfulness, across the entire sample, as well as various educational levels and age groups.

Results: The model fit indices demonstrated satisfactory alignment for the aggregate sample and across different educational levels and age classifications, meeting established criteria including Comparative Fit Index (CFI) (0.90), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) (< 0.08), and χ^2/df ratios (< 3.0). All factor loadings exceeded 0.30 ($P < 0.05$), affirming the scale's structure and consistency with its original design. The reliability of the MTS and its subscales was acceptable, ranging from 0.68 to 0.85. Additionally, the MTS showed metric and scalar invariance for age groups [$\Delta\chi^2$ values of 19.25 ($\Delta df = 12$; $P > 0.05$) and 7.33 ($\Delta df = 5$; $P > 0.05$)], and for educational levels, the metric and scalar invariance models [$\Delta\chi^2$ of 6.75 ($\Delta df = 12$; $P > 0.05$) and $\Delta\chi^2$ of 22.36 ($\Delta df = 14$; $P > 0.05$)], supporting consistent measurement across subgroups. Furthermore, a positive correlation ($r = 0.23$, $P < 0.05$) with the Langer Mindfulness Scale (LMS) supported its validity.

Conclusions: The study suggests that the MTS is a reliable and valid tool for assessing mindfulness among primary and secondary school teachers in Iran.

Keywords: Measurement Invariance, Mindfulness in Teaching Scale, Psychometric Evaluation, Reliability, Validity

1. Background

Mindfulness is often defined as an elevated state of awareness achieved through focused, nonjudgmental attention to the present moment. This practice cultivates a nonreactive awareness, helping individuals remain anchored in the present while avoiding distractions or emotional responses (1). Mindfulness training has proven effective in enhancing psychological well-being and emotional regulation, particularly among women (2). Bishop et al. (3) proposed a dual-component framework of mindfulness involving self-regulation of attention and an open, interested, and accepting attitude toward experiences.

Research has shown that mindfulness enhances emotional regulation, cognitive flexibility, and stress management, making it a significant construct in education. It promotes emotional resilience, strengthens teacher-student relationships, and benefits the well-being of both teachers and students. Jennings (4) emphasizes that mindfulness enhances teachers' mental health and creates more supportive classroom environments.

A key critique of mindfulness research concerns its methodological challenges, including potential bias from self-report measures and inconsistencies in mindfulness definitions across studies, which limit generalizability and causal conclusions (5). To effectively

address these concerns, it is advisable to implement rigorous methodologies such as randomized controlled trials and objective assessments to enhance the validation of mindfulness outcomes within educational contexts. Moreover, mindfulness interventions should be specifically customized to meet the diverse requirements of students. Empirical evidence indicates that, although beneficial for many, mindfulness practices may induce discomfort in students grappling with unresolved trauma or elevated anxiety levels. Customizing interventions to align with the unique needs and preparedness of students can significantly contribute to ensuring both their safety and overall effectiveness.

To understand mindfulness's impact, it is essential to examine its effects on cognition, attention, emotion, physiology, and behavior. Studies have indicated that mindfulness can positively influence these domains (6), leading to diverse outcomes in workplace settings (7). The model proposed by Bishop et al. (3) defines mindfulness as involving two components: Self-regulation of attention—maintaining focus on present events and shifting attention as needed—and an open, accepting, and curious orientation toward experiences. In other words, mindfulness involves awareness of immediate experiences and a nonjudgmental attitude toward them, which relates to both positive and negative psychological variables (8).

Teaching, a particularly demanding profession, requires complex social, emotional, and cognitive skills (9). Teachers encounter diverse classroom experiences and relational expectations, necessitating emotional resilience and effective stress management. Mindfulness facilitates the development of these competencies, aiding teachers in maintaining focus and balance. Rising levels of stress and burnout represent considerable challenges, with approximately one-third of teachers reporting elevated daily stress, leading to emotional fatigue and diminished job satisfaction (4). Consequently, mindfulness practices are gaining increased recognition for their efficacy in alleviating stress and enhancing emotional regulation, thereby contributing to the overall well-being of instructors.

In addition to mindfulness, various other strategies, including social-emotional learning (SEL), stress management training, and peer support initiatives, have proven significant in bolstering teacher well-being and facilitating effective classroom management. These strategies provide a more holistic approach to supporting educators in diverse educational environments (10).

Teachers face numerous daily decisions that require significant emotional and attentional resources (11). Effective classroom management relies on teachers' ability to maintain a broad awareness of classroom dynamics while addressing individual student needs (12). Research indicates that primary school teachers experience higher levels of burnout and stress compared to their high school counterparts (13). Training teachers in mindfulness strategies can be beneficial, as studies have shown that mindful teachers have better interactions with students, positively impacting students' emotional regulation and academic performance (4). Techniques such as focused attention, walking meditation, pauses, breath control, and observation of thoughts can enhance coping in difficult situations (14). For instance, previous research has demonstrated the impact of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) training on overall health (15).

Despite its importance, age differences in mindfulness research are often overlooked. Some studies suggest that older adults exhibit higher attentiveness and emotional regulation than younger individuals (16). However, existing literature presents contradictory findings regarding mindfulness across age groups (17). Addressing these discrepancies is vital for understanding how age affects mindfulness, particularly in educational contexts where teachers of varying ages engage with mindfulness practices with limited exploration of age-specific factors affecting mindfulness effectiveness.

The existing corpus of mindfulness assessments remains limited, creating deficiencies in evaluating the efficacy of interventions such as MBSR in enhancing mindfulness levels. Various self-report instruments for measuring mindfulness have emerged, each with distinct attributes and constraints. The Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI), Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS), Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills (KIMS), Cognitive and Affective Mindfulness Scale (CAMS), Toronto Mindfulness Scale (TMS), Five Facets Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ), Southampton Mindfulness Questionnaire (SMQ), and Philadelphia Mindfulness Scale assess mindfulness through diverse methodologies and frameworks (18). However, none of these instruments is deemed appropriate for assessing mindfulness in teaching.

The Mindfulness in Teaching Scale (MTS), created by Frank et al. (19), assesses mindfulness in education through intrapersonal and interpersonal dimensions. Intrapersonal mindfulness involves a teacher's self-awareness and regulation, while interpersonal mindfulness focuses on mindful interactions with

students and colleagues, addressing both personal and social demands of teaching. Although self-report questionnaires like the MTS are common, they have limitations such as response biases. Therefore, incorporating objective measures (e.g., physiological data) and mixed-method designs could enhance understanding of mindfulness effects (5).

Additionally, western-based mindfulness concepts may not fully apply across cultures, as they often lack the spiritual or ethical aspects emphasized in other contexts. In Iran, a teacher-specific mindfulness scale is needed to address the unique challenges teachers encounter, as existing scales like the Langer Mindfulness Scale (LMS) and TMS may not capture the complexities of teaching in this context. The MTS, with its focus on intrapersonal and interpersonal mindfulness, is particularly suitable for assessing Iranian instructors' mindfulness. Additionally, the Persian version of the MAAS has been studied among Iranian women with breast cancer to measure their mindful attention and awareness (18).

To adapt the MTS for the Iranian context, it is crucial to consider linguistic nuances, cultural values, and local educational expectations that may influence responses. This process could involve expert consultation, pilot testing, and incorporating culturally relevant examples that resonate with Iranian educators. Such adaptations will help ensure that the MTS accurately reflects the cultural and contextual realities of teaching in Iran.

Consequently, the current investigation endeavors to evaluate the psychometric attributes and validity of the MTS within educational settings, employing confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) alongside the LMS to ascertain convergent validity. Additionally, this study will scrutinize measurement invariance across varying educational levels and age groups, thereby ensuring that the scale uniformly quantifies mindfulness among diverse populations. Through the assessment of these dimensions, the research aspires to enhance the scale's relevance and enrich the comprehension of mindfulness within a spectrum of educational environments.

2. Methods

2.1. Population and Sample

This psychometric study evaluated the mindfulness status among 735 Iranian teachers from Hormozgan province, comprising 23.5% male and 76.5% female participants, aged 20 - 55 years (mean = 34.71, SD = 6.38), teaching at primary and secondary school levels. Participants met the inclusion criteria of having at least

two years of teaching experience and proficiency in Persian. Exclusions were made for individuals with a significant psychiatric history or those not actively teaching, as verified by the Education Office. Participants' records were reviewed to identify any prior documentation of significant psychiatric diagnoses in their professional or institutional profiles. Individuals meeting these exclusion criteria were not included in the study. The sample size was determined through power analysis, ensuring sufficient power to detect meaningful effects in the CFA, thus supporting methodological rigor.

2.2. Instruments

Mindfulness was assessed using the MTS (19), which includes two subscales: Intrapersonal mindfulness (items 1 - 9) and interpersonal mindfulness (items 10 - 14), rated on a five-point Likert scale. Previous studies demonstrated satisfactory reliability for these subscales ($\alpha = 0.711$ for interpersonal and 0.86 for intrapersonal). To ensure convergent validity, the LMS (20), a dependable and accurate assessment of mindfulness, was used. This scale has been validated in Iran by Moafian et al. (21), with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.77 in this study. The MTS was systematically adapted into Persian using the international test commission's procedures, which included translation, back-translation, and cultural adjustments. To ensure cultural relevance, the process involved consultations with local educational experts, focus groups with teachers, and pilot testing of the translated scale to gather feedback on its clarity and suitability for the Iranian context.

2.3. Implementation Method

Data collection was conducted via SHAD, a secure online platform widely used in the Iranian education system. Participants were selected using a random selection method to ensure an unbiased sample. The data collection process spanned four weeks, allowing sufficient time to gather responses while maintaining participant confidentiality and data security. The survey, presented in Persian, was accessible to all participants, and data integrity was maintained through restrictions on multiple submissions. The informed consent process was conducted prior to data collection. The study received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of University of Hormozgan (code: HU-1402-211), confirming that all necessary ethical guidelines were followed in conducting this research.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

In the descriptive statistics section, the mean, standard deviation, and Pearson correlation coefficient were utilized to elucidate the characteristics of the data. For inferential statistics, CFA was employed to assess the psychometric properties of the MTS, validating its two-factor structure (intrapersonal and interpersonal mindfulness) within the Iranian context. Convergent validity was tested through correlations with the LMS scores.

Data analysis included screening for outliers using z-scores, and assessing normality and multicollinearity using the variance inflation factor (VIF). No significant outliers or multicollinearity issues were identified, indicating that the data were suitable for analysis. The fit indices for the CFA were evaluated based on Hu and Bentler's recommendations (22), using the following criteria: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) ≥ 0.90 , root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) ≤ 0.08 , and $\chi^2/DF < 3.0$.

To assess internal consistency, Cronbach's alpha and McDonald's omega coefficients were calculated, both exceeding 0.70, confirming strong reliability. The statistical analyses were performed using AMOS-24 and SPSS-26, with a significance level set at $P < 0.05$. Additionally, data completeness was ensured, as there were no missing responses during the data collection process. All participants provided full responses to the survey, ensuring a 100% response rate.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive Results

This study was conducted on 735 elementary and high school teachers. Descriptive indicators related to demographic variables are presented below. The demographic characteristics of the participants are detailed in Table 1.

According to the data presented in Table 1, 48% of the participants identified as male, while 52% identified as female. The distribution was equal, with 50% representing primary school educators and 50% representing secondary school teachers. The majority of individuals fell within the age category of 31 - 40 years, accounting for 43.54% of the sample. Regarding teaching experience, the majority of respondents (38.10%) had between 6 to 10 years of teaching experience. Table 2 displays the means of scores for the MTS items within the overall sample, along with normality indices and item reliability.

According to Table 2, all factor loadings for the MTS were statistically significant, validating its two

components: Intrapersonal and Interpersonal mindfulness. The skewness and kurtosis indices, along with the results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for all items, indicate that the scores on these items are normally distributed. The alpha coefficient for all items is above 0.60, which is considered satisfactory.

3.2. Model Fit Indices

The model fit indices indicated an acceptable fit for the overall sample, as well as across different educational levels and age groups. The criteria met included CFI values above 0.90, RMSEA below 0.08, and χ^2/df ratios under 3.0. Additionally, all items' factor loadings exceeded the 0.30 threshold, further confirming the scale's consistency across various groups. The model fit indices for the total sample, educational levels, and age groups are detailed in Table 3.

The regression coefficients reported in Table 3 illustrate the strength and direction of the relationships between the latent constructs and individual scale items. Higher regression coefficients suggest a stronger association between a given item and its underlying factor. Importantly, although some coefficients are lower than 0.30, they remain statistically significant, confirming that all items meaningfully contribute to the scale.

Table 4 presents the factor loadings for the items across the entire sample, including elementary and high school teachers, as well as individuals below and above the age of 40. The results confirm the substantial loading of the items onto their respective components. Although certain items, such as item 8, exhibit lower factor loadings (e.g., below the 0.30 threshold), it is important to note that all loadings are statistically significant. This statistical significance indicates that each item significantly contributes to the latent construct, even when its loading is relatively low. Despite the variations in loadings, the overall factor structure remains valid and aligns with previous research, thereby reinforcing the construct validity of the scale.

3.3. Measurement Invariance

The analysis of measurement invariance demonstrated strong consistency across age groups (above and below 40 years) and educational levels (primary and high school teachers). For age groups, transitions to metric and scalar invariance models showed $\Delta\chi^2$ values of 19.25 ($\Delta df = 12$; $P > 0.05$) and 7.33 ($\Delta df = 5$; $P > 0.05$), respectively, with minimal changes in

Table 1. Participants Demographics

Characteristics	No. (%) or Mean \pm SD
Total sample size	735 (100)
Teaching level	
Primary school teachers	370 (50.34)
High school teachers	365 (49.66)
Gender	
Male	356 (48.44)
Female	379 (51.56)
Age (y)	34.71 \pm 6.38
24 - 30	250 (34.01)
31 - 40	320 (43.54)
41 - 55	165 (22.45)
Years of teaching experience (y)	
1 - 5	195 (26.53)
6 - 10	280 (38.10)
11 - 20	170 (23.13)
> 21	90 (12.24)
Subject areas taught	
Primary schools	370 (50.34)
Mathematics	112 (15.24)
Science	118 (16.05)
Social studies	103 (14.01)
Others	32 (4.36)

the CFI (Table 5). For educational levels, the metric invariance model yielded a $\Delta\chi^2$ of 6.75 ($\Delta df = 12$; $P > 0.05$), while the scalar invariance model resulted in a $\Delta\chi^2$ of 22.36 ($\Delta df = 14$; $P > 0.05$), supporting consistent measurement across subgroups (Table 5).

Table 5 presents the findings related to measurement invariance across age and educational levels. Given the measurement invariance across these groups, the MTS can be reliably used across different age and educational groups.

3.4. Convergent Validity and Reliability

The reliability of the MTS and its two dimensions was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha. Convergent validity was assessed by examining the relationship between MTS scores and LMS scores. Detailed correlation coefficients and Cronbach's alpha values are provided in Table 6.

According to Table 6, the MTS demonstrates adequate and satisfactory reliability for the entire scale and its components. Additionally, the significant correlation of this scale with the LMS indicates its convergent validity.

5. Discussion

The study aimed to assess the psychometric properties of the MTS among primary and secondary school teachers in Iran, confirming its structural validity within the sample. It included a detailed analysis of factorial invariance across different educational levels and age groups, as well as its correlation with the LMS for convergent validity. Analysis of demographic differences, including age and educational level invariance, revealed no significant differences in mindfulness scores, further supporting the MTS as an appropriate tool for diverse teacher populations in Iran. While measurement invariance indexes demonstrated the scale's consistency across demographics, some differences in mindfulness levels and patterns were noted, suggesting varying quality of mindfulness practices among the groups. Future research can explore these variations to improve the effectiveness of tailored mindfulness interventions.

The MTS's reliability and validity were supported by strong Cronbach's alpha values for internal consistency, favorable CFA indices (e.g., CFI and RMSEA) for construct validity, and positive fit indices from measurement invariance testing across age and educational levels. The study's results are consistent with findings from other countries, including the United States, where teachers with higher mindfulness levels report lower stress and

Table 2. Indexes of Normality, Descriptive Statistics, and Item Analysis of the Mindfulness in Teaching Scale (N = 735)

Variables	Mean ± SD	r Item-Total	Skewness; SE: 0.090	Kurtosis; SE: 0.180	K-S	α if Item Deleted
Items						
1	1.73 ± 1.05	0.40 ^a	1.48	1.37	0.33	0.65
2	1.39 ± 0.73	0.36 ^a	2.29	1.82	0.41	0.66
3	1.45 ± 0.83	0.42 ^a	2.13	1.50	0.41	0.64
4	1.79 ± 1.04	0.40 ^a	1.42	1.49	0.28	0.65
5	2.01 ± 1.03	0.41 ^a	0.86	0.09	0.22	0.65
6	1.16 ± 0.49	0.42 ^a	1.98	1.24	0.50	0.66
7	1.20 ± 0.59	0.32 ^a	1.73	1.21	0.49	0.67
8	1.13 ± 0.43	0.26 ^a	1.41	2.44	0.51	0.68
9	1.77 ± 0.78	0.32 ^a	1.19	2.29	0.25	0.66
10	3.37 ± 1.16	0.36 ^a	-0.25	-0.74	0.16	0.65
11	4.28 ± 0.89	0.46 ^a	-1.26	1.46	0.30	0.61
12	4.26 ± 0.89	0.48 ^a	-1.10	0.75	0.30	0.60
13	3.64 ± 1.19	0.41 ^a	-0.65	-0.39	0.21	0.62
14	3.44 ± 1.13	0.43 ^a	-0.31	-0.65	0.17	0.61
Intrapersonal	13.64 ± 3.90	0.71 ^a	1.26	1.86	0.14	0.69
Interpersonal	18.99 ± 3.52	0.63 ^a	-0.42	-0.00	0.08	0.67
Total mindfulness	32.63 ± 5.05	1	0.26	0.61	0.07	0.58
LMS total score	59.63 ± 6.85	0.23 ^a	-0.52	1.39	0.06	0.73

^a Significant correlation at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3. Model Fit Indexes of Total, Educational Levels, and Age Groups

Variables	χ^2	df	χ^2/df	P-Value	RMSEA (95% CI)	CFI
Primary school	135.02	69	1.95	0.001	0.050 (0.038; 0.063)	0.905
High school	145.67	71	2.05	0.001	0.054 (0.042; 0.067)	0.905
Age group 1 (< 40)	144.21	71	2.03	0.001	0.053 (0.040; 0.065)	0.901
Age group 2 (> 40)	137.99	70	1.97	0.001	0.054 (0.042; 0.067)	0.907
Total	192.72	71	2.71	0.001	0.048 (0.040; 0.057)	0.915

Abbreviations: CFI, Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation.

greater job satisfaction (23). Research conducted in the United Kingdom and Australia highlights the positive effects of integrating mindfulness practices into teacher training programs on both teacher well-being and student engagement (24). According to Frank et al. (19), teachers' intrapersonal mindfulness strongly correlates with present-centered awareness, which includes being attentive to the present moment. The present research focus aligns with the objectives of similar studies, including a Portuguese study validating the MTS and its psychometric properties (25). Both studies emphasize the importance of mindfulness in education and its role in enhancing educators' mental health and well-being.

In contrast to earlier investigations (19-21), our research significantly broadens the existing literature by affirming the structural validity, factorial invariance, and convergent validity of the MTS within an Iranian educator demographic, an area previously unexamined. Whereas earlier studies have scrutinized the psychometric attributes of this scale within Western and various international contexts, our study represents the inaugural attempt to evaluate measurement invariance across diverse educational levels and age demographics within Iran. This cultural adaptation is of paramount importance, as perceptions and practices related to mindfulness may vary owing to sociocultural

Table 4. Factor Loadings of the Scale Items in the in Total, Educational Levels, and Age Groups

Variables	Beta	Total		Primary		High School		< 40		> 40	
		95% CI	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value
Intrapersonal											
Item 1	0.51	0.49; 0.53	0.001	0.52	0.001	0.57	0.001	0.52	0.001	0.48	0.001
Item 2	0.41	0.38; 0.43	0.001	0.41	0.001	0.44	0.001	0.53	0.001	0.29	0.001
Item 3	0.50	0.48; 0.53	0.001	0.52	0.001	0.52	0.001	0.50	0.001	0.46	0.001
Item 4	0.46	0.42; 0.49	0.001	0.46	0.001	0.55	0.001	0.51	0.001	0.35	0.001
Item 5	0.47	0.44; 0.49	0.001	0.45	0.001	0.50	0.001	0.46	0.001	0.45	0.001
Item 6	0.47	0.45; 0.50	0.001	0.47	0.001	0.52	0.001	0.48	0.001	0.51	0.001
Item 7	0.34	0.31; 0.35	0.001	0.33	0.001	0.37	0.001	0.23	0.001	0.42	0.001
Item 8	0.26	0.22; 0.31	0.001	0.26	0.001	0.33	0.001	0.18	0.001	0.39	0.001
Item 9	0.41	0.38; 0.43	0.001	0.51	0.001	0.45	0.001	0.41	0.001	0.44	0.001
Interpersonal											
Item 10	0.44	0.40; 0.48	0.001	0.44	0.001	0.40	0.001	0.42	0.001	0.49	0.001
Item 11	0.63	0.59; 0.66	0.001	0.65	0.001	0.65	0.001	0.55	0.001	0.71	0.001
Item 12	0.63	0.61; 0.67	0.001	0.61	0.001	0.58	0.001	0.61	0.001	0.63	0.001
Item 13	0.49	0.45; 0.52	0.001	0.51	0.001	0.47	0.001	0.55	0.001	0.43	0.001
Item 14	0.53	0.50; 0.55	0.001	0.57	0.001	0.55	0.001	0.56	0.001	0.49	0.001

Table 5. Fit Indexes for the Invariance Test in Age and Educational Level Groups

Variables	χ^2	df	P-Value	RMSEA (95% CI)	CFI	$\Delta\chi^2$	Δ CFI
Age groups							
Age group 1 (< 40)	144.21	71	0.001	0.053 (0.040; 0.065)	0.901	-	-
Age group 2 (> 40)	137.99	70	0.001	0.054 (0.042; 0.067)	0.907	-	-
Invariance							
Configural	244.51	134	-	0.034 (0.027; 0.059)	0.925	-	-
Metric	263.763	146	0.08	0.033 (0.027; 0.057)	0.920	19.25 ^{ns} (Δ df = 12)	0.001
Scalar	271.098	151	0.19	0.033 (0.027; 0.056)	0.912	7.33 ^{ns} (Δ df = 5)	0.001
Educational level							
Primary	135.02	69	0.001	0.050 (0.038; 0.063)	0.905	-	-
High school	145.67	71	0.001	0.054 (0.042; 0.067)	0.905	-	-
Invariance							
Configural	266.239	134	-	0.037 (0.030; 0.043)	0.911	-	-
Metric	272.99	146	0.87	0.034 (0.028; 0.041)	0.914	6.75 ^{ns} (Δ df = 12)	0.001
Scalar	295.358	160	0.07	0.034 (0.028; 0.040)	0.909	22.36 ^{ns} (Δ df = 14)	0.001

Abbreviation: CFI, Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation.

influences, such as collectivism, hierarchical relationships between teachers and students, and region-specific educational standards.

Additionally, while previous studies have broadly examined the impact of mindfulness on teacher well-being, our research provides empirical evidence regarding demographic influences (age, education level) on mindfulness levels among Iranian teachers. The findings suggest that while the MTS is structurally

consistent across groups, variations in mindfulness practices exist, warranting further research into culturally tailored mindfulness interventions for educators. The confirmation of the MTS's two-factor structure in an Iranian sample contributes to a cross-cultural understanding of mindfulness in teaching, indicating its general relevance. This framework highlights that the key mindfulness components align with Iranian cultural values and practices, emphasizing the need for culturally relevant mindfulness

Table 6. Mindfulness in Teaching Scale Convergent Validity and Internal Consistency Reliability (N = 735)

Variables	α	95% CI	ω	1	2	3	4
Total mindfulness	0.85	0.54; 0.62	0.60	1	-	-	-
Intrapersonal	0.69	0.66; 0.72	0.70	0.71 ^a	1	-	-
Interpersonal	0.68	0.65; 0.71	0.71	0.63 ^a	0.09 ^b	1	-
LMS	0.64	0.61; 0.67	0.71	0.23 ^a	0.08 ^b	0.24 ^a	1

^a P-value < 0.01.^b P-value < 0.05.

interventions to enhance teacher well-being and attainment of educational outcomes.

While the study validates this structure, cultural factors like collectivism, respect for authority, and local educational norms may affect how mindfulness is perceived and practiced among Iranian teachers. Future research should examine these influences to improve the cultural sensitivity and effectiveness of mindfulness interventions. The reliability of the MTS revealed satisfactory coefficients for the overall scale and its subcomponents, which is consistent with previous studies (19, 26). Furthermore, the correlation between MTS and LMS scores confirmed convergent validity. The factorial invariance of the MTS across educational levels and age groups demonstrated acceptable alignment, suggesting teachers' responses were consistent regardless of their educational backgrounds.

As for the limitations of the study, focusing exclusively on Iranian teachers may limit the generalizability of the findings, and the use of virtual data collection could introduce sample bias. Additionally, the self-report nature of the MTS may lead to response biases. The absence of a control group in this psychometric evaluation further limits the ability to compare mindfulness levels and assess the impact of specific interventions. Future research should incorporate control groups to improve comparisons and intervention assessments. While the study offers valuable insights, addressing these limitations could enhance the robustness and applicability of the findings across various educational contexts.

5.1. Conclusions

Overall, the findings of the present study highlight the potential for further exploration of the MTS's role in educational settings. They suggest that integrating mindfulness training into teacher professional development could enhance their emotional resilience and well-being, which are essential for fostering positive classroom environments. Our findings emphasize the

importance of integrating mindfulness training programs into teacher education and professional development. Given that high levels of mindfulness correlate with lower stress and burnout, educational institutions and policymakers could incorporate structured mindfulness programs to promote mental health resilience among educators. Furthermore, recognizing demographic variations in mindfulness scores suggests the need for targeted interventions that cater to the specific needs of teachers based on their age and educational level. Moreover, educational policies that advocate for mindfulness initiatives may foster sustainable well-being among teachers and improve the overall quality of education within Iran.

Subsequent research may also concentrate on longitudinal studies that investigate the evolution of mindfulness over time, the impact of mindfulness training on teacher well-being, as well as cross-cultural evaluations of mindfulness practices in various educational settings. It is advisable that forthcoming studies employ mixed-methods approaches to gather qualitative data, thereby offering more profound insights into teachers' experiences with mindfulness, as well as the contextual factors that influence its implementation.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: M. Z. and H. K. conceived of the presented idea; M. Z. and A. S. performed the computations; H. K. and A. S. verified the analytical methods. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The datasets generated and analyzed in the present study are available in the [OPENICPSR](#) repository.

Ethical Approval: The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Hormozgan (HU-1402-211).

Funding/Support: The present study received no funding/support.

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

1. Britton WB, Lindahl JR, Cooper DJ, Canby NK, Palitsky R. Defining and measuring meditation-related adverse effects in mindfulness-based programs. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2021;**9**(6):1185-204. [PubMed ID: 35174010]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8845498]. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702621996340>.
2. Zamani Zarchi MS, Nooripour R, Hosseinzadeh Oskoei A, Afroz GA. The Effects of Mindfulness-Based Training on Psychological Wellbeing and Emotion Regulation of Menopausal Women: A Quasi-experimental Study. *J Res Health*. 2020;**10**(5):295-304. <https://doi.org/10.32598/jrh.10.5.1669.1>.
3. Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, Carlson L, Anderson ND, Carmody J, et al. Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract*. 2004;**11**(3):230-41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clipso.bph077>.
4. Jennings PA. Minding the Gap: Attending to Implementation Science and Practice in School-Based Mindfulness Program Research. *Mindfulness*. 2023;**14**(2):314-21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-022-02062-8>.
5. Grossman P, Van Dam NT. Mindfulness, by any other name...: trials and tribulations of sati in western psychology and science. In: Mark Williams J, Kabat-Zinn J, editors. *Mindfulness*. London: Routledge; 2013. p. 219-39.
6. Creswell J, Lindsay EK. How Does Mindfulness Training Affect Health? A Mindfulness Stress Buffering Account. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci*. 2014;**23**(6):401-7. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414547415>.
7. Hosseini SR, Pirkashani NG, Farahani MZ, Farahani SZ, Nooripour R. Predicting hallucination proneness based on mindfulness in university students: the mediating role of mental distress. *Community Ment Health J*. 2021;**57**(2):203-11. [PubMed ID: 32430558]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-020-00633-4>.
8. Gupta S, Verma HV. Mindfulness, mindful consumption, and life satisfaction: an experiment with higher education students. *J Appl Res High Educ*. 2020;**12**(3):456-74. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jarhe-11-2018-0235>.
9. Poulou MS, Denham SA. Teachers' Emotional Expressiveness and Coping Reactions to Students' Emotions: Associations with Students' Social-Emotional Competences and School Adjustment. *Early Educ Dev*. 2023;**34**(3):607-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2022.2053486>.
10. Greenberg MT, Domitrovich CE, Weissberg RP, Durlak JA. Social and Emotional Learning as a Public Health Approach to Education. *Future Child*. 2017;**27**(1):13-32. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2017.0001>.
11. Brown JL, Jennings PA, Rasheed DS, Cham H, Doyle SL, Frank JL, et al. Direct and moderating impacts of the CARE mindfulness-based professional learning program for teachers on children's academic and social-emotional outcomes. *Appl Dev Sci*. 2023;**29**(1):14-33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2023.2268327>.
12. Sipman G, Martens R, Thölke J, McKenney S. Exploring teacher awareness of intuition and how it affects classroom practices: conceptual and pragmatic dimensions. *Prof Dev Educ*. 2024;**50**(4):746-59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2021.1902839>.
13. Chan DW. Teacher Burnout Revisited: Introducing Positive Intervention Approaches Based on Gratitude and Forgiveness. *Educ Res J*. 2010;**25**(2):165-86. [PubMed ID: Informit.406734425019134].
14. Nooripour R, Hosseini S, Sobhaninia M, Ghanbari N, Hassanvandi S, Ilanloo H, et al. Predicting Fear of COVID-19 Based on Spiritual Well-being and Self-efficacy in Iranian University Students by Emphasizing the Mediating Role of Mindfulness. *Pract Clin Psychol*. 2022;**10**(1):1-10. <https://doi.org/10.32598/jpcp.10.1.288.6>.
15. Shahyad S, Mohammadzadeghan R, Saadat SH, Hatf B, Pirzad Jahromi G, Hassanvandi S. Effectiveness of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction on Mental Health, Salivary Cortisol and α -amylase level in students: A Randomized and Parallel-Group Clinical Trial. *Res Sq*. 2024;Preprint. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-448681/v1>.
16. Alispahic S, Hasanbegovic-Anic E. Mindfulness: Age and gender differences on a Bosnian sample. *Psychol Thought*. 2017;**10**(1):155-66. <https://doi.org/10.5964/psycct.v10i1.224>.
17. Lehto RH, Wyatt G, Sikorskii A, Tesnjak I, Kaufman VH. Home-based mindfulness therapy for lung cancer symptom management: a randomized feasibility trial. *Psychooncology*. 2015;**24**(9):1208-12. [PubMed ID: 25631362]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3755>.
18. Nooripour R, Ghanbari N, Hassani-Abhari P, Radwin LE, Hosseini S, Hassanvandi S. Validation of Persian Version of Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) in Iranian Women with Breast Cancer. *Arch Iran Med*. 2022;**25**(5):300-7. [PubMed ID: 35943005]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11904298]. <https://doi.org/10.34172/aim.2022.49>.
19. Frank JL, Jennings PA, Greenberg MT. Validation of the Mindfulness in Teaching Scale. *Mindfulness*. 2016;**7**(1):155-63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-015-0461-0>.
20. Uysal Saraç M, Yıldırım Y, Eşici H, Büyükköztürk Ş, Pagnini F, Langer E. Validation and measurement invariance of the Langer mindfulness scale: The Turkish version. *Front Psychol*. 2024;**15**:1474577. [PubMed ID: 39640046]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11619047]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1474577>.
21. Moafian F, Pagnini F, Khoshsima H. Validation of the Persian Version of the Langer Mindfulness Scale. *Front Psychol*. 2017;**8**:468. [PubMed ID: 28439245]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5384622]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00468>.
22. Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 1999;**6**(1):1-55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>.
23. Amina A, Ul Hadi N, Waheed A, Fayyaz H. The effect of leader mindfulness on employee job performance: Investigating the mediating and moderating role of leader-member exchange and organization culture. *J Behav Sci*. 2021;**31**(2):137-64.
24. Beuchel P, Groß Ophoff J, Cramer C, Hautzinger M. Promoting occupational health and teaching quality: The impact of a mindfulness intervention in teacher training. *Teach Teach Educ*. 2022;**114**. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2022.103703>.
25. Liu H, Kong L, Sun Q, Ma X. The effects of mindfulness-based interventions on nurses' anxiety and depression: A meta-analysis. *Nurs Open*. 2023;**10**(6):3622-34. [PubMed ID: 36694384]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10170883]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop.2.1610>.
26. Barata-Gonçalves M, Pires L, Carona C, de C e Damas CAMCD, de Lima MP, Frank JL, et al. Psychometric Properties of the Mindfulness in Teaching Scale in a Sample of Portuguese Teachers. *Mindfulness*. 2024;**15**(2):447-58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-023-02283-5>.