Published online 2017 March 4.

## **Original Article**

# The Effect of Beta and Alpha Neurofeedback on Memory: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled, Clinical Trial

Samaneh Farnia,<sup>1,2</sup> Saleh Abedi-Darzi,<sup>3</sup> Samineh Fattahi,<sup>1,\*</sup> Jamshid Yazdani Charati,<sup>4,5</sup> Mohammad

Reza Motamedi,<sup>6</sup> Fresheteh Bakhshian,<sup>7</sup> and Parisa Mansoori<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Addiction Institute, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Health Sciences Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

<sup>7</sup>Kermanshah-Razi University, Faculty of Social Science of Psychology, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Samineh Fattahi, Zare Hospital, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Addiction Institute, Neka Road, Sari, Iran. E-mail: samineh.fattahi@gmail.com

Received 2016 June 05; Revised 2016 December 13; Accepted 2017 February 16.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Neurofeedback training is a promising area of research in cognitive performance. Although diverse patterns of frequency in improving memory performance have been studied, beta up-training and low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression have not yet been studied.

**Objective:** The present study aimed at examining the effect of 2 neurofeedback protocols "beta up-training" and "low alpha/ high alpha ratio suppression" on memory performance.

**Methods:** Thirty healthy participants, aged 20 to 40 years took part in this double-blind study. Participants performed ten 30minute sessions of neurofeedback training. They were randomly assigned into 3 groups: (A) beta up-training and theta downtraining, (B) low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression training, and (C) sham training. The Wechsler memory scale (WMS-R) was performed before and after the training period to examine memory performance. Data were analyzed using ANCOVA to compare memory performance changes among the 3 study groups.

**Results:** No significant differences were obtained among the participants in age, gender, marital status, and psychological condition. Treatment groups (including beta up-training and theta down-training and low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression training) showed superior improvement in memory performance compared with the sham group.

**Conclusions:** Neurofeedback training can improve memory performance. Future studies should address the specificity of the neurofeedback training effects, taking into account various populations of patients with cognitive impairment.

Keywords: Frequency, Memory, Neurofeedback

#### 1. Background

Memory is the ability to retain and recall information during thinking (1). Memory is an important key for performing diverse cognitive tasks such as reading comprehension and problem solving. Cognitive abilities are essential for educational achievements, social, and vocational skills training (2). Memory performance is strictly contributed to cognitive functions, so it is vital to investigate diverse strategies to enhance memory performance. One of the most promising strategies to improve memory performance is neurofeedback training (3), a type of electroencephalogram biofeedback. Biofeedback is defined as measuring a quantifiable bodily function, for example, muscle tension, pulse rate or brain electrical activity, and supplying real time information about bodily functions. Neurofeedback provides auditory and/or visual information about brain waves. Desirable brain activity is rewarded based on this information. This process is based on the operant conditioning principle in which the individuals learn to regulate their brain frequencies through positive reinforcement during training sessions (3-5). Neurofeedback protocols define desired frequency patterns that need to be practiced (6).

Cognitive processes are closely correlated with brain waves; brain frequencies have a different role in particular cognitive processes including memory (7). Studies investigated the effects of neurofeedback on cognitive performance of healthy volunteers (2, 8). However, Egner et al. has questioned the effectiveness of neurofeedback training (4) in improving memory performance and treating a variety of psychiatric disorders (5).

Alpha waves are neural oscillations in the frequency

Copyright © 2017, Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

range of 7 to 12 Hz. It is assumed that they improve memory performance through inhibition of irrelevant information (9). Upper or high alpha (9.5 - 12 Hz) and lower alpha (7-9.5Hz) frequency are related to cortical storage and thalamocortical neural activity (10). Several studies have suggested that increasing the upper alpha frequency is related to enhancement in cognitive performance and working memory (11-14), and desynchronizing upper alpha frequency correlates with semantic memory performance (2). This decrease in synchrony strongly correlates with the formation and retrieval of long-term memories (15). Studies have suggested that the effects of lower alpha on cognitive performance may be contrary to upper alpha (2, 12). Thus, suppression of lower alpha at the same time with increasing upper alpha may enhance cognitive performance (12).

Nonetheless, alpha changes have not indicated significant effects on learning and memory tasks after feedback training studies (16, 17). These findings point towards the need for more research to investigate the effects of high alpha potentiation and low alpha suppression (or low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression) in memory performance (12).

Beta frequency is between 12 to 20 Hz, with low amplitudes contributed to memory (15), waking consciousness, and a state of alertness (7). Gamma frequency ranges from 30 to 44 Hz (18). It seems that increases in these frequency ranges affect memory formation via shaping synaptic plasticity and coordinating the reactivation of memories (15). While beta and gamma neurofeedback training have not improved cognitive performance in older adults (19), another study confirmed that working memory can be improved by theta activity in normal aging adults (3). Other studies have indicated that increasing beta frequency and decreasing high theta and low alpha frequencies improve the state of attention (6). These results suggest that the role of beta frequency in improving memory performance should be more explored.

Theta frequency ranges from 4 to 8 Hz in the frontal midline region. Studies have shown that theta frequency is related to working memory, attention, and memory consolidation (3, 20). Theta frequency neurofeedback training can enhance memory consolidation (7), memory updating, and mental set shifting (21), encoding new information into episodic memory or working memory (2), recognition memory (22), and memory performance (10, 23, 24).

Finally, it has been inferred from the studies conducted in neurofeedback and memory performance that the association between brain frequencies and memory performance needs to be explored further. In addition, the generalizability of previous studies is limited because of methodological issues, eg, lack of control groups (12, 17), and open rather than blind design of studies. While theta/beta ratio neurofeedback has already been investigated (19), no study has been conducted on low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression training. The additional suppression of lower alpha may simultaneously improve cognitive performance (12).

## 2. Objective

This was a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled study that investigated the effects of 2 neurofeedback protocols (beta up-training/theta down-training and low alpha/ high alpha ratio suppression) on memory performance.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

This was a randomized, double- blind, shamcontrolled, trial performed at Zare hospital in Sari, Mazandaran, Iran, during 2014 and 2015. Healthy adult employees working at Zare hospital were invited to participate in the present study. They were randomly selected based on employing number using random number table. After accepting the invitation, the participants underwent the screening process. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age = 20 to 40 years, and (2) educational level = diploma and upper. Exclusion criteria were as follow: (1) history or current diagnosis of any psychiatric disorder (eg, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, etc.); (2) known neurological diseases (eg, seizure disorder, head trauma, stroke, amnestic disorder, mild cognitive impairment, dementia, etc.); (3) history or current use of drugs and substances (eg, benzodiazepines, barbiturate, methamphetamine, ethanol, etc.); (4) night/shift work employment; (5) pregnancy and breastfeeding; and (6) not being able to fully take part in all sessions.

Using the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID) for DSM-IV-TR to rule out psychiatric diagnoses, a psychiatrist interviewed all participants.

The structured clinical interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) (25) is a semi-structured interview for making the major DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses. The SCID-II is a semistructured interview for making DSM-IV Axis II: Personality Disorder diagnoses.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after providing them with adequate information about the study. The study was approved by the research ethics committee of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. The Wechsler memory scale (WMS-R) was administered to all participants directly before (TO) and after (T1) the training period to examine memory performance. WMS-R, comprising auditory memory, visual memory, visual working memory, immediate memory, and delayed memory was conducted by a trained psychologist in a 90minute session. The psychologist was not aware of participants' assignment to their groups throughout the whole study. The WMS-R has been standardized for Iranian population (26). It is one of the most commonly used scales for assessing memory performance (27). This version is remarkable due to the increased normative information in the revised scale (28). Reliability coefficients were satisfactory and ranged from 0.28 to 0.98 for the subtests, and validity was investigated, in which patients with memory impairment scored lower than healthy individuals (26).

Thirty participants were eligible to join the study. Participants were randomly assigned into 3 groups by block randomization: (A) beta up-training and theta downtraining, (B) low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression, and (C) a sham control group that did not receive neurofeedback training (Figure 1). Each person received 10 sessions of neurofeedback training every other day of the week. Each session lasted 30 minutes and comprised two 7-minute training blocks separated by a 6- minute break. The participants sat quietly with eyes closed and did not leave the training room during the breaks. They were blind to neurofeedback protocols.

Another member of the research team (a trained psychologist) was responsible for providing neurofeedback training and had no role in data collection and analysis. The ProComp2 Infiniti<sup>TM</sup> encoder was used for real time computerized biofeedback and data collection. Training sessions were held in the clinic using the thought technology ProComp2 infiniti (SA7500). The electrode for recording EEG was placed at a central location, FCz (midway between Cz and Fz) during all 3 protocols. Participants were reinforced with an auditory or visual stimulus (eg, bell tone, obtaining high scores, and winning the game, and solving puzzles) to keep brain waves within a desired threshold except that sham module produced random reinforcements during training. Indeed, neurofeedback therapy is like a computer game, but has a fundamental difference. It is completely hands-free and the patients should guide the energy and ability of their brain game.

The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS Inc., Version 20, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. To analyze the changes in memory performance, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted on the difference in the mean scores of Wechsler memory scale among the 3 groups before and after the intervention (Figure 2). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher's exact test were conducted to assess statistical significance among groups in age, gender, marital status, and educational level. Statistical significance was considered at (P < 0.05).

#### 4. Results

The mean age of the participants in groups A and B was 31.7 years (SD = 6.65) and 31.7 years (SD = 6.40) for the experimental group, and 34.2 years (SD = 5.70) for the control group. The result of ANOVA (P = 0/593) revealed no statically significant difference between the 3 groups in age.

Moreover, distribution of gender, education, and marital status was normal. The results of Fisher test showed no statically significant difference between the 3 groups in gender (0/879), education (1), and marital status (0.51). Participants' demographic features are presented in Table 1.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to examine the normality of distribution for WMS-R scores (P > 0.05). AN-COVA was statistically significant (Table 2). There was an overall statistically significant difference in postintervention memory performance among the different frequency trainings after adjusting the means once their means had been adjusted for preintervention memory performance (Table 2). Table 3 demonstrates how the covariate has adjusted the original post group means (Table 3). The results of analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for each variable revealed that in the posttest, the mean score of memory performance in group A was P = 0.015, and in group B was P =0.05, meaning that the 2 protocols of neurofeedback were effective in improving memory performance in groups.

#### 5. Discussion

Neurofeedback is a useful, noninvasive, and inexpensive treatment for several psychiatric disorders, with recent research investigating applications for enhancement of cognitive ability in nonclinical populations (29-31). In our study, both neurofeedback training groups showed significant improvement in memory performance of healthy adults. Few studies have focused on lower beta up-training to improve cognitive performance (15, 32). On the contrary, theta frequency has considerably been implicated in cognitive functions, especially memory performance (1, 2, 7, 20-23). Similar to our study protocol, Rasey et al. showed that enhancing beta activity and inhibiting high theta and low alpha activity may associate with improved attention. However, they used the Wechsler adult intelligence scale revised (WAIS-R) to obtain intelligence quotient to identify IQ scores, but they did not report memory performance trends among participants (6); this finding has also been supported by Egner and Gruzelier who found that enhancing low beta and simultaneously inhibiting theta may lead to improved attention among healthy adults (33). Haddadi et al. found that beta frequency up-training and theta frequency down-training in



Figure 1. The Flow Chart of the Study Design

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants

Characteristics	$A^{a}(n=10)$	$B^{a}(n=10)$	$C^{a}(n=10)$	P Value
Age (Mean $\pm$ SD), years	$31.70 \pm 6.65$	$31.7 \pm 6.40$	$34.2\pm5.70$	0/593
Gender (Female: Male)	(2:8)	(3:7)	(4:6)	0/879
Marital status (Single: Married)	(4:6)	(2:8)	(5:5)	0/51
Educational level	(5:5)	(4:6)	(4:6)	1

<sup>a</sup> A, Beta Up-Training and Theta Down-Training; B, Low Alpha/High Alpha Ratio Suppression Training; C, Sham Training.

Table 2. Tests of Between-Subjects Effects Among the Three Groups

Parameter	В	B Std T		Sig	95% Confidence Interval	
		Error			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Intercept	25.281	8.309	3.043	0.005	8.202	42.361
Y-PRE	0.772	0.077	9.994	0.000	0.614	0.931
Beta up/theta down	15.206	3.148	4.830	0.000	8.734	21.677
Low alpha/high alpha ratio	10.424	3.159	3.300	0.003	3.932	16.917
Sham	0					

T3 and F3 may enhance learning and memory among patients with cognitive impairment (34). These results may be due to the general attention-increasing and arousalenhancing effect of beta training (32). It seems that increases in these frequency ranges, affect memory formation via shaping synaptic plasticity and coordinating the reactivation of memories (15). The findings of our study could put in new information into a body of existing knowledge about neurofeedback implication in young adults whom may benefit from beta up-training and theta down-training neurofeedback program to improve their memory performance.

Anther protocol indicated that low alpha/ high alpha ratio in suppression training program has also improved

Table 3. Covariates Appearing in the Model are Evaluated at the Following Values: (PRE = 106.1333)

Intervention Group	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval	
		_	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Beta up/theta down	122.462	2.220	117.898	127.026
Low alpha/high alpha ratio	117.681	2.225	113.107	122.255
Sham	107.257	2.228	102.676	111.837



Figure 2. Mean (SD) of the Wechsler Memory Scale Scores Among the Three Groups

memory performance among healthy young adults. The underlying mechanism for memory improvement may be related to inhibition of irrelevant information (9) in cortical storage and thalamocortical neural activity (10). Increasing high (upper) alpha frequency suppresses distracting stimuli and inhibits unnecessary and conflicting processes, thus, facilitating memory and task performance (35). However, several studies supported that upper alpha frequency training has enhanced memory performance in diverse population (10-14).

Bauer concluded that changes in alpha frequency had no significant difference for the learning process (16). Vernon had discussed that more research should be done to investigate the role of alpha frequency in cognitive process (24). Thus, consistent with Zoefel et al. who indicated that upper alpha training improves cognitive performance (12), our study showed that minimizing the lower/upper alpha ratio has a significant impact on memory performance. Vernon also reminded that significant improvement in cognitive process may stem from splitting up the alpha frequency training into lower and upper alpha band (24); our finding confirmed this hypothesis that low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression training may improve memory performance.

A limitation of the current study was its convenience sampling method. Further, We only performed the neurofeedback training on healthy young adults working at Zare hospital, in Sari, North of Iran, so the sample was not representative of the entire population. Thus, there is the opportunity for bias to cloud the results of the study. Many factors may influence the success of neurofeedback procedures such as age of the trainees, their personal traits and beliefs about neurofeedback training, training susceptibility of participants, trainer behavior, feedback modality (visual, auditory, combined), training intensity, choice of EEG used for the feedback signal, and the number and positions of electrodes (36-38). However, we could not consider all of these factors in our study design and analysis.

Because learned helplessness effect might have happened among the participants in the sham group, this study could be replicated with another control group, in which no intervention would be implemented. Learned helplessness may happen, while participants learn that what they did had nothing to do with the outcome, so it may bring about passive behavior as well as low scores (7). This effect may violate the results. A significant difference was assumed between the treatment and the sham group, which may stem from the learned helplessness. Whereas the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised as one of the most common psychological scales has showed acceptable reliability and validity among healthy population, other cognitive domains were not assessed in our study (39).

This paper has shown that beta up-training and theta down-training as well as low alpha/high alpha ratio suppression training significantly improved memory performance in healthy adults. This study mainly extends the work of Escolano et al. (40) and Zoefel et al. (12) by assessing the frequency during neurofeedback sessions and evaluating memory performance scores before and after training sessions to explore the effects of components of alpha frequencies on memory performance. In addition, beta uptraining neurofeedback protocol has been conducted on healthy adults to investigate the role of upper beta in memory improvement. It is recommended to investigate the effect of particular neurofeedback protocols, on a wider age range, on patients with cognitive impairment and for a longer period to assess and follow- up the long-term effects on memory and other cognitive functions. Finally, particular neurofeedback training sessions were assumed to improve memory performance compared to the control group. Future studies should address the specificity of the neurofeedback training effects in diverse populations.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the participants who took part in the study and Dr. Anne Aboaja for her help to edit the article.

## Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Samaneh Farnia and Parisa Mansoori conceived and designed the evaluation. Saleh Abedidarzi and Mohammad Reza Motamedi and Fresheteh Bakhshian collected the clinical data. Jamshid Yazdani Charati performed the statistical analysis and interpreted them. Samaneh Farnia, Samineh Fattahi and Mohammad Reza Motamedi drafted the manuscript. All authors revised it critically for important intellectual content, and read, and approved the final manuscript.

Clinical Trial Registration Code: IRCT2015050711885N5.

Declaration of Interest: None.

**Funding/Support:** This study was supported by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

#### References

- Vernon D, Egner T, Cooper N, Compton T, Neilands C, Sheri A, et al. The effect of training distinct neurofeedback protocols on aspects of cognitive performance. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2003;47(1):75–85. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8760(02)00091-0. [PubMed: 12543448].
- Klimesch W. EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: a review and analysis. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev.* 1999;**29**(2-3):169–95. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00056-3. [PubMed: 10209231].
- Wang JR, Hsieh S. Neurofeedback training improves attention and working memory performance. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2013;**124**(12):2406– 20. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.05.020. [PubMed: 23827814].
- Egner T, Zech TF, Gruzelier JH. The effects of neurofeedback training on the spectral topography of the electroencephalogram. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2004;**115**(11):2452–60. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2004.05.033. [PubMed: 15465432].
- Micoulaud-Franchi JA, Geoffroy PA, Fond G, Lopez R, Bioulac S, Philip P. EEG neurofeedback treatments in children with ADHD: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2014;8:906. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00906. [PubMed: 25431555].
- Rasey H, Lubar JF, McIntyre A, Zoffuto A, Abbott PL. Eeg biofeedback for the enhancement of attentional processing in normal college students. J Neurother. 1995;1(3):15–21. doi: 10.1300/j184v01n03\_03.
- Reiner M, Rozengurt R, Barnea A. Better than sleep: theta neurofeedback training accelerates memory consolidation. *Biol Psychol.* 2014;95:45–53. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.10.010. [PubMed: 24211625].
- Xiong S, Cheng C, Wu X, Guo X, Yao L, Zhang J. Working memory training using EEG neurofeedback in normal young adults. *Biomed Mater Eng.* 2014;24(6):3637–44. doi: 10.3233/BME-141191. [PubMed: 25227078].
- Freunberger R, Werkle-Bergner M, Griesmayr B, Lindenberger U, Klimesch W. Brain oscillatory correlates of working memory constraints. *Brain Res.* 2011;1375:93-102. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.12.048. [PubMed: 21172316].

- Klimesch W. EEG-alpha rhythms and memory processes. Int J Psychophysiol. 1997;26(1-3):319–40. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8760(97)00773-3. [PubMed: 9203012].
- Nan W, Rodrigues JP, Ma J, Qu X, Wan F, Mak PI, et al. Individual alpha neurofeedback training effect on short term memory. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2012;86(1):83-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2012.07.182. [PubMed: 22864258].
- Zoefel B, Huster RJ, Herrmann CS. Neurofeedback training of the upper alpha frequency band in EEG improves cognitive performance. *Neuroimage*. 2011;54(2):1427-31. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.08.078. [PubMed: 20850552].
- Hanslmayr S, Sauseng P, Doppelmayr M, Schabus M, Klimesch W. Increasing individual upper alpha power by neurofeedback improves cognitive performance in human subjects. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*. 2005;**30**(1):1–10. doi: 10.1007/s10484-005-2169-8. [PubMed: 15889581].
- Escolano C, Navarro-Gil M, Garcia-Campayo J, Congedo M, De Ridder D, Minguez J. A controlled study on the cognitive effect of alpha neurofeedback training in patients with major depressive disorder. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2014;8:296. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00296. [PubMed: 25228864].
- Hanslmayr S, Staudigl T, Fellner MC. Oscillatory power decreases and long-term memory: the information via desynchronization hypothesis. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2012;6:74. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00074. [PubMed: 22514527].
- Bauer RH. Short-term memory: EEG alpha correlates and the effect of increased alpha. *Behav Biol.* 1976;17(4):425-33. doi: 10.1016/S0091-6773(76)90793-8. [PubMed: 971195].
- Lecomte G, Juhel J. The effects of neurofeedback training on memory performance in elderly subjects. *Psychol.* 2011;02(08):846–52. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.28129.
- Sederberg PB, Kahana MJ, Howard MW, Donner EJ, Madsen JR. Theta and gamma oscillations during encoding predict subsequent recall. *J Neurosci.* 2003;23(34):10809-14. [PubMed: 14645473].
- Staufenbiel SM, Brouwer AM, Keizer AW, van Wouwe NC. Effect of beta and gamma neurofeedback on memory and intelligence in the elderly. *Biol Psychol.* 2014;95:74–85. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.05.020. [PubMed: 23751914].
- Chauvette S. Slow-wave sleep: Generation and propagation of slow waves, role in long-term plasticity and gating [Theses]. Quebec: University Laval; 2013.
- Enriquez-Geppert S, Huster RJ, Figge C, Herrmann CS. Self-regulation of frontal-midline theta facilitates memory updating and mental set shifting. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2014;8:420. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00420. [PubMed: 25538585].
- Burgess AP, Gruzelier JH. Short duration synchronization of human theta rhythm during recognition memory. *Neuroreport.* 1997;8(4):1039–42. doi: 10.1097/00001756-199703030-00044. [PubMed: 9141088].
- Bigdeli I, Makvand Hosseini S, Asbaghi E, Largany H, Hosseini Largany SF, Rashn S. effects of neurofeedback on cognitive function with emphasis on memory. *Iran J Cognition Edu.* 2014;1(2):27-32. doi: 10.22075/IJCE.2014.193.
- Vernon DJ. Can neurofeedback training enhance performance? An evaluation of the evidence with implications for future research. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*. 2005;30(4):347-64. doi: 10.1007/s10484-005-8421-4. [PubMed: 16385423].
- Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R (SCID). I: History, rationale, and description. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992;49(8):624–9. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820080032005. [PubMed: 1637252].
- 26. Orangi M, Atefvahid M, Ashayeri H. Standardization of the revised wechsler memory scale in Shiraz [In Persian]. *Iran J Psychiat Clin Psychol.* 2002;7(4):56–66.

- 27. Hurst H. Wechsler memory scale (all versions). New York: The Psychological Corporation; 2013. pp. 3346–50.
- Loring DW, Bauer RM. Testing the limits: cautions and concerns regarding the new Wechsler IQ and Memory scales. *Neurology*. 2010;74(8):685–90. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181d0cd12. [PubMed: 20177123].
- 29. Gruzelier J, Egner T, Vernon D. Validating the efficacy of neurofeedback for optimising performance. *Prog Brain Res.* 2006;**159**:421–31. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(06)59027-2. [PubMed: 17071246].
- Yang L, Nan W, Qu X, Wan F, Mak PI, Mak PU, et al. Beta/theta ratio neurofeedback training effects on the spectral topography of EEG. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2015;**2015**:4741-4. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2015.7319453. [PubMed: 26737353].
- Engelbregt HJ, Keeser D, van Eijk L, Suiker EM, Eichhorn D, Karch S, et al. Short and long-term effects of sham-controlled prefrontal EEG-neurofeedback training in healthy subjects. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2016;**127**(4):1931–7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.01.004. [PubMed: 26971473].
- Egner T, Gruzelier JH. EEG biofeedback of low beta band components: frequency-specific effects on variables of attention and event-related brain potentials. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2004;**115**(1):131–9. doi: 10.1016/S1388-2457(03)00353-5. [PubMed: 14706480].
- Egner T, Gruzelier JH. Learned self-regulation of EEG frequency components affects attention and event-related brain potentials in humans. *Neuroreport.* 2001;12(18):4155–9. [PubMed: 11742256].
- 34. Haddadi P, Rostami R, Moradi A, Pouladi F. Neurofeedback train-

ing to enhance learning and memory in patients with cognitive impairment. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* 2011;**30**:608-10. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.117.

- Kober SE, Schweiger D, Witte M, Reichert JL, Grieshofer P, Neuper C, et al. Specific effects of EEG based neurofeedback training on memory functions in post-stroke victims. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2015;12:107. doi: 10.1186/s12984-015-0105-6. [PubMed: 26625906].
- Rogala J, Jurewicz K, Paluch K, Kublik E, Cetnarski R, Wrobel A. The Do's and Don'ts of Neurofeedback Training: A Review of the Controlled Studies Using Healthy Adults. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2016;10:301. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00301. [PubMed: 27378892].
- Weber E, Koberl A, Frank S, Doppelmayr M. Predicting successful learning of SMR neurofeedback in healthy participants: methodological considerations. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*. 2011;36(1):37–45. doi:10.1007/s10484-010-9142-x. [PubMed: 21053066].
- Nan W, Wan F, Vai MI, Da Rosa AC. Resting and Initial Beta Amplitudes Predict Learning Ability in Beta/Theta Ratio Neurofeedback Training in Healthy Young Adults. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2015;9:677. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00677. [PubMed: 26732846].
- Doppelmayr M, Weber E. Effects of smr and theta/beta neurofeedback on reaction times, spatial abilities, and creativity. J Neurother. 2011;15(2):115–29. doi: 10.1080/10874208.2011.570689.
- Escolano C, Aguilar M, Minguez J. EEG-based upper alpha neurofeedback training improves working memory performance. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2011;2011:2327–30. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2011.6090651. [PubMed: 22254807].