Published online 2022 May 23.

**Research Article** 

# *In Silico* Design and In Vitro Evaluation of Some Novel AMPs Derived From Human LL-37 as Potential Antimicrobial Agents for Keratitis

Arsalan Pashapour<sup>1</sup>, Soroush Sardari<sup>1,\*</sup> and Parastoo Ehsani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Drug Design and Bioinformatics Unit, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran
<sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> *Corresponding author*: Drug Design and Bioinformatics Unit, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran. Email: ssardari@hotmail.com

Received 2022 March 29; Revised 2022 April 13; Accepted 2022 May 07.

## Abstract

The human body produces two classes of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), namely defensins and cathelicidins. In this study, a novel decapeptide (Catoid) and its dimer (Dicatoid) based on human cathelicidin (LL-37) have been designed by bioinformatics tools to be used in the treatment of bacterial keratitis. After the selection and synthesis of peptide sequences, their antimicrobial activities against the standard and resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were evaluated. This test was performed with LL-37, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, and penicillin for a more accurate comparison. Furthermore, the cytotoxicity levels of the specified compounds on fibroblast cells and bovine corneal endothelial cells were investigated. The results demonstrated that the designed peptides had a superior antimicrobial activity on *P. aeruginosa*, compared to LL-37; however, Catoid had a better effect on the *S. aureus* strain. Additionally, a significant achievement is the very low toxicity level of Catoid and Dicatoid on the human skin fibroblast cell line and bovine corneal endothelial cells, compared to that of LL-37 as the initial design model.

Keywords: Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs), Bacterial Keratitis, Cathelicidin, Bovine Corneal Endothelial Cells, Catoid, Dicatoid

## 1. Background

Bacterial keratitis is a major cause of visual deficiency in developed and developing countries. As an aggressive ocular infection, bacterial keratitis should be managed by rapid and effective treatment; otherwise, it can cause corneal perforation and blindness (1, 2). Eye injuries and contact lenses are critical risk factors for bacterial keratitis (3). The most frequent bacterium in bacterial keratitis related to contact lens wear is *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Gram-negative) and not related to contact lens cases is *Staphylococcus aureus* (Gram-positive) (4).

It is exceptionally vital to begin the immediate treatment of bacterial keratitis with a broad-spectrum antibiotic that is active against both Gram-positive (G+) and Gram-negative (G-) bacteria. Topical fluoroquinolones are prescribed as the first-line antibiotics for treating bacterial keratitis (5). The main concern about the treatment of this bacterial infection is emerging antimicrobial resistance. *Staphylococcus aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* are ESKAPE pathogens that utilize various antibiotic resistance mechanisms (6). The World Health Organization has identified *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* as high and critical priority targets for the development of new antibiotics (7). Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), as a part of the innate immune system, comprise a large group of molecules that are found in most living organisms. These cationic peptides, usually with a charge between +2 to +6, are active against G+ and G- bacteria (8). The principal mechanism of action for fast-killing pathogens is an association of AMPs with cell membranes followed by a disruptive effect (9). LL-37 presents in leukocytes and is also secreted by corneal epithelial cells (10). LL-37, as a natural AMP, is active against G+ and G- bacteria; therefore, its structure is a conventional initial model for the design and discovery of various AMPs (11).

Natural AMPs have restrictions to be used as antibiotics for humans, including toxicity, high cost of production, low half-life or stability, and the lack of selectivity to prokaryotic cells. As a result, the discovery of novel AMPs is a critical need to overcome the above-mentioned restrictions and achieve a smaller skeleton with the maximum antimicrobial activity and minimum toxicity (12). For the enhancement of the stability of AMPs against proteases, various strategies have been considered, highlighting peptide dimerization (13, 14). Moreover, the dimeric forms of the selected bioactive peptide sequences have revealed pharmaceutical advantages, such as increasing antimicro-

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

bial potency, solubility, and resistance to proteases (15-18). Using *in silico* methods based on machine learning algorithms is profitable for AMPs' design. Machine learning algorithms and random optimization methods are available to equate antimicrobial activity with some characterized structures to screen broad-spectrum peptides (19).

In this study, the natural human LL-37 sequence was probed in 10 amino acid frames from the 1st to 37th amino acid. The AMP probability of sequences was analyzed using bioinformatics tools to obtain the 10aa sequence with the highest score. In the next step, the selected decapeptide score by the rational design was improved and eventually led to the selection of the best decapeptide as a monomer (Catoid) (Figure 1).

Furthermore, the dimeric analog (Figure 2) of Catoid was designed, and its activity was evaluated *in silico*. After the synthesis of Catoid and Dicatoid by the solid-phase method, antimicrobial activity was measured against the standard and resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* strains (obtained from the patient's cornea). Then, the cytotoxic effect of AMP derivatives was determined on human fibroblast cells and bovine corneal cells.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Peptide Design and Bioinformatics Analysis

Firstly, the human LL-37 peptide sequence was obtained from the NCBI database. Amino acid frameworks from the 1st amino acid (leucine) to the 37th amino acid (serine) were selected and applied to the CAMPR3 database (http://www.camp.bicnirrh.res.in/2019) (20) to calculate AMP probability according to the results obtained from four machine learning algorithms, namely random forest, support vector machine, discriminant analysis, and artificial neural network (ANN). The threshold of each calculation is within 0.5-1, and the peptides are defined as an AMP if the score is higher than 0.5. According to the prediction scores and physicochemical properties, sequence 6-15 was selected. Some mutations were created on this sequence by the rational design to increase the AMP probability.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of Peptides

Based on human LL-37 as a control peptide, Catoid and Dicatoid were produced by solid-phase peptide synthesis based on fluorene-9-methoxycarbonyl (Fmoc)-polypeptide dynamic ester chemistry by Mimotopes Pty Ltd (Clayton, Victoria, Australia). The peptides were purified by C18 reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography to 95% purity. Furthermore, to carry out peptide identification, molecular weights were determined by mass spectrometry investigations on a Sciex API100 LC/MS Mass Spectrometer (PerkinElmer Co., Norwalk, CT, USA).

#### 2.3. Bacterial Strains, Cell line, Chemicals, and Compounds

For the evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of peptides, bacterial strains, including *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and two resistant species of the same strains (obtained from the patient's cornea, from the Biobank of Farabi Eye Hospital of Tehran, Iran, located in the clinical laboratory of this center), were used. A human skin fibroblast cell line was used (Hu02 cell line, National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasture Institute of Iran) to conduct the cytotoxicity test.

Sabouraud dextrose agar and Sabouraud dextrose broth, Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) and Mueller Hinton Agar, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Gibco Company (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Moreover, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) -2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) dye, glutaraldehyde, and dimethyl sulfoxide were bought from Sigma (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

#### 2.4. Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity

For the investigation of the antimicrobial activity of the peptides, a serial dilution titration strategy was used to assign the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the peptides against four bacterial strains employed (21). Briefly, microbes were developed overnight at 37°C in MHB and diluted within the same medium. The serial dilutions of the peptides were added to the microtiter plates in a volume of 100  $\mu$ L, followed by the addition of 100  $\mu$ L of bacteria to give a final inoculum of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> colony-forming units/mL.

The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 and 48 hours, and the MIC was measured (22). Moreover, the effect of some other antibiotics, such as gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, and penicillin, was determined on *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and two other resistant species derived from the patient's cornea.

## 2.5. Toxicity of Peptides to Human Skin Fibroblast

The cytotoxicity of Catoid and Dicatoid peptides and LL-37 was evaluated by the MTT assay (23). Fibroblast Hu02 (IBRC C10309) cell line was cultured at  $1 \times 10^5$  cells per well in 96-well plates in a humidified incubator at 37°C and under 5% CO2 for 24 hours. Then, the growth media with 10% FBS were removed, and the cells were rinsed two times with PBS. RPMI (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) medium with 10% FBS containing 0.5, 5, 50, 500, and 1000  $\mu$ g/mL of each peptide concentration was incubated with cells for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Quintet wells were analyzed for each concentration, and column elution buffer was used as a control. Freshly prepared MTT in PBS (5 mg/mL, 10  $\mu$ L) was added to each well, and the plate was incubated for an additional 4 hours.



Then, the media were evacuated, and isopropanol was included at 100  $\mu$ L/well. The plates were shaken delicately to encourage formazan precious stone solubilization. The absorbance was measured at 545 nm employing a microplate reader (STAT FAX 2100, USA). Half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) for each peptide was calculated according to the following formula:

$$Toxicity (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{Mean optical density of sample}{Mean optical density of control} \times 100\right)$$

Viability (%) = 100 - toxicity (%)

## 2.6. Cytotoxic Effect on Corneal Endothelial Cells

Fresh eyes were taken from slaughtered cows, and corneas were cut from the enucleated eyes by Dikstein and Maurice method. In this study, 0.25 g of trypan blue was dissolved in 100 mL of 0.9% saline to prepare a 0.25% solution of dye. A 0.2% solution of alizarin red was prepared by stirring 100 mL of 0.9% saline with 0.2 g of stain for 3 hours on a magnetic stirrer. Then, the solution was filtered to remove undissolved particles. In addition, a 2.48% solution of glutaraldehyde was prepared as a fixative.

Dual staining of corneal endothelium with trypan blue (0.25%) as an indicator for damaged and permeated cells

Iran J Pharm Res. 2022; 21(1):e124017.

and alizarin red (0.2%) for the visualization of endothelial cell's border was used (24). Isolated corneas (endothelial side up) were placed in a petri dish. Then, 50  $\mu$ g/mL concentration of both peptides and controls (LL-37 and doxorubicin) were individually added to endothelial cells for 10 minutes. After twice rinsing with normal saline, trypan blue was added to cover the endothelium for 90 seconds. Then, the cornea was rinsed with normal saline. The same procedure was followed for alizarin red, and the cornea again was rinsed with normal saline. Following coloring, the cornea was submerged for 10 minutes in glutaraldehyde solution for fastening (Figure 3).

Finally, dual-stained and fixed corneas were placed (endothelium side up) on a microscope slide under a coverslip. Then, the damaged and undamaged cells were counted in proportion to the 200 counted cells in the four microscope fields of view.

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. In Silico Peptides Design

Cathelicidin peptide LL-37, with a length of 37 amino acids, was chosen to be an antimicrobial peptide that is



Figure 3. Isolated bovine cornea

naturally present in the eye and tears environment as a design template. The frames of 10 amino acid sequences were selected from LL-37, and the scores of those sequences were compared in the CAMP database. As reflected in Figures 4 - 5, the 6 - 15 frame was selected, which had a better result in the different algorithms. The output of the ANN algorithm is qualitative and puts the peptide chains into two categories, namely AMP and non-AMP (NAMP). This algorithm has introduced 16 of the 28 ten-amino acid peptides studied as AMP, and the 6 - 15 sequence fell into this category.

In the next step, considering the above-mentioned sequence using the rational design, the amino acids were replaced with positively charged and hydrophobic amino acids, and the antimicrobial effect was re-evaluated *in silico*. The primary purpose of this step was to get a score of "close to 1" in the peptide of 10 amino acids. In this regard, arginine in the second position (R2) and glutamic acid in the sixth position (E6) were replaced with tryptophan (W). Finally, FWKSKWKIGK was formed as a selected monomer (Catoid), and FWKSKWKIGK-FWKSKWKIGK was designed as a selected dimer (Dicatoid).

As shown in Figure 6, based on the results obtained from three different algorithms, the rational design of the chosen 10-amino acid peptide (6 - 15 sequence) to reach the Catoid and convert it to Dicatoid through dimerization caused a considerable growth of AMP probability score, compared to LL-37. These results promise to achieve peptides with high antimicrobial performance.

ToxinPred, an *in silico* method, was used to anticipate and design toxic and nontoxic peptides. As shown in Figure 7, based on the output of this software, Catoid and Dicatoid had no toxic effects on eukaryotic cells.

3.2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Antibiotics and Peptides

In addition, for better results and more accurate comparisons for all four bacteria, this test was performed with LL-37, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, and penicillin (Table 1).

Among the used antibiotics, ciprofloxacin showed the highest inhibition against the standard *P. aeruginosa*. The designed peptides, including Catoid and Dicatoid, were significantly more effective than commercial antibiotics with a lower MIC value. In the current study, the comparison of the antimicrobial activity of Catoid and Dicatoid with LL-37 was one of the most significant endpoints. As observed, Catoid with a MIC value of 12.5  $\mu$ g/ml and Dicatoid with a MIC value of 6.25  $\mu$ g/mL exhibited high potency in inhibiting the growth of *P. aeruginosa*. Contrary to the results of the standard bacterial strain, the designed peptides did not produce good results against resistant *P. aeruginosa*.

Based on the results of *S. aureus*, Catoid and Dicatoid were not as effective as LL-37, and the best antibacterial performance in both standard and resistant samples was gentamicin. About the resistant *S. aureus*, high bacterial growth inhibition performance was observed in Catoid with a MIC value of 12.5  $\mu$ g/mL relative to Dicatoid and even LL-37.

#### 3.3. Evaluation of Cytotoxicity Effects on Bacteria

The MTT assay to determine the  $IC_{50}$  was carried out for Catoid, Dicatoid, and LL-37 peptides, along with doxoru-







Figure 5. Total sum-up for antimicrobial peptide probability of sequences



Figure 6. Comparison of antimicrobial peptide probability scores in designed peptides and Il-37 in three different algorithms: support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF), and discriminant analysis (DA)

Peptides Scanned from Original Protein												
Peptide Sequence ¢	QM score ¢	Prediction \$	Hydrophobicity 🗢	Hydropathicity \$	Hydrophilicity \$	Charge 🗢	Mol wt 🗢					
FWKSKWKIGK	-0.74	Non-Toxin	-0.24	-1.13	0.12	4.00	1307.75					
Peptides Scanned from Original Protein												
Peptide Sequence	QM sco	re + Predictio	n 🗢 Hydrophobicity	Hydropathicity	Hydrophilicity +	Charge 🗢	Mol wt 🗢					
FWKSKWKIGKFWKSKW	/KIGK -0.6	4 Non-To	-0.24	-1.13	0.12	8.00	2597.50					

Figure 7. In silico toxicity prediction of Catoid and Dicatoid

Table 1. Antimicrobial Activity Evaluation of Different Agents

Racterium Name	<b>Bacterial Strains</b>	Antibiotic Name ( $\mu$ g/mL)				Peptide Name (µg/mL)		
bacterium Name		Ciprofloxa	cinGentamicin	Penicillin	Amikacin	Catoid	Dicatoid	LL37
Standard Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853	25	> 100	> 100	> 100	12.5	6.25	100
Resistant P. aeruginosa	Clinical isolate	50	> 100	> 100	50	> 100	> 100	> 100
Standard Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923	25	0.78	> 100	3.175	> 100	> 100	100
Resistant S. aureus	Clinical isolate	25	0.78	> 100	12.5	12.5	> 100	50

bicin as a positive control on human fibroblast cells (HuO2 IBRC C10309 cell line) (Figure 8).

As illustrated in Figure 9, the  $IC_{50}$  values of Catoid and Dicatoid were far better than LL-37. One of the surprising findings of this study was the reduction of toxicity by approximately 74 times of Catoid and 8 times of Dicatoid, compared to that of LL-37. Furthermore, a 9-fold increase in Dicatoid cytotoxicity was observed, compared to that of Catoid, which can be due to dimerization.

## 3.4. Evaluation of Cytotoxic Effect on Corneal Endothelial Cells

According to the scope of AMPs designed as ophthalmic antibiotics, in addition to fibroblast cells, their toxicity should be measured on corneal cells. In this regard, another method used to assess peptide toxicity is the dual staining of corneal endothelium to count the ratio of killed cells (blue) to healthy cells (red). As shown in Figure 10, Catoid and Dicatoid did not damage corneal cells at 50  $\mu$ g/mL, similar to ciprofloxacin (as a negative control). However, LL-37 destroyed about 10% of the cell wall. The rate of cell destruction was estimated at about 40% when they were exposed to doxorubicin as a positive control.

## 4. Discussion

In silico strategy for the design of bioactive molecules are bright approaches for the reduction of the limitation of natural AMPs. The length of sequence as an important factor in natural peptides is one of the significant criteria which significantly increase the cost of preparing them by synthesis (12, 25, 26). In addition, the findings of Liu et al.'s research indicated that cytotoxic and hemolytic effects of the peptides are potentially increased by increasing the length of the chain (27). Therefore, truncation, substitution, and dimerization strategies can be helpful in tackling this problem.

The results of the present study show that, according to the forecast of antimicrobial peptide prediction databases, the position 6 - 15 in the sequence (FRKSKEKIGK) of LL-37 had the best AMP probability score, compared to other 10amino acid frames. This finding is compatible with those previously obtained by Rice and Wereszczynski (28) and Drayton et al. (29), who reported that the presence of lysine residues for having a positive charge could improve the antibacterial activity of AMPs against both G- and G+ bacteria. Consequently, the existence of four lysine residues in this peptide (sequence FRKSKEKIGK) might lead to more AMP probability than other peptides.

Additionally, the results of the present study showed that the substitution of R2 and E6 residues with tryptophan (W) as a hydrophobic residue (sequence FWK-SKWKIGK) based on rational design outputs would increase the probability of AMP activity. This outcome conforms to prior studies performed by Yin et al. (30) and Chen et al. (31), demonstrating that hydrophobicity is an important factor in the antibacterial potency of AMPs, and tryptophan as a hydrophobic amino acid can induce this effect on the current study's 10-amino acid peptide after substitution.

According to the bioinformatic analysis, the AMP probability score of Catoid was increased after dimerization.



Figure 8. MTT assay on human fibroblast cells







This finding is in line with the results of a study by Gunasekera et al. (32), indicating that the dimerization of LL-37-derived peptides is an effective strategy to improve their stability and potency. However, it is noticeable that as MIC and MTT analysis demonstrated, Catoid was much more effective than the Dicatoid entity, although the  $IC_{50}$  of both of them was higher than LL-37. It should be noted that, despite the equality of effectiveness of Catoid and Dicatoid with LL-37, much higher doses can be used to achieve high antimicrobial effects, which is due to their extremely low toxicity, compared to that of LL-37, based on the MTT studies on fibroblast cells. Moreover, the toxicity of peptides on bovine corneal cells was inferior to that of LL-37, as the results of toxicity on the fibroblast cells were in line with the *in silico* predictions.

## 4.1. Conclusion

The present study designed and evaluated two peptides based on human LL-37 structure by *in silico* tools to achieve some potent novel antibiotics. In the next step, this study evaluated their antimicrobial effects against four different types of bacteria and cytotoxicity value on fibroblast cells and bovine corneal endothelium. The high antimicrobial effects of dimeric peptide and Catoid on *Pseudo* and *Staphylococcus* strains were very significant and confirmed the agreement of the theoretical and practical data. In addition, according to the toxicity prediction in Toxin-Pred software, the experimental data on fibroblast cells and bovine cornea showed very low toxicity of peptides, compared to that of LL-37. Other functions and effects of this new peptide, such as antibiofilm activity and mechanism of action, should be investigated in separate studies.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this work from Pasteur Institute of Iran (Drug Design and Bioinformatics Unit).

#### Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Study concept and design, S. S.; Acquisition of the data, A. P.; Analysis and interpretation of the data, S. S., A. P., and P. E.; Drafting of the manuscript, A. P.; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, S. S., A. P., and P. E.; Administrative, technical, and material support, S. S., and P. E.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

**Funding/Support:** This study received financial support from Pasteur institute of Iran in the form of a PhD student grant.

#### References

 Clemens LE, Jaynes J, Lim E, Kolar SS, Reins RY, Baidouri H, et al. Designed Host Defense Peptides for the Treatment of Bacterial Keratitis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2017;**58**(14):6273–81. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-22243. [PubMed: 29242901]. [PubMed Central: PMC5730364].

- Ting DSJ, Ho CS, Deshmukh R, Said DG, Dua HS. Correction to: Infectious keratitis: an update on epidemiology, causative microorganisms, risk factors, and antimicrobial resistance. *Eye* (*Lond*). 2021;**35**(10):2908. doi: 10.1038/s41433-021-01568-0. [PubMed: 33976404]. [PubMed Central: PMC8452759].
- Ting DSJ, Ho CS, Cairns J, Elsahn A, Al-Aqaba M, Boswell T, et al. 12year analysis of incidence, microbiological profiles and in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of infectious keratitis: the Nottingham Infectious Keratitis Study. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2021;**105**(3):328–33. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-316128. [PubMed: 32580955]. [PubMed Central: PMC7907586].
- Stapleton F, Carnt N. Contact lens-related microbial keratitis: how have epidemiology and genetics helped us with pathogenesis and prophylaxis. *Eye (Lond)*. 2012;26(2):185–93. doi: 10.1038/eye.2011.288.
   [PubMed: 22134592]. [PubMed Central: PMC3272197].
- Urwin L, Okurowska K, Crowther G, Roy S, Garg P, Karunakaran E, et al. Corneal Infection Models: Tools to Investigate the Role of Biofilms in Bacterial Keratitis. *Cells.* 2020;9(11). doi: 10.3390/cells9112450. [PubMed: 33182687]. [PubMed Central: PMC7696224].
- Peabody MA, Van Rossum T, Lo R, Brinkman FS. Evaluation of shotgun metagenomics sequence classification methods using *in silico* and in vitro simulated communities. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2015;**16**:363. doi: 10.1186/s12859-015-0788-5. [PubMed: 26537885]. [PubMed Central: PMC4634789].
- World Health Organization. WHO publishes list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are urgently needed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/27-02-2017-who-publisheslist-of-bacteria-for-which-new-antibiotics-are-urgently-needed.
- Brogden KA, Ackermann M, McCray PJ, Tack BF. Antimicrobial peptides in animals and their role in host defences. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2003;**22**(5):465–78. doi: 10.1016/s0924-8579(03)00180-8. [PubMed: 14602364].
- Shai Y. Mode of action of membrane active antimicrobial peptides. Biopolymers. 2002;66(4):236–48. doi: 10.1002/bip.10260. [PubMed: 12491537].
- 10. Huang LC, Proske RJ, McDermott AM. Expression of the peptide antibiotic LL-37/hCAP18 (Cathelicidin) by human corneal epithelial cells. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2003;**44**(13):1335.
- Dostert M, Belanger CR, Hancock REW. Design and Assessment of Anti-Biofilm Peptides: Steps Toward Clinical Application. *J Innate Immun*. 2019;**11**(3):193–204. doi: 10.1159/000491497. [PubMed: 30134244]. [PubMed Central: PMC6738209].
- Maccari G, Nifosi R, Di Luca M. Rational development of antimicrobial peptides for therapeutic use: design and production of highly active compounds. Microbial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education. 2013:1265–77.
- Vlieghe P, Lisowski V, Martinez J, Khrestchatisky M. Synthetic therapeutic peptides: science and market. *Drug Discov Today*. 2010;15(1-2):40–56. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2009.10.009. [PubMed: 19879957].
- Steckbeck JD, Deslouches B, Montelaro RC. Antimicrobial peptides: new drugs for bad bugs? *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2014;14(1):11-4. doi: 10.1517/14712598.2013.844227. [PubMed: 24206062]. [PubMed Central: PMC4109705].
- Falciani C, Lozzi L, Pini A, Corti F, Fabbrini M, Bernini A, et al. Molecular basis of branched peptides resistance to enzyme proteolysis. *Chem Biol Drug Des*. 2007;**69**(3):216–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-0285.2007.00487.x. [PubMed: 17441908].
- Liu Z, Deshazer H, Rice AJ, Chen K, Zhou C, Kallenbach NR. Multivalent antimicrobial peptides from a reactive polymer scaffold. *J Med Chem.* 2006;49(12):3436–9. doi: 10.1021/jm0601452. [PubMed: 16759083].
- Pini A, Giuliani A, Falciani C, Runci Y, Ricci C, Lelli B, et al. Antimicrobial activity of novel dendrimeric peptides obtained by phage display selection and rational modification. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005;**49**(7):2665-72. doi: 10.1128/AAC.49.7.2665-2672.2005. [PubMed: 15980334]. [PubMed Central: PMC1168694].

- Zhu WL, Shin SY. Effects of dimerization of the cell-penetrating peptide Tat analog on antimicrobial activity and mechanism of bactericidal action. *J Pept Sci.* 2009;**15**(5):345–52. doi: 10.1002/psc.1120. [PubMed: 19206074].
- Rodriguez AA, Otero-Gonzalez A, Ghattas M, Standker L. Discovery, Optimization, and Clinical Application of Natural Antimicrobial Peptides. *Biomedicines*. 2021;9(10). doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9101381. [PubMed: 34680498]. [PubMed Central: PMC8533436].
- Waghu FH, Barai RS, Gurung P, Idicula-Thomas S. CAMPR3: a database on sequences, structures and signatures of antimicrobial peptides. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(D1):D1094–7. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1051. [PubMed: 26467475]. [PubMed Central: PMC4702787].
- Szabo D, Ostorhazi E, Binas A, Rozgonyi F, Kocsis B, Cassone M, et al. The designer proline-rich antibacterial peptide A3-APO is effective against systemic Escherichia coli infections in different mouse models. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;35(4):357–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.10.015. [PubMed: 20031377].
- Madanchi H, Akbari S, Shabani AA, Sardari S, Farmahini Farahani Y, Ghavami G, et al. Alignment-based design and synthesis of new antimicrobial Aurein-derived peptides with improved activity against Gram-negative bacteria and evaluation of their toxicity on human cells. *Drug Dev Res.* 2019;80(1):162–70. doi: 10.1002/ddr.21503. [PubMed: 30593676].
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983;65(1-2):55–63. doi: 10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4. [PubMed: 6606682].
- Taylor MJ, Hunt CJ. Dual staining of corneal endothelium with trypan blue and alizarin red S: importance of pH for the dye-lake reaction. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1981;**65**(12):815–9. doi: 10.1136/bj0.65.12.815. [PubMed: 6172144]. [PubMed Central: PMC1039687].
- Peters BM, Shirtliff ME, Jabra-Rizk MA. Antimicrobial peptides: primeval molecules or future drugs? *PLoS Pathog.* 2010;6(10). e1001067. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001067. [PubMed: 21060861].

[PubMed Central: PMC2965748].

- Porto WF, Irazazabal L, Alves ESF, Ribeiro SM, Matos CO, Pires AS, et al. In silico optimization of a guava antimicrobial peptide enables combinatorial exploration for peptide design. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1490. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03746-3. [PubMed: 29662055]. [PubMed Central: PMC5902452].
- Liu Z, Brady A, Young A, Rasimick B, Chen K, Zhou C, et al. Length effects in antimicrobial peptides of the (RW)n series. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(2):597–603. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00828-06. [PubMed: 17145799]. [PubMed Central: PMC1797765].
- Rice A, Wereszczynski J. Probing the disparate effects of arginine and lysine residues on antimicrobial peptide/bilayer association. *Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr.* 2017;**1859**(10):1941–50. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.06.002. [PubMed: 28583830]. [PubMed Central: PMC5582999].
- Drayton M, Kizhakkedathu JN, Straus SK. Towards Robust Delivery of Antimicrobial Peptides to Combat Bacterial Resistance. *Molecules*. 2020;25(13). doi: 10.3390/molecules25133048. [PubMed: 32635310]. [PubMed Central: PMC7412191].
- Yin LM, Edwards MA, Li J, Yip CM, Deber CM. Roles of hydrophobicity and charge distribution of cationic antimicrobial peptides in peptide-membrane interactions. *J Biol Chem*. 2012;287(10):7738–45. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.303602. [PubMed: 22253439]. [PubMed Central: PMC3293554].
- Chen Y, Guarnieri MT, Vasil AI, Vasil ML, Mant CT, Hodges RS. Role of peptide hydrophobicity in the mechanism of action of alpha-helical antimicrobial peptides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;**51**(4):1398-406. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00925-06. [PubMed: 17158938]. [PubMed Central: PMC1855469].
- Gunasekera S, Muhammad T, Stromstedt AA, Rosengren KJ, Goransson U. Backbone Cyclization and Dimerization of LL-37-Derived Peptides Enhance Antimicrobial Activity and Proteolytic Stability. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;**11**:168. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00168. [PubMed: 32153522]. [PubMed Central: PMC7046553].