Original Article

## Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of New Imidazo[2,1-b] Thiazole Derivatives as Selective COX-2 Inhibitors

Mahsa Shahrasbi<sup>*a*</sup>, Mahsa Azami Movahed<sup>*b*</sup>, Orkideh Ghorban Dadras<sup>*a*</sup>, Bahram Daraei<sup>*c*</sup> and Afshin Zarghi<sup>*b*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Sciences Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>c</sup>Department of Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

## Abstract

A new series of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole analogs containing a methyl sulfonyl COX-2 pharmacophore was synthesized and evaluated for their COX-2 inhibitory activity. According to *in-vitro* COX-1/COX-2 inhibition data, all compounds (6a-g) were selective inhibitors of COX-2 isoenzyme with IC<sub>50</sub> values in the highly potent 0.08-0.16  $\mu$ M range. These results indicated that both potency and selectivity of COX-2 inhibitory activity were affected by the type and size of amine on C-5 of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole ring. Our data identified N,N-dimethyl-1-(6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methanamine (6a) as a potent and selective COX-2 inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub>COX-1>100  $\mu$ M; IC<sub>50</sub>COX-2 = 0.08  $\mu$ M; selectivity index = 313.7). Our results indicated that both potency and selectivity of COX-2 inhibitory activity were affected by the type and size of amine on C-5 of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methanamine (6a) as a potent and selective COX-2 inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub>COX-1>100  $\mu$ M; IC<sub>50</sub>COX-2 = 0.08  $\mu$ M; selectivity index = 313.7). Our results indicated that both potency and selectivity of COX-2 inhibitory activity were affected by the type and size of amine on C-5 of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole ring.

**Keywords:** Design; Synthesis; Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition; Imidazo[2,1-b]Thiazole; Molecular modeling.

## Introduction

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can relieve inflammation, fever, and pain by inhibiting cyclooxygenase. Cyclooxygenase (COX) is the key enzyme in the biosynthesis of inflammation mediators, prostaglandins (1). There are three identified isozymes of COX. COX-1 which has physiological roles in the body is expressed in many tissues and shows important effects in homeostasis maintenance. COX-2 is the inducible isozyme and has roles in pathological conditions such as inflammation. In addition,

recent studies reveal that COX-2 levels are elevated in some other diseases such as cancer (2). Another isoform of cyclooxygenase, COX-3 is responsible for pain and fever (3). NSIADs reduce pain, fever and inflammation by inhibition of both COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 inhibitory activity of NSAIDs leads to some side effects like renal dysfunction and gastrointestinal ulcers (4, 5). Accordingly, it was expected that selective inhibition of COX-2 could reduce gastrointestinal side effects of NSAIDs while exhibiting desirable anti-inflammation effects of them. Therefore, many different selective COX-2 inhibitors have been synthesized and evaluated to have less gastrointestinal side effects than NSAIDs. Moreover, COX-2 expression increases in

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author:

E-mail: zarghi@sbmu.ac.ir



Figure 1. Chemical structures of COX-2 inhibitors (Celecoxib and Rofecoxib), lead compounds (A, B, C) and our designed molecules.

cancer (6-9), Parkinson's (10) and Alzheimer's disease (11). This fact theorizes that we can decrease the progression of these diseases by COX-2 inhibition. Based on this fact, many different structures of COX-2 inhibitors were investigated (12-23). Although their structures have very high varieties, we can classify them to two main classes of tricyclics and non-tricyclics (24). Tricyclics included the majority of COX-2 inhibitors. These compounds possess vicinal diaryl on a hetero/ carbocyclic central ring and a pharmacophore group of methylsulfonyl, sulfonamide, or azido on para position of one of the aryl rings which is responsible for COX-2 selectivity such as well-known compounds Celecoxib (25), Rofecoxib (26) and Valdecoxib (27). Increased risk of cardiovascular events due to Rofecoxib use, led to voluntarily withdrawing of this drug from the market. Some studies demonstrated that cardiac adverse effects associated with the use of COX-2 inhibitors may be related to intrinsic chemical properties of the drugs not the class effects of these medications (28). Accordingly, introducing new COX-2 scaffolds with valuable biological activities and improved safety profiles is still one of the researcher's interests. Almansa et al. introduced a series of pyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine derivatives and studied addition of a fused ring to usual five-memberd central ring. Among this series, 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-6,7-dimethyl-2-(4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl) pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (Figure 1) exhibited the most potency and selectivity  $(IC_{50}$  Whole cell COX-2 = 0.012  $\mu$ M, COX-1 > 10  $\mu$ M) (29). We reported an investigation on 5-substituted-2-(4-(azido or methylsulfonyl) phenyl)-1H-indole derivatives (30). In this 5-methoxy-2-(4-(methylsulfonyl) study, phenyl)-1H-indole (Figure 1) was the most potent and selective compound among synthesized compounds (COX-2  $IC_{50} = 0.08$  $\mu$ M; SI = 291.2). Also, we have recently reported a group of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine derivatives with remarkable potency and selectivity. In this series, the most potency selectivity of COX-2 and inhibition belongs 2-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)to 3-(morpholinomethyl)*H*-imidazo[1,2-a] pyridine (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.07  $\mu$ M, SI = 217.1) (31). In present work, we changed pyridine ring in imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine to thiazole ring in imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole and we designed a new group of 6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl) imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives possessing a methylsulfonyl at para position of C-6 phenyl ring and different mannich base on C-5 (Figure 1).

## **Experimental**

#### Materials and Methods

All reagents used in this study were purchased from Merck AG and Aldrich Chemical companies without further purifications. Melting points were determined with a Thomas–Hoover capillary apparatus. Perkin Elmer Model 1420 spectrometer was used to acquire Infrared spectra. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra with TMS as internal standard were acquired with Bruker FT-500 MHz instrument (Brucker Biosciences, USA. Chloroform-D was used as solvent. Coupling constant (J) values are estimated in hertz (Hz) and spin multiples are given as s (singlet), d (double), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). The mass spectral measurements were performed on a 6410 Agilent LCMS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (LCMS) with an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface. Microanalyses, determined for C and H, were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of theoretical values.

# Preparation of 4-(methylthio)acetophenone (2)

11.5 g AlCl<sub>3</sub> (87 mmol) was suspended in 200 mL CHCl<sub>3</sub> and stirred until disperse uniformly. After cooling to 10 °C, 6.2 mL (87 mmol) acetyl chloride was added, followed by dropwise addition of 7.5 mL (80 mmol) thioanisole when the temperature was under 4 °C and allowed the mixture to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was poured into crushed ice, organic layer was separated with CHCl<sub>3</sub> and washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and finally dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The precipitate was filtered and washed with n-hexane. Yield 87%; white crystalline powder; mp: 81-82 °C; IR (KBr disk):  $\upsilon$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1690 (C=O); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 167 (M+1, 100).

## 4-(Methylsulfonyl)acetophenone (3)

To a solution of 4 g of 1 (24 mmol) in 20 mL THF, mixture of 20 g oxone in THF and water (1:1) was added and stirred at room temperature for 2 h. THF was removed under reduced pressure and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $3 \times 25$  mL). The organic layer was washed twice with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated and white precipitate was recrystallized in ethanol. Yield 95%; white crystalline powder; mp: 128-130 °C; IR (KBr disk):  $\upsilon$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1148, 1309 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1681 (C=O); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 198.9 (M+1, 100).

 $\alpha$ -Bromo-4-(methylsulfonyl)acetophenone (4)

Dissolve 2 g (10.1 mmol) of 2 in 20 mL CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The bromine was added drop wise. After the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the precipitate was recrystallized in ethanol. Yield 83%; white crystalline powder; mp: 125-127 °C; IR (KBr disk): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1165, 1308 (SO<sub>2</sub>) 1710 (C=O); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 276.7 (M+1, 100).

## 6 - (4 - (Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole (5)

To 1 g of 3 (3.62 mmol) in ethanol, 0.38 g Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (7.25 mmol) and 0.36 g 2-aminothiazole (3.4 mmol) were added and refluxed for 24 hours. The precipitate was filtered off and washed with water. Yield 70.5%; brown powder; mp: 145.5-147 °C; IR (KBr disk): vcm<sup>-1</sup> 1149, 1298 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 3.08 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.94 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>), 7.51 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>), 7.89-8.01 (m, 5H, imidazothiazole H<sub>5</sub>, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub> H<sub>3</sub> H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.9 Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 279.0 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{12}H_{10}N_2O_2S_2$ : C, 51.78; H, 3.62; N, 10.06. Found: C, 51.52; H, 3.85; N, 10.25.

## 6 - (4 - (Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives (**6a-g**)

At 0 °C, acetic acid,  $\sim$ 36% formalin solution (1.37 mmol) and appropriate aliphatic amine 1.37 mmol) were added in the flask. Then, **4** (0.3 g, 1.10 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at 50 °C for reaction completion. The reaction mixture was cooled under 10 °C and pH was adjusted to ~8-9 with 20% sodium hydroxide solution. The solid was filtered and washed with water. The crude was purified by chromatography to give compounds (**6a-g**) (Yield 50-70%).

N, N-Dimethyl-1-(6-(4-(methylsulfonyl))) phenyl)imidazo[2, 1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methanamine (6a)

Yield 61%; Yellow powder; mp: 123-124 °C; IR (KBr): 1 v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1156, 1316 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 2.28 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.08 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.79 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.85 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.68 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.98 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.6 Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 336.0 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 53.71; H, 5.11; N, 12.53. Found: C, 53.51; H, 5.35; N, 12.84.

## *N*-*E*thyl-*N*-((6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)) phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methyl) ethanamine (**6b**)

Yield 55.1%; Yellow powder; mp: 132-135 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1152,1304 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.04-1.07 (t, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.54-2.58 (q, 4H, <u>CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub></u>, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.12 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.97 (s, 2H -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.86 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.5 Hz), 7.81 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.5 Hz), 8.01 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub> H<sub>3</sub> H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J=6.6 Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 364.0 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.17; H, 5.82; N, 11.56. Found: 56.35; H, 6.02; N, 11.71.

*N*-((6-(4-(*Methylsulfonyl*)*phenyl*) *imidazo*[2,1-b]*thiazo*[-5-yl)*methyl*)-*Npropylpropan-1-amine* (6c)

Yield 54.9%; Yellow powder; mp: 165-167 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1155,1307 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 0.84-0.87 (t, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.46-1.53 (m, 4H, -<u>CH<sub>2</sub></u>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41-2.43 (t,4H,-<u>CH<sub>2</sub>-</u>CH<sub>2</sub>, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.12 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.96 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.86 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.76 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.0 Hz), 8.01 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.7 Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 392.1 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 58.28; H, 6.44; N, 10.73. Found: C, 58.33; H, 6.57; N, 10.98.

6-(4-(Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)-5-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)imidazo[2,1-b] thiazole (**6d**)

Yield 51%; Yellow powder; mp: 144-146 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1147,1307 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.82 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-), 2.57 (m, 4H, -N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 3.11 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.03 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.87 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.2 Hz), 7.78 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.2 Hz), 8.00 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.8 Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 362.00 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.49; H, 5.30; N, 11.62. Found: C, 56.13; H, 5.51; N, 11.88.

## 6-(4-(Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)-5-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole (**6e**)

Yield 60%; Yellow powder; mp: 142.6-144 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1151, 1309 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.50 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-),1.58-1.63 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 2.46 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 3.12 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.84 (t, 4H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 6.87 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.78 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 8.02 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.7 Hz) ; LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 376 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 57.58; H, 5.64; N, 11.19. Found: C, 57.78; H, 5.91; N, 11.26.

4-((6-(4-(Methylsulfonyl)phenyl)



R:dimethylamine, diethylamine, dipropilamine, morpholine, piperidine, pyrrolidine, diethanolamine

**Scheme 1.** Reagents and conditions: (a)  $AlCl_3$ ,  $CH_3COCl$ ,  $CHCl_3$ , 25 °C, 2h (b) Oxone, THF, water, 25 °C, 2h (c)  $Br_2$ ,  $CHCl_3$ , 25 °C (d) Ethanol,  $Na_2CO_3$ , 2-aminothiazole, reflux (e) acetic acid, formalin, different aliphatic amines.

imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methyl)
morpholine (6f)

Yield 69.5%; Yellow powder; mp: 203-206 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1173, 1331 (SO<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 2.49 (t, 4H, -N-CH<sub>2</sub>-, J = 5.0 Hz), 3.08 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.69-3.71 (t, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-O, J = 4.2), 3.86 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.87 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.70 (d,1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.98 (dd, 4H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 6.9 Hz ); LC-MS (ESI) m/z : 378.1 (M+1, 100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.09; H, 5.07; N, 11.13. Found: C, 54.23; H, 5.29; N, 11.33.

2,2'-(((6-(4-(Methylsulfonyl)phenyl) imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methyl)azanediyl) bis(ethan-1-ol) (**6g**)

Yield 65%; white powder; mp: 168.8-170 °C; IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1170, 1331 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 3417 (OH); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 2.13-2.14 (bs, 2H, O-H), 2.74-2.76 (t, 4H, -N-CH<sub>2</sub>-, J = 5.0 Hz), 3.13 (s, 3H, SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.66-3.68 (t, 4H, O-CH<sub>2</sub>-, J = 5.0 Hz), 4.18 (s, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 6.91 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>2</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.91 (d, 1H, imidazothiazole H<sub>3</sub>, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.96-7.98 (d, 2H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>, J = 8.3 Hz); 8.02 (d, 2H, 4-methylsulfonylphenyl H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>, J = 8.3Hz); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 396.1 (M+1,100). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 51.63; H, 5.35; N, 10.62.

## Found: C, 51.77; H, 5.65; N, 10.82.

## Molecular modeling studies

Docking studies were implemented using AutoDock software Version 4.2. The coordinates of the X-ray crystal structure of SC-558 as known selective COX-2 inhibitor bound to the murine COX-2 enzyme was obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (6COX) and hydrogens were added. All the ligand molecules were built by the Builder module and were energy minimized for 1000 iterations reaching a convergence of 0.01 kcal/mol Å. The energy minimized ligands were superimposed on SC-558 in the PDB file of 6COX after which SC-558 was deleted. Searching for the desired binding configuration between the small flexible ligands and the rigid protein is the purpose of docking study. For efficiency, protein residues with atoms greater than 6.0 Å from the docking box were removed. The quality of the docked structures was evaluated by measuring the intermolecular energy of the ligand-enzyme assembly (32, 33).

# In-vitro cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibition assay

Enzyme chemiluminescent kit (Cayman chemical, MI, USA) was used to evaluate inhibition activities of synthesized compounds



**Figure 2.** Docking N,N-dimethyl-1-(6-(4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5- yl)methanamine (**6a**) in the active site of murine COX-2. Hydrogen atoms have been removed to improve clarity.

based on our previous reported procedure (34). The Cayman chemical chemiluminescent COX (ovine) inhibitor screening assay employs the heme-catalyzed hydroperoxidase activity of ovine cyclooxygenases to produce luminescence in the presence of a cyclic naphthalene hydrazide and the substrate arachidonic acid. Arachidonateinduced luminescence was shown to be an index of real-time catalytic activity and demonstrated the turnover inactivation of the enzyme. COX inhibitory activity, measured by luminescence, by a variety of selective and nonselective inhibitors showed potencies similar to those observed with other in-vitro and whole cell methods.

## **Results and Discussion**

## Chemistry

The synthesis of 6-(4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives was carried out according to Scheme 1 (31). Starting from thioanisole (1), acetyl chloride and aluminium chloride through a Friedelcrafts reaction, 4-(methylthio)acetophenone was obtained (2). (Methylsulfonyl) acetophenone (3) was prepared using oxone in THF/water. The bromination of compound (3) via bromine in CHCl, at room temperature afforded a-bromo-4(methylsulfonyl)acetophenone (4). Compound (4) was treated with 2-aminothiazole to yield 6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b] thiazole (5). The target molecules (6a-g) were synthesized in presence of appropriate aliphatic amines, formalin in acetic acid. The purity of all products was determined by thin layer chromatography using different polarity solvent systems. The structures of the synthesized compounds were confirmed by IR, <sup>1</sup>HNMR, and ESI-MS.

## Docking study

The orientation and binding interactions of the most selective COX-2 inhibitor (6f) within the COX-2 binding site were evaluated by a docking experiment. Results obtained from docking procedure of 6a as selective and most potent COX-2 inhibitor among the synthesized compounds into the COX-2 binding site (Figure 2) showed that the para-SO<sub>2</sub>Me substituent inserts into the secondary pocket present in COX-2 (Arg<sup>513</sup>, Phe<sup>518</sup>, Val<sup>523</sup>). One of O-atoms of *para*-SO<sub>2</sub>Me forms a hydrogen bonding interaction with the amino group of  $Arg^{513}$  (distance = 3.27 Å). Additional docking study showed that 6a and SC558 were superimposed tightly in COX active site (Figure 3).

## *Enzyme inhibitory activity*

The ability of 6-(4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives



Figure 3. Superimposition of compound (6a) on the SC558 molecule.

Table 1. In-vitro COX-1 and COX-2 enzyme inhibition assay data.



Compound	R —	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>a</sup>		Selectivity
		COX-1	COX-2	index (SI) <sup>b</sup>
6a	dimethylamine	>100	0.08	ND
6b	diethylamine	48.5	0.12	404.1
6c	dipropylamine	>100	>100	ND
6d	pyrrolidine	12.1	0.09	134.4
6e	piperidine	50.1	0.16	313.1
6f	morpholine	55.1	0.10	551.0
6g	diethanolamine	>100	0.11	ND
Celecoxib	<u> </u>	24.3	0.06	405

<sup>a</sup>Values are means of two determinations acquired using an ovine COX-1/COX-2 assay kit and the deviation from the mean is <5% of the mean value.

<sup>b</sup>In-vitro COX-2 selectivity index (COX-1 IC<sub>50</sub>/COX-2 IC<sub>50</sub>).

to inhibit COX-1 and COX-2 was measured using chemiluminescent enzyme assay to investigate the effect of different substituents on COX-2 selectivity and potency (Table 1). According to in-vitro COX-1/COX-2 inhibition data, all compounds (6a-g) were selective inhibitors of COX-2 isoenzyme with IC<sub>50</sub> values in the highly potent 0.08-0.16 µM range. These results indicated that both potency and selectivity of COX-2 inhibitory activity were affected by the type and size of amine on C-5 of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole ring. Based on these results N,N-dimethyl-1-(6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b] thiazol-5-yl)methanamine (6a) was proved to be the most potent (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.08  $\mu$ M) COX-2 inhibitor among the synthesized compounds. These results showed that replacement of dimethylamino group with larger dialkylamino substituents such as diethylamino (6b) or dipropylamino (6c) decreased both potency and selectivity for COX-2 inhibitory activity. Our results also indicated that compounds having cyclic amino groups such as pyrrolidine (6d), piperidine (6e) or morpholine (6f) showed moderate to high COX-2 selectivity.

However, compound **6f** having morpholine group had higher potency and selectivity for COX-2 inhibitory activity compared with compound **6e** possessing piperidine substituent which might be explained by the ability of morpholine for hydrogen binding with COX-2 active site. Similarly, compound 6g containing diethanolamine with hydrogen binding potential showed high COX-2 inhibitory potency and selectivity. These findings confirm that synthesized compounds should inhibit the biosynthesis of prostaglandins through the cyclooxygenase pathway at sites of inflammation.

## Conclusion

The results of this investigation indicated that (i) 6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl)imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole is a suitable scaffold to design COX-2 inhibitors, (ii) COX-1/-2 inhibition is sensitive to the type of substituent at C-5 of imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole ring, (iii) N,Ndimethyl-1-(6-(4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl) imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-5-yl)methanamine (**6a**) exhibited highly COX-2 inhibitory potency.

#### References

- Vane JR. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirin-like drugs. *Nat. New Biol.* (1971) 231: 232-5.
- (2) Vane J and Botting R. Anti-inflammatory drugs and their mechanism of action. *Inflamm. Res.* (1998) 47: 78-87.
- (3) Botting R. COX-1 and COX-3 inhibitors. *Thromb. Res.* (2003) 10: 269-72.
- (4) Morham SG, Langenbach R, Loftin CD, Tiano HF, Vouloumanos N, Jennette JC, Mahler JF, Kluckman KD, Ledford A, Lee CA and Smithies O. Prostaglandin synthase 2 gene disruption causes severe renal pathology in the mouse. *Cell* (1995) 83: 473-82.
- (5) Parente L. Pros and cons of selective inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 versus dual lipoxygenase/ cyclooxygenase inhibition: Is two better than one? J. *Rheumatol.* (2001) 28: 2375-82.
- (6) Katori M and Majima M. Cyclooxygenase-2: Its rich diversity of roles and possible application of its selective inhibitors. *Inflamm. Res.* (2000) 49: 367-92.
- (7) Misra S and Sharma K. COX-2 signaling and cancer: New players in old arena. *Curr. Drug Targets* (2014) 15: 347-59.
- (8) Takkouche B, Regueira-Méndez C and Etminan M. Breast cancer and use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: A meta-analysis. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2008) 100: 1439-47.
- (9) Ruan D and So SP. Prostaglandin E 2 produced by inducible COX-2 and mPGES-1 promoting cancer cell proliferation *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*. *Life Sci.* (2014) 116: 43-50.
- (10) Teismann P, Tieu K, Choi DK, Wu DC, Naini A, Hunot S, Vila M, Jackson-Lewis V and Przedborski S. Cyclooxygenase-2 is instrumental in Parkinson's disease neurodegeneration. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* (2003) 100: 5473-8.
- (11) Van Gool W, Aisen P and Eikelenboom P. Antiinflammatory therapy in Alzheimer's disease: Is hope still alive? *J. Neurol.* (2003) 250: 788-92.
- (12) Abolhasani H, Dastmalchi S, Hamzeh-Mivehroud M, Daraei B and Zarghi A. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of new tricyclic spiroisoxazoline derivatives as selective COX-2 inhibitors and study of their COX-2 binding modes via docking studies. *Med. Chem. Res.* (2016) 25: 858-69.
- (13) Ghodsi R, Azizi E and Zarghi A. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of 4-(imidazolylmethyl)-2-(4-methylsulfonyl phenyl)-quinoline derivatives as selective cox-2 inhibitors and *in-vitro* anti-breast cancer agents. *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.* (2016) 15: 169-77.
- (14) Irannejad H, Kebriaieezadeh A, Zarghi A, Montazer-Sadegh F, Shafiee A, Assadieskandar A and Amini M. Synthesis, docking simulation, biological evaluations and 3D-QSAR study of 5-Aryl-6-(4-methylsulfonyl)-3-(metylthio)-1,2,4-triazin as selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* (2014) 22: 865-73.
- (15) Arefi H and Zarghi A. Synthesis and biologic

evaluation of new 3-phenoxyazetidin-2-one derivatives as selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors. *Med. Chem. Res.* (2013) 22: 3881-7.

- (16) Zarghi A, Arfaei S and Ghodsi R. Design and synthesis of new 2,4,5-triarylimidazole derivatives as selective cyclooxygenase (COX-2) inhibitors. *Med. Chem. Res.* (2012) 21: 1803-10.
- (17) Zarghi A, Arefi H, Dadrass OG and Torabi S. Design and synthesis of new 2-aryl, 3-benzyl- (1,3-oxazolidine or 1,3-thiazolidine)-4-ones as selective cyclooxygenase (COX-2) inhibitors. *Med. Chem. Res.* (2010) 19: 782-93.
- (18) Soltani S, Abolhasani H, Zarghi A and Jouyban A. QSAR analysis of diaryl COX-2 inhibitors: Comparison of feature selection and train-test data selection methods. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* (2010) 45: 2753-60.
- (19) Zarghi A, Zebardast T, Daraie B and Hedayati M. Design and synthesis of new 1, 3-benzthiazinan-4one derivatives as selective cyclooxygenase (COX-2) inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* (2009) 17: 5369-73.
- (20) Alsayed SSR, Elshemy HAH, Abdelgawad MA, Abdel-Latif MS and Abdellatif KRA. Design, synthesis and biological screening of some novel celecoxib and etoricoxib analogs with promising COX-2 selectivity, anti-inflammatory activity and gastric safety profile. *Bioorg. Chem.* (2017) 70: 173-83.
- (21) Madhava G, Ramana KV, Sudhana SM, Rao DS, Kumar KH, Lokanatha V, Rani AU and Raju CN. Aryl/ heteroaryl substituted celecoxib derivatives as COX-2 inhibitors: synthesis, anti-inflammatory activity and molecular docking studies. *Med. Chem.* (2017) 13: 484-97.
- (22) Li J, Li D, Xu Y, Guo Z, Liu X, Yang H, Wu L and Wang L. Design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular docking of chalcone derivatives as antiinflammatory agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* (2017) 27: 602-6.
- (23) Abdelazeem AH, El-Saadi MT, Safi El-Din AG, Omar HA and El-Moghazy SM. Design, synthesis and analgesic/anti-inflammatory evaluation of novel diarylthiazole and diarylimidazole derivatives towards selective COX-1 inhibitors with better gastric profile. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* (2017) 25: 665-76.
- (24) Zarghi A and Arfaei S. Selective COX-2 inhibitors: A review of their structure-activity relationships. *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.* (2011) 10: 655-83.
- (25) Penning TD, Talley JJ, Bertenshaw SR, Carter JS, Collins PW, Docter S, Graneto MJ, Lee LF, Malecha JW and Miyashiro JM. Synthesis and biological evaluation of the 1, 5-diarylpyrazole class of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors: identification of 4-[5-(4methylphenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-1 H-pyrazol-1-yl] benzenesulfonamide (SC-58635, celecoxib). J. Med. Chem. (1997) 40: 1347-65.
- (26) Prasit P, Wang Z, Brideau C, Chan CC, Charleson S, Cromlish W, Ethier D, Evans J, Ford-Hutchinson A and Gauthier J. The discovery of rofecoxib,[MK 966, VIOXX®,4-(4'-methylsulfonylphenyl)-3-phenyl-

2(5H)-furanone], an orally active cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* (1999) 9: 1773-8.

- (27) Talley JJ, Brown DL, Carter JS, Graneto MJ, Koboldt CM, Masferrer JL, Perkins WE, Rogers RS, Shaffer AF, Zhang YY, Zweifel BS and Seibert K. 4-[5-Methyl-3-phenylisoxazol-4-yl]-benzenesulfonamide, valdecoxib: A potent and selective inhibitor of COX-2. J. Med. Chem. (2000) 43: 775-7.
- (28) Hinz B and Brune K. Cyclooxygenase-2--10 years later. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. (2002) 300: 367-75.
- (29) Almansa C, de Arriba AF, Cavalcanti FL, Gómez LA, Miralles A, Merlos M, García-Rafanell J and Forn J. Synthesis and SAR of a new series of COX-2-selective inhibitors: Pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidines. *J. Med. Chem.* (2001) 44: 350-61.
- (30) Zarghi A, Tahghighi A, Soleomani Z, Daraie B, Dadrass OG and Hedayati M. Design and synthesis of some 5-Substituted-2-(4-(azido or methylsulfonyl) phenyl)-1H-indole derivatives as selective cyclooxygenase (COX-2) Inhibitors. *Sci. Pharm.* (2008) 76: 361-76.

- (31) Mahsa Azami M, Bahram D and Zarghi A. Synthesis and biological evaluation of new imidazo[1,2-a] pyridine derivatives as selective cox-2 inhibitors. *Lett. Drug Des. Discov.* (2016) 13: 1-7.
- (32) Morris GM, Goodsell DS, Halliday RS, Huey R, Hart WE, Belew RK and Olson AJ. Automated docking using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and an empirical binding free energy function. *J. Comput. Chem.* (1998) 19: 1639-62.
- (33) Kurumbail RG, Stevens AM, Gierse JK, McDonald JJ, Stegeman RA, Pak JY, Gildehaus D, Miyashiro JM, Penning TD and Seibert K. Structural basis for selective inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 by antiinflammatory agents. *Nature* (1996) 384: 644-8.
- (34) Zarghi A, Najafnia L, Daraee B, Dadrass OG and Hedayati M. Synthesis of 2,3-diaryl-1,3-thiazolidine-4-one derivatives as selective cyclooxygenase (COX-2) inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* (2007) 17: 5634-7.
  - This article is available online at http://www.ijpr.ir