Published online 2022 June 3.

**Research Article** 

# Synthesis, Structural Characterization, and Cytotoxic Activity of New Benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole Derivatives Against MCF-7 Breast Cancer Cells

Nahid Ahmadi<sup>1</sup>, Soraya Shahhosseini<sup>1, 2</sup>, Farshad H Shirazi <sup>3, 4</sup>, Golrokh Farnam<sup>3</sup> and Afshin Zarghi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
<sup>2</sup>Protein Technology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
<sup>3</sup>Department of Toxicology and Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
<sup>4</sup>Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

corresponding author: Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: zarghi@sbmu.ac.ir

Received 2022 January 01; Revised 2022 January 23; Accepted 2022 February 01.

## Abstract

Breast cancer is an invasive disease with a high prevalence among females. Despite various treatments, studies are still ongoing to find an effective treatment for this disease. This study aimed to synthesize a new series of diaryl benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole compounds containing aminoethoxy side chain and in vitro investigate their cytotoxicity on a human breast cancer cell line (MCF-7). Twelve derivatives (6a-6l) were synthesized from this scaffold, the structures of which were spectroscopically confirmed. The cytotoxic effects of the derivatives on the MCF-7 cell line were also assessed using the MTT assay. All these compounds showed a good inhibitory effect on the MCF-7 cell line, compared to that of tamoxifen. Compounds (6i) and (6j) showed higher cytotoxicity with relevant inhibitory effects of 81% and 73%, respectively.

Keywords: Synthesis, Breast Cancer, Benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazoles, Docking, Cytotoxicity

## 1. Background

Based on GLOBOCAN statistics, breast cancer was the most prevalent cancer type in 2020 (1). As breast cancer is one of the first diagnosed cancer types in history (2), numerous studies have addressed its causes and treatments. Numerous studies support a strong relationship between estrogen exposure levels and breast cancer risk (3, 4). Estrogens are steroid molecules (e.g., estradiol, estriol, estrone, and estretrol) capable of regulating the physiological processes due to their estrogen receptors (ERs). The overexpression of ERs has been reported in more than 70% of breast cancer cases (5). Two isoforms of ERs, namely estrogen receptor alpha (ER $\alpha$ ) and estrogen receptor beta, have been identified, which belong to the type 1 nuclear receptors. Both of these receptors are composed of six functional domains (A-F) with different genetic codes and expression profiles (6). The exact role of ERs in breast cancer is complicated. The ER $\alpha$  can increase cell proliferation in the breast tissue through various signaling pathways, such as genomic and nongenomic pathways, that acceler-

## ate gene expression (7, 8).

Recent studies have suggested the role of hydroquinone metabolites from estrogen (mainly estradiol-3, 4quinone) in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) mutations affecting cancer development. These metabolites react with DNA through the Michael reaction, resulting in DNA depurination (9). On the other hand, ER antagonists are the first choice in the endocrine therapy of breast cancer.

Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) inhibit proliferation as a full antagonist in breast tissue; however, they play an agonist role in the bone and cardiovascular systems, which is favorable (10, 11). Five categories of SERMs have been explored based on their chemical structures, namely triphenylethylenes (tamoxifen-like), benzothiophenes (e.g., raloxifene and arzoxifene), tetrahydronaphthalene (e.g., lasofoxifene), indoles (e.g., bazedoxifene), and benzopyrans (e.g., EM-800) (12-14). Structure-Activity Relationship studies have revealed that two or three phenyl rings are connected to a central core of most SERMs; an aminoethoxy pharmacophore is placed on one

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

of the rings (15-18). These compounds insert into the active site of the ER located in the ligand-binding domain or E domain. The presence of an aminoethoxy is essential to inhibit the ER. When a SERM compound binds to the active site, the aminoethoxy side chain prevents receptor activation by Helix-12 (17, 19).

Recent studies have introduced benzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole derivatives as prominent anticancer agents with high cytotoxic effects on diverse cell lines (including MCF-7) (20, 21).

## 2. Objectives

This study designed a new series of diaryl benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives. These compounds have various aminoethoxy side chains on one of their phenyl rings (para- or meta-positions) (Figure 1).

#### 3. Methods

## 3.1. Materials and Methods

All the applied chemicals were supplied from Merck AG and Sigma-Aldrich. If necessary, solvents were dried by standard methods. The Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus was employed to measure the melting point. Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) studies were completed by Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer using potassium bromide (KBr) pellets for solid samples. 1H-Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and 13C-NMR spectra were recorded by a Bruker FT-500 MHz instrument (Brucker Biosciences, USA). Chloroform-D was employed as the solvent; however, tetramethylsilane served as the internal standard. Coupling constant (I) values were reported in hertz (Hz); nonetheless, spin multiples were presented as s, d, t, q, bs, and m corresponding to the singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, broad singlet, and multiplet, respectively. A 6410 Agilent LC-MS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer [to perform liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)] equipped with electrospray ionization (ESI) interface was also employed to obtain mass spectral measurements.

## 3.2. Chemistry

## 3.2.1. Synthesis of 2-Bromoacetophenone Derivatives (2a-2b)

1 eq Bromine was diluted in 15 mL methanol, which was then dropwise added into a solution of appropriate acetophenone (20 mmol, 1a or 1b) in methanol (200 mL) at room temperature. When the bromination reaction was completed [monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), mobile phase: methylene chloride (DCM)], the mixture was slowly added to a water solution of saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The crystalline product was filtered, repeatedly washed with water, and dried under vacuum to give desired product 2a-2b.

## 3.2.2. General Procedure for Synthesis of 3a-3c

4-Methoxy phenol (22 mmol, 1.1 eq) and 30 mmol (1.5 eq)  $K_2CO_3$  were suspended in acetone under stirring at 50°C for 30 minutes. Then, 20 mmol (1 eq) 2a was added into the suspension and allowed to reflux for 5 hours. After completing the reaction [checked by TLC, mobile phase: CHCl<sub>3</sub>/n-Hexane (95:5)], the solvent evaporated, and the resulting residues were repeatedly washed with water and methanol to give pure compound 3a. The same procedure was used for the synthesis of 3b and 3c. The reaction of 2b with 3-methoxy phenol in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  resulted in 3b, and the reaction of 2c with phenol and  $K_2CO_3$  led to the formation of 3c.

## 2-(4-methoxyphenoxy)-1-phenylethanone (3a)

Yield, 92%; white crystalline powder; mp: 66 - 67°C; IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1692 (CO); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 265 (M+23).

## 2-(3-methoxyphenoxy)-1-phenylethanone (3b)

Yield, 78%; pale beige crystalline powder; mp: 86°C; IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1696 (CO); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 265 (M+23).

#### *1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenoxyethanone (3c)*

Yield, 80%; white crystalline powder; mp: 65 - 67°C; IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1688 (CO); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 265 (M+23).

## 3.2.3. General Procedure for Synthesis of Benzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole Derivatives (4a-4c)

2-Aminobenzothiazole (15 mmol, 3 eq) and elemental iodine (10 mmol, 2 eq) were added to the stirring solution of compounds 3a, 3b, or 3c (5 mmol, 1 eq) in 15 ml dimethylformamide. The obtained mixture underwent 24 hours of reflux. When the reaction was completed, as the TLC monitoring indicated, 1 mL of saturated sodium thiosulfate was added to the solution, followed by stirring until the disappearance of the brown color. Then, the solution was poured into the beaker containing ice. For 4b and 4c (solid products), the precipitate was separated and recrystallized in methanol to give the pure product. However, 4a (oily product) was extracted with ethyl acetate, evaporated, and used for the next step without purification.



Figure 1. Some representative examples of selective estrogen receptor modulators (i.e., raloxifene and tamoxifen), A and B as potent cytotoxic agents of designed molecules.

3-(4-methoxyphenoxy)-2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole (4a)

Yield, 65%; dark orange oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm-1) 1632 (C=N); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 373 (M+1).

## 3-(3-methoxyphenoxy)-2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole (4b)

Yield, 71%; yellow crystalline powder; mp: 98 - 100 °C; IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1692 (C=N); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 373 (M+1).

## 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole (4c)

Yield, 75%; yellow crystalline powder; mp: 132 °C; IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1632 (C=N); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 373 (M+1).

3.2.4. General Procedure for Synthesis of Phenolic Benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole Derivatives (5a-5c)

In this study, 4 mmol benzoimidazo[2,1-b]thiazole compound 4a (or 4b or 4c) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM (50 mL) and cooled to  $-10^{\circ}$ C utilizing an ice-NaCl-acetone bath. Then, 24 mmol BBr<sub>3</sub> was added and stirred under an argon atmosphere for 2 hours. Then, the mixture was quenched by adding a few drops of methanol; subsequently, it was poured into the ice-containing beaker and

stirred for 10 minutes. The residues were collected and dried in the oven to obtain 5a-5c.

## 4-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-yl)oxy)phenol (5a)

Yield, 95%; white powder; mp: 272 - 275°C (decomposed); IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3023 (OH); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 359 (M+1).

# 3-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-yl)oxy)phenol (5b)

Yield, 90%; light beige powder; mp: 288 - 293°C (decomposed); IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3049, 3325 (OH); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 359 (M+1).

## 4-(3-phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-2-yl)phenol(5c)

Yield, 94%; white powder; mp: 297°C (decomposed); IR (KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3056, 3433 (OH); LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 359 (M+1), 739 (2M+23).

## 3.2.5. General Procedure for Synthesis of 6a-6l

In this study, 1 mmol of 5a (or 5b or 5c) and 3 mmol  $K_2CO_3$  were added into acetone (30 mL) and vigorously

stirred at 60°C for 1 hour. Then, 3 mmol of appropriate 2-chloroethylamine was transferred into the suspension and stirred for 5 hours under reflux conditions. After the reaction was completed (TLC monitoring), the solvent was evaporated, and the final product was extracted using ethyl acetate. Finally, the crude products were purified using plate chromatography (mobile phase: CHCl3/CH3OH, 95:5, and ethyl acetate).

# N,N-Dimethyl-2-(4-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethanamine (6a)

Yield, 69%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1029 (C-N), 1498, 1603 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl3):  $\delta$  ppm 2.325 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.697-2.720 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.981-4.003 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.850 - 6.868 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>, J = 9 Hz), 6.985 - 7.003 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 9 Hz), 7.208 - 7.272 (m, 3H, phenyl H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.333 - 7.363 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.457-7.475 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.643 - 7.649 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.897 - 7.913 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.9 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 45.90, 45.97, 58.35, 66.38, 115.86, 116.10, 124.03, 124.21, 124.95, 125.55, 125.71, 126.40, 127.19, 128.77, 130.08, 131.78, 132.18, 132.78, 134.28, 142.25, 150.65, 155.13; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 430 (M+1).

# N,N-Diethyl-2-(4-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethanamine (6b)

Yield, 77%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1021 (C-N), 1498, 1603 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.070 - 1.098 (t, 6H, diethylamine CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.664 - 2.706 (q, 4H, diethylamine CH2), 2.886-2.909 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.012-4.036 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.831 - 6.849 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 6.982 - 7.001 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 7.205-7.266 (m, 3H, phenyl H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.330 - 7.361 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.456 - 7.475 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.630 - 7.648 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.893 - 7.912 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.1 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 11.49, 47.84, 51.73, 66.69, 113.61, 115.87, 116.10, 124.09, 124.92, 125.65, 126.38, 127.16, 128.73, 130.09, 131.79, 132.20, 132.79, 134.28, 142.23, 150.70, 155.02; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 458 (M+1).

# 2-Phenyl-3-(4-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)phenoxy)benzo[d] imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole (6c)

Yield, 58%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1036 (C-N), 1498, 1603 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.431 (bs, 2H, piperidine -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\gamma$ ), 1.588 - 1.610 (t, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\beta$ ), 2.498 (s, 4H, piperidine -NCH<sub>2</sub>- $\alpha$ ), 2.736 - 2.759 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.023 - 4.047 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.833-6.851 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 6.981-6.999 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 7.206-7.263 (m, 3H, phenyl H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.332-7.363 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.458-7.477 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.627 - 7.649 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.900 - 7.914 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub> , J= 7.2 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 25.90, 55.15, 58.02, 66.39, 113.70, 115.86, 116.14, 124.01, 124.22, 125.71, 126.40, 127.19, 128.77, 130.08, 131.78, 132.18, 132.79, 134.28, 142.25, 150.63, 155.13; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 470 (M+1).

# 4-(2-(4-((2-Phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethyl)morpholine (6d)

Yield, 80%; light beige crystalline powder; mp: 82°C; IR(KBr):  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1021 (C-N), 1498, 1603 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 2.555 (bs, 4H, morpholine -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.755 - 2.777 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.709 - 3.727 (t, 4H, morpholine -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.021 - 4.043 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.835 - 6.854 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 6.987 - 7.005 (d, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 9.1 Hz), 7.207 - 7.276 (m, 3H, phenyl H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.331 - 7.362 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.463 - 7.481 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.636 - 7.655 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.895 - 7.910 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.2 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 54.18, 57.79, 66.34, 66.98, 113.58, 115.89, 116.18, 124.12, 124.93, 125.64, 126.36, 127.18, 128.74, 130.12, 131.80, 132.21, 132.77, 134.27, 142.24, 150.75, 155.04 ; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 472 (100), 965 (2M+23).

## N,N-Dimethyl-2-(3-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethanamine (6e)

Yield, 80%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1252 (C-N), (1677 C=N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 2.385 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.776 - 2.796 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.057 - 4.078 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.639 - 6. 677 (m, 3H, phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>6</sub>), 7.192 - 7.277 (m, 4H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> and phenyl H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.330 - 7.361 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.437 - 7.455(m, 1H, Imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.635 - 7.654 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.879 - 7.893 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.3 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 45.64, 58.02, 65.72, 125.00, 125.57, 125.73, 126.45, 127.25, 128.78, 130.08, 131.03, 131.73, 132.29, 132.70, 133.54, 142.42, 157.82, 160.42; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 430 (M+1)

## N,N-Diethyl-2-(3-((2-phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethanamine (6f)

Yield, 74%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1260 (C-N), 1491, 1588 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.039 - 1.067 (t, 6H, diethylamine CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.619 - 2.661 (q, 4H, diethylamine CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.835 - 2.859 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.003 - 4.027 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.636 - 6.667 (m, 3H, phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>6</sub>), 7.182 - 7.271 (m, 4H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> and phenyl H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.333 - 7.364 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.346 - 7.455(m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.629 - 7.647

(m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.888 - 7.903 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.6 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 11.60, 47.91, 51.61, 66.61, 102.36, 107.44, 113.67, 124.19, 124.97, 125.57, 125.73, 126.44, 127.23, 128.78, 130.08, 130.98, 131.74, 132.31, 132.73, 133.57, 142.39, 157.82, 160.63; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 458 (M+1)

# 2-Phenyl-3-(3-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)phenoxy)benzo[d] imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole (6g)

Yield, 60%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1260 (C-N), 1595 (aromatic C=C); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.441 (bs, 2H, piperidine -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\gamma$ ), 1.606 - 1.628 (t, 4H, piperidine -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\beta$ ), 2.513 (bs, 4H, piperidine -NCH<sub>2</sub>- $\alpha$ ), 2.745 - 2.767 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.061-4.084 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.636 - 6.666 (m, 3H, phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>6</sub>), 7.164 - 7.283 (m, 4H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> and phenyl H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.336 - 7.366 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.446 - 7.464 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.642 - 7.660 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.886 - 7.901 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.4 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 25.67, 55.14, 57.80, 65.99, 124.98, 125.57, 125.74, 126.44, 127.24, 128.78, 130.09, 130.98, 131.75, 132.31, 132.71, 133.58, 142.40, 157.83, 160.58; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 470 (M+1).

# 4-(2-(3-((2-Phenylbenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3yl)oxy)phenoxy)ethyl)morpholine (6h)

Yield, 48%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1021 (C-N), 1498, 1603 (aromatic C=C), 1677 (C=N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 2.534 (bs, 4H, morpholine -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.731 - 2.752 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.703 - 3.720 (t, 4H, morpholine -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.028 - 4.050 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.637 - 6.690 (m, 3H, phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>6</sub>), 7.197 - 7.279 (m, 4H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> and phenyl H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.335 - 7.366 (t, 2H, phenyl H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.442 - 7.461 (m, 1H, Imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.641 - 7.660 (m, 1H, Imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.886 - 7.901 (d, 2H, Imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 7.5 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 54.19, 57.62, 66.92, 67.05, 102.15, 109.82, 113.56, 124.23, 124.98, 125.55, 125.72, 126.40, 127.24, 128.76, 130.09, 131.00, 131.72, 132.30, 132.69, 133.54, 142.40, 157.83, 160.54; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 472 (M+1)

# N,N-Dimethyl-2-(4-(3-phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-2-yl)phenoxy)ethanamine (6i)

Yield, 88%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1692 (C=N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 2.329 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.712 - 2.734 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.048 - 4.071 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.894 - 6.912 (d, 2H, phenoxyethy-lamine H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J=8.8 Hz), 7.068-7.108 (m, 3H, phenoxyethy-lamine H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub> and phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>), 7.232 - 7.261 (m, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>), 7.306 - 7.338 (t, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.422 - 7.440 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.622 - 7.640 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.803 - 7.820 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 8.7 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 

Iran J Pharm Res. 2022; 21(1):e127041.

ppm 45.98, 46.05, 58.38, 65.99, 113.40, 114.86, 115.16, 123.85, 124.80, 125.58, 126.35, 126.82, 127.03, 130.02, 130.45, 131.83, 132.29, 132.87, 142.13, 156.82, 158.13; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 430 (M+1)

## N,N-Diethyl-2-(4-(3-phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-2yl)phenoxy)ethanamine (6j)

Yield, 78%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1029 (C-N), 1491 (C=C), 1692 (C=N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 1.120 - 1.148 (t, 6H, diethylamine CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.707 - 2.750 (q, 4H, diethylamine CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.950 -2.974 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.112 - 4.137 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.919 - 6.936 (d, 2H, phenoxyethylamine H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.114-7.156 (m, 3H, phenoxyethylamine H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub> and phenoxy H<sub>4</sub> ), 7.275 -7.306 (m, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>), 7.354 - 7.387 (t, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.476 - 7.494 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.676 - 7.694 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.845 - 7.863 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 8.8 Hz) ; 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 11.68, 47.86, 51.68, 66.22, 113.40, 114.82, 115.15, 123.83, 124.09, 124.78, 125.61, 126.33, 126.97, 130.04, 130.43, 131.85, 132.30, 132.91, 142.12, 156.84, 158.03; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 458 (M+1), 937 (2M+23).

## 3-Phenoxy-2-(4-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)phenyl)benzo[d] imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole (6k)

Yield, 50%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1692 (C=N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 1.460 (bs, 2H, piperidine -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\gamma$ ), 1.650 - 1.672 (t, 4H, piperidine -CH<sub>2</sub>- $\beta$ ), 2.604 (s, 4H, piperidine -NCH<sub>2</sub>- $\alpha$ ), 2.843 - 2.865 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.147 - 4.170 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.872 - 6.890 (d, 2H, phenoxyethylamine H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.065 - 7.109 (m, 3H, phenoxyethylamine H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub> and phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>), 7.237 - 7.266 (m, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>), 7.307 - 7.339 (t, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.425 - 7.444 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.627 - 7.645 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.799 - 7.817 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 8.9 Hz); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 25.57, 54.99, 57.78, 65.56, 113.43, 114.87, 115.14, 123.87, 124.82, 125.72, 126.37, 126.86, 127.07, 130.02, 130.46, 131.82, 132.22, 132.91, 142.15, 156.80, 157.84; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 470 (M+1), 939 (2M+1).

## 4-(2-(4-(3-Phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-2yl)phenoxy)ethyl)morpholine (6l)

Yield, 78%; yellow oil; IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1029 (C-N); 1H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 2.574 (bs, 4H, morpholine -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.782 - 2.804 (t, 2H, -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.719 - 3.737 (t, 4H, morpholine -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.096 - 4.118 (t, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.880 - 6.898 (d, 2H, phenoxyethy-lamine H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.069 - 7.112 (m, 3H, phenoxyethy-lamine H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub> and phenoxy H<sub>4</sub>), 7.241 - 7.250 (m, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>3</sub> & H<sub>5</sub>), 7.310 - 7.342 (t, 2H, phenoxy H<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>6</sub>), 7.428 - 7.446 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>7</sub>), 7.631 - 7.649 (m, 1H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>6</sub>), 7.805 - 7.823 (d, 2H, imidazobenzothiazole H<sub>5</sub> & H<sub>8</sub>, J = 8.8 Hz ); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm

54.20, 57.75, 65.82, 67.02, 113.39, 114.87, 115.13, 123.85, 124.01, 124.22, 124.82, 125.70, 126.35, 126.84, 127.05, 130.01, 130.46, 131.80, 132.21, 132.89, 142.15, 156.80, 157.95; LC-MS (ESI) m/z: 472 (M+1)

#### 3.3. Cytotoxicity Evaluation

The cytotoxicity of the prepared compounds was determined on the MCF-7 cell line (human breast cancer cell line, supplied from the Iranian Biological Resources Center) using the MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) method (22). First, the cells were cultured by incubation in RPMI 1640 medium, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The MTT assay was carried out to determine the percentage of dead cells. For this purpose, 100  $\mu$ lL of culture medium containing MCF-7 cells (10,000 cells per well) was poured into each well of the 96-well plate and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 24 hours. Then, the synthesized compounds (6a-6l) (10  $\mu$ M), tamoxifen (positive control), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (negative control) were added to the cellcontaining wells. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, 10  $\mu$ L MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL) was added to all wells, and the microplates were incubated for an extra 3 hours. Finally, the purple crystals of formazan were dissolved in 100  $\mu$ L DMSO, and their optical absorbance was recorded at 570 nm using an ELISA reader (Infinite® M200, TECAN). The percentage of inhibition was calculated.

## 3.4. Molecular Modeling Studies

Docking simulations were carried out utilizing the AutoDock software (version 4) to characterize the interactions between the synthesized compounds and the active site of the ER. The 3D crystal structure of ER in complex with raloxifene [protein data bank (PDB) ID: human estrogen receptor ligand-binding domain in complex with raloxifene (1ERR)] was acquired from the RCSB PDB. For the protein preparation, all the ligands and water molecules were eliminated; Kollman charges and polar hydrogens were also added to the protein structure. Then, the PDB file was converted to a protein data bank, partial charge (Q) and atom type (T) (PDBQT) format with the help of AutoDock tools. All the ligands were sketched using HyperChem software (version 8.0), and the geometry of these compounds was optimized using the MM+ method. Finally, the partial charges were calculated, nonpolar hydrogens were merged, and the PDB ligand files were converted to the PDBQT formats using AutoDock tools.

The receptor grid box was set according to the binding site of raloxifene (PDB ID: 1ERR) with a grid space of 7 Å. The Lamarckian genetic algorithm was used with 100 runs. After molecular docking, the ligand-receptor complexes were further analyzed using PyMOL (version 1.1.7) (23).

## 4. Results and Discussion

In this study, novel benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives (6a-6l) were designed as SERMs and synthesized through five reaction steps (Figure 1). The structures were confirmed by FTIR, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR, and LC-MS techniques. As SERMs are valuable agents in breast cancer treatment, the cytotoxicity of all compounds was evaluated on a breast cancer cell line to reveal their anticancer potentials. Docking studies of these compounds with ER were also included (Figure 2).

The cytotoxicity of 6a-6l was evaluated on the MCF-7 cell line using the MTT assay. According to Figure 3 and Table 1, the 24-hour treatment of MCF-7 cells with all compounds (10  $\mu$ M) led to the significant inhibition of cells' growth. Most of these compounds showed higher cytotoxicity against MCF-7 than tamoxifen (i.e., positive control). Among the aforementioned compounds, 6i and 6j showed the highest potency in inhibiting the proliferation of the tested cell line with 81% and 77% inhibition rates, respectively. Moreover, the cytotoxic effects were evaluated on the fibroblast cell line with a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M. Based on the results, most synthesized compounds demonstrated less cytotoxicity than tamoxifen.

For the investigation of the possible mechanism of action, docking of the most potent compound (6i) was performed using AutoDock software (version 4). The docking results revealed that this compound with a free energy of -9 kJ/mol interacted well with the ER active site and inhibited the receptor (Figure 4). The nitrogen atom of the aminoethoxy side-chain established a hydrogen bond with the aspartate-351 amino acid, which is the most critical interaction to inhibit the ER (distance: 5.81 Å). The phenoxy ring showed a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction with the phenylalanine-404 residue (distance: 3.17 Å). Moreover, the nitrogen atoms of the imidazobenzothiazole are adjacent to the histidine-524 residue; therefore, they can establish a hydrogen bonding with this amino acid.

Since the ER is highly expressed in MCF-7 cells, these compounds have exerted their antiproliferative effects on this cell line by inhibiting the ER. Docking studies also support this hypothesis. However, binding studies are required to determine the mechanism of action of these compounds.



**Figure 2.** Synthesis of benzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole derivatives: reagents and conditions: A, Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, RT; B, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 eq), phenol or 4-methoxyphenol (1.1 eq), acetone, reflux, 5 hours; C, I<sub>2</sub> (2 eq), 2-aminobenzothiazole (3 eq), dimethylformamide, reflux, 24 hours; D, BBr<sub>3</sub> (5 eq), methylene chloride, -10°C; E, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3 eq), different 2chloroethylamines (3 eq), acetone, reflux, 5 hours.



#### Figure 3. Skeletal formula

Table 1. Cytotoxicity Effects of Synthesized Compounds					
Compound	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	% Inhibition MCF-7 (10 $\mu$ M)	% Inhibition Fibroblast (100 $\mu$ M)
6a	Н	Н	Dimethylaminoethoxy	59.07	36.33
6b	Н	Н	Diethylaminoethoxy	69.67	49
6c	Н	Н	Piperidinoethoxy	62.67	39.33
6d	Н	Н	Morpholinoethoxy	72.4	40.33
6e	Н	Dimethylaminoethoxy	Н	55.13	40.67
6f	Н	Diethylaminoethoxy	Н	65.27	44.67
6g	Н	Piperidinoethoxy	Н	62.67	43.5
6h	Н	Morpholinoethoxy	Н	63.4	41.33
6i	Dimethyl aminoethoxy	Н	Н	81.93	42.17
6j	Diethyl aminoethoxy	Н	Н	72.73	42.00
6k	Piperidinoethoxy	Н	Н	65.27	81.83
61	Morpholinoethoxy	Н	Н	69.87	48.17
Tamoxifen	62.13	44.67			



Figure 4. Binding modes of 6i (N,N-dimethyl-2-(4-(3-phenoxybenzo[d]imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-2- yl)phenoxy)ethanamine) in the active site of estrogen receptor alpha [protein data bank ID: human estrogen receptor ligand-binding domain in complex with raloxifene (1ERR)].

## 5. Conclusion

In summary, 12 new diaryl benzo[d]imidazo[2,1b]thiazole derivatives were synthesized that contained aminoethoxy substitutions on one of the phenyl rings. In vitro studies indicated the significant cytotoxic impact of these compounds on the MCF-7 cell line with low toxicity on normal cells. According to docking investigations, the possible mechanism of cytotoxic effects could be the inhibition of ERs. However, it is recommended to carry out further binding studies and cytotoxicity tests on more cell lines.

#### Footnotes

**Conflict of Interests:** It was not declared by the authors. **Funding/Support:** It was not declared by the authors.

#### References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mor-

tality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;**68**(6):394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492. [PubMed: 30207593].

- Lakhtakia R. A Brief History of Breast Cancer: Part I: Surgical domination reinvented. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J.* 2014;14(2):e166–9. [PubMed: 24790737]. [PubMed Central: PMC3997531].
- Travis RC, Key TJ. Oestrogen exposure and breast cancer risk. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2003;5(5):239–47. doi: 10.1186/bcr628. [PubMed: 12927032]. [PubMed Central: PMC314432].
- Colditz GA. Relationship between estrogen levels, use of hormone replacement therapy, and breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1998;90(11):814–23. doi:10.1093/jnci/90.11.814. [PubMed: 9625169].
- 5. American Cancer Society. Breast cancer facts & figures 2019–2020. *Am Cancer Soc.* 2019:1–44.
- Kumar R, Zakharov MN, Khan SH, Miki R, Jang H, Toraldo G, et al. The dynamic structure of the estrogen receptor. *J Amino Acids*. 2011;2011:812540. doi: 10.4061/2011/812540. [PubMed: 22312471]. [PubMed Central: PMC3268042].
- Hanker AB, Sudhan DR, Arteaga CL. Overcoming Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2020;**37**(4):496–513. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.009. [PubMed: 32289273]. [PubMed Central: PMC7169993].
- Pedroza DA, Subramani R, Lakshmanaswamy R. Classical and Non-Classical Progesterone Signaling in Breast Cancers. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;**12**(9). doi: 10.3390/cancers12092440. [PubMed: 32867363]. [PubMed Central: PMC7563480].

- Zahid M, Kohli E, Saeed M, Rogan E, Cavalieri E. The greater reactivity of estradiol-3,4-quinone vs estradiol-2,3-quinone with DNA in the formation of depurinating adducts: implications for tumor-initiating activity. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2006;**19**(1):164–72. doi: 10.1021/tx050229y. [PubMed: 16411670].
- Martinkovich S, Shah D, Planey SL, Arnott JA. Selective estrogen receptor modulators: tissue specificity and clinical utility. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2014;9:1437–52. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S66690. [PubMed: 25210448]. [PubMed Central: PMC4154886].
- Mirkin S, Pickar JH. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs): a review of clinical data. *Maturitas*. 2015;80(1):52–7. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.10.010. [PubMed: 25466304].
- 12. Diez-Perez A. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMS). *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol.* 2006;**50**(4):720–34. doi: 10.1590/s0004-27302006000400017. [PubMed: 17117297].
- Patel HK, Bihani T. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) and selective estrogen receptor degraders (SERDs) in cancer treatment. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2018;186:1–24. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.12.012. [PubMed: 29289555].
- Plouffe L. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) in clinical practice. J Soc Gynecol Investig. 2000;7(1 Suppl):S38–46. doi: 10.1016/s1071-5576(99)00054-4. [PubMed: 10732328].
- Miller CP. SERMs: evolutionary chemistry, revolutionary biology. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2002;8(23):2089–111. doi: 10.2174/1381612023393404. [PubMed: 12171520].
- Makar S, Saha T, Swetha R, Gutti G, Kumar A, Singh SK. Rational approaches of drug design for the development of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), implicated in breast cancer. *Bioorg Chem.* 2020;**94**:103380. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103380. [PubMed: 31757413].

- Traboulsi T, El Ezzy M, Gleason JL, Mader S. Antiestrogens: structureactivity relationships and use in breast cancer treatment. *J Mol Endocrinol.* 2017;**58**(1):R15–31. doi: 10.1530/JME-16-0024. [PubMed: 27729460]. [PubMed Central: PMC5148801].
- Chen HY, Kim S, Wu JY, Birzin ET, Chan W, Yang YT, et al. Estrogen receptor ligands. Part 3: The SAR of dihydrobenzoxathiin SERMs. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2004;**14**(10):2551–4. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.02.084. [PubMed: 15109649].
- Dardes RC, Jordan VC. Novel agents to modulate oestrogen action. *Br Med Bull*. 2000;**56**(3):773-86. doi: 10.1258/0007142001903355. [PubMed: 11255561].
- Amino N, Ideyama Y, Yamano M, Kuromitsu S, Tajinda K, Samizu K, et al. YM-201627: an orally active antitumor agent with selective inhibition of vascular endothelial cell proliferation. *Cancer Lett.* 2006;238(1):119–27. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2005.06.037. [PubMed: 16095812].
- Kumbhare RM, Vijay Kumar K, Janaki Ramaiah M, Dadmal T, Pushpavalli SN, Mukhopadhyay D, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel Mannich bases of 2-arylimidazo[2,1-b]benzothiazoles as potential anti-cancer agents. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2011;46(9):4258–66. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.06.031. [PubMed: 21775028].
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983;65(1-2):55–63. doi: 10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4. [PubMed: 6606682].
- Morris GM, Goodsell DS, Halliday RS, Huey R, Hart WE, Belew RK, et al. Automated docking using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and an empirical binding free energy function. J Comput Chem. 1998;19(14):1639-62. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-987x(19981115)19:14<1639::aid-jcc10>3.0.co;2-b.