Published online 2023 January 20.

Research Article

Potential Benefits of *Aloe vera* and *Raphanus sativus var. longipinnatus* Gel for Prevention of Radiation-Induced Dermatitis in Head and Neck Cancer Patients

Sry Suryani Widjaja ^{1,*}, Imam Bagus Sumantri², Rusdiana Rusdiana ¹, Hendri Yo³, Juli Jamnasi³, Rudi Yo³, Hendrik Kho³, Vito Filbert Jayalie ¹, Montesque Silalahi³ and Fauzie Siregar³

¹Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

²Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

³Oncology Radiation Department, Murni Teguh Memorial Hospital, Medan, Indonesia
⁴Oncology Radiation Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia, Depok City, Indonesia

oncology Radiation Department, racinty of Medicine, oniversity of muonesia, Depok City, muonesia

corresponding author: Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia. Email: sry.suryani@usu.ac.id

Received 2022 October 10; Revised 2022 December 14; Accepted 2022 December 21.

Abstract

Background: The main therapy for head and neck cancer is radiation, and one of the toxic effects of radiation is radiation dermatitis. *Aloe vera* is a species of succulent plant of the genus *Aloe*, widely used in cosmetic and skin care products, as well as daikon (*Raphanus sativus var. longipinnatus*), which is high in antioxidants.

Objectives: The present study aims to evaluate the potential benefits of *Aloe vera* and daikon gel combination in head and neck cancer patients to prevent radiation-induced dermatitis.

Methods: A cohort study was conducted with eligible subjects, all head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy selected in consecutive sampling. Samples were divided into two groups; either received *Aloe vera* and daikon combination gel (study group) or baby oil (control induced dermatitis (RID) were observed.

Results: A total of 44 patients were grouped into intervention (*Aloe vera*-daikon gel) and control (baby oil) groups. After ten radiotherapies (RT) sessions, the intervention group had a lower percentage of grade 1 RID (35% vs. 91.7%, control: 65% grade 2 RID, P < 0.001). After 20 RT sessions, 40% had no dermatitis, while all patients had RID in the control group (P = 0.061). After 30 RT sessions, the intervention group had a lower RID grade overall (gr 0: 5%, gr 1: 85%, gr 2: 10%) compared to the control group (gr 1: 33.3%, gr 2: 54.3%, gr 3: 8.3%, P = 0.002). After 35 RT sessions, the intervention group also had a lower RID grade overall (gr 0: 5%, gr 1: 85%, gr 3: 45.8%, gr 4: 8.3%, P < 0.001).

Conclusions: The combination of *Aloe vera* and daikon gel showed promising results in reducing the severity of radiation-induced dermatitis for head and neck cancer patients.

Keywords: Head and Neck Cancer, Radiation-Induced Dermatitis, Aloe vera, Raphanus sativus var. longipinnatus

1. Background

Head-and-neck cancers are ranked as the seventh most common in the world, with an estimated new case of more than 930,000 in 2020 that, accounts for 4.7% of all cancer deaths (1). Their occurrences are more prominent in South Asia. Some etiological and contributing factors involved are human papillomavirus infections, tobacco smoking, the use of electronic cigarettes, and alcohol consumption. Certain occupations, such as cook, waiter, or cleaner, were also known to be risk factors. On top of that, several other risk factors include poor oral hygiene, reduced fruit and vegetable consumption, and physical inactivity (2).

One of the principal therapies for head and neck can-

cers is radiation therapy. The most significant side effect observed in 80 - 90% of patients undergoing radiotherapy is radiation dermatitis which can appear as early as hours to 90 days after exposure (3). An acute radiation dermatitis is a form of epithelial injury commonly manifested as erythema, desquamation/excoriation, or even ulceration and necrosis. This phenomenon can be caused by the interference of radiation therapy with normal maturation and production of epithelial and hair matrix cells, which causes radiation-induced changes in the dermal structures. After the first dose is administered, short-lived free radicals that were formed will disturb the cellular DNA, stimulating proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

to attract eosinophils and neutrophils, therefore, perpetuating the damage and causing damage in the protective skin barrier (4).

Skin erythema usually occurs at doses > 2 Gy, dry excoriation in the range of 12 - 20 Gy, moist desquamation at doses > 20 Gy, and necrosis at doses > 35 Gy. Some internal risk factors such as age, comorbidity, skin phototype, and genetic predisposition, as well as external ones like the total dose, dose per fraction, energy, duration, application of booster doses, dose volume to surfaces exposed to radiation, and the treatment site, may individually contribute towards the condition. The skin of the anterior neck is more vulnerable and sensitive than the skin covering the rest of the body (3, 5).

Aloe vera has been known to possess some antiinflammatory effects and has been used in some studies for its protective ability of the skin against radiation damage. Its anti-inflammatory activity with possible downregulation of MMP-9 in blood cells was found in aqueous extract. Applying its topical gel preparation was found to accelerate burn healing time and induce epithelialization. It also increases wound contraction, alignment, and organization of the regenerated scar tissue and exerts antimicrobial and antifungal actions (6).

On the other hand, daikon or Japanese radish (*Raphanus sativus var. longipinnatus*) is a type of vegetable root that has been well-known for its health benefits, including its action as an antimicrobial and antioxidant. The antioxidant properties come from its flavonoids and the anthocyanin (acylated pelargonidin derivative) contents. However, the pro-oxidant and antioxidant capacity of this plant still requires more in-depth research (7).

Currently, there is still no standardized therapy for radiation-induced dermatitis. Most preventive efforts are made by maintaining hygiene and moisture of the radiated skin and its surroundings and using topical steroids. Severe skin reactions towards radiation therapy, grade III and IV, can cause impairment of daily activities and barelytolerated pain and itchiness (4). In addition, there are still limited findings on daikon as a topical agent (8).

2. Objectives

This study aims to evaluate the potential benefits of using *Aloe vera* and daikon gel combination in head and neck cancer patients as a preventive measure against developing radiation-induced dermatitis.

3. Methods

3.1. Patients and Study Design

This cohort study involved head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy in the radiotherapy department of Murni Teguh Memorial Hospital from March to October 2020. Eligible subjects are all head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy selected in consecutive sampling and signed written informed consent before trial. Subjects with known hypersensitivity to *Aloe vera* and *Raphanus sativus* and having comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, infectious disease, auto-immune diseases, and pre-existing skin disease in the radiated area were excluded.

The study was approved by the FK-USU-RSHAM Hospital Institutional Review Board and the Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara, number 158/KEP/USU/2020.

3.2. Study Intervention

The subjects were divided into two groups (study and control groups). A commercially-available combination gel containing *Aloe vera* and daikon (*Aloe vera* 2, daikon 4) was provided to each participant in the study group on the day of the first radiotherapy session. Subjects in the control group were given baby oil (Johnson's baby oil, Indonesia). All participants were asked to use the product on the radiation-exposed area of the skin twice daily (day and night) until ten days after the end of the last radiotherapies (RT) session with no other medication. During the RT session, subjects were educated to wipe off the given treatment before entering the session. The contents of the combination gel are listed in the table below. The baby oil consists of mineral oil and fragrance (Table 1).

Fable 1. Aloe vera and Daikon Combination Gel Ingredients								
No	Ingredients	Concentration (%)						
1	Aloe vera	2						
2	Daikon	4						
3	Carbomer 904	1.25						
4	Gliserin	5						
5	Propilen glikol	10						
6	Trithanolamine	q.s						
7	Nipagin	0.1						
8	Aquadest	Ad 100						

3.3. Study Procedures and Measures

The skin was assessed and documented in comparison to future changes. The skin conditions were reviewed by at least two investigators and one dermatologist blinded to the study. Furthermore, routine blood tests and random blood glucose levels were obtained at the integrated laboratory. Any developing lesion will be graded according to the severity of dermatitis after radiation (Table 2). The skin conditions were evaluated at the 10th, 20th, 30th, and 35th sessions of radiation, routine blood tests, and blood plasma were assessed, and the same measurements were done for the control group. If the radiation dermatitis became severe and infected, this case would be terminated from the study.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using the software SPSS version 20.0 using chi-square analysis. P-values less than 0.05 (P < 0.05) were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

4.1. Patient Characteristics

Between March and October 2020, 54 head and neck cancer patients who received RT were enrolled and divided into two groups (24 patients in the study group and 30 patients in the control group). Seven of these 54 patients died (four patients in the control group and three in the study group). A total of three patients dropped out due to loss of follow-up (two patients) and drug eruption because of chemosensitizing drug/cisplatin (one patient). A total of 44 out of 54 patients were analyzed.

The prescribed locoregional radiation doses were 70/60/54 Gy for a treatment duration of 35 fractions to the high, intermediate, and low-risk regions, as mentioned in the international guideline from Lee et al. (9). The final 44 patients of this study also received concurrent chemotherapy with radiotherapy with weekly scheduled 40 mg/m² cisplatin from day 1 for 6 - 8 cycles according to the routine protocols of the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) (10).

The mean age is 49.80 ± 1.54 years old (Table 3). The majority were female (59.1%). Most patients had normal weight (36.4%), 25% were overweight, 20.5% were obese in grade 1, 13.6% were underweight, and 4.5% were obese in grade 2. The majority of the subjects were at clinical stage 4 (38.6%), 36.4% were at clinical stage 3, and 25% were at clinical stage 2.

4.2. Blood Glucose Levels Between Groups

There was no significant difference in blood glucose levels (P = 0.767) between groups, as seen in Table 4.

4.3. Treatment Responses

At the beginning of the study, all skin conditions of both groups were normal. Table 5 describes the patients' skin condition after radiotherapy sessions.

5. Discussion

In this study, most patients had normal weight (36.4%), 25% were overweight, 20.5% were obese grade 1, 13.6% were underweight, and 4.5% were grade 2 obese. Most of the subjects were also at clinical stage 4 (38.6%). A study by Meyer et al. found that gender (female vs. male OR = 1.72, 95%confidence interval 1.06 - 2.80) and BMI (above 25 vs. below OR = 1.88, 95% confidence interval 1.22 - 2.90) were independent predictors of severe acute radiation toxicity (11). Another study by Chugh et al. found that TNM stage IV (P = 0.023) and concurrent administration of chemotherapy (P = 0.002) were significantly correlated with severe acute radiation-induced skin and mucosa toxicity (12). However, most of these studies were small-sized retrospective studies. There was also no difference in blood glucose levels between the two groups. This indicates that there was no problem with wound healing. Overall, there was no difference in patients' characteristics between the two groups, thus minimizing the risk of bias.

This study showed that the intervention group consistently had fewer patients experiencing radiation dermatitis than the control group in all the 10th, 20th, 30th, and 35th sessions. At the end of the 35th session, the radiation dermatitis events in the study group were found to have milder grades than the control group (P < 0.001). All patients in the control group suffered from some grade of radiation-induced dermatitis, while there was still one patient with no dermatitis in the intervention group which showed that applying Aloe vera-daikon combination gel had a beneficial effect on protecting patients from radiation dermatitis. Some studies also yielded similar results. Haddad et al. found that the mean grade of dermatitis was significantly lower on the aloe-treated area than the unapplied half at week 4 - 6 of radiotherapy (0.81 vs. 1.10, P < 0.000; 0.96 vs. 1.28, P < 0.000; 1 vs. 1.57, P = 0.006, respectively) and week 2 and 4 after the therapy was ended (0.59 vs. 0.79, P = 0.003 and 0.05 vs. 0.21, P = 0.002, respectively) (13). Tharwat Mohamed et al. found an even larger severity gap between the study and control groups on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 6th weeks of assessments $(0.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ vs.} 2.30 \pm 0.00 \text{ vs.} 2.30$ \pm 1.96, P = 0.000; 0.95 \pm 1.51 vs. 4.75 \pm 3.35, P = 0.000; 3.34 \pm 3.84 vs. 7.89 ± 5.03 , P = 0.000; and $3.31 \pm 3.78 \text{ vs.}$ 10.59 ± 6.23 , P = 0.000 (14). On the other hand, Ahmadloo et al. did not find any significant results in 100 newly diagnosed breast cancer patients who underwent 3 - 4 weeks of radiotherapy and doxorubicin-based chemotherapy (15).

Although the role of *Aloe vera* is still controversial as a prophylactic treatment for radiation-induced dermatitis, it may have greater benefits for skin restoration. Yogi et al. found that patients who were developing grade III and Grade IV radiation-induced dermatitis and were subsequently given *Aloe vera* gel completely recovered within

f able 2. Gr	able 2. Gradings of Radiation-Induced Dermatitis (4, 5)													
Grade	Color	Desquamation	Edema	Ulceration	Bleeding									
1	Slight erythema	Dry desquamation	No	No	No									
2	Moderate to brisk erythema	Moist desquamation in skin folds	Moderate	No	No									
3	Any	Moist desquamation > 1.5 cm diameter outside of skin folds	Pitting	No	Induced by minor trauma/abrasion									
4	Any	Any	Any	Life-threatening full-thickness dermis ulceration	Spontaneous									

Characteristics	Values				
Age (mean)	49.80 ± 1.54				
Sex					
Male	26 (59.1)				
Female	18 (40.9)				
BMI					
Underweight	6 (13.6)				
Normal	16 (36.4)				
Overweight	11 (25.0) 9 (20.5)				
Obese 1					
Obese 2	2 (4.5)				
Clinical stage					
Stage 2	11 (25.0)				
Stage 3	16 (36.4)				
Stage 4	17 (38.6)				
Freatment groups					
Intervention	20 (45.5)				
Control	24 (54.5)				

^a Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean \pm SD.

Table 4. Blood Glucose Levels Between Study Groups							
	Blood Glucose (mg/dL) ^a	P ^b					
Intervention	110 (88 - 200)	0.767					
Control	110 (80 - 250)						

^a Median (minimum - maximum).

^b Mann-Whitney test.

3 - 7 days (16).

This study has shown that the application of aloedaikon gel reduces the severity of radiation-induced dermatitis. Several risk factors may influence the occurrence or severity of radiation toxicity, such as the female gender, BMI over 25, and concurrent chemotherapy. Further study of the protective properties of the aloe-daikon gel on patients with these risk factors of radiation toxicity may be beneficial for a more tailored and individualized management.

5.1. Conclusions

The combination of *Aloe vera* and daikon gel in this study showed promising results in reducing the severity of radiation-induced dermatitis for head and neck cancer patients.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: correspondence author: S Widjaja; acquisition of data: H Kho, V Jayalie and F Siregar; analysis and interpretation of data: R Yo; drafting of the manuscript: S Widjaja; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: H Yo; statistical analysis: V Jayalie; administrative, technical, and material support: J Jamnasih; study supervision: M Silalahi and S Widjaja; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: This study was supported by TAL-ENTA Grant, University of Sumatera Utara, with no conflict of interest. All authors declare unpaid roles for this study.

Data Reproducibility: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the FK-USU-RSHAM Hospital Institutional Review Board and the Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara, number 158/KEP/USU/2020.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by TALENTA grant University of Sumatera Utara No 6789/UN5.1.R/PPM/2021, 16 June 2021.

Informed Consent: Eligible subjects are all head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy selected in consecutive sampling and signed written informed consent before trial.

	After 10 RT Sessions		ъb	After 20 RT Sessions		ъс	After 30 RT Sessions			ъс	After 35 RT Sessions					ъс		
	Gr 0	Gr1	P=	Gr 0	Gr 1	Gr 2] 1	Gr 0	Gr1	Gr 2	Gr 3	1	Gr 0	Gr 1	Gr 2	Gr 3	Gr 4	r
Intervention	13 (65.0)	7 (35.0)	< 0.001	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)	0(0)	0.061	1(5)	17 (85.0)	2 (10.0)	0(0)	0.002	1(5.0)	13 (65.0)	4 (20.0)	2 (10.0)	0(0)	< 0.001
Control	2 (8.3)	22 (91.7)		0(0)	18 (75.0)	6 (25.0)		0(0)	8 (33.3)	14 (58.3)	2 (8.3)		0(0)	2 (8.3)	9 (37.5)	11 (45.8)	2 (8.3)	
Total	15 (34.1)	29 (65.9)		8 (18.2)	30 (68.2)	6 (13.6)		1(2.3)	25 (56.8)	16 (36.4)	2 (4.5)		1(2.3)	15 (34.1)	13 (29.5)	13 (29.5)	2 (4.5)	

Table 5. Post-radiation Skin Condition with or Without Intervention^a

Abbreviations: Gr, grade of radiation toxicity; RT, radiotherapy.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%). ^b Pearson chi-square.

^c Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49. [PubMed ID: 33538338]. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- Auperin A. Epidemiology of head and neck cancers: an update. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2020;**32**(3):178–86. [PubMed ID: 32209823]. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCO.00000000000629.
- Ferreira EB, Vasques CI, Gadia R, Chan RJ, Guerra EN, Mezzomo LA, et al. Topical interventions to prevent acute radiation dermatitis in head and neck cancer patients: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. 2017;25(3):1001–11. [PubMed ID: 27957620]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-016-3521-7.
- Bray FN, Simmons BJ, Wolfson AH, Nouri K. Acute and Chronic Cutaneous Reactions to Ionizing Radiation Therapy. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)*. 2016;6(2):185–206. [PubMed ID: 27250839]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4906114]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13555-016-0120-y.
- Kawamura M, Yoshimura M, Asada H, Nakamura M, Matsuo Y, Mizowaki T. A scoring system predicting acute radiation dermatitis in patients with head and neck cancer treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy. *Radiat Oncol.* 2019;**14**(1):14. [PubMed ID: 30665451]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6341605]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-019-1215-2.
- Hosseinkhani A, Falahatzadeh M, Raoofi E, Zarshenas MM. An Evidence-Based Review on Wound Healing Herbal Remedies From Reports of Traditional Persian Medicine. J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med. 2017;22(2):334–43. [PubMed ID: 27330012]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5871189]. https://doi.org/10.1177/2156587216654773.
- Manivannan A, Kim JH, Kim DS, Lee ES, Lee HE. Deciphering the Nutraceutical Potential of Raphanus sativus-A Comprehensive Overview. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(2):402. [PubMed ID: 30769862]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6412475]. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11020402.
- 8. Iacovelli NA, Torrente Y, Ciuffreda A, Guardamagna VA, Gentili M, Giacomelli L, et al. Topical treatment of radiation-induced dermatitis:

current issues and potential solutions. *Drugs Context*. 2020;**9**:2020-4-7. [PubMed ID: 32587626]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7295106]. https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2020-4-7.

- Lee AW, Ng WT, Pan JJ, Poh SS, Ahn YC, AlHussain H, et al. International guideline for the delineation of the clinical target volumes (CTV) for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Radiother Oncol.* 2018;**126**(1):25–36. [PubMed ID: 29153464]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2017.10.032.
- 10. Licitra L, Karamouzis MV. Essentials for Clinicians Head and Neck Cancers. Geneva: ESMO Press; 2017.
- Meyer F, Fortin A, Wang CS, Liu G, Bairati I. Predictors of severe acute and late toxicities in patients with localized headand-neck cancer treated with radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012;82(4):1454–62. [PubMed ID: 21640495]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.04.022.
- Chugh R, Bisht YS, Nautiyal V, Jindal R. Factors Influencing the Severity of Acute Radiation-Induced Skin and Mucosal Toxicity in Head and Neck Cancer. *Cureus*. 2021;13(9). e18147. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.18147.
- Haddad P, Amouzgar-Hashemi F, Samsami S, Chinichian S, Oghabian MA. Aloe vera for prevention of radiation-induced dermatitis: a self-controlled clinical trial. *Curr Oncol.* 2013;20(4):e345– 8. [PubMed ID: 23904773]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3728063]. https://doi.org/10.3747/co.20.1356.
- 14. Tharwat Mohamed E, Ebeid Hanna N, Salah El-Deen D, Hanna Tadros S, Yehia Ibrahim N. Effect of Aloe Vera Gel on Severity of Radiation Induced Dermatitis among Patients after Mastectomy. Indian J Public Health Res Dev. 2020;11(2):1499–505. https://doi.org/10.37506/v11/i2/2020/ijphrd/195036.
- Ahmadloo N, Kadkhodaei B, Omidvari S, Mosalaei A, Ansari M, Nasrollahi H, et al. Lack of Prophylactic Effects of Aloe Vera Gel on Radiation Induced Dermatitis in Breast Cancer Patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2017;**18**(4):1139–43. [PubMed ID: 28547955]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5494228]. https://doi.org/10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.4.1139.
- Yogi V, Singh OP, Mandloi V, Ahirwar M. Role of topical aloe vera gel in the recovery of high-grade, radiation-induced dermatitis. *Clin Cancer Investig J.* 2018;7(5):167. https://doi.org/10.4103/ccij.ccij_14_18.