Published online 2023 February 25.

Research Article

A Phase I Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study on Efficacy and Safety Profile of a Sublingually Administered Cannabidiol /Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabidiol (10: 1) Regimen in Diabetes Type 2 Patients

Shima Afshar¹, Shayesteh Khalili², Gholamreza Amin ¹³ and Mohammad Abbasinazari^{1,*} ¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Endocrione, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Pharmacognosy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: m_abbasi@sbmu.ac.ir

Received 2022 October 25; Revised 2023 January 23; Accepted 2023 February 05.

Abstract

The current study aimed to evaluate the safety profile and efficacy of a cannabis-based sublingual spray, CBDEX10[®] (containing 100 μ g cannabidiol and 10 μ g Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol per puff; CBD/ Δ^9 -THC 10:1), in improving lipid profile and glycemic state of the diabetic patients. Fifty diabetic patients were randomly allocated to the treatment (n = 25; receiving two puffs of CBDEX10[®] twice daily) or the control groups (n = 25; receiving two puffs of placebo). The primary endpoint of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of the CBDEX10[®] adjunctive therapy in improving the lipid profile and glycemic state of diabetic patients; the secondary endpoint was to assess the safety profile and tolerability of the spray. A statistically significant decline in total cholesterol [estimated treatment difference (ETD) = -19.73 mg/dL; P < 0.05], triglyceride (ETD = -27.84 mg/dL; P < 0.01), LDL-C (ETD = -5.37 mg/dL; P < 0.01), FBS (ETD = -12 mg/dL; P < 0.01), Hb AIC (ETD = -0.21 mg/dL; P < 0.01) and insulin secretion (ETD = -5.21 mIU/L; P < 0.01) was observable in the patients treated with CBDEX10[®] at the end of the 8-week treatment period. Regarding safety, the mentioned adjunctive regimen was well, and there were no serious or severe adverse effects. Overall, CBDEX1[®] sublingual spray could be a new therapeutic agent for lipid and glycemic control in diabetic patients.

Keywords: Cannabidiol/Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabi, Clinical Trial, Diabetes Melitus, HgA1C

1. Background

Remaining as a critical global burden on public health, diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic multifactorial disorder that is mainly characterized by hyperglycemia and impaired metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins owing to an unstable insulin secretory state, development of resistance to the secreted insulin or both (1). Cannabis, also referred to as "marijuana," is one of the most frequently consumed psychoactive drugs worldwide, which is growingly administered in developing countries, including Iran (2). While it has been demonstrated that consumption of marijuana is together with an enhancement in the daily calorie intake (3), marijuana consumption has been shown to be associated with a lower body mass index (BMI) (4) and the probability of DM occurrence (5). Whereas the underlying mechanism for this paradoxical event is unknown, the study performed by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) on 4657 habitual consumers of marijuana demonstrated that fasting blood glucose (FBS), as well as insulin level and development of insulin resistance were significantly lower than that of nonusers (6). As Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) are the main bioactive components of cannabis, observed therapeutic effects of cannabis may also be related to the same compounds.

 Δ^9 -THC is the psychoactive component of the cannabis plant which acts through both the cannabinoid 1 and 2 (CB₁ and CB₂) receptors (7). Through this, Δ^9 -THC can modulate physiological activities of the gastrointestinal tract, liver, cardiovascular system, pain sensation, and regulation of the neurotransmitter's secretion in the central nervous system (CNS) (8). It has been shown that Δ^9 -THC can also improve the adipocytes' sensitivity to the secreted insulin. Moreover, natural extracts containing Δ^9 -THC have

Copyright © 2023, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

been shown to effectively reduce the triacylglycerol (TAG) reservoir and improve glucose uptake in the 3T3-L1 insulinresistant cell line in a dose-dependent manner attributed to the enhanced secretion of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) by these cells. Moreover, Δ^9 -THC administration has been shown to enhance the expression of the glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4) and insulin receptor substrate 1 and 2 (IRS-2) genes, both of which are central to the regulation of insulin's activated signaling pathway (9).

Contrary to the Δ^9 -THC, CBD is the most abundant non-psychoactive phyto-cannabinoid found in cannabis, which is incapable of activating CB₁ and CB₂ receptors in the CNS. Considering its non-psychoactive nature, CBD is routinely administered for the treatment of psychosis (10) and the misusage of cannabis (11). Based on the recent findings, specific receptors involved in the medical activities of CBD consists of the orphan G-protein-coupled receptor-55 (GPR55), the transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) receptor, α 1-adrenoceptors, μ opioid receptors, and the adenosine transporter and serotonin-1A receptors (7). CBD can also activate physiologic reactions associated with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) activation (12). Moreover, according to recent in vivo studies, CBD demonstrates a range of protective effects against hyperglycemia-induced destructive events in rodents, owing to its anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative behavior (13, 14). In this context, using ob/ob transgenic mice, Stanley et al. demonstrated that administration of a 3 mg/kg CBD regimen during a four-week treatment period could result in a 55% enhancement in HDL-C plasma level and more than 25% reduction in total cholesterol levels (14). Moreover, the same concentration of CBD could meaningfully reduce liver triglycerides (TG) while enhancing liver glycogen synthesis and adiponectin secretion (15).

Consequently, based on the above-mentioned studies, it is rational to apply a mixture of Δ^9 -THC and CBD as a supplementary regimen, along with the main anti-diabetic medications, to improve DM patients' responses to therapy.

2. Objectives

In the present study, we investigated the efficacy and safety of a sublingual spray, CBDEX1[®] (Yas Daru, Tehran, Iran), comprising a combination of CBD and Δ^9 -THC in a 10:1 ratio (delivering 100 μ g CBD and 10 μ g Δ^9 -THC per puff), administered twice per day and two puffs each time, over an eight-week treatment period, on glycemic state and lipid profiles of patients with type II DM.

3. Methods

Participants in the present randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase I clinical trial were recruited from diabetes care-seeking patients who attended the endocrinology department of the Imam Hossein hospital, affiliated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, between January 2021 and April 2021. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences(IR.SBMU.PHARMACY.REC.1399.302) and registered in the Iranian clinical trial registry (IRCT20121021011192N10). Seventy-eight patients, fulfilling the inclusion criteria characteristics of the study, were initially invited to participate in the study and enrolled in a briefing session to become familiar with the objectives and rationale of the study. In the next step, the acceptance or refusal statement to the invitation was recorded for all invited patients. For refusals, the underlying reason was also recorded. In the decision-making session, twenty-eight invited patients refused to be enrolled in the study. Hence, a total of 25 eligible patients remained enrolled in each arm of the study.

The primary outcome of the present study was to assess the efficacy of the twice daily administered 100 μ g/10 μ g CBD/ Δ^9 -THC supplementary therapy in controlling the glycemic state and lipid profile of type II diabetic patients compared to the placebo-receiving group. The secondary and tertiary outcomes were to evaluate the safety and quality of life in mentioned patients. Fasting blood glucose (FBS), Postprandial glucose (PPG), and hemoglobin A1C (Hg A1C) were used as glycemic outcomes. Also, the homeostasis model assessment of Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and beta cell function (HOMA β) were applied as indexes to evaluate insulin resistance. Cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride have been used as lipid outcomes in the present trial.

Safety assessment included evaluating patients for adverse and severe adverse effects, monitoring vital signs, and performing before and after treatment laboratory tests and electrocardiograms. In the present study, causality assessment between the medication or placebo and the suspected reaction was determined by using the Naranjo scale. According to mentioned criteria, adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are analyzed based on a ten-question questionnaire in which each question is given a score of +2, +1, 0, or -1. If the total score is more than nine, its label is a definite ADR (16). In this trial, we have considered any definite reactions as ADRs.

For the trial, the placebo was prepared with the same appearance as a sublingual spray of cannabidiol /delta 9-tetrahydrocannabidiol and precisely according to the main drug formulation, but without the active ingredient. The inclusion criteria of the study consisted of a confirmed diagnosis status of type II DM, a minimum age of eighteen years old or older, an Hb A1C value of \leq 9%, a plasma HDL-C concentration of < 50 mg/dL in females and \leq 46.5 mg/dL in males, and a plasma TGs level of \leq 800 mg/dL. Moreover, in the cases of non-insulin glucoselowering agent's consumption (e.g., metformin, sulfonylurea, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, or glucagon-like peptide 1), the dosage had to be constant for at least three months before inclusion to the study. Similarly, enrolled patients had to receive a constant dosage of statins or other lipid-lowering drugs at least four weeks before inclusion in the study period. Enrolled patients also had to have a constant diet regimen or exercise schedule from 4 weeks prior to the initiation of the study up to the end of the study course. The most important exclusion criteria consisted of recent or ongoing consumption of forbidden therapeutic agents comprising insulin, omega-3 fatty acids, fibrates, thiazolidinediones, and α -glucosidase inhibitors; recent or ongoing consumption of cannabis or its related products; familial dyslipidemia disorders as well as the existence of critical cardiovascular, renal or hepatic comorbidities.

Following the screening session (visit 1), a routine laboratory blood chemistry panel (comprising liver enzymes) was performed at the treatment randomization step (visit 2) and considered as the patient's baseline values. Eight weeks after the initiation of the study, patients were again subjected to the laboratory blood chemistry panel test (visit 3) and considered as the patient's post-treatment values. Safety evaluation was performed throughout the study period, and a safety follow-up visit was carried out a week following the completion of the study or withdrawal of the patients (visit 4). Medication consisted of a sublingual spray, CBDEX10[®], composed of a combination of CBD and Δ^9 -THC in a 10:1 ratio (delivering 100 μ g CBD and 10 μ g Δ^9 -THC per puff), administered twice per day and two puffs each time to the patients. Medication was administered in the fasted state to the patients (30 min before breakfast and dinner) with an approximately 12 h intervals between two administrations. An online statistical computing web program was used to randomize the participant placement (http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1.cfm).

The "patient interview method" has been used to assess adherence. Interviewing patients by physicians is typically a friendly, low-cost technique to evaluate patient adherence (17). During the trial, evaluators called participants and

were asked to estimate their medication-taking behavior, namely, the percentage of dose they may miss within a designated period or the frequency with that they cannot follow the medication regime.

For calculation of the sample size, we considered previous studies demonstrating that cannabis can induce a 32 mg/dL reduction in the FBS level of the treated group with a standard deviation (\pm) of 4.6. Considering a clinically significant difference level of 13 mg/dL (18), a significance level of 0.05, a power of 80%, and a two-sided analysis, the sample size of each group was calculated to be equal to 25.

All statistical analyses were performed two-sided using SPSS software version 28.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The Shapiro-Wilkins normality test was performed for each categorical data prior to the performance of desired statistical tests. Primary and secondary endpoints of the study were evaluated utilizing the analysis of the co-variances (ANCOVA) of the changes from baseline values to the end of the treatment period for the related parameter, considering the baseline value of the parameter as the covariate and treatment as the factor. Demographic data and safety profile of patients were also analyzed by fisher's exact test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant for P-values lower than 0.05.

4. Results

A total of 50 patients participated in the study: twentyfive were randomly allocated to the twice-daily 200 mg/20 mg CBD/ Δ^9 -THC (supplementary group) and 25 to the placebo group, as demonstrated in Figure 1. Analysis was performed on anthropometric, clinical, and demographic data obtained from those who could successfully finish the 8-week clinical study. The demographic data and the clinical properties of the studied patients at the baseline level are reported in Table 1. No statistically significant differences were observable regarding the demographic properties of patients between the two studied groups.

As presented in Table 2, just similar to the lipid profile, the plasma values of FBS, Hb A1C, and 2-h OGTT test in the supplement group were significantly lower than those observed in the placebo group after the 8-week treatment period (all P < 0.05). Compared to their baseline values, FBS, Hb A1C, and 2-h OGTT test values were significantly declined only in the supplement group (all P < 0.05), while those of the placebo group were only insignificantly increased. In parallel with these findings, a statistically significant decline in insulin secretion and HOMA2-IR values was recorded in the supplemented group compared to the



placebo group between baseline and the end of the eightweek treatment period (ETD=-5.21 mIU/L, P< 0.001 and ETD =-0.77; P< 0.001 respectively). Despite this, no meaningful differences in HOMA2 β cell function values were observed between the supplement-treated and placebo arm (ETD = -0.22, P = 0.7).

As depicted in Table 3, the plasma values recorded for T-CHOL, TG, and LDL-C in the treatment group were significantly lower than those observed in the control group after the eight-week treatment period (all P < 0.05). Nevertheless, no statistically significant difference was observable between the mean plasma values of HDL-C between the two groups (P = 0.084). Moreover, compared to their

baseline values, T-CHOL, TG, and LDL-C levels were significantly declined only in the treatment group (all P < 0.05), while values of HDL-C were not meaningfully changed in both groups at the end of the eight-week treatment period (P = 0.084 and P = 1 for supplement group and placebo group respectively). In this context, reduction values for T-CHOL, TG, and LDL-C levels were 8.0%, 15.4%, and 4.7%, respectively, in the treatment group. Noteworthy, a statistically significant increase in T-CHOL level was reported in the control group at the end of the studied period (+4.65 %, P = 0.023). While the mean plasma value of HDL-C was not changed before and after treatment in the control group, LDL-C was insignificantly increased by +2.67 % in the same

Variables	CBD/ Δ^9 -THC (10:1) Group (n = 24)	Placebo Group (n = 22)	P-Value 0.26	
Age, y	55.7±1.7	53.2 ± 1.3		
Gender (males)	6 (33.34)	5 (27.8)	0.99	
Body mass index, kg/m ²	30.7± 0.8	31.2 ± 0.7		
Current smoker	3 (16.67)	2 (11.2)	0.99	
Microvascular complications	1(5.6)	1(5.6)	1	
Hypertension	0(0)	1(5.6)	0.99	
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	144.9 ± 17.9	139.2 ± 16.5	0.27	
Cholesterol, mg/dL	159.2 ± 10.2	145.8 ± 9.9	0.35	
Triglyceride, mg/dL	169.8 ± 21.4	134.2 ± 13.7	0.16	
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	41.06 ± 1.3	42.3 ± 1.6	0.57	
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	98.53 ± 8.2	86.9 ± 8.8	0.34	
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.96 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.06	0.2	
Hb A1C, %	7.94 ± 0.34	7.41± 0.16	0.18	
Insulin baseline (mIU/L)	26.27 ± 3.60	24.2 ± 3.70	0.08	
HOMA2-IR	3.68 ± 0.65	3.36 ± 0.66	0.10	
$HOMA2\beta$	91.2 ± 9.4	92.5 ± 9.3	0.80	

Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean ± SD.

Table 2. Clinical Data and Their Changes Compared to the Baseline Values for Lipid Profile of Participants After 8 Weeks of Randomized Treatment

	CBD/Δ^9 -THC (10:1) (n = 24)			Placebo (n = 22)			CBD/ Δ^9 -THC (10:1) vs Placebo P-Value
	After 2 Months	Change from Baseline, %	P-Value	After 2 Months	Change from Baseline, %	P-Value	
Cholesterol, mg/dL	149.3 ± 5.8	-8.0	< 0.001	152.8 ± 7.08	+4.65	0.023	< 0.001
Triglyceride, mg/dL	143.8 ± 10.0	-15.4	0.032	132.36 ± 7.3	-1.04	0.433	< 0.001
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	43.3 ± 0.88	+4.08	0.083	44.08±5.7	0	1	0.083
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	98.5 ± 4.8	-4.70	0.014	92.3 ± 5.8	+2.67	0.133	< 0.001

group at the end of the study (P = 0.113). Since there were no statistically significant changes between the two groups at the baseline, these outcomes at the end of the eight-week treatment period represent the positive effects of the adjunctive regimen in controlling the lipid profile of patients with type II diabetes.

The adverse effects of administering the twice-daily supplement regimen are depicted in Table 4. Overall, the mentioned adjunctive regimen was well tolerated. Except for one case with simultaneous signs of vertigo and dizziness who was withdrawn from the study, no other severe adverse effects were observed. Noteworthy, a case of sublingual oral sore occurred in the control group, which resulted in the exclusion of the patient from the study. Finally, there were no statistically significant differences in reported adverse effects between the two groups (for all, P > 0.05).

5. Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that sublingual administration of the CBDEX1[®] sublingual spray, two puffs twice daily through an eight-week treatment period, could effectively improve the patient's lipid profile and glucose tolerance. Moreover, the mentioned regimen could also improve insulin sensitivity, evident from a meaningful enhancement in the HOMA2 values. Consistently, the study performed by Penner et al. demonstrated that insulin level ,HOMA-IR index, and waist circumference is lower in marijuana users than control ones (6). Moreover, investigating the data released by the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) revealed that the incidence rate of DM was significantly lower among marijuana consumers compared to the non-consumer group (4). Contrary to our findings, however, the pilot study performed by Jadoon et al. showed that a 1:1 and 20:1 combination of CBD and cannabivarin (CBV), a homolog of Δ^9 -THC,

	CBD/ Δ^9 -THC (10:1) (n = 24)			Placebo (n = 22)			CBD/ Δ^9 -THC (10:1) vs Placebo P-Value
	After 2 Months	Change from Baseline, %	P-Value	After 2 Months	Change from Baseline, %	P-Value	
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	133.7±9.7	-7.5	0.0125	140.0 ± 9.8	+0.5	0.84	< 0.001
Hb A1C, %	7.8 ± 0.24	-8.5	< 0.001	7.35 ± 0.15	+1.3	0.075	< 0.001
Baseline insulin mIU/L	22.53	-16.6	< 0.001	25.67 ± 12.2	+5.7	0.59	< 0.001
HOMA2-IR	3.12 ± 0.12	-17.94	< 0.001	3.57± 0.13	+5.89	< 0.001	< 0.001
HOMA2 β	93.8 ± 6.1	+2.78	0.3565	95.4 ± 9.2	+3	0.30	0.7

Table 3. Clinical Data and Their Changes Compared to the Baseline Values for Glycemic Profile of Participants After 8 Weeks of Randomized Treatment

	CBD/∆ ⁹ -THC (10:1) Group (n = 24)	Placebo Group (n = 22)	P-Value
Rashes	0(0)	0 (0)	1
Purities	0(0)	0(0)	1
Itching	0(0)	0(0)	1
Dry mouth	0(0)	0(0)	1
Headache	1 (4.17)	0(0)	0.99
Nausea	0(0)	0(0)	1
Vomiting	0(0)	0(0)	1
Loss of appetite	1 (4.17)	1(4.54)	0.99
Dizziness	1 (4.17)	0(0)	0.99
Vertigo	1 (4.17)	0(0)	0.99
Hypotension/hyperter	nsion 0(0)	0(0)	1
Lethargy	0(0)	0(0)	1
Diarrhea/constipation	0(0)	0(0)	1
Severe hypoglycemia	0(0)	0 (0)	1
Blurred vision	0(0)	0(0)	1
Weight gain/loss	0(0)	0(0)	1
GI distress	0(0)	0(0)	1
Other	2(8.34)	1(4.54)	0.99

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

could not effectively reduce fasting blood glucose level and lipid content of DM patients after a 13-week treatment period. As the administration of each drug alone could somehow affect several study's endpoint values, the authors concluded that the combination administered at the studied ratio might have an antagonizing effect on each other (18). The first reason underlying this discrepancy is that compared to the concentrations of Δ^9 -THC and CBD applied in previously performed clinical studies with meaningful positive outcomes, the one applied in Jadoon and colleague's study was extremely low, making extrapolation of results to the higher concentration somehow difficult and doubtful (19). Another important reason for this discrepancy may be the differences in Δ^9 -THC and CBD's root of administration. Owing to their highly lipophilic nature, Δ^9 -THC, and CBD are routinely formulated as oil preparation and demonstrate a very poor oral bioavailability in humans (approximately equal to 6%) (20).

Moreover, orally administered Δ^9 -THC or CBD formulation is extensively metabolized in the liver following adsorption from the gastrointestinal tract due to CYP3A4 and CYP2C19 metabolizing enzymes activity (20, 21). Consequently, the very low orally absorbed amount of Δ^9 -THC or CBD will be immediately subjected to a large first-pass effect, only permitting an extremely low amount of intact Δ^9 -THC or CBD to reach the bloodstream. In contrast, sublingual administration of Δ^9 -THC and CBD can significantly improve the bioavailability of the drug (reaching a value of 20% (20)), enhancing peak plasma concentration (C_{max}) of Δ^9 -THC and CBD and the time required for reaching it (T_{max}) (22). In this context, the large part of the sublingually administered Δ^9 -THC and CBD combination can successfully bypass the first pass effect and other complications associated with the oral route administration, providing a much higher Cmax and Tmax values and consequently, a more rapid onset of action for Δ^9 -THC and CBD in sublingual form.

Although the exact mechanism underlying the positive modulatory activity of cannabinoids on peripheral metabolism has not yet been fully understood, the activity of these compounds on CB receptors of peripheral organs may somehow explicate the answer. In this context, it has been shown that administration of rimonabant, a CB₁ antagonist, was together with a significant improvement in the sensitivity of normal mice to insulin, proposing that adiponectin may be the responsible molecule in the improvement of sensitivity to insulin (23). This finding has also been established in human studies. Moreover, in another clinical trial, the administration of rimonabant was in a statistically significant enhancement in the adiponectin plasma concentration, weight loss, and a meaningful reduction in circumference value (24). Additionally, the administration of cannabis to obese rats was with a meaningful increase in pancreas weight which is highly suggestive of its protective effect on beta cells viability and functionality (25). Considering that the CB₁ receptor knockout mice are also persistent in diet-induced obesity, the role of the CB₁ receptor in metabolism and obesity becomes much bolded (26). Since both Δ^9 -THC and CBD can induce an antagonistic effect on cannabinoid receptors, it may be concluded that the observed protective effects of both compounds may be partly mediated through the enhancement of secretion of adiponectin.

As mentioned earlier, CBD is considered to be a CB₁ receptor inverse agonist, resulting in the reduction of CB₁ receptor constitutional functioning or attenuation of the endocannabinoid tone. Moreover, micromolar concentrations of CBD could show some inhibitory effects on fatty acid amide hydrolase enzyme activity and hence, can promote the accumulation of arachidonoyl ethanolamine (AEA), a well-known agonist for CB receptors. Moreover, the same concentrations from CBD could also effectively enhance 2-AG levels in humans, a selective CB₁ receptor agonist (27). Hence, in theory, high enough concentrations of CBD may also induce beneficial lipid-lowering effects observed with rimonabant. In this context, administering 800 mcg/day of CBD in schizophrenic patients could effectively enhance plasma AEA concentrations and improve the patients' clinical signs (9). Considering the much higher bioavailability of sublingually administered CBD, the 400 μ g/day concentration from CBD may be the optimum tolerable, safe, and effective concentration for application in the clinic.

Consistent with our findings, numerous animal studies have shown glucose-controlling and lipid-lowering activities for CBD. For instance, in the study by Lehmann et al., the pretreatment of mice with CBD could delay the onset of diabetic signs (including hyperglycemia) following the induction of type I diabetes by pancreas inflammation (28). Despite this, the study performed by Rajesh et al. demonstrated that administration of CBD could not significantly improve blood glucose levels in streptozotocin (STZ) induced diabetic mice, which may be due to the time of administration of CBD which was one-week following induction of diabetes and development of hyperglycemia, meaning that the large part of the functional beta cells may have become destroyed (13). On another side, McKillop et al. demonstrated that administration of a synthetic derivate of CBD could lower T-CHOL and TG up to 17% and 19%, respectively, while enhancing HDL-C by 19% in STZ-induced diabetic mice (29). Similarly, Zorzenon et al. demonstrated a significant improvement in lipid profile (including TG, T-CHOL, LDL-C, and HDL-C) of diabetic mice undergoing cerebral ischemia, treated with CBD and proposed it as an effective pharmacological agent in preventing metabolic dysfunction development in diabetic patients with cerebral ischemia (30).

The 100 μ g/10 μ g CBD/ Δ^9 -THC 2puffs twice per day sublingually administered regimen of the present study was well tolerated by patients. Except for one case with vertigo and dizziness, no other serious adverse effects were reported. Consistently, in phase I clinical trial, evaluating the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of a CBD oral solution, most patients could tolerate up to 6000 mg/day of CBD, and none demonstrated severe or serious adverse effects at all (31). Based on these observations, the combination of CBD/ Δ^9 -THC regimen could be a new therapeutic regimen for controlling the lipid profile and glycemic state of DM patients. Despite this, one of the main limitations of the present study was the low number of the studied population. Moreover, the lack of confirmatory laboratory tests, including measurement of the levels of adiponectin, resistin, GIP, Apo A, etc., is another limitation of the present study. Finally, for a more precise analysis of results, restriction or sub-classification of patients according to their receiving anti-diabetic regimen will be much more appreciated.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Shima Afshar, Gholamreza Amin, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Acquisition of data: Shima Afshar, Shayesteh Khalili; Analysis and interpretation of data: Shima Afshar, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Drafting of the manuscript: Shima Afshar, Shayesteh Khalili, Gholamreza Amin, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Shima Afshar, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Statistical analysis: Shima Afshar, Shayesteh Khalili, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Administrative, technical, and material support: Shima Afshar, Mohammad Abbasinazari; Study supervision: Gholamreza Amin, Mohammad Abbasinazari.

Clinical Trial Registration Code: IRCT20121021011192N10.

Conflict of Interests: Funding or research support: No; Employment: no; Personal financial interests: No; Stocks or shares in companies: No; Consultation fees: No; Patents: No; Personal or professional relations with organizations and individuals (parents and children, wife and husband, family relationships, etc.): No; Unpaid membership in a government or non-governmental organization: No; Are you one of the editorial board members or a reviewer of this journal? Yes.

Data Reproducibility: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication. The data are not publicly available due to privacy issues.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. (IR.SBMU.PHARMACY.REC.1399.302; Link: ethics.research.ac.ir/EthicsProposalView.php?id=172445)

Informed Consent: It was not declared by the authors.

References

- Shaseb E, Tohidi M, Abbasinazari M, Khalili D, Talasaz AH, Omrani H, et al. The effect of a single dose of vitamin D on glycemic status and Creactive protein levels in type 2 diabetic patients with ischemic heart disease: a randomized clinical trial. *Acta Diabetol.* 2016;**53**(4):575–82. [PubMed ID: 26873242]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-016-0843-3.
- Balvardi M, Dehdashti N, Imani-Goghary Z, Ghaljeh M, Bashiri H, Babaee K, et al. Investigating the Prevalence of Substance Use Among Students of Medical Science Universities in the Eighth Macro-region of Iran. Int J High Risk Behav Addict. 2021;10(4). https://doi.org/10.5812/ijhrba.113237.
- Foltin RW, Fischman MW, Byrne MF. Effects of smoked marijuana on food intake and body weight of humans living in a residential laboratory. *Appetite*. 1988;11(1):1-14. [PubMed ID: 3228283]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-6663(88)80017-5.
- Smit E, Crespo CJ. Dietary intake and nutritional status of US adult marijuana users: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Public Health Nutr.* 2001;4(3):781-6. [PubMed ID: 11415485]. https://doi.org/10.1079/phn2000114.
- Rajavashisth TB, Shaheen M, Norris KC, Pan D, Sinha SK, Ortega J, et al. Decreased prevalence of diabetes in marijuana users: cross-sectional data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(1). e000494. [PubMed ID: 22368296]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3289985]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000494.
- Penner EA, Buettner H, Mittleman MA. The impact of marijuana use on glucose, insulin, and insulin resistance among US adults. *Am J Med.* 2013;**126**(7):583-9. [PubMed ID: 23684393]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2013.03.002.
- Pertwee RG. The diverse CB1 and CB2 receptor pharmacology of three plant cannabinoids: delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol and delta9-tetrahydrocannabivarin. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2008;**153**(2):199– 215. [PubMed ID: 17828291]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2219532]. https://doi.org/10.1038/sji.bjp.0707442.
- 8. Pisanti S, Malfitano AM, Ciaglia E, Lamberti A, Ranieri R, Cuomo G, et al. Cannabidiol: State of the art and new challenges for therapeutic

applications. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;**175**:133-50. [PubMed ID: 28232276]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.02.041.

- Gallant M, Odei-Addo F, Frost CL, Levendal RA. Biological effects of THC and a lipophilic cannabis extract on normal and insulin resistant 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Phytomedicine*. 2009;**16**(10):942–9. [PubMed ID: 19345076]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2009.02.013.
- Leweke FM, Piomelli D, Pahlisch F, Muhl D, Gerth CW, Hoyer C, et al. Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2012;2(3). e94. [PubMed ID: 22832859]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3316151]. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2012.15.
- Schubart CD, Sommer IE, van Gastel WA, Goetgebuer RL, Kahn RS, Boks MP. Cannabis with high cannabidiol content is associated with fewer psychotic experiences. *Schizophr Res.* 2011;**130**(1-3):216–21. [PubMed ID: 21592732]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2011.04.017.
- Esposito G, Scuderi C, Valenza M, Togna GI, Latina V, De Filippis D, et al. Cannabidiol reduces Abeta-induced neuroinflammation and promotes hippocampal neurogenesis through PPARgamma involvement. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(12). e28668. [PubMed ID: 22163051]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3230631]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028668.
- Rajesh M, Mukhopadhyay P, Batkai S, Patel V, Saito K, Matsumoto S, et al. Cannabidiol attenuates cardiac dysfunction, oxidative stress, fibrosis, and inflammatory and cell death signaling pathways in diabetic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56(25):2115– 25. [PubMed ID: 21144973]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3026637]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.07.033.
- Stanley CP, Wheal AJ, Randall MD, O'Sullivan SE. Cannabinoids alter endothelial function in the Zucker rat model of type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2013;**720**(1-3):376-82. [PubMed ID: 24120371]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.10.002.
- Maruish M, Kosinski M, Bjorner J, Maruish ME, Kosinski M, Bjorner JB, et al. User's manual for the SF36v2 Health Survey. *Quality Metric Incor*porated. 2011.
- Chandra S, Kaur S, Jayabhaye D, Ubale A. Adverse drug reaction monitoring in patients of hypertension at a tertiary care hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India. Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol. 2021;10(6):720. https://doi.org/10.18203/2319-2003.ijbcp20212084.
- Abbasinazari M, Sahraee Z, Mirahmadi M. The Patients' Adherence and Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) which are Caused by Helicobacter pylori Eradication Regimens. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(3):462– 6. [PubMed ID: 23634397]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3616557]. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2013/4673.2799.
- Jadoon KA, Ratcliffe SH, Barrett DA, Thomas EL, Stott C, Bell JD, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Cannabidiol and Tetrahydrocannabivarin on Glycemic and Lipid Parameters in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Parallel Group Pilot Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;**39**(10):1777-86. [PubMed ID: 27573936]. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-0650.
- Millar SA, Stone NL, Bellman ZD, Yates AS, England TJ, O'Sullivan SE. A systematic review of cannabidiol dosing in clinical populations. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2019;85(9):1888–900. [PubMed ID: 31222854]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6710502]. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14038.
- 20. World Health Organization. Cannabidiol (CBD) Pre-Review Report Agenda Item 5.2 and Peer Review. *Expert Committee on Drug Dependence*. World Health Organization; 2017.
- Jiang R, Yamaori S, Takeda S, Yamamoto I, Watanabe K. Identification of cytochrome P450 enzymes responsible for metabolism of cannabidiol by human liver microsomes. *Life Sci.* 2011;89(5-6):165–70. [PubMed ID: 21704641]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2011.05.018.
- Roychoudhury P, Wang NN, Narouze SN. Phytocannabinoids: Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Cannabinoids and Pain*. Springer; 2021. p. 71–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69186-8_10.

- Wierzbicki AS, Pendleton S, McMahon Z, Dar A, Oben J, Crook MA, et al. Rimonabant improves cholesterol, insulin resistance and markers of non-alcoholic fatty liver in morbidly obese patients: a retrospective cohort study. Int J Clin Pract. 2011;65(6):713-5. [PubMed ID: 21564446]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02683.x.
- Despres JP, Golay A, Sjostrom L, Rimonabant in Obesity-Lipids Study G. Effects of rimonabant on metabolic risk factors in overweight patients with dyslipidemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;**353**(20):2121–34. [PubMed ID: 16291982]. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa044537.
- Levendal RA, Schumann D, Donath M, Frost CL. Cannabis exposure associated with weight reduction and beta-cell protection in an obese rat model. *Phytomedicine*. 2012;**19**(7):575-82. [PubMed ID: 22421529]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2012.02.001.
- Ravinet Trillou C, Delgorge C, Menet C, Arnone M, Soubrie P. CB1 cannabinoid receptor knockout in mice leads to leanness, resistance to diet-induced obesity and enhanced leptin sensitivity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004;28(4):640–8. [PubMed ID: 14770190]. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802583.
- McPartland JM, Duncan M, Di Marzo V, Pertwee RG. Are cannabidiol and Delta(9) -tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review. Br J Pharmacol. 2015;172(3):737-53. [PubMed ID: 25257544]. [PubMed Central ID:

PMC4301686]. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.12944.

- Lehmann C, Fisher NB, Tugwell B, Szczesniak A, Kelly M, Zhou J. Experimental cannabidiol treatment reduces early pancreatic inflammation in type 1 diabetes. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc*. 2016;**64**(4):655–62. [PubMed ID: 27767974]. https://doi.org/10.3233/CH-168021.
- McKillop AM, Moran BM, Abdel-Wahab YH, Gormley NM, Flatt PR. Metabolic effects of orally administered small-molecule agonists of GPR55 and GPR119 in multiple low-dose streptozotocininduced diabetic and incretin-receptor-knockout mice. *Diabetologia*. 2016;**59**(12):2674–85. [PubMed ID: 27677765]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6518089]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-4108-z.
- Zorzenon MRT, Santiago AN, Mori MA, Piovan S, Jansen CA, Perina Padilha ME, et al. Cannabidiol improves metabolic dysfunction in middle-aged diabetic rats submitted to a chronic cerebral hypoperfusion. *Chem Biol Interact.* 2019;**312**:108819. [PubMed ID: 31499052]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2019.108819.
- Taylor L, Gidal B, Blakey G, Tayo B, Morrison G. A Phase I, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Single Ascending Dose, Multiple Dose, and Food Effect Trial of the Safety, Tolerability and Pharmacokinetics of Highly Purified Cannabidiol in Healthy Subjects. CNS Drugs. 2018;32(11):1053–67. [PubMed ID: 30374683]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6223703]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-018-0578-5.