Published Online: 2025 May 20



S100B Levels Following Administration of Thiopental and Fentanyl Midazolam Combination in Patients Undergoing Mechanical Ventilation: A Comparative Study

Sara Salarian (1)¹, Mohammad Sistanizad (1)², Mohammad Gharehbeglou (1)^{3,4}, Keyhan Poorali (1)⁵, Bahador Bagheri (1)^{6,7,*}

¹ Department of Critical Care Medicine, Imam Hossein Medical and Educational Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

- ² Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ³ Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- ⁵ Department of Surgery, Kowsar Hospital, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran
- ⁶ Cancer Research Center, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran
- ⁷ Center for Molecular Cardiology, University of Zurich, Schlieren, Switzerland

*Corresponding Author: Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran. Email: bahadordvm@yahoo.com

Received: 29 October, 2024; Revised: 17 March, 2025; Accepted: 10 May, 2025

Abstract

Background: To compare the effects of fentanyl combined with midazolam versus thiopental on serum levels of S100B in critically ill patients with brain injury.

Methods: Eighty-five patients who underwent neurosurgical interventions and required mechanical ventilation were included in the study. The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, duration of ventilation, length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay, and serum levels of S100B were measured and compared at baseline, day 3, and day 5 of the study.

Results: Seventy out of the 85 selected patients were randomized. There were no significant differences in the levels of S100B at admission (P = 0.7) and on day 3. However, on day 5, S100B levels were significantly lower in the thiopental group compared to the fentanyl plus midazolam group (P = 0.03). Although the duration of ventilation was shorter in the thiopental group, the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.06). Additionally, the length of ICU stay was shorter for patients who received thiopental (214 hours vs. 209 hours, P = 0.4).

Conclusions: Our study demonstrated that both thiopental and fentanyl combined with midazolam could reduce S100B levels in patients with traumatic brain injuries. Furthermore, patients receiving thiopental experienced a shorter ICU stay and duration of ventilation.

Keywords: Thiopental, S-100B, Brain Injury, ICU, Midazolam

1. Background

The intensive care unit (ICU) is designed for patients recovering from anesthesia and those requiring critical care. Critically ill patients may suffer from trauma, stroke, sepsis, traumatic brain injury (TBI), and brain surgery (1). Neurological complications are major concerns in these patients. Therefore, the judicious use of medications and techniques is vital to improve their clinical status. Several neuroprotective medications are used to enhance clinical outcomes and reduce the length of ICU stay (2, 3). Barbiturates, including thiopental, are common intravenous anesthetics used in ICU settings. Thiopental, as a barbiturate, is generally considered a neuroprotective agent. It can reduce the cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen (CMRO2) and cerebral blood flow (CBF), and more importantly, it may improve clinical outcomes and reduce mortality (4, 5). Fentanyl

Copyright © 2025, Salarian et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Salarian S, Sistanizad M, Gharehbeglou M, Poorali K, Bagheri B. S100B Levels Following Administration of Thiopental and Fentanyl Midazolam Combination in Patients Undergoing Mechanical Ventilation: A Comparative Study. Iran J Pharm Res. 2015; 14 (1): e157513. https://doi.org/10.5812/ijpr-157513.

combined with midazolam is another common regimen with favorable effects in ICU patients (6). Currently, various biomarkers are used to estimate the prognosis and risk stratification of brain injuries (7). Upon brain injury, the integrity of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) is compromised, allowing a rise in brain biomarker levels (7, 8). In recent years, calcium-binding protein S100B and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) have gained prominence as common biomarkers in brain injuries. S100B is mainly expressed in astrocytes and the peripheral nervous system (9). Following brain injury, S100B is released into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and bloodstream through the arachnoid villi. Elevated levels of S100B are usually associated with mortality and poor prognosis (10, 11). In addition to ICU settings, some studies have found a role for S100B in electroconvulsive therapy, indicating that increased S100B levels are associated with neuronal distress (12). Current evidence regarding the effects of thiopental on S100B levels is inconclusive and remains debatable. Interestingly, some experimental data indicate that thiopental may cause a marked increase in S100B levels in the CSF, while having no effect on S100B levels in the blood (13).

2. Objectives

Excessive use of sedatives and analgesics may lead to respiratory depression, hemodynamic instability, and gastrointestinal discomfort. Therefore, new drugs or drug combinations should be studied to achieve more beneficial effects. This trial was designed to compare the effects of thiopental with fentanyl combined with midazolam on S100B levels in patients admitted to the ICU.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design

The study was conducted at Imam Hossein Hospital, Tehran, Iran. Informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives. Inclusion criteria included neurosurgical interventions in patients requiring mechanical ventilation, aged 18 to 70 years. Patients with a history of liver disorders [liver enzyme abnormalities > 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN)], severe renal failure (creatinine increase × 3 or creatinine \geq 4 mg/dL and urine output < 0.3 mL/kg/h × 24 h), history of drug hypersensitivity, systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 90 mm Hg, heart rate (HR) < 60/min, anemia, malignancy, inflammatory conditions and systemic infections, pneumonic operations, videoassisted thoracic surgery (VATS), and patients with a positive COVID-19 test (PCR) were excluded from the study. The study was implemented according to the protocols of the study hospital and was an open-label trial. Eighty-five patients were randomly divided 1:1 into two groups: The fentanyl plus midazolam group and the thiopental group, using permuted block randomization. Serum levels of S100B were measured at admission, day 3, and day 5. Fentanyl combined with midazolam was administered at 0.06 to 0.2 mg/kg/h (Caspian Pharmaceuticals, Iran) (14, 15). Patients received thiopental (PANPHARMA, France) at 0.3 to 3 mg/kg/h as a maintenance dose. Infusion rates were adjusted to achieve and maintain a ramsay sedation score (RSS) of 3 to 4 every 10 minutes (16). Additionally, a Behavioral Pain Scale (BPS) score \leq 4 was used to evaluate analgesia levels (17). A spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) was used for weaning (18). In our previous works, the Rapid Shallow Breathing Index (RSBI) was used for successful weaning (19). Patients were followed until ICU discharge. The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and the demographic data of patients, including age, sex, and history of previous diseases, were recorded and compared between groups.

3.2. Efficacy and Safety Assessment

The primary endpoint was the change in serum levels of S100B in both treatment groups. Levels of S100B were measured at baseline, day 3, and day 5 of treatment using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (DiaMetra, UK). Briefly, standards and samples were added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. After incubation, 100 µL of working biotin conjugate antibody was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Subsequently, 100 µL of working streptavidin-HRP was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Then, 100 µL of substrate solution was added to each well, and following a 15- to 20-minute incubation at 37°C, the reaction was stopped using stop solution, and absorption was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Stat Fax 2100 Awareness, AZ, USA). The duration of ventilation, length of ICU stay, and untoward effects of medications such as respiratory depression, hypotension, and bradycardia were the secondary endpoints. Patients were continuously monitored for sedation, analgesia, and adverse effects of drugs.

Patients were excluded from the study due to safety concerns.

3.3. Data Analysis

The total number of 85 patients was calculated for randomization according to the assumption of a 20% dropout rate among study patients, with an 80% power and an anticipated effect size of 0.1. A significance level of 0.05 for changes in S100B levels with a sample size of 70 patients was estimated. Student *t*-test, chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test were used for data analysis due to the normal distribution of collected data. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analysis was performed using SPSS software version 21.0 (Chicago, USA).

4. Results

4.1. Baseline Characteristics

Of the 85 study subjects included, 15 patients did not receive the treatments (7 met the exclusion criteria, 6 declined to participate, and 2 for other reasons). Patients were studied from September 2021 to October 2022. No patient was lost to follow-up, and all 70 participants were observed over the 5 days and until ICU discharge. The mean age was 55 years, with a predominance of females (52% vs 48%). Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the study participants. No significant differences were observed at baseline. Patient flow is shown in Figure 1.

Characteristics	Fentanyl + Midazolam (n = 35)	Thiopental (n = 35)	P- Value	
Age; y	53.41 ± 5.31	56.62 ± 9.13	0.3	
Age; y (range)	21 - 66	20 - 68	0.4	
Female	19 (54)	18 (51)	0.4	
Body weight; kg	79 ± 1.82	81 ± 6.91	0.3	
Reason for admission				
Trauma	11 (35)	15 (42.8)	0.2	
Surgery	14 (40)	15 (42.8)	0.3	
Other	10 (28.5)	5 (14.2)	0.6	
GCS	4 ± 1	3 ± 1	0.7	
Total SOFA score	6 ± 2	7 ± 1	0.0	
Hemoglobin; g/L	103 ± 3.92	101 ± 3.21	0.5	
Total WBC count; 10 ⁹ /L	7.2 ± 3.33	7.3 ± 2.93	0.8	
Platelets; 10 ⁹ /L	211 ± 111	$220\pm\!109$	0.7	
SBP; mmHg	131 ± 6.21	119 ± 2.13	0.5	
DBP; mmHg	61 ± 5.92	60 ± 6.43	0.4	

Characteristics	Fentanyl + Midazolam (n = 35)	Thiopental (n = 35)	P- Value	
Respiratory rate; breaths/min	15 ± 1.12	18 ± 2.33	1.0	
Heat rate; beats/min	73 ± 1.01	70 ± 1.11	0.3	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean ± SD.

4.2. Clinical Outcomes

The target sedation level (RSS = 3 - 4) was achieved in both study groups. No significant differences were noted at specific time intervals (P = 0.7). Additionally, the target analgesia level (BPS \leq 4) was reached in both groups, and the differences were not significant (P =0.8). As indicated in Table 2, baseline S100B levels were 9.08 ± 6.77 ng/L in the fentanyl plus midazolam group and 9.31 ± 3.73 ng/L in the thiopental group (P = 0.1). Both treatment modalities decreased S100B levels on day 3; however, the differences were not significant. On day 5, a significant difference was noted in S100B levels in favor of patients who received thiopental (5.03 \pm 1.9 ng/L vs 6.98 ± 2.12 ng/L, P = 0.03). Notably, subgroup analysis found no difference in S100B levels among patients with diabetes at different time intervals in both study groups. Although the duration of mechanical ventilation was shorter in the thiopental group, the differences were not significant; 6.1 \pm 1.3 days vs 5.1 \pm 1.8 days (P = 0.06). Furthermore, as presented in Table 2, although the ICU stay (period between ICU admission and ICU discharge) was shorter in the thiopental group, the difference failed to reach a significant level. The ICU stay was 214 \pm 11 hours in the fentanyl plus midazolam group and 209 \pm 21 hours in the thiopental group (P = 0.4).

Table 2. Comparison Between Two Study Groups; S100B Levels, Duration of Ventilation, Intensive Care Unit Stay, and Side Effects of the Treatments ^a

ventilation, intensive care oniti stay, and side Lifeets of the freatments					
Variables	Fentanyl + Midazolam (n = 35)	Thiopental (n = 35)	P- Value		
S100B; μ g/L					
Baseline	9.08 ± 6.77	9.31 ± 3.73	0.7		
Day 3	7.61± 2.32	7.90 ± 2.43	0.6		
Day 5	6.98 ± 2.12	5.03 ± 1.92	0.03		
Duration of ventilation; d	6.11 ± 1.38 5.11 ± 1.13		0.4		
Length of ICU stay; h	214 ± 11	209 ± 21	0.8		
Hypotension	8 (22.8) 6 (17.1)		0.7		
Bradycardia	Bradycardia 3(8.5)		0.7		

Abbreviation: ICU, intensive care unit.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean \pm SD.



Figure 1. Consort diagram detailing study subjects

4.3. Adverse Effects of Treatments

We found no significant differences in the rate of bradycardia, hypotension, and respiratory depression between study groups. No patient was lost to follow-up, and no one was withdrawn due to severe adverse effects of the treatments. No deaths were reported.

5. Discussion

The present study demonstrated that both treatment modalities, thiopental and fentanyl combined with midazolam, were effective in decreasing S100B levels in patients with traumatic brain injuries who required mechanical ventilation. However, on day 5, patients who received thiopental showed a significant reduction in S100B levels. The mechanism by which thiopental causes a greater reduction in S100B levels compared to fentanyl plus midazolam is not clearly understood. A deeper effect on the duration of activity of GABA_A channels and

an improvement in the CMRO2 may partially mitigate the inflammatory damage to neurons. Although a reduction was noted on day 3, the response continued to decrease on day 5. Measuring other inflammatory markers, such as cytokines or oxidative players, could provide a better understanding of the mechanisms behind these effects. The duration of mechanical ventilation and the length of ICU stay were shorter in the thiopental group. During brain injury, the integrity of the BBB is disrupted, and the physiological mechanisms responsible for restoring regular local homeostasis fail to function properly. At this stage, different compounds may be released from disrupted cells in response to damage (20, 21). However, to validate the clinical significance of such compounds, their interactions with medications should be understood and well interpreted. The neuroprotective effects of thiopental have been extensively investigated in animal studies, but they are not clearly addressed in humans. Several studies have shown that barbiturates like thiopental have a protective effect on ischemic brain injuries in various animal models (22-24). A study showed that thiopental administered before or after ischemia reduced nerve damage in gerbils, although post-ischemic thiopental treatment required larger doses (25). A study by Velle et al. indicated that barbiturate coma therapy (BCT) with thiopental could improve intracranial compensatory reserve and intracranial pressure in children with TBI (26). Although the mechanism of action of thiopental is largely due to its effects on GABA_A and NMDA receptors, thiopental can cause a dose-related depression of cerebral metabolic oxygen consumption rate (CMRO2) and reduce the consumption of adenosine triphosphate, which can be considered for its neuroprotective effects (4, 5). Notably,

S100B is not brain-specific but is almost always present in studies about TBI and stroke. S100B can also be elevated in some carcinomas, skin diseases, and thoracic surgeries. In general, patients with TBI who have high levels of S100B are linked with poor prognosis (27-29). In line with our results, Gong et al. showed that blood levels of S100B and NSE significantly decreased in patients with hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage (HICH) who received dexmedetomidine compared to midazolam (30).

From a pharmacological perspective, add-on therapy with medications that can decrease the total daily consumption of sedatives and opiates is considered beneficial. Previously, we demonstrated that the addition of clonidine and gabapentin to common ICU medications, such as thiopental, fentanyl, and propofol, is potentially useful in reducing the adverse effects of sedative and analgesic drugs in the ICU (31, 32). A recent study by Salarian et al. indicated that thiopental administration was associated with a greater decrease in NSE, a biomarker of traumatic brain injuries, compared to the combination of fentanyl and midazolam (33). Moreover, a new systematic review has provided evidence for the beneficial effects of hypertonic saline in patients with TBI admitted to the ICU with increased intracranial pressure (34). Interestingly, a study by Mahmoodpoor showed that a 7day therapy with L-carnitine was not effective in reducing NSE levels or the rate of mortality in patients with TBI (35). Finally, clinicians should always be aware of hypo- or hyperkalemia in patients with TBI receiving barbiturates as part of BCT (34).

5.1. Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that both thiopental and fentanyl combined with midazolam effectively reduced S100B levels in patients with traumatic brain injuries. Additionally, patients receiving thiopental experienced a shorter ICU stay and duration of mechanical ventilation.

5.2. Limitations of the Study

One limitation of this study was the short follow-up period. It would be more beneficial to follow up with patients over a longer duration to determine any correlation between S100B levels and clinical status. Additionally, it would have been advantageous to explore the role of antioxidative markers, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) or malondialdehyde (MDA), in addition to inflammatory cytokines.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere thanks to the staff of Imam Hossein Hospital for their assistance with this project.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: The study was designed by S. S. and B. B. Data collection was done by MG and M. S. Data analysis was performed by MG and S. S. Drafting the manuscript was done by B. B. and M. S., and M. G. Critical revisions were done by B. B. and S. S. Study supervision was performed by S. S. and M. S. and K. P.

Clinical	Trial	Registration	Code:
IRCT20120430	0009593N17.		

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Ethical Approval: IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1397.1329.

Funding/Support: The present study was conducted with the financial and moral support of the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Technology of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (grant no.15535).

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives.

References

- Faust AC, Rajan P, Sheperd LA, Alvarez CA, McCorstin P, Doebele RL. Impact of an Analgesia-Based Sedation Protocol on Mechanically Ventilated Patients in a Medical Intensive Care Unit. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;**123**(4):903-9. [PubMed ID: 27644010]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5237378]. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.000000000001393.
- Lowes DA, Galley HF, Lowe PR, Rikke BA, Johnson TE, Webster NR. A microarray analysis of potential genes underlying the neurosensitivity of mice to propofol. *Anesth Analg.* 2005;101(3):697-704. [PubMed ID: 16115977]. https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ANE.0000160587.72827.B4.
- Sanchez-Izquierdo-Riera JA, Caballero-Cubedo RE, Perez-Vela JL, Ambros-Checa A, Cantalapiedra-Santiago JA, Alted-Lopez E. Propofol versus midazolam: safety and efficacy for sedating the severe trauma

patient. Anesth Analg. 1998;86(6):1219-24. [PubMed ID: 9620508]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-199806000-00016.

- Nakahata K, Kinoshita H, Azma T, Matsuda N, Hama-Tomioka K, Haba M, et al. Propofol restores brain microvascular function impaired by high glucose via the decrease in oxidative stress. *Anesthesiol.* 2008;**108**(2):269-75. [PubMed ID: 18212572]. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.anes.0000299830.13203.60.
- Lecoeur H, Prevost MC, Gougeon ML. Oncosis is associated with exposure of phosphatidylserine residues on the outside layer of the plasma membrane: a reconsideration of the specificity of the annexin V/propidium iodide assay. *Cytometry*. 2001;44(1):65-72. [PubMed ID: 11309810]. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0320(20010501)44:1<65::aid-cyto1083>3.0.co;2-q.
- Reynolds A, Laurie C, Mosley RL, Gendelman HE. Oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders. *Int Rev Neurobiol.* 2007;82:297-325. [PubMed ID: 17678968]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7742(07)82016-2.
- 7. Randall M. Evaluation and management of severe closed head injury In: George T the practice of neurosurgery. Philadelphia, PA: Williams & Wilkins; 1996. p. 1401-16.
- Statler KD, Alexander H, Vagni V, Dixon CE, Clark RS, Jenkins L, et al. Comparison of seven anesthetic agents on outcome after experimental traumatic brain injury in adult, male rats. J Neurotrauma. 2006;23(1):97-108. [PubMed ID: 16430376]. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2006.23.97.
- Vicente E, Tramontina F, Leite MC, Nardin P, Silva M, Karkow AR, et al. S100B levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of rats are sex and anaesthetic dependent. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*. 2007;**34**(11):1126-30. [PubMed ID: 17880365]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1681.2007.04687.x.
- Maas AI, Lingsma HF, Roozenbeek B. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2015;**128**:455-74. [PubMed ID: 25701901]. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63521-1.00029-7.
- Feng CS, Ma HC, Yue Y, Zhang YQ, Qu XD. [Effect of propofol on the activation of nuclear factor-kappa B and expression of inflammatory cytokines in cerebral cortex during transient focal cerebral ischemiareperfusion: experiment with rats]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi*. 2004;84(24):2110-4. [PubMed ID: 15730629].
- Gbyl K, Jorgensen NR, Videbech P. Serum S100B protein after electroconvulsive therapy in patients with depression. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* 2022;**34**(5):269-75. [PubMed ID: 35249591]. https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2022.8.
- Jadhav V, Solaroglu I, Obenaus A, Zhang JH. Neuroprotection against surgically induced brain injury. *Surg Neurol.* 2007;67(1):15-20. discussion 20. [PubMed ID: 17210286]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1852449]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surneu.2006.07.014.
- Barr J, Donner A. Optimal intravenous dosing strategies for sedatives and analgesics in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Clin.* 1995;11(4):827-47. [PubMed ID: 8535981].
- Jacobi J, Fraser GL, Coursin DB, Riker RR, Fontaine D, Wittbrodt ET, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the sustained use of sedatives and analgesics in the critically ill adult. *Crit Care Med*. 2002;**30**(1):119-41. [PubMed ID: 11902253]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-200201000-00020.
- Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R. Controlled sedation with alphaxalone-alphadolone. *Br Med J.* 1974;2(5920):656-9. [PubMed ID: 4835444]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1613102]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5920.656.

- Payen JF, Bru O, Bosson JL, Lagrasta A, Novel E, Deschaux I, et al. Assessing pain in critically ill sedated patients by using a behavioral pain scale. *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29(12):2258-63. [PubMed ID: 11801819]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003246-200112000-00004.
- MacIntyre NR, Cook DJ, Ely EJ, Epstein SK, Fink JB, Heffner JE, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support: a collective task force facilitated by the American College of Chest Physicians; the American Association for Respiratory Care; and the American College of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest.* 2001;**120**(6 Suppl):375S-95S. [PubMed ID: 11742959]. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.120.6_suppl.375s.
- Fadaii A, Amini SS, Bagheri B, Taherkhanchi B. Assessment of rapid shallow breathing index as a predictor for weaning in respiratory care unit. *Tanaffos*. 2012;11(3):28-31. [PubMed ID: 25191425]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4153203].
- Porter D, Johnston AM, Henning J. Medical conditions requiring intensive care. J R Army Med Corps. 2009;155(2):141-6. [PubMed ID: 20095183]. https://doi.org/10.1136/jramc-155-02-13.
- Newman MF, Croughwell ND, White WD, Sanderson I, Spillane W, Reves JG. Pharmacologic electroencephalographic suppression during cardiopulmonary bypass: a comparison of thiopental and isoflurane. *Anesth Analg.* 1998;86(2):246-51. [PubMed ID: 9459227]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-199802000-00005.
- 22. Ghori KA, Harmon DC, Elashaal A, Butler M, Walsh F, O'Sullivan MG, et al. Effect of midazolam versus propofol sedation on markers of neurological injury and outcome after isolated severe head injury: a pilot study. *Crit Care Resusc.* 2007;**9**(2):166-71. [PubMed ID: 17536986].
- 23. Nishimura K, Cordeiro JG, Ahmed AI, Yokobori S, Gajavelli S. Advances in Traumatic Brain Injury Biomarkers. *Cureus*. 2022. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.23804.
- Panahi Y, Mojtahedzadeh M, Najafi A, Rajaee SM, Torkaman M, Sahebkar A. Neuroprotective Agents in the Intensive Care Unit: -Neuroprotective Agents in ICU. J Pharmacopuncture. 2018;21(4):226-40. [PubMed ID: 30652049]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6333194]. https://doi.org/10.3831/KPI.2018.21.026.
- Kobayashi M, Takeda Y, Taninishi H, Takata K, Aoe H, Morita K. Quantitative evaluation of the neuroprotective effects of thiopental sodium, propofol, and halothane on brain ischemia in the gerbil: effects of the anesthetics on ischemic depolarization and extracellular glutamate concentration. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol.* 2007;19(3):171-8. [PubMed ID: 17592348]. https://doi.org/10.1097/ANA.0b013e318051743d.
- Velle F, Lewen A, Howells T, Nilsson P, Enblad P. Temporal effects of barbiturate coma on intracranial pressure and compensatory reserve in children with traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neurochir* (*Wien*). 2021;**163**(2):489-98. [PubMed ID: 33341913]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7815615]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-020-04677-z.
- Goyal A, Failla MD, Niyonkuru C, Amin K, Fabio A, Berger RP, et al. S100b as a prognostic biomarker in outcome prediction for patients with severe traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2013;**30**(11):946-57. [PubMed ID: 23190274]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3684103]. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2012.2579.
- Hajdukova L, Sobek O, Prchalova D, Bilkova Z, Koudelkova M, Lukaskova J, et al. Biomarkers of Brain Damage: S100B and NSE Concentrations in Cerebrospinal Fluid–A Normative Study. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:379071. [PubMed ID: 26421286]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4569754]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/379071.
- 29. Blyth BJ, Farhavar A, Gee C, Hawthorn B, He H, Nayak A, et al. Validation of serum markers for blood-brain barrier disruption in

traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2009;**26**(9):1497-507. [PubMed ID: 19257803]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2822805]. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2008.0738.

- Gong W, Zhang S, Li X, Shi L. Dexmedetomidine is superior to midazolam for sedation and cerebral protection in postoperative hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage patients: a retrospective study. J Int Med Res. 2020;48(9):300060520957554. [PubMed ID: 32967514]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7521051]. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060520957554.
- 31. Salarian S, Khosravi R, Khanbabaei G, Bagheri B. Impact of oral clonidine on duration of opioid and benzodiazepine use in mechanically ventilated children: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2019;**18**(4):2157-62. https://doi.org/10.22037/ijpr.2019.14862.12705.
- 32. Salarian S, Memary E, Taheri F, Bagheri B. Gabapentin as Add-On to Fentanyl and Midazolam in Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation: A Randomized, Blinded Study. Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim.

2022;**50**(2):101-6. [PubMed ID: 35544248]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9361057]. https://doi.org/10.5152/TJAR.2022.21366.

- Salarian S, Sistanizad M, Babaei F, Miri MM, Kouchek M. [Evaluation of the neuroprotective effect of thiopental and its effect on serum NSE level in neurocritical care patients]. J Cell Mol Anesth. 2023;8(4):61-4. FA. https://doi.org/10.22037/jcma.v8i2.37916.
- Gharizadeh N, Ghojazadeh M, Naseri A, Dolati S, Tarighat F, Soleimanpour H. Hypertonic saline for traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Med Res.* 2022;27(1):254. [PubMed ID: 36404350]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9677698]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-022-00897-4.
- Mahmoodpoor A, Shokouhi G, Hamishehkar H, Soleimanpour H, Sanaie S, Porhomayon J, et al. A pilot trial of l-carnitine in patients with traumatic brain injury: Effects on biomarkers of injury. *J Crit Care*. 2018;45:128-32. [PubMed ID: 29454227]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.01.029.