Iran J Radiol. 2022 January; 19(1):e122440.

Published online 2022 May 19.

**Research Article** 

# Evaluation of the Relationship Between Prostate-Specific Antigen Levels, Gleason Scores, and <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT Scan Findings in Prostate Cancer Patients

Abtin Doroudinia 💿 <sup>1,\*</sup>, Najmeh Darvishian <sup>1</sup>, Mehrdad Bakhshayesh Karam <sup>1</sup> and Habib Emami 💿 <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chronic Respiratory Diseases Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> *Corresponding author*: Chronic Respiratory Diseases Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: abtin1354@gmail.com

Received 2022 January 08; Revised 2022 April 18; Accepted 2022 April 24.

## Abstract

**Background:** The use of 68-gallium prostatespecific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography (<sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMAPET/CT) scan has recently received particular attention in the evaluation of prostate cancer patients.

**Objectives:** The present study aimed to evaluate the relationship between the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level, Gleason score, and <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMAPET/CT findings in prostate cancer patients.

**Patients and Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, 80 male patients with a definitive diagnosis of prostate cancer, who were candidates for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan for both initial staging and restaging, were included. Restaging indicated biochemical recurrence, which refers to a detectable level of PSA after being documented undetectable following a definitive primary treatment. All prostate cancer patients, who were not initially treated with a definitive therapy, were excluded from the study. A cutoff value  $\geq$  4 was considered significant for maximum standardized uptake value (SUV<sub>max</sub>) to differentiate benign from malignant <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-avid lesions. Any <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA uptake outside the prostate bed, especially in the lymph nodes, bones, and visceral organs, was considered metastatic.

**Results:** Comparison of the PSA level between two subgroups with and without metastasis demonstrated a higher PSA level in patients with metastatic abdominal lymph nodes and a slightly higher PSA level in patients with metastatic pelvic lymph nodes. No significant correlation was found between the Gleason score and the total PSA. There was also no significant association between the level of PSA and the type of Lymph node involvement (single or multiple) in different involved areas. Besides, there was no significant correlation between the SUV<sub>max</sub> and the level of PSA and the Gleason score in different involved areas.

**Conclusion:** The  $SUV_{max}$  value in 68Ga PSMA PET/CT scan provides a reliable predictor for neither the grade of prostate cancer, nor the metastatic status associated with cancer progression. The measurement of total PSA may predict metastasis to the abdominal and pelvic lymph nodes caused by prostate cancer.

Keywords: Prostate Cancer, Metastasis, SUV<sub>max</sub>

#### 1. Background

The increased application of 68-gallium prostatespecific membrane antigen (<sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scan has significantly improved the quality of clinical management and treatment for patients with prostate cancer. With an increase in the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level following radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy, tumor lesions are anticipated outside the treated area (1, 2). It has been shown that <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan can detect tumor lesions outside the prostatectomy bed with high accuracy (3). By using this modality, extra-prostatic lesions associated with increased PSA levels, such as metastatic pelvic lymph nodes, are detectable (4).

Additionally, in patients with a progressive increase in PSA after treatment, recurrent prostate cancer is indicated by a positive <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT finding, followed by biopsy confirmation (5). Therefore, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT can potentially change the treatment plan if the results are positive (6, 7). In a previous study, based on the findings of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan, the treatment protocols were modified for 42% of prostate cancer patients (8). The use of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT technique also allows for the delineation of tumor itself with high accuracy (9); therefore, a more tar-

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

geted regional therapy will be possible after an accurate measurement of the tumor burden (10). Overall, diagnosis and assessment of treatment response in prostate cancer is a major challenge, as both the serum PSA level and conventional imaging have potential limitations. The <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan seems to be a valuable radiotracer in the evaluation of prostate cancer recurrence and metastasis with high sensitivity (11).

## 2. Objectives

Although the correlation between the PSA level, tumor stage, and the Gleason score has been investigated to some extent, the present study aimed to evaluate the value of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan findings in prostate cancer patients with regard to the Gleason score, PSA level, and metastatic burden and to determine their relationship with other tumor characteristics.

#### 3. Patients and Methods

## 3.1. Patients

In this cross-sectional study, 80 male patients with a definitive diagnosis of prostate cancer, who were candidates for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan for both initial staging and restaging, were included. Restaging indicated biochemical recurrence, characterized by a detectable PSA level after being documented undetectable following a definitive primary treatment. Any prostate cancer patient who was not initially treated with a definitive therapy was excluded from the study.

#### 3.2. Variables

In this study, the examined variables included the PSA level (based on the laboratory results), the Gleason score (based on the pathology report), and the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV<sub>max</sub>) (amount of radiotracer uptake in the tumor lesion).

## 3.3. Imaging Protocol/Analysis

All patients were injected with <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA intravenously one hour before imaging. The injection dose was calculated as 2 MBq/kg, according to the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI) guidelines. All PET/CT images were acquired using a Discovery 690 Visual Configuration Tool (VCT) system (GE Healthcare Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA), equipped with a 64-slice CT scanner (LightSpeed VCT CT system, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). Imaging was initiated with CT scan from the vertex to the mid-thigh, followed by PET scan from the mid-thigh to the vertex (three minutes for each bed position).

Image analysis was performed using the Advantage Workstation Version 4.5 (ADW4.5) software. The SUV<sub>max</sub> for Tumor lesions was calculated on the workstation by drawing a region of interest (ROI) around each lesion. A cut-off value  $\geq 4$  was considered significant for SUV<sub>max</sub> to differentiate benign from malignant <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-avid lesions (10). Any <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA uptake outside the prostate bed, especially in the lymph nodes, bones, and visceral organs, was considered metastatic.

#### 3.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by our institutional review board, with an ethical code of IR.SBMU.MSP.REC.1398.196. Written consent was obtained from all the patients to use their clinical data.

## 3.5. Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and as frequency and percentage for categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared using *t*-test or Mann-Whitney test if the data did not have a normal distribution or when the assumption of equal variance was violated across the study groups. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square test. To determine the correlation between quantitative variables, Pearson's correlation coefficient test or Spearman's correlation test was performed. For statistical analysis, SPSS version 23.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) was used.

#### 4. Results

A total of 80 patients with prostate cancer were examined in this study. The mean age of the patients was 69.74  $\pm$  8.12 years. Regarding the cause of referral, 78 (97.5%) patients showed increased PSA levels, 1 (1.2%) patient showed increased PSA levels with mediastinal lymphadenopathy, and 1 (1.2%) patient showed increased PSA levels with recurrent, biopsy-proven prostate cancer. The mean PSA level was 13.11  $\pm$  27.46 ng/mL in the latest test.

Regarding the metastatic involvement of lymph nodes in different areas, cervical lymph node metastasis was found in 7 (8.8%) cases (two cases of single lymph node involvement and five cases of multiple lymph node involvement), abdominal lymph node metastasis was found in 19 cases (23.8%) (three cases of single-node involvement and 16 cases of multiple-node involvement), hilar/mediastinal lymph node metastasis was detected in 16 (20%) cases (three cases of single-node involvement and 13 cases of multiple-node involvement), and pelvic lymph node metastasis was found in 28 (35%) cases (two cases of single-node involvement and 26 cases of multiple-node involvement).

The <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA uptake in the prostate itself was reported in 23 (28.8%) cases, which was focal in 13 (16.2%) cases and diffuse in 10 (12.5%) cases. Twenty-six patients showed bone metastasis (32.5%), including 6 (23.1%) cases of single bone metastasis and 20 (76.9%) cases of multiple bone metastases. Delayed <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan was performed for 15 (18.8%) patients, which did not indicate any avid lesions found in the initial PET/CT scan. The review of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT images demonstrated that the mean SUV<sub>max</sub> was 7.66 ± 5.68 in the involved cervical lymph nodes, 11.25 ± 14.47 in the abdominal lymph nodes, 13.24 ± 10.85 in the pelvic lymph nodes, and 21.03 ± 22.77 in bone metastasis.

Based on the review of pathology reports, adenocarcinoma was diagnosed in 51 (63.8%) cases. The mean Gleason score was  $7.33 \pm 1.08$ . In this study, no significant correlation was observed between the Gleason score and the total PSA (correlation coefficient = 0.228). Comparison of the level of PSA between two subgroups with and without metastasis (Table 1) demonstrated a higher PSA level in patients with abdominal lymph node involvement, besides a slightly higher PSA level in patients with pelvic lymph node involvement. However, there was no significant association between the level of PSA and the extent of disease burden (Table 2).

Regarding the association of the Gleason score with the pattern of metastatic involvement, higher Gleason scores were reported in patients with multiple rather than single metastatic involvement (Table 3).

Moreover, the PSA level (Table 4) and the Gleason score (Table 5) showed no significant correlations with the SUV<sub>max</sub> values.

## 5. Discussion

Various imaging modalities have been applied to evaluate the disease burden in prostate cancer patients. The  $^{68}$ Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan has recently received particular attention due to its high sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. In this study, the value of  $^{68}$ Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan (and SUV<sub>max</sub>) in assessing metastatic prostate cancer lesions and other tumor characteristics was investigated. Some significant findings were obtained in the present study. First, the relationship between the total PSA and metastatic disease burden was examined; higher PSA levels were significantly associated with a higher incidence of abdominal and pelvic lymph node metastasis. Secondly, although the assessment of total PSA could predict metastatic involvement of lymph nodes in the abdomen and pelvis, it was not well correlated with the number of involved lymph nodes (single or multiple). Thirdly, there was no significant correlation between the total serum PSA and the Gleason score (pathological grade) of prostate cancer, assuming that the total PSA cannot accurately represent the aggressiveness of prostate cancer. Fourthly, the value of the SUV<sub>max</sub> index was not correlated with the Gleason score, assuming that the SUV<sub>max</sub> value cannot provide an accurate representation of prostate cancer aggressiveness.

Based on the present results, there was no significant relationship between the SUV<sub>max</sub> index and the total PSA level. On the other hand, there was a significant relationship between the Gleason score and increased <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA uptake in prostate cancer, which was a predictable finding. Therefore, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT is not a strong predictor of tumor invasiveness in prostate cancer. One reason for this finding may be the physical characteristics of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA radiotracer and differences in the emitted positron energy and range compared to the FDG radiotracer. Overall, the semi-quantitative parameters of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET are less reliable than those of 18F-FDG PET scan.

Additionally, there are other studies in the literature on the value of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan in the evaluation of prostate cancer characteristics which reported inconsistent results. In a study by Kallur et al. (12), contrary to the present findings, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan could detect extraprostate metastatic lesions with 95% sensitivity at a predictive value of 98%. Besides, they predicted tumor progression in 100% of progressed cases; however, they reported a relatively low sensitivity for predicting response to treatment. The findings of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT scan had no significant correlation with the PSA level. In another study by Demirci et al. (13), in contrast to the current study, there was a strong correlation between the SUV<sub>max</sub> and tumor grade in patients, and the value of SUV<sub>max</sub> was higher in high-grade cases. Moreover, in a study by Uprimny et al. (14), the correlation between the Gleason score and SUV<sub>max</sub> was significant, and tumors with a Gleason score of 6 -7 showed lower <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA uptake compared to patients with a Gleason score > 7. Besides, in a study by Dadgar et al. (15), the progression of lesions was completely associated with an increase in the PSA level. In their study, the PET/CT technique could identify the majority of metastatic lesions in patients. Therefore, the lack of correlation between the SUV<sub>max</sub> index and the pathological index (Gleason score) and the total PSA level reported in this study requires further investigations.

In conclusion, the SUV<sub>max</sub> value in <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT

Table 1. The Relationship Between the Total PSA and Metastasis in Different Involved Areas					
<sup>68</sup> Ga-PSMA uptake in each area	Number	PSA level (metastasis group)	PSA level (non-metastasis group)	P-value	
Cervical lymph nodes	28	$18.42\pm5.40$	$12.59\pm3.32$	0.595	
Abdominal lymph nodes	78	$28.15\pm9.97$	$8.42\pm2.33$	0.005 <sup>a</sup>	
Hilar/mediastinal lymph nodes	58	$13.79\pm3.38$	$12.93 \pm 3.75$	0.911	
Pelvic lymph nodes	246	$20.87\pm6.98$	$8.92\pm2.75$	0.063	
PET uptake in the prostate	46	$15.86\pm4.76$	$11.78\pm3.94$	0.537	
Bone metastasis	456	$20.83 \pm 8.56$	$9.98\pm2.53$	0.110	

Abbreviations: <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA, 68-gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PET, positron emission tomography. <sup>a</sup> Statistically significant.

Table 2. The Relationship Between the Total PSA and the Extent of Metastatic Involvement in Different Areas

<sup>68</sup> Ga-PSMA uptake in each area	Number	PSA level in single-node involvement	PSA level in multiple-node involvement	P-value
Cervical lymph nodes	28	$16.60\pm1.83$	$19.15\pm7.78$	0.852
Abdominal lymph nodes	78	$15.59\pm10.36$	$30.50 \pm 11.69$	0.600
Hilar/mediastinal lymph nodes	58	$15.59\pm0.79$	$16.61 \pm 3.70$	0.082
Pelvic lymph nodes	246	$21.26\pm20.97$	$20.85\pm7.48$	0.988
PET uptake in the prostate	46	$4.10\pm2.47$	$19.39\pm5.96$	0.080
Bone metastasis	456	$7.69 \pm 2.84$	$37.91 \pm 18.47$	0.080

Abbreviations: 68 Ga-PSMA, 68-gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PET, positron emission tomography.

No statistically significant results were obtained.

Table 3. The Relationship Between the Gleason Score and the Extent of Lymph Node Involvement in Different Areas				
<sup>68</sup> Ga-PSMA uptake in each area	Gleason score in single-node involvement	Gleason score in multiple-node involvement	P-value	
Cervical lymph nodes	$7.00 \pm 2.00$	$8.00\pm0.25$	0.379	
Abdominal lymph nodes	$8.00\pm1.00$	7.78 ± 1.59	0.789	
Hilar/mediastinal lymph nodes	$7.00\pm1.00$	$7.56\pm0.35$	0.705	
Pelvic lymph nodes	$8.00\pm1.00$	$7.48 \pm 1.37$	0.534	
PET uptake in the prostate	$7.50\pm1.31$	$7.96\pm0.97$	0.294	
Bone metastasis	$7.00 \pm 1.10$	$8.44\pm1.01$	0.004 <sup>a</sup>	

Abbreviations: <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA, 68-gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen; PET, positron emission tomography.

<sup>a</sup> Statistically significant.

scan provides a reliable predictor for neither the grade of prostate cancer, nor the metastatic status associated with cancer progression. The measurement of total PSA may predict metastasis to the abdominal and pelvic lymph nodes caused by prostate cancer.

The following limitations can be mentioned in this study. First, the sample size was limited, and therefore, the study did not have high power. Second, interpretation of PET/CT findings requires a high level of experience, and the diagnostician's experience may be a confounding factor in the final assessment of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET/CT images

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the NRITLD and hospital authorities for their contribution to this work.

#### Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: All authors contributed significantly to this work. Abtin Doroudinia, study proposal and administration; Najmeh Darvishian, data collection; Mehrdad Bakhshayesh Karam, supervision of the project; and Habib Emami, data analysis.

**Conflict of Interests:** There is no conflict of interest to declare.

I max			
<sup>68</sup> Ga-PSMA uptake in each area	Coefficient (r)	P-value	
Cervical lymph nodes	-0.381	0.456	
Abdominal lymph nodes	0.101	0.732	
Hilar/mediastinal lymph nodes	0.297	0.349	
Pelvic lymph nodes	0.305	0.156	
PET uptake in the prostate	-0.183	0.883	
Bone metastasis	0.357	0.112	

**Table 4**. The Relationship Between the Total PSA and the SUV.....<sup>a</sup>

Abbreviations:  $^{68}$  Ga-PSMA, 68-gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen; PET, positron emission tomography; SUV\_{max}, maximum standardized uptake value.

<sup>a</sup> No statistically significant results were obtained.

Table 5. The Relationship Between the Gleason Score and the SUV<sub>max</sub><sup>a</sup>

<sup>68</sup> Ga-PSMA uptake in each area	Coefficient (r)	P-value
Cervical lymph nodes	-0.200	0.704
Abdominal lymph nodes	0.330	0.294
Hilar/mediastinal lymph nodes	-0.119	0.712
Pelvic lymph nodes	0.331	0.080
PET uptake in the prostate	0.790	0.420
Bone metastasis	0.315	0.177

Abbreviations:  $^{68}$  Ga-PSMA, 68-gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen; PET, positron emission tomography; SUV\_{max}, maximum standardized uptake value.

<sup>a</sup> No statistically significant results were obtained.

**Data Reproducibility:** Data are reproducible, and unprocessed data are available for review upon request.

**Ethical Approval:** This study was approved by our institutional review board, with an ethical code of IR.SBMU.MSP.REC.1398.196 (ethics.research.ac.ir/EthicsProposalView.php?id = 64306).

**Funding/Support:** This study received no funding or financial support.

**Informed Consent:** Written consent was obtained from all the patients to use their clinical data.

## References

- Stephenson AJ, Scardino PT, Kattan MW, Pisansky TM, Slawin KM, Klein EA, et al. Predicting the outcome of salvage radiation therapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(15):2035–41. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.08.9607. [PubMed: 17513807]. [PubMed Central: PMC2670394].
- 2. Stephenson AJ, Shariat SF, Zelefsky MJ, Kattan MW, Butler EB, Teh BS, et al. Salvage radiotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *Jama*. 2004;**291**(11):1325–32.
- Stephenson AJ, Bolla M, Briganti A, Cozzarini C, Moul JW, Roach M3, et al. Postoperative radiation therapy for pathologically advanced prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol.* 2012;61(3):443– 51. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.10.010. [PubMed: 22036777].

- Morigi JJ, Stricker PD, van Leeuwen PJ, Tang R, Ho B, Nguyen Q, et al. Prospective Comparison of 18F-Fluoromethylcholine Versus 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in Prostate Cancer Patients Who Have Rising PSA After Curative Treatment and Are Being Considered for Targeted Therapy. J Nucl Med. 2015;56(8):1185–90. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.115.160382. [PubMed: 26112024].
- van Leeuwen PJ, Stricker P, Hruby G, Kneebone A, Ting F, Thompson B, et al. (68) Ga-PSMA has a high detection rate of prostate cancer recurrence outside the prostatic fossa in patients being considered for salvage radiation treatment. *BJU Int.* 2016;117(5):732–9. doi: 10.1111/bju.13397. [PubMed: 26683282].
- Kabasakal L, Demirci E, Nematyazar J, Akyel R, Razavi B, Ocak M, et al. The role of PSMA PET/CT imaging in restaging of prostate cancer patients with low prostate-specific antigen levels. *Nucl Med Commun.* 2017;38(2):149–55. doi: 10.1097/MNM.000000000000617. [PubMed: 27893589].
- Albisinni S, Artigas C, Aoun F, Biaou I, Grosman J, Gil T, et al. Clinical impact of (68) Ga-prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with prostate cancer with rising prostate-specific antigen after treatment with curative intent: preliminary analysis of a multidisciplinary approach. *BJU Int.* 2017;**120**(2):197–203. doi: 10.1111/bju.13739. [PubMed: 27981732].
- Dewes S, Schiller K, Sauter K, Eiber M, Maurer T, Schwaiger M, et al. Integration of (68)Ga-PSMA-PET imaging in planning of primary definitive radiotherapy in prostate cancer: a retrospective study. *Radiat Oncol.* 2016;**11**:73. doi: 10.1186/s13014-016-0646-2. [PubMed: 27229485]. [PubMed Central: PMC4882861].
- Bluemel C, Linke F, Herrmann K, Simunovic I, Eiber M, Kestler C, et al. Impact of (68)Ga-PSMA PET/CT on salvage radiotherapy planning in patients with prostate cancer and persisting PSA values or biochemical relapse after prostatectomy. *EJNMMI Res.* 2016;6(1):78. doi: 10.1186/s13550-016-0233-4. [PubMed: 27785766]. [PubMed Central: PMC5081978].
- Shakespeare TP. Effect of prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography on the decision-making of radiation oncologists. *Radiat Oncol.* 2015;10:233. doi: 10.1186/s13014-015-0548-8. [PubMed: 26582424]. [PubMed Central: PMC4652371].
- Valerio M, Ahmed HU, Emberton M, Lawrentschuk N, Lazzeri M, Montironi R, et al. The role of focal therapy in the management of localised prostate cancer: a systematic review. *Eur Urol*. 2014;**66**(4):732-51. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2013.05.048. [PubMed: 23769825]. [PubMed Central: PMC4179888].
- Kallur KG, Ramachandra PG, Rajkumar K, Swamy SS, Desai I, Rao RM, et al. Clinical Utility of Gallium-68 PSMA PET/CT Scan for Prostate Cancer. *Indian J Nucl Med.* 2017;**32**(2):110–7. doi: 10.4103/0972-3919.202255. [PubMed: 28533638]. [PubMed Central: PMC5439210].
- Demirci E, Kabasakal L, Sahin OE, Akgun E, Gultekin MH, Doganca T, et al. Can SUVmax values of Ga-68-PSMA PET/CT scan predict the clinically significant prostate cancer? *Nucl Med Commun.* 2019;**40**(1):86– 91. doi: 10.1097/MNM.00000000000942. [PubMed: 30395048]. [PubMed Central: PMC6282663].
- Uprimny C, Kroiss AS, Decristoforo C, Fritz J, von Guggenberg E, Kendler D, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT in primary staging of prostate cancer: PSA and Gleason score predict the intensity of tracer accumulation in the primary tumour. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2017;44(6):941–9. doi: 10.1007/s00259-017-3631-6. [PubMed: 28138747].
- Dadgar H, Emami F, Norouzbeigi N, Vafaee MS, Jafari E, Gholamrezanezhad A, et al. Application of [(68)Ga]PSMA PET/CT in Diagnosis and Management of Prostate Cancer Patients. *Mol Imaging Biol*. 2020;22(4):1062–9. doi: 10.1007/s11307-019-01445-z. [PubMed: 31758511].