Application of Elastography in the Diagnosis of Idiopathic Granulomatous Mastitis (IGM): A Systematic Review
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Abstract

Context: Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis (IGM) is a benign inflammatory condition of the breasts with an unknown etiology, which can mimic breast cancer on conventional ultrasound. Other imaging modalities, such as mammography and magnetic resonance imaging, cannot efficiently differentiate this condition from malignancies. Elastography is a novel imaging technique used to evaluate tissue elasticity.

Objectives: This systematic review aimed to investigate the imaging features of IGM on elastography and also to determine whether this modality is useful for distinguishing IGM from other breast malignancies.

Materials and Methods: A comprehensive literature search was conducted across several databases, including Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Web of Science, in October 2022. The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal tool was used for the quality assessment of the studies.

Results: After screening 851 articles, seven studies investigating ultrasound elastography were found to meet the inclusion criteria. Regarding the quantitative findings, both the strain ratio and shear wave velocity were observed to be higher in malignant masses as compared to IGM. Additionally, qualitative scoring systems, such as the Tsukuba and Tozaki classifications, assigned higher scores to malignant lesions. Five of the included studies in this review proposed a specific cut-off point for differentiating IGM from malignancies, using either shear wave velocity, strain ratio, or elasticity scores. In four of the studies, these criteria exhibited sensitivity and specificity of approximately 90%.

Conclusion: The current findings suggest that ultrasound elastography can serve as a valuable tool for distinguishing IGM from breast malignancies. By potentially reducing the number of unnecessary tissue biopsies, this modality may lead to a more efficient patient evaluation process.
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1. Context

Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis (IGM) is a chronic benign inflammatory disease, marked by the development of non-caseating granulomas within the lobules of the mammary glands (1). Kessler and Wolloch have introduced this condition as a significant differential diagnosis for lesions associated with breast carcinoma (2). While the precise etiology of IGM remains unknown, it is postulated that the disease may have an autoimmune origin. Typical manifestations of IGM include breast masses, which are often accompanied by axillary lymphadenopathy, nipple inversion, the formation of sinuses and abscesses, and the ulceration of the breast skin (1). These symptoms are also common in malignant breast lesions, which can lead to some cases of IGM being erroneously diagnosed as cancer (3).

While histological confirmation is critical for the diagnosis of IGM (4), different imaging modalities have been also used in previous studies to detect IGM. Mammography, as a screening tool, cannot distinguish IGM from other pathologies (5). In magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a ring-shaped enhancement is the most common pattern of IGM, although it has low specificity for diagnosis (4, 6). Moreover, ultrasonography (US) typically
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presents IGM as a hypoechoic lesion and may detect sinus tract and lymph node enlargement (1, 4).

Elastography is a technique that evaluates the quality or quantity of tissue elasticity (7). This technique is divided into two main categories of ultrasound elastography (USE) and magnetic resonance elastography (MRE). Two methods are available for USE, including shear wave elastography (SWE) and strain elastography. During strain elastography, stress is applied to the tissue either externally by the operator or internally due to physiological processes, such as breathing or heartbeats. Meanwhile, an alternative method, known as acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) strain imaging, can be utilized, where an acoustic pulse is applied to induce tissue displacement (7).

Given that no current imaging modality has demonstrated satisfactory performance in detecting IGM, elastography can be a potentially useful tool, as it can enhance the efficiency of investigating IGM lesions and also aid in differentiating between IGM and other conditions, such as cancers. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first systematic review on this subject.

2. Objectives

The objective of this study was to systematically review all research that has explored the application of USE in the evaluation of IGM lesions. This study also aimed to describe the characteristics of IGM as observed on USE and to investigate the accuracy of USE in distinguishing IGM from other types of breast lesions (i.e., malignancies).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Study Protocol and Registration

The current review method is compatible with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (8) and is available on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) website (CRD42022325968).

3.2. Selection Criteria

The selection criteria for the articles were formulated based on the Patient/Problem, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome (PICO) criteria. The population was defined as women with a biopsy-proven IGM mass. The elastography techniques were considered as the diagnostic intervention. Regarding comparison, the current review investigated all studies using USE for the assessment of IGM lesions. Some of these studies were descriptive and only reported the features of IGM lesions on USE. For these studies, no comparison was defined, and the IGM patients were recruited based on tissue biopsy. Moreover, some studies reported IGM features on USE and also assessed the efficacy of USE in distinguishing IGM from other conditions (e.g., malignancies). For these studies, the comparator was defined as the gold standard diagnostic test (i.e., tissue biopsy) for distinguishing IGM from malignancies. Finally, the outcome was defined as the diagnostic assessment parameters of elastography techniques, such as accuracy and area under the curve (AUC).

The exclusion criteria were non-original papers (e.g., conference papers and abstracts, case reports, letters, book chapters, and narrative reviews), non-English papers, studies without accessible full-text manuscripts, and undesirable study settings (i.e., studies that did not use elastography or studies assessing breast lesions other than IGM).

3.3. Literature Search Strategy

In October 2022, two independent reviewers conducted a systematic search across Medline (via PubMed), Embase, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search utilized relevant keywords, such as “idiopathic granulomatous mastitis” OR “granulomatous mastitis” AND “elasticity imaging” OR “elastography” OR “elasticity imaging techniques” OR “sonoelastography” OR “ultrasonography” OR “ultrasound elastography” OR “shear wave elasticity imaging” OR “SWEI” OR “supersonic shear imaging” OR “acoustic radiation force impulse imaging” OR “ARFI” OR “transient elastography” OR “quasi-static elastography” OR “strain elastography”. No date or language limitation was considered in the primary search. Moreover, a manual search was conducted on Google Scholar, and the references of the most pertinent articles were screened to ensure that all relevant articles were included.

3.4. Screening and Data Extraction

The titles and abstracts of articles were independently reviewed by two researchers. Subsequently, the full-text manuscripts of the selected articles were separately reviewed by the same researchers. Each researcher compiled a list of articles to be included in the study. The screening results obtained by the reviewers were compared to identify any discrepancies. In cases where conflicts arose, the final decision was deferred to a third, senior author. Additionally, data extraction was performed by four reviewers. The data extracted by each reviewer was cross-verified by another reviewer. In instances where discrepancies arose, a third reviewer was asked to make
the final decision. Any missing data in the included articles was noted as not available (N/A).

3.5. Quality Appraisal

According to the objective of this review, all the included articles had a cross-sectional design. As such, the articles that met the inclusion criteria were evaluated based on the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist, which is specifically designed for analytical cross-sectional studies (9); a score out of eight was ascribed to each study. The quality appraisal was conducted by the same reviewers responsible for data extraction. Based on the JBI's checklist, studies that scored less than four (out of eight items) were excluded.

4. Results

Following the initial search, out of 851 papers identified, 528 articles were retrieved and screened. After reviewing the abstracts, 508 papers were excluded as they either did not report accessible IGM data, did not utilize USE, or reported unsuitable evidence types (e.g., narrative reviews and letters). Twenty full-text manuscripts were retrieved and carefully examined. Finally, seven articles met all the inclusion criteria and were incorporated into the data synthesis. Figure 1 presents the PRISMA diagram of the literature search (8). Interestingly, all the studies included in the review were conducted in Turkey and were published in the time frame of 2014-2020 (Table 1).

4.1. Quality Appraisal

According to the JBI's appraisal checklist (9), seven studies were included in this review. One study (13) received a score of five out of eight due to some methodological limitations, such as the absence of a detailed description of participants and study setting. Four studies (10, 14-16) obtained a score of six out of eight, and two studies (11, 12) met all the checklist criteria.

4.2. Elastography Technique and Parameters

Among the studies included in this review, five detailed the findings of conventional US based on the breast imaging-reporting and data system (BI-RADS) score (11, 13-16). Conversely, the remaining two studies reported the maximum diameter of the lesion, the type of lesion (10), as well as the size, shape, margin, echogenicity, and posterior acoustic features of the lesion (12) (Table 2).

Several USE methods were used in the evaluated studies, including strain elastography (10, 12, 13), ARFI elastography (11, 16), and SWE (14, 15). The qualitative imaging parameters used in the included studies were the

Table 2. The Findings of the Included Studies in this Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Conventional use findings</th>
<th>Qualitative use findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durur-Karakaya et al. (11)</td>
<td>Most common pattern: Diffuse. Mean maximum diameter of lesions: 24.74 ±0.79 (0.29-4.00). ES: 1.66 ±0.55 (1.00-3.00)</td>
<td>Tozaki classification: IGM, pattern 2 (n=2), pattern 3 (n=31), and pattern 4a (n=15). Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=7), pattern 4a (n=34), and pattern 4b (n=81).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teke et al. (11)</td>
<td>Tozaki classification: IGM, pattern 2 (n=2), pattern 3 (n=31), and pattern 4a (n=15). Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=7), pattern 4a (n=34), and pattern 4b (n=81).</td>
<td>Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=7), pattern 4a (n=34), and pattern 4b (n=81).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yagci et al. (12)</td>
<td>Most common pattern: IGM, Irregular microlobulated contours and a heterogeneous hypoechoic structure. Malignancy, A heterogeneous hypoechoic structure with posterior acoustic shadowing.</td>
<td>Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=7), pattern 4a (n=34), and pattern 4b (n=81).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arslan et al. (14)</td>
<td>Group 1: Tubular hypoechoic structures (66.7%). Group 2: Tubular hypoechoic structures extensions and axillary adenopathy. Malignancy, Spiculated contours and posterior acoustic shadowing.</td>
<td>Group 2: pattern 1 (n=2), pattern 2 (n=3), pattern 3 (n=9), pattern 4a (n=1), and pattern 4b (n=11). Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=7), pattern 4a (n=34), and pattern 4b (n=81).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makal and Guvenc (15)</td>
<td>Most common pattern: IGM, Irregular heterogeneous hypoechoic mass with tubular extensions and unilateral axillary adenopathy. Malignancy, N/A. BI-RADS: IGM, 3.61 ±0.54; malignancy, 4.62 ±0.49.</td>
<td>Tozaki classification: IGM, pattern 1 (n=9), pattern 2 (n=11), pattern 3 (n=17), and pattern 4a (n=2). Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=15), pattern 4a (n=12), and pattern 4b (n=67).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toprük et al. (16)</td>
<td>Most common pattern: IGM, Angular contours. Malignancy, Spiculated contours and posterior acoustic shadowing. All lesions: BI-RADS 3 (28.6%), BI-RADS 4 (47.6%), and BI-RADS 5 (23.8%).</td>
<td>Tozaki classification: IGM, pattern 1 (n=9), pattern 2 (n=11), pattern 3 (n=17), and pattern 4a (n=2). Malignancy, pattern 3 (n=15), pattern 4a (n=12), and pattern 4b (n=67).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The PRISMA flow diagram of the study.
### Table 1. The Characteristics of the Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Age of participants</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Presenting symptoms</th>
<th>Type of modality</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Region of interest</th>
<th>Conventional in vivo evaluation method</th>
<th>Used quantitative parameter</th>
<th>Used qualitative parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durnus Karakaya et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>IGM=47</td>
<td>IGM (31.1 ± 1.1 y)</td>
<td>Description of elastography findings for IGM</td>
<td>Palpable mass, breast pain, erythema, fluid formation, axillary lymphadenopathy, and failure to respond to treatment</td>
<td>Conventional US and strain elastography</td>
<td>EUB6500, Hitachi Medical, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>Breast and axilla</td>
<td>Maximum lesion diameter and lesion stage (diffuse, tubular, mass, and cystic)</td>
<td>SR and ES</td>
<td>ES and ED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telie et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>IGM=32 (DCIS=9, IDC=16, ILC=7), malignant epithelial tumor (3)</td>
<td>IGM (36.5 ± 3.1 y), Malignancy (30.1 ± 9.7 y)</td>
<td>Differentiation between IGM and malignant breast masses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Conventional US and ARFI elastography</td>
<td>Acuson S1000 US system; Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, USA</td>
<td>Breast and axilla</td>
<td>BI-RADS score, tumor margin, shape, size, echo pattern, posterior acoustic feature, and distal tumor</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>ES and Triabula classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yagci et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>IGM=10; Malignancy=36 (DC=1, IDC=3, ILC=3)</td>
<td>IGM (37.6 ± 6.8 y), Malignancy (30.1 ± 9.7 y)</td>
<td>Differentiation between IGM and malignant breast masses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Conventional US and ARFI elastography</td>
<td>Hitachi Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>Breast and axilla</td>
<td>Size, shape, margin, echogenicity, and posterior acoustic feature</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arslan et al.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>IGM=32, Malignancy=36 (DC=4, IDC=20, ILC=2)</td>
<td>IGM (35.6 ± 6.5 y), Malignancy (34.1 ± 7.9 y)</td>
<td>Differentiation between IGM and malignant breast masses</td>
<td>Palpable mass, breast pain, erythema, and nipple change</td>
<td>Conventional US and strain elastography</td>
<td>Akhisar SBE, Bilgica Medical Systems Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>Breast and axilla</td>
<td>BI-RADS score, tumor margin, size, shape, echo pattern, posterior acoustic feature, and distal tumor</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>ES and Triabula classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aslan et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>IGM=29</td>
<td>Group 1 (no treatment): 36.6 ± 7.6 y; Group 2 (surgery): 36.4 ± 7.6 y</td>
<td>Analysis of the correlation between the severity of IGM and the posttreatment SWE findings</td>
<td>Palpable mass, erythema, nipple retraction, and axillary formation</td>
<td>Conventional US and SWE</td>
<td>Acuson S2000; Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, USA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>BI-RADS score</td>
<td>VTQ and SWV</td>
<td>Triabula classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macal and Gerenci</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>IGM=90; Malignancy=80 (37.2 ± 9.7 y)</td>
<td>IGM (49.1 ± 13.5 y), Malignancy (48.2 ± 13.5 y)</td>
<td>Differentiation between IGM and malignant breast masses</td>
<td>Palpable mass, breast pain, erythema, nipple change, and axillary retraction</td>
<td>Conventional US and SWE</td>
<td>Acuson 2000 Ultrasound System with Color Doppler Imaging; Soma Biotech Healthcare, Elangen, Germany</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>BI-RADS score, lesion location, and size</td>
<td>SWV and SWV</td>
<td>SWV and Triabula classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toprak et al.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>IGM=35 (DCIS=9, IDC=9, ILC=7)</td>
<td>IGM (39.6 ± 10.2 y), Malignancy (58.5 ± 11.5 y)</td>
<td>Differentiation between IGM and malignant breast masses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Conventional US and ARFI elastography</td>
<td>Acuson 2000 US System; Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, USA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>BI-RADS score, shape, orientation, margin, echogenicity, posterior acoustic feature, and calcification</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>Triabula classification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IGM, idiopathic granulomatous mastitis; ES, elasticity score; SR, strain ratio; ES, elastic diameter; DCIS, ductal carcinoma in situ; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; ILC, invasive lobular carcinoma; US, ultrasound; USE, ultrasound elastography; ARFI, acoustic radiation force impulse; SWV, shear wave velocity; BI-RADS, breast imaging-reporting and data system; VTI, velocity time integral; SWV, shear wave elastography; VTQ, virtual touch tissue quantification; y, year; N/A, not applicable (or available).
elastici ty score (ES) (two studies) (10, 13), strain ratio (SR) (three studies) (10, 12, 13), and shear wave velocity (SWV) (four studies) (11, 14-16). Also, each of the two methods of virtual touch tissue quantification (VTQ) (14) and elastic diameter (ED) (10) was used in a single study. Additionally, Tozaki (11, 14, 16) and Tsukuba elasticity scores (13, 15) were calculated as qualitative imaging parameters.

4.3. Exclusive Studies on IGM

In a study by Durur-Karakaya et al. (10), the USE features of 27 IGM patients were described. Diffuse lesions were reported as the most prevalent lesions, followed by tubular lesions, masses, and cystic lesions, respectively. Mammography assessments in nine patients revealed no calcification or speculation. All ES scores ranged between one and three, while the SR was measured to be $1.10 \pm 0.79$ (mean ± SD). There was no variation in lesion size between USE and greyscale images in morphological assessments.

Additionally, Aslan et al. studied the association of SWE findings prior to treatment with the severity of IGM (14). Based on the symptom severity, they categorized the sample population into two groups. Patients with a focal disease, without fistula or serious abscess, were classified as the first group receiving conservative treatment with steroids. On the other hand, patients presenting with severe abscesses, fistulas, or a widespread disease were classified into the second group and received surgical treatment. However, their results indicated no significant association between the IGM severity and the SWV or BI-RADS categories.

4.4. USE Application for Distinguishing IGM from Cancerous Lesions

Five of the included studies, examining a total of 275 IGM and 377 breast cancer patients, investigated the utilization of USE for distinguishing malignancies from IGM. Table 3 presents the introduced cut-off points in each study, as well as the diagnostic accuracy.

Additionally, Arslan et al. conducted a study to investigate the role of SR and ES in distinguishing between IGM and malignancies. They examined these parameters separately and in conjunction with B-mode US (13). The conventional US findings of IGM patients demonstrated that multiple irregular heterogeneous hypoechoic masses with a tubular extension and focal hypoechoic mass-like lesions with an indistinct border were the two most frequent patterns in B-mode US assessments. The combination of each imaging parameter with B-mode US for distinguishing IGM from malignant lesions increased specificity from 66.7% to 100%. Therefore, by integrating B-mode US assessment with SR and ES, higher sensitivity,
positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy were achieved. Moreover, Yagci et al. investigated the features of strain elastography in IGM lesions and evaluated its role in distinguishing IGM from malignancies. The SR was 1.5 ± 0.8 in IGM patients and 5.3 ± 5.2 in cancer patients. They demonstrated that IGM patients had a significantly lower SR than malignant cases. They suggested 2.5 as an optimal cut-off point to differentiate IGM from cancerous lesions (12). Moreover, Makal and Guvenc assessed the application of SWE in differentiation of IGM from breast cancer. They found significantly higher SWE and BI-RADS scores in malignant cases. The SWV was considerably lower in IGM patients, and a cut-off point of 4.1 m/s was reported (15). Teke et al. also investigated how ARFI imaging can assist in discriminating between IGM and malignancies (11). A significant difference was found between the two subgroups in terms of marginal and internal SWV, and the values for these parameters were observed to be higher in patients diagnosed with cancer (Table 2). They concluded that supplementing conventional US with ARFI imaging would enhance the specificity.

Studies by Teke et al. and Makal et al. indicated that the sensitivity and specificity of SWV for differentiating IGM from breast cancer exceeded 90% (11, 15). Toprak et al. specifically evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of SWV in discriminating IGM from invasive ductal carcinoma (16). They reported 89% sensitivity and 84% specificity based on the quantitative and qualitative findings of ARFI imaging. They also concluded that ARFI elastography could enhance the distinction between IGM and malignancies.

5. Discussion

The mammographic patterns of IGM can mimic other conditions, such as carcinoma, and are not exclusive for diagnosis (17). Areas of varied echogenicity, numerous and irregular hypoechoic masses, and heterogeneity of the parenchyma can be observed on US images (10-16). In various studies examining IGM lesions, the BI-RADS score, as determined by B-mode US assessment, was found to be in the range of 3 - 5 (11, 13-16). Since IGM can resemble breast malignancies both clinically and radiologically, it is difficult to distinguish IGM from carcinomas using common diagnostic techniques, such as US imaging and mammography.

To differentiate between benign and malignant tumors, a biopsy is often required. However, this can lead to increased anxiety in patients and additional costs (18). Generally, USE is used to determine the stiffness of lesions. Due to their disorganized structure, cancerous tissues exhibit a different elasticity than anticipated. Therefore, practitioners employ palpation techniques to evaluate the abnormal mass elasticity (7). USE is capable of detecting these disturbances in stiffness, aiding in the identification of malignant masses. The differentiation between benign and malignant lesions can potentially be achieved with higher sensitivity, specificity, and consistency using elastography (19). Figures 2 and 3 are examples of IGM and cancerous lesions on US and strain elastography.

The ES, SR, and ED of lesions are parameters of strain elastography. The ES is determined using Itoh’s method (20). For each lesion, SR is determined by comparing the average strain of the lesion to that of the fat tissue in the same region at an equivalent depth. Moreover, ED is defined as the ratio of the B-mode and elastography diameters of the lesion (21). Compared to B-mode images, cancerous lesions appear larger on elastography. This is due to a desmoplastic response around tumors that can be detected by elastography, but may not be always visible on grey-scale US (22, 23).

Another indicator of cancer is a lesion that appears larger in diameter on elastography compared to US (23). On elastography, benign tumors typically exhibit the same diameter or a smaller one compared to US images. In this regard, Durur-Karakaya et al. (10) observed that the diameter of IGM was consistent on both grey-scale US and elastography. Furthermore, Itoh et al. (20) found that malignant lesions have higher mean ES values compared to benign lesions (4.2 vs. 2.1). Additionally, Tan et al. (24) showed that in B-mode BI-RADS, categories 2 and 3 lesions had a lower median ES, while categories 4 and 5 lesions had a higher overall median ES. Moreover, Cho et al. (25) suggested an SR cut-off value of 2.24 for differentiating benign from cancerous lesions. In their research, 95% of lesions had an SR value above 2.24. Also, Yagci et al. (12) and Arslan et al. (13) reported that IGM masses had significantly lower SR readings than malignancies. Further research has also revealed that the average SR of malignant lesions is higher than that of benign ones (23, 25).

Strain elastography employs manual compression, with the user applying pressure via the probe. Lesions are evaluated both qualitatively and semi-qualitatively. Additionally, SWE is a dynamic elastography technique that utilizes shear waves generated by acoustic radiation force and is not dependent on the operator. Moreover, ARFI is a novel method that enables a qualitative analysis of tissue elasticity using virtual touch tissue imaging (VTI), as well as a quantitative analysis using virtual touch quantification (VTQ), without any need for compression. Compared to strain elastography, ARFI elastography has been found to have higher accuracy (26).

The VTI analysis provides a qualitative gray-scale mapping of relative tissue stiffness. Tozaki et al. (27)...
classified lesions into patterns 1, 2, 3, and 4, according to their VTI characteristics. Pattern 4 is subdivided into 4a and 4b, based on the size discrepancy between the VTI and B-mode images. Malignancies are predicted by a significant diameter gap in VTI compared to the B-mode views. Teke et al. (11) reported that none of the IGM lesions fell into the 4b pattern category, and all instances of the 4b pattern were identified as malignant. Also, in a study by Toprak et al. (16), none of the IGM lesions showed a 4b pattern. Additionally, Makal and Guvenc (15) found that according to US BI-RADS, IGM lesions are predominantly classified in group 4. Following elastography, the median Tsukuba score was measured to be three for IGM lesions, while for malignant lesions, the US BI-RADS and Tsukuba scores were found to be in agreement (both 5). While Aslan et al. (14) found that 25% of IGM lesions (10/39) exhibited a 4b pattern, other studies (11, 16) found that all lesions with a 4b pattern were malignant. These results indicate that pattern 4 subtypes are important from a clinical standpoint. The VTI of breast malignancies typically exhibits a 4b pattern. This is often due to peritumoral invasion and the desmoplastic reaction associated with these malignancies.

Moreover, VTQ is a quantitative ARFI technology used to measure SWV (28). Teke et al. (11) reported substantial variance in the marginal and internal SWV values between IGM and cancerous lesions. In addition, Toprak et al. (16) demonstrated that the mean SWV of IGM lesions was significantly lower than that of malignancies. Overall,
relying solely on a quantitative technique can lead to false-positive findings, despite the fact that VTI has a high diagnostic accuracy in distinguishing IGM from malignancies. This is because previous studies have indicated that IGM lesions exhibit high SWV values, which are similar to those of malignancies (29, 30).

The majority of biopsy recommendations are derived from BI-RADS 4. On US images, lower PPVs are typically associated with BI-RADS 4 lesions, leading to additional biopsies (31). While in previous studies, some malignant lesions were classified as patterns 4a and 3 (11, 15, 16), the combined use of VTI and VTQ could potentially enhance the accuracy of diagnosing malignancies. In the study by Teke et al. (11), compared to IGM lesions categorized into patterns 3 or 4a, the average SWV of malignancies was found to be higher in these patterns. Furthermore, when the results of VTI were combined with those of VTQ for the evaluation of these patterns, the diagnostic parameters showed significant improvement.

5.1. Limitations

Interestingly, all the studies conducted in this field have been carried out in Turkey, which has the highest number of IGM patients globally (32). Therefore, to generalize the results, it is necessary to perform this investigation in other regions to assess patients of different races. One significant challenge in replicating these studies and establishing a definitive cut-off value may be the rarity of IGM cases in other countries. However, as the use of elastography becomes increasingly important in diagnosing breast masses, the necessary data for such studies is becoming more accessible. Indeed, there is still a significant need for more extensive research in this field. Despite the limitations mentioned, this review represents the first systematic study on this subject, and its findings can motivate future research endeavors.

5.2. Conclusions

The B-mode view of IGM lesions can often resemble that of malignant lesions. Therefore, a major concern for both clinicians and patients is ensuring an accurate diagnosis and avoiding unnecessary biopsies for these lesions. An examination of the USE parameters for IGM lesions can reveal the unique characteristics of these lesions, potentially improving the accuracy of diagnosis. This review demonstrated that the diagnostic intervals proposed for USE parameters (SWV = 4.07 – 4.34 m/s, SR = 2.50 – 2.71) may be useful in the diagnosis of IGM lesions and their discrimination from breast cancer. The application of this modality can potentially reduce the number of unnecessary biopsies to differentiate IGM from breast cancer.
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