



Thoracic Computed Tomography Findings in Malignant Mesothelioma

Omer Tamer Dogan¹, Ismail Salk², Fikret Tas², Kursat Epozturk^{1*}, Cesur Gumus², Ibrahim Akkurt¹, Sefa Levent Ozsahin¹

¹ Department of Chest Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

² Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

* Corresponding author: Kursat Epozturk, Cumhuriyet University, Tip Fakultesi Hospital, Gogus Hastaliklari Anabilim Dalı, 58140, Sivas, Turkey. Tel.: +90-3462581042, Fax: +90-3462191284, E-mail: kursat@doctor.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is an uncommon neoplasm. MPM occurs more frequently in patients born or living in certain villages of Turkey.

Objectives: We aimed to review radiological findings of MPM.

Patients and Methods: We reviewed the CT findings in 219 biopsy-proven MPM patients admitted to our clinic between 1993 and 2008.

Results: The most common CT findings included pleural thickening (n = 197, 90%) classified as diffuse (n = 138, 63%), nodular (n = 49, 22%) and mass-type (n = 16, 7%). Pleural effusion was found in 173 patients (79%), involvement of the interlobar fissures in 159 (73%), mediastinal pleural involvement in 170 (78%), volume contraction in 142 (65%), mediastinal shift in 102 (47%) and mediastinal lymphadenopathy in 54 (25%).

Conclusion: MPM may present with diverse radiological features. Pleural thickening and pleural effusion were the most frequent radiological findings. Thoracic CT scans might be assessed more cautiously in patients with environmental exposure to asbestos.

Keywords: Asbestos; Tomography; X-Ray Computed; Mesothelioma; Cystic; Thorax

Copyright © 2012, Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Iranian Society of Radiology. Published by Kowsar Corp. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is an infrequent neoplasm (1). However, MPM is the most common primary malignancy of the pleura and its incidence is estimated as 2000-3000 cases per year in the United States of America (2, 3). MPM occurs frequently in patients from certain villages in the central and southeastern regions of Turkey compared to other parts of

the country. Nowadays, thoracic computed tomography (CT) scan with contrast is a much more sensitive examination for diagnosing, staging and follow-up of patients with MPM (4-9). CT plays an important role in the assessment and diagnosis of these tumors and is superior to conventional chest radiography (10).

► Article type: Brief Report; Received: 22 Nov 2012, Revised: 13 Aug 2012, Accepted: 23 Sep 2012; DOI: 10.5812/iranjradiol.8764

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

This report provides an overview on the main computed tomography findings of malignant mesothelioma, which will help clinicians recall this diagnosis especially in endemic regions.

► Please cite this paper as:

Tamer Dogan O, Salk I, Tas F, Epozturk K, Gumus C, Akkurt I, et al. Thoracic Computed Tomography Findings in Malignant Mesothelioma. *Iran J Radiol.* 2012; 9 (4): 209-11. DOI: 10.5812/iranjradiol.8764

► Copyright © 2012, Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Iranian Society of Radiology; Published by Kowsar Corp.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Objectives

In this study, we evaluated CT findings in 219 cases of MPM caused by environmental exposure to asbestos fibers.

3. Patients and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the CT scans of patients with biopsy-proven MPM evaluated at our clinic between 1993 and 2008. All patients had a history of environmental asbestos exposure. All patients had a chest radiograph taken maximum two-weeks before computed tomography. Thoracic CT was performed in all subjects, using a Picker PQS (Cleveland, OH, USA) spiral CT scanner. Evaluation was carried out in chest images obtained in 10 mm slices from the apices of the lung to costophrenic angles. The sections were taken in the supine position at the end of the inspiration. Intravenous iodinated contrast medium was given to the patients to determine mediastinal pathologies. Patients were prospectively evaluated with CT scan and the sections were evaluated by a radiologist blind to pathological results, to give binary decisions. No rating scale was utilized. The diagnoses of malignant mesothelioma were confirmed pathologically in all cases. In most patients, the diagnosis of mesothelioma was made by closed pleural biopsy; in others, the diagnosis was reached through transthoracic biopsy, thoracoscopy, thoracotomy, cytologic examination, extrathoracic biopsy and pericardiectomy. The pleural thickening was classified as diffuse, mass type and nodular and the localization of pleural effusion as unilateral and bilateral. In cases of different types of pleural thickening in the same individual, each type was noted separately. Diffuse pleural thickening was demarcated as a pleural thickness of 10 mm or less, pleural nodules as focal pleural thickness of 10-30 mm and pleural masses as lesions of 30 mm or more in diameter. Involvement of interlobar fissures and mediastinal pleura were noted. The presence of calcified pleural plaques and hyaline pleural plaques on the contralateral pleura was also assessed. Hyaline pleural plaques were defined as a focal increase in soft tissue density along the pleura, which is well demarcated and clearly separated from the lung. Dislocation of the mediastinal structures was defined as "mediastinal shift". The volume loss of hemithorax was defined as volume contraction. If mediastinal lymph nodes were greater than 10 mm, they were considered as pathological. Both hemithoraces were evaluated for pulmonary parenchymal abnormalities such as tumoral invasion or fibrosis and presence of calcified pleural plaques. All these findings were recorded for both males and females (11-15).

4. Results

The study included 219 malignant pleural mesothelioma patients (129 men and 90 women). The mean age of the patients was 58.3 ± 12.6 years, with a range of 18-85 years. Nearly half of these patients were coming from Yildizeli,

a town in Sivas and the villages around this town where the risk of environmental exposure to asbestos is high. The rest of the patients were mainly residents of Sivas and surrounding cities. Eighty-two patients (37.4%) were current smokers; the others included former, passive, and never smokers. The average of cigarette smoking history among all smokers was 27.93 ± 21.59 pack-years. The results are presented in *Tables 1* and *2*.

Table 1. CT Findings in 219 Patients with MPM

	Male	Female	Total, No. (%)
Pleural Thickening			
Diffuse	81	57	138 (63.0)
Irregular	30	15	45 (20.5)
Mass type			
Smooth	28	21	49 (22.4)
Nodular	10	6	16 (7.3)
Pleural Effusion			
Unilateral	94	74	168 (76.7)
Bilateral	3	2	5 (2.3)
Interlobar Fissural Involvement	95	64	159 (72.6)
Mediastinal Pleural Involvement	96	74	170 (77.6)
Pleural Plaque			
Hyaline	21	11	32 (14.6)
Calcified	3	3	6 (2.7)
Mediastinal shift	59	43	102 (46.6)
Volume Contraction	88	54	142 (64.8)
Mediastinal Lymphadenopathy	35	19	54 (24.7)
Atelectasis	28	17	45 (20.5)
Chest Wall Involvement	20	15	35 (16.0)
Pneumothorax	2	1	3 (1.4)
Parenchymal Abnormalities	18	10	28 (12.8)

Table 2. Chest X-Ray Findings in 219 Patients with MPM

	No. (%)
Pleural Thickening	105 (47.9)
Pleural Effusion	146 (66.7)
Volume Contraction	94 (42.9)
Mediastinal Shift	70 (32.0)
Pleural Calcifications	24 (11.0)
Thickening of Interlobar Fissure	26 (11.9)
Pneumothorax	3 (1.4)

5. Discussion

CT plays an important role in the diagnosis and assessment of patients with mesothelioma. Pleural thickening and pleural effusion are the most encountered features. Although some findings are quite characteristic, none is pathognomonic for the disease. Nevertheless, CT remains to be the dominant modality for assessing patients with mesothelioma, including evaluation of treatment response. CT findings can delineate the optimal site for biopsy, while providing a tremendous amount of anatomic information about the stage of the disease (16-20).

Acknowledgements

None declared.

Authors' Contribution

Study concept and design: Dogan, Salk and Tas; analysis and interpretation of data: Dogan, Salk and Gumus; drafting of the manuscript: Dogan and Epozturk; Intellectual content: Akkurt and Ozsahin; Statistical analysis: Ozsahin.

Financial Disclosure

None declared.

Funding/Support

No funding was received for the research on which this article is based.

References

1. Metintas M, Ucgun I, Elbek O, Erginel S, Metintas S, Kolsuz M, et al. Computed tomography features in malignant pleural mesothelioma and other commonly seen pleural diseases. *Eur J Radiol.* 2002;**41**(1):1-9.
2. Ng CS, Munden RF, Libshitz HI. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: the spectrum of manifestations on CT in 70 cases. *Clin Radiol.* 1999;**54**(7):415-21.
3. Miller BH, Rosado-de-Christenson ML, Mason AC, Fleming MV, White CC, rasna MJ. From the archives of the AFIP. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics.* 1996;**16**(3):613-44.
4. Sahin AA, Coplu L, Selcuk ZT, Eryilmaz M, Emri S, Akhan O, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma caused by environmental exposure to asbestos or erionite in rural Turkey: CT findings in 84 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1993;**161**(3):533-7.
5. Baris YI, Bilir N, Artvinli M, Sahin AA, Kalyoncu F, Sebastien P. An epidemiological study in an Anatolian village environmentally exposed to tremolite asbestos. *Br J Ind Med.* 1988;**45**(12):838-40.
6. Baris YI, Saracci R, Simonato L, Skidmore JW, Artvinli M. Malignant mesothelioma and radiological chest abnormalities in two villages in Central Turkey. An epidemiological and environmental investigation. *Lancet.* 1981;**1**(8227):984-7.
7. Senyigit A, Bayram H, Babayigit C, Topcu F, Nazaroglu H, Bilici A, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma caused by environmental exposure to asbestos in the Southeast of Turkey: CT findings in 117 patients *Respiration.* 2000;**67**(2):139-45.
8. Topcu F, Bayram H, Simsek M, Kaya K, Ozcan C, Isik R, et al. High-resolution computed tomography in cases with environmental exposure to asbestos in Turkey. *Respiration.* 2000;**67**(2):139-45.
9. Dogan M. Sources and types of mineral dust in regions of Turkey with endemic malignant mesothelioma. *Indoor Built Environ.* 2003;**12**:377-83.
10. Rabinowitz JG, Efreimidis SC, Cohen B, Dan S, Efreimidis A, Chahinian AP, et al. A comparative study of mesothelioma and asbestosis using computed tomography and conventional chest radiography. *Radiology.* 1982;**144**(3):453-60.
11. Robinson BW, Lake RA. Advances in malignant mesothelioma. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;**353**(15):1591-603.
12. Morinaga K, Kishimoto T, Sakatani M, Akira M, Yokoyama K, Sera Y. Asbestos-related lung cancer and mesothelioma in Japan. *Ind Health.* 2001;**39**(2):65-74.
13. Pelin K, Hirvonen A, Linnainmaa K. Expression of cell adhesion molecules and connexins in gap junctional intercellular communication deficient human mesothelioma tumour cell lines and communication competent primary mesothelial cells. *Carcinogenesis.* 1994;**15**(11):2673-5.
14. Price B. Analysis of current trends in United States mesothelioma incidence. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1997;**145**(3):211-8.
15. Price B, Ware A. Mesothelioma trends in the United States: an update based on Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program data for 1973 through 2003. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2004;**159**(2):107-12.
16. Roushdy-Hammady I, Siegel J, Emri S, Testa JR, Carbone M. Genetic-susceptibility factor and malignant mesothelioma in the Capadocian region of Turkey. *Lancet.* 2001;**357**(9254):444-5.
17. Beauchamp HD, Kundra NK, Aranson R, Chong F, MacDonnell KF. The role of closed pleural needle biopsy in the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma of the pleura. *Chest.* 1992;**102**(4):1110-2.
18. Kawashima A, Libshitz HI. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: CT manifestations in 50 cases. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1990;**155**(5):965-9.
19. Zielinski M, Hauer J, Hauer L, Pankowski J, Nabialek T, Szlubowski A. Staging algorithm for diffuse malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2010;**10**(2):185-9.
20. Fasola G, Belvedere O, Aita M, Zanin T, Follador A, Cassetti P, et al. Low-dose computed tomography screening for lung cancer and pleural mesothelioma in an asbestos-exposed population: baseline results of a prospective, nonrandomized feasibility trial—an Alpe-adria Thoracic Oncology Multidisciplinary Group Study (ATOM 002). *Oncologist.* 2007;**12**(10):1215-24.