Published online 2021 September 18.

Research Article

Maternal Attitude Towards Cell Phone Use During Pregnancy; A Potential Harm to the Fetus

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Anesthesiology Research Center, Alzahra Hospital, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran ²Department of Statistic, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

³Razi Clinical Research Development Unit, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Department of Anesthesiology, Anesthesiology Research Center, Alzahra Hospital, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, P.O. Box: 4144654839, Rasht, Iran. Email: gelarehbiazar1386@gmail.com

Received 2021 August 09; Revised 2021 August 20; Accepted 2021 August 28.

Abstract

Background: Despite the lack of conclusive and proven supporting epidemiologic data about the association between cell phone radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) and the developing fetus complications, there are frequent discussions about this matter.

Methods: A medical student interviewed eligible pregnant women admitted to Al Zahra Hospital, an academic referral hospital in the North of Iran, to fill questionnaires intended to assess maternal demographic data and their attitude towards cell phone use during pregnancy in 2020.

Results: A total of 322 pregnant women with the mean age of 30.12 ± 6.81 years enrolled in the survey, in which 3.1% of them were non-cell phone users, and 6.052% of all did not use a cell phone during the first trimester. Also, 38.81% of them mentioned healthcare providers as the main source of obtaining information, while 40.6% did receive any information. The mean years of cell phone use and the daily hours were 8.26 ± 4.1 and 2.66 ± 2.02, respectively. Also, 64.3% believed that cell phone use poses risks to the fetus, while 26.7% had no idea. A positive association was also found concerning maternal awareness from cell phones harmfulness to the fetus and maternal education, residency, and employment (P < 0.0001).

Conclusions: In this study, the majority of pregnant women believed that cell phone use during pregnancy could be harmful to the fetus; however, most of them were cell phone users with no special consideration even during the first trimester. It seems that the importance of the issue must be revealed to them by more healthcare providers' interventions.

Keywords: Attitude, Cell Phone Use, Pregnancy

1. Background

Recently, serious public concerns are raised regarding the possible health risks of cell phone radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) (1). According to the literature, pregnant women are at increased risk of such adverse health effects (2), particularly regarding the sensitivity of early embryos to environmental exposures (3), which is similar to general anesthetics-related neurotoxicity in developing the brain during pregnancy (4-8). While problems related to these exposures may not appear after birth, the possibility of long-term complications has always been raised. Despite the unknown nature and the underlying mechanism of the fetus's vulnerability to cell phone radiation (9), recent studies have shown that it may be linked to free radicals generation, oxidative stress, and even cell damage (10). Some studies demonstrated a significant association between the risk of abortion, congenital malformations, childhood behavioral disorders, and excessive maternal cell phone use (5, 6, 11-19). Overall, it should be noted that although the negative effects of cell phone use during pregnancy have remained controversial and currently available evidence are inconsistent, cell phone use poses a potential risk (20-22). Therefore, it is wise to prevent unnecessary exposures.

2. Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the maternal attitude towards the vulnerability of the developing fetus to cell phone radiation, and some related factors among women admitted to AL-Zahra Hospital.

Copyright © 2021, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

3. Methods

Following a cross-sectional design, the current study is conducted on pregnant women admitted to AL-Zahra Hospital, an academic and referral center affiliated to the Guilan University of Medical Sciences (GUMS), during 2020.

Inclusion criteria: Pregnant women candidate for normal vaginal delivery (NVD) or cesarean section (C-section), stable hemodynamic status, willingness to participate in the present study, and giving informed consent.

3.1. Exclusion Criteria

Inability to communicate due to linguistic barriers, suffering from a special medical condition or other reasons, and unwillingness to participate.

Initially, written informed consent was obtained from all participants before entering the study and after a comprehensive introduction to the study protocol. Data were collected using a researcher-developed questionnaire, which contained items on demographic data, frequency of cell phone use, and attitudes towards the vulnerability of the developing fetus to cell phone radiation. Its content, reliability, and validity were confirmed by 10 GUMS faculty members. All questionnaires were filled by a medical student.

3.2. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was administered using SPSS version 21 by Chi-square and one-way ANOVA tests. Statistical significance was considered when P-value < 0.05.

4. Results

A total of 322 cases with the mean age of 30.12 ± 6.81 (15 - 44) years enrolled in this survey. Of which, 84.5% were housewives, 3.4 illiterate, 13.4% had academic education, 15.5% were employed, 60.6% urban, and 37% gravid1 (Table 1). Also, 96.9% used cell phones during pregnancy.

For 38.81% of them, healthcare providers were the main source of obtaining information, and 40.6% declared receiving no information. Of all participants, 3.1% were nonusers, and 6.052% did not use a cell phone during the first trimester. The mean years of mobile use and hours of daily use of cell phone were 8.26 ± 4.1 and 2.66 ± 2.02 , respectively (Table 2). According to the findings, 64.3% believed that cell phone use poses risks to the fetus while 26.7% had no idea. A positive correlation was found concerning the awareness about cell phone harmfulness and maternal

Variable	No. (%)
Age	
\leq 20	25 (7.8)
21 - 30	146 (45.3)
31 - 40	126 (39.1)
\geq 40	25 (7.8)
Employment status	
Employment	50 (15.5)
House wife	272 (84.5)
Education	
Illiterate	11 (3.4)
Under diploma	115 (35.7)
Diploma	153 (47.5)
Academic	43 (13.4)
Residency	
Urban	195 (60.6)
Rural	127 (39.4)
Gravidity	
G1	119 (37)
G2	136 (42.2)
\geq G3	67 (20.8)

age, education, and residency (P < 0.0001). A positive correlation was also found concerning maternal awareness about cell phone harmfulness to the fetus and maternal education, residency, employment, as well as other reasons for cell phone use (P < 0.0001) (Table 3).

5. Discussion

In this study, the majority of pregnant women believed that cell phone radiation exposure during pregnancy could be associated with negative impacts on fetus health outcomes, while most of them used cell phones with no limitation. It seems that individuals do not act as they believe, or the importance of the issue has not been discussed properly to them. A little percent of them avoided cell phone use during the first trimester, while most of them acknowledged that the cell phone was harmful to the developing fetus. Nearly half of them received no information regarding the issue and the medical team was only the source of information among limited cases.

These findings indicate the considerable contribution of medical teams, particularly obstetrics, in providing accurate information to pregnant women. Studies indicate

able 2. The Pattern of Cell Phone Use During Pregnancy		
Variables	No. (%)	
Mobile use during the first trimester		
No	22 (6.52)	
Yes	300 (93.16)	
Wi-Fi use		
Yes	86 (26.7)	
No	236 (73.3)	
Mobile placement		
Handbag	94 (30.1)	
Pocket	32 (10.3)	
60 to 70 cm away from the body	134 (42.9)	
Others	52 (16.7)	
The mean years of mobile use, mean \pm SD (min-max)	8.26 ± 4.1 (1 - 18)	
The mean, h/d, mean \pm SD (min-max)	$2.66 \pm 2.02 (0.5 10)$	
Using mobile for the other purposes		
Yes	257 (79.8)	
No	65 (20.2)	

that although the issue has not been definitely proven, and cell phone use during pregnancy may be associated with a small impact on birth outcomes, the accumulative impact on public health may be considerable (23).

Similar studies have shown that maternal cell phone use was related to high education, younger age, and higher socioeconomic status (6, 11, 12). In this study, a positive association was found between maternal awareness about potential risks of cell phone use for the fetus and higher education, urbanity, and employment. Meaning those individuals with higher education, living in urban areas, employed, and those who used cell phone for other purposes, like playing games or listening to music, rather than talking, were more aware of this matter. Because several factors contribute to this matter, various studies have reported different rates of cell phone use during pregnancy.

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have focused on the issue in Iran. Mahmoudabadi et al. (3), in a casecontrol study, reported that cell phone use by pregnant women was associated with early spontaneous abortions. Following an experimental design, Bahreyni Toossi et al. (10) found that cell phone waves could induce oxidative stress and tissue damage in offspring mice.

In a prospective multi-center cohort study, Boileau et al. (24) investigated the adverse effects of mobile phone radiation on the human fetus. They analyzed 1378 medical charts and found that 99.3% of mothers used a mobile

. ...

phone during pregnancy. Also, they reported a mean call time of 29.8 min. Their remarkable finding was that phone times for more than 30 min per day by pregnant women caused negative effects on fetal growth (24). Comparing to the results of our study, both the number of users and the mean daily phone time were higher. In the study by Papadopoulou et al. (13), which is performed in Norway, 9.8% of the participants did not use cell phones during pregnancy. Zarei et al. (14) found that child speech problems were significantly associated with a history of maternal long cell phone call time during pregnancy. In Divan et al. study (15) from Danish, 60% of pregnant women were non-users. They also reported that prenatal and early postnatal exposure to cell phone radiation were associated with behavioral disorders such as hyperactivity (15). Tsarna et al. planned a meta-analysis and found that the use of cell phones during pregnancy was significantly associated with preterm labor and a shorter duration of pregnancy (23). In a population-based birth cohort study on 2618 children, Guxens et al. (12) concluded that teacher-reported behavioral disorders at the age of seven were associated with cell phone use during pregnancy. There are also evidence indicating an association between cell phone use during pregnancy and low birth weight (16). Experimental studies showed that intrauterine exposure to cell phone radiation affects the developing brain of the fetus (17, 18). According to these researches, the lack of a definite conclusion in this regard can be attributed to the fact that they could not assess other variables that likely contribute to such outcomes, such as chromosomal abnormalities, maternal comorbidities, or other environmental harmful exposures.

The socioeconomic and nutritional status may also contribute to a child's development (3). Moreover, it cannot be argued that cell phone is the only source of RF-EMF. Meanwhile, the results of animal studies cannot be easily generalized to humans, mainly because the depth of exposure in the human brain and animals are not comparable, which means a difference in the amount of received radiation (19, 25). Overall, this study demonstrated that cell phone use is quite common among pregnant women referring to our hospital. Complete avoidance of cell phone use cannot be recommended; however, considering the current alarming evidence, its usage should be restricted, particularly during the first trimester.

5.1. Limitations

Although this study provided valuable information regarding cases referring to a public hospital, patients referring to the private sector are not considered. Our litera-

Variables —	Maternal Attitude Towards Cell-Phone Use Harmfulness, No. (%)			P-Value
	Yes	No	I don't Know	I-vaide
Age				0.111
< 20	11(44)	3 (12)	11 (44)	
21-30	103 (70.5)	8 (5.5)	35 (24)	
31-40	76 (60.3)	16 (12.7)	34 (27)	
> 40	17 (68)	2 (8)	6 (24)	
Mean \pm SD	29.87 ± 6.6	31.93 ± 7.42	30.1 ± 7.08	0.315
Employment status				0.002
Employed	43 (86)	3(6)	4 (8)	
House wife	164 (60.3)	26 (9.6)	82 (30.1)	
Education				0.0001
Illiterate	4 (36.4)	5 (45.5)	2 (18.2)	
Under diploma	57(49.6)	13 (11.3)	45 (39.1)	
Diploma	108 (70.6)	9 (5.9)	36 (23.5)	
Academic education	38 (88.4)	2 (4.7)	3 (7)	
Residency				< 0.0001
Urban	142 (72.8)	20 (10.3)	33 (16.9)	
Rural	65 (51.2)	9 (7.1)	53 (41.7)	
Gravidity				0.266
G1	74 (62.2)	9 (7.6)	36 (30.3)	
G2	95 (69.9)	13 (9.6)	28 (20.6)	
G3	38 (56.7)	7 (10.4)	22 (32.8)	
Other uses of cell-phone				< 0.0001
Yes	146 (56.8)	29 (11.3)	82 (31.9)	
No	61 (93.8)	0(0)	4 (6.2)	
Years of cell-phone use, mean \pm SD	8.15 ± 3.95	9.82 ± 5.02	7.98 ± 4.03	0.093
Hours of daily use of cell-phone, mean \pm SD	2.11 ± 1.74	4.86 ± 2.04	3.19 ± 2.01	< 0.0001

ture review indicated no similar study on this topic, which, while indicating the novelty of our study, also revealed the limitation of discussion about this important issue.

5.2. Conclusions

According to the findings, the majority of participants were using cell phones during pregnancy, while acknowledging its potential risks. They had no special consideration even during the first trimester. Those living in urban areas, employed women, and those with higher education had higher awareness about this issue. They also more preferred to use cell phones for non-talking purposes. Considering the potential risk of exposure to cell phone radiation, the medical team's intervention is crucial to increase awareness about this matter.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: GB and VI. Analysis and interpretation of data: HF and YC. Acquisition of data and drafting of the manuscript: FN and ZM. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: AMJ. Statistical analysis: ZAR.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study protocol is approved by the local ethics committee of the Guilan University of Medical Sciences (code: IR.GUMS.REC.1399.211).

Funding/Support: This article was not supported.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

- Christopher B, Sheena Mary Y, Khandaker MU, Bradley DA, Chew MT, Jojo PJ. Effects of mobile phone radiation on certain hematological parameters. *Radiat Phys Chem.* 2020;**166**. doi: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2019.108443.
- Christopher B, Mary Y S, Khandaker MU, Jojo PJ. Empirical study on specific absorption rate of head tissues due to induced heating of 4G cell phone radiation. *Radiat Phys Chem.* 2021;**178**. doi: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2020.108910.
- Mahmoudabadi FS, Ziaei S, Firoozabadi M, Kazemnejad A. Use of mobile phone during pregnancy and the risk of spontaneous abortion. *J Environ Health Sci Eng.* 2015;13:34. doi: 10.1186/s40201-015-0193-z. [PubMed: 25937931]. [PubMed Central: PMC4416385].
- Sun W, Tan Q, Pan Y, Fu Y, Sun H, Chiang H. Effects of 50-Hz magnetic field exposure on hormone secretion and apoptosis-related gene expression in human first trimester villous trophoblasts in vitro. *Bioelectromagnetics*. 2010;**31**(7):566–72. doi: 10.1002/bem.20596. [PubMed: 20607743].
- Parazzini F, Chiaffarino F, Chatenoud L, Cipriani S, Ricci E, Chiantera V, et al. Exposure to video display terminals and risk of smallfor-gestational-age birth. *J Environ Health*. 2010;**72**(6):24–7. [PubMed: 20104830].
- Divan HA, Kheifets L, Obel C, Olsen J. Cell phone use and behavioural problems in young children. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012;66(6):524–9. doi: 10.1136/jech.2010.115402. [PubMed: 21138897].
- Sedighinejad A, Soltanipour S, Rimaz S, Biazar G, Chaibakhsh Y, Badri Kouhi M. General Anesthesia-Related Neurotoxicity in the Developing Brain and Current Knowledge and Practice of Physicians at Guilan Academic Hospitals. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2019;9(4). e92366. doi: 10.5812/aapm.92366. [PubMed: 31750093]. [PubMed Central: PMC6820294].
- Biazar G, Farzi F, Naderi Nabi B, Atrkarroushan Z, Chaibakhsh Y, Rostami Lima S. General Anesthesia-Related Neurotoxicity: Status of Pediatric Surgeries at an Academic Hospital in the North of Iran. *J Compr Pediatri*. 2019;10(4). doi: 10.5812/compreped.92316.
- Sudan M, Birks LE, Aurrekoetxea JJ, Ferrero A, Gallastegi M, Guxens M, et al. Maternal cell phone use during pregnancy and child cognition at age 5years in 3 birth cohorts. *Environ Int.* 2018;**120**:155–62. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.07.043. [PubMed: 30096609].
- Bahreyni Toossi MH, Sadeghnia HR, Mohammad Mahdizadeh Feyzabadi M, Hosseini M, Hedayati M, Mosallanejad R, et al. Exposure to mobile phone (900-1800 MHz) during pregnancy: tissue oxidative stress after childbirth. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2018;31(10):1298– 303. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2017.1315657. [PubMed: 28434276].
- Divan HA, Kheifets L, Olsen J. Prenatal cell phone use and developmental milestone delays among infants. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2011;**37**(4):341–8. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3157. [PubMed: 21403981].
- Guxens M, van Eijsden M, Vermeulen R, Loomans E, Vrijkotte TG, Komhout H, et al. Maternal cell phone and cordless phone use during pregnancy and behaviour problems in 5-year-old children. J

Epidemiol Community Health. 2013;**67**(5):432–8. doi: 10.1136/jech-2012-201792. [PubMed: 23386674].

- Papadopoulou E, Haugen M, Schjolberg S, Magnus P, Brunborg G, Vrijheid M, et al. Maternal cell phone use in early pregnancy and child's language, communication and motor skills at 3 and 5 years: the Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MoBa). *BMC Public Health*. 2017;**17**(1):685. doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4672-2. [PubMed: 28870201]. [PubMed Central: PMC5584361].
- Zarei S, Mortazavi SM, Mehdizadeh AR, Jalalipour M, Borzou S, Taeb S, et al. A Challenging Issue in the Etiology of Speech Problems: The Effect of Maternal Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields on Speech Problems in the Offspring. J Biomed Phys Eng. 2015;5(3):151–4. [PubMed: 26396971]. [PubMed Central: PMC4576876].
- Divan HA, Kheifets L, Obel C, Olsen J. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children. *Epidemi*ology. 2008;**19**(4):523–9. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e318175dd47. [PubMed: 18467962].
- Hujoel PP, Bollen AM, Noonan CJ, del Aguila MA. Antepartum dental radiography and infant low birth weight. *JAMA*. 2004;291(16):1987-93. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.16.1987. [PubMed: 15113817].
- Hussien NI, Mousa AM, Shoman AA. Decreased level of plasma nesfatin-1 in rats exposed to cell phone radiation is correlated with thyroid dysfunction, oxidative stress, and apoptosis. *Arch Physiol Biochem.* 2020:1–7. doi: 10.1080/13813455.2020.1778037. [PubMed: 32552170].
- Singh KV, Gautam R, Meena R, Nirala JP, Jha SK, Rajamani P. Effect of mobile phone radiation on oxidative stress, inflammatory response, and contextual fear memory in Wistar rat. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2020;27(16):19340–51. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-07916-z. [PubMed: 32212071].
- Smith-Roe SL, Wyde ME, Stout MD, Winters JW, Hobbs CA, Shepard KG, et al. Evaluation of the genotoxicity of cell phone radiofrequency radiation in male and female rats and mice following subchronic exposure. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2020;61(2):276–90. doi: 10.1002/em.22343. [PubMed: 31633839]. [PubMed Central: PMC7027901].
- Choi KH, Ha M, Ha EH, Park H, Kim Y, Hong YC, et al. Neurodevelopment for the first three years following prenatal mobile phone use, radio frequency radiation and lead exposure. *Environ Res.* 2017;**156**:810– 7. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2017.04.029. [PubMed: 28511138].
- Bektaş H, Daşdağ S, Bektaş MS. Does mobile phone use of women during pregnancy cause hearing problems in infants? Preliminary observation. Perinatal J. 2020;28(2):101–7. doi: 10.2399/prn.20.0282013.
- 22. Rong LI. Changes on dopamin D1 receptor expression in the prefrontal cortex of offspring rats exposed to mobilephone radiation during pregnancy# br. *Acta Anatomica Sinica*. 2020;**51**(4):491.
- Tsarna E, Reedijk M, Birks LE, Guxens M, Ballester F, Ha M, et al. Associations of Maternal Cell-Phone Use During Pregnancy With Pregnancy Duration and Fetal Growth in 4 Birth Cohorts. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2019;**188**(7):1270–80. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwz092. [PubMed: 30995291]. [PubMed Central: PMC6601518].
- Boileau N, Margueritte F, Gauthier T, Boukeffa N, Preux PM, Labrunie A, et al. Mobile phone use during pregnancy: Which association with fetal growth? J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod. 2020;49(8):101852. doi: 10.1016/j.jogoh.2020.101852. [PubMed: 32623065].
- 25. Aburawi S, Abusaida H, El Jaafari H, Alkayed F, Shibani N, Dali A, et al. Effect of Mobile Phone Radiation on Reproductive System and Behavior Using Female Albino Mice. *AJMAS*. 2020.