Published online 2022 September 10.

Research Article

Application of a Head-Up Tilt Table Test in Differentiation Between Epilepsy and Syncope in Children

Meisam Babaei¹, Mohammad Mehdi Nasehi ¹, Mohammad Reza Khalilian ¹, ³, ^{*}, Maryam Rasoulinezhad ⁴, Hossein Tavallai ¹, ⁵ and Fargol Farahmandi ⁶

¹Department of Pediatrics, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran

²Department of Pediatric Neurology, Pediatric Neurology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Pediatrics, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
⁴Department of Pediatrics, Shahid Fahmideh Children's Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶ Student of Research Committee, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Department of Pediatrics, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: khalilianomid@yahoo.com

Received 2022 May 18; Revised 2022 August 14; Accepted 2022 August 20.

Abstract

Background: Distinguishing between seizure and neurally mediated syncope is challenging because of similar consequences and medical history. A head-up tilt test (HUTT) is a non-invasive, simple, and easy test to distinguish between epilepsy and syncope besides detailed history taking.

Objectives: This study aimed to differentiate between epileptic events and reflex syncope (any different type of syncope) using the head-upright tilt test.

Methods: We studied 59 patients (37 boys and 22 girls) between 4 to 18 years old (mean age, 10.5 ± 3.7 years) with a previous diagnosis of seizure who did not respond well to treatment. All patients underwent HUTT, and the test was positive in 26 patients. There were no significant differences in sex, age, provocative factors, associated syndrome, and family history between negative and positive groups.

Results: There was a history of actual syncope in 26.9% of the positive tilt test group compared to 15.15% of the negative test group. Also, there was a positive family history of syncope in the positive tilt test group. Among 26 patients with a positive tilt test, 17 were diagnosed with vasovagal syncope (VVS) vasodepressor type and 9 with mixed type. Antiepileptic drugs were tapered for patients diagnosed with VVS, and they did not show any seizures after 18 ± 6 months of follow-up. Overemphasizing positive family history and inattention to history taking are 2 crucial factors leading to the misdiagnosis of epilepsy.

Conclusions: Our study showed that HUTT is a non-invasive test that can be useful, especially for early and proper diagnosis in children with refractory epilepsy.

Keywords: Seizure, Tilt Table Test, Syncope, Children

1. Background

It is difficult to correctly diagnose transient episodes of loss of consciousness and tone if it is a seizure or cardiac syncope (1). Syncope is one of the most common complaints in children. Nearly 15% of patients under the age of 18 have experienced a period of syncope (2). Syncope is defined as the temporary loss of consciousness and postural tone in pediatrics, which could seriously affect the patient's quality of life; it is characterized by sudden onset, short periods, and spontaneous remission (3, 4).

Syncope has a wide range of prodromal symptoms, including nausea, epigastric discomfort, tunnel and blurred vision, dizziness, palpitation, pallor, and weakness (5, 6). Different clinical presentations of syncope in children make the diagnosis difficult. In most cases, unclear causes for syncope are another challenge, putting financial pressure and concerning parents (7).

There are various reasons for syncope, and benign causes are the most important ones (8). Cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular are 2 general classifications for syncope. Cardiovascular syncope includes vasodepressor syncope, vasovagal, cardiac syncope, and vascular syncope. An essential step in managing patients is to rule out cardiac syncope with history taking, complete physical examination, electrocardiography, and other workups (9, 10). Non-cardiovascular syncope causes are hypoxia, hypoglycemia, seizure, vertigo, hyperventilation, migraine, and

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

drugs (11).

Vasovagal syncope (VVS) is the reason for approximately 60% - 80% of neurally mediated syncopes, which is the most common cause of syncope (2). The head-up tilt test (HUTT) is a unique and helpful method to induce neurally mediated syncope. The head-up tilt test confirms the diagnosis in suspected patients and patients with inadequate data and a history of syncope. The head-up tilt test is an excellent tool to help us distinguish between epilepsy and syncope (12, 13).

Similarities between symptoms and signs of epilepsy and syncope make distinguishing between them difficult. Some syncope cases, especially convulsive syncope, are misdiagnosed as epilepsy (14, 15). Nearly 20% - 30% of patients diagnosed with epilepsy do not have epilepsy and should be classified as neuro-cardiogenic syncope. Also, 25.7% of patients diagnosed with epilepsy have a positive HUTT and were known as VVS (16).

Today, the diagnosis of syncope is based on history taking and HUTT. Moreover, the diagnosis of epilepsy is based on the clinical history and electroencephalogram (EEG). The Calgary score and modified Calgary score are other methods to distinguish epilepsy from syncope with acceptable sensitivity. Calgary has 6 questions about the anticipation of epilepsy and 3 questions about syncope prediction (17).

2. Objectives

This study aimed to differentiate between epileptic events and reflex syncope (any different type of syncope) using the head-upright tilt test.

3. Methods

Fifty-nine patients who had poor response to seizure treatment, and had triggering factors, which put epilepsy diagnosis under question, were enrolled for more evaluations. This group suspected to have syncope or convulsive syncope based on follow-up visits, taking a more detailed history or reviewing video clips of the event. The study was conducted at Mofid Children's Hospital for 2 years.

We performed standardized essential evaluations for all patients. There were a complete history taking, information identification, physical and neurological examination, and patients referring to the pediatric cardiology clinic for more evaluations. Our colleagues measured pulse rate and blood pressure (BP) in supine and upright positions and got 12-lead electrocardiography from all patients. All basic metabolic and electrolyte workups were normal. Each patient lay on the test table and was secured by foot straps and body belts. An intravenous line was fixed, and a prepared isotonic serum was available for possible loss of intravenous volume. The heart rate (HR) and BP were monitored throughout the test in 1-minute intervals. The BP and HR used in analyses were recorded before initiation and 5 minutes after being stable for at least 5 minutes in a 60° angle upright position. After initial preparations, the table was incrementally tilted to a 60° angle in less than 5 minutes; then, the position remained the same for 30 minutes or as long as the patient developed syncope. No provocation was used due to the patients' age group.

Essential cardiac and basic vital signs monitoring (such as temperature, pulse rate, and respiratory rate) was performed for each patient. We continuously monitored the vital sign and electrocardiography at 1-minute intervals and recorded their information.

Whenever the patient's symptoms progressed and were accompanied by bradycardia, hypotension, or both, we discontinued the tilt test and turned the patient back to the supine position. In this condition, we reported positive HUTT. We turned the patient back to the supine position and reported the test as negative if there was no progress in symptoms after 40 minutes.

4. Results

The tilt test was positive in 26 cases (44%) and negative in 33 cases (56%). There were 15 girls (57.69%) and 11 boys (42.31%) in the positive group, with a mean age of 11.5 years. Twenty-two girls (66.66%) and 11 boys (33.33%) were in the negative group, with a mean age of 12.3 years.

True syncope was the chief complaint in 13 cases (50%) in the positive group and 4 cases (12.1%) in the negative group. Based on their histories and examinations, the head-upright tilt test was indicated for all subjects. The mean duration of symptoms was 35 and 38 months in the positive and negative groups, respectively. The provocative factors in the positive group were stress in 19.2%, fasting in 15.3%, seeing blood in 15.3%, longtime standing in 2.6%, and insomnia in 2.6% of cases in the positive group. Provocative factors in the negative group were stress in 9.09%, fasting in 12.1%, seeing blood in 12.1%, longtime standing in 6.06%, and insomnia in 3.03% of cases (Table 1).

During the HUTT, HR increased between 0 and 50 bpm and 0 to 30 bpm in the positive and negative groups. Among 26 patients with a positive tilt test, 17 (65.3%) had VVS, and 9 (34.6%) had cardioinhibitory plus VVS (mixed type).

The average duration of the tilt table test was 10 minutes, to consider it positive. Associated symptoms were paleness in 11 cases (42.2%), dizziness in 8 cases (30.5%),

Property	Head-Up Tilt Test (+)	Head-Up Tilt Test (-)	P Value
Malegender	50.0	27.3	0.070
Mean age	10.59 ± 3.52	10.50 ± 3.88	0.922
Provocation factors			
Stress	19.2	12.1	0.523
Fasting	19.2	9.1	0.112
Seeing blood	15.4	9.1	0.109
Longtime standing	11.5	0.0	0.096
Insomnia	0.0	3.0	0.978
Heat	3.8	3.0	0.834
While blood sampling	0.0	3.0	0.978
Positive drug response	46.2	60.6	0.269
Average number of seizure attacks	3.69 ± 2.07	3.76 ± 3.43	0.932
Average duration of seizure attacks	2.06 ± 1.52	1.98 ± 1.71	0.468
Average duration of convulsive disorder diagnosis	25.30 ± 19.23	24.75 ± 21.68	0.710

^a Values are expressed as % or mean \pm SD.

weakness in 7 cases (26.9%), falling in 19 cases (72.9%), headache in 3 cases (11.9%), decreased level of consciousness in 16 cases (61.5%), and clonic movement in 4 cases (15.38%).

Ten patients from the negative group received sublingual nitroglycerin as a stimulant during the HUTT. There were no specific changes in these patients. During the test, 2 patients showed seizure-like movements such as clonic movements and a decrease in the level of consciousness. In these patients, tests stopped, and EEG was performed, which did not show any specific points regarding the seizure. Patients were followed up with the diagnosis of psychogenic seizure.

Also, in the positive group, a patient was diagnosed with VVS due to clonidine side effects (drug-related syncope). Attacks were stopped after discontinuing clonidine during a 1-year follow-up.

Most anti-seizure drugs used in patients were sodiumvalproate in 71.9%, phenobarbital in 18.8%, carbamazepine in 15.6%, vigabatrin in 3.1%, risperidone in 3.1%, and clonidine in 1.7% of patients.

About 26.9% and 15.5% of patients had a positive family history of convulsive disorders in the positive and negative groups, respectively. ECG was normal in all patients.

Forty-nine patients had brain imaging, and 14 had accidental findings, such as subarachnoid cyst and Chiari malformation, increasing perivascular space, and nonspecific signal change in white matter. Electroencephalogram was abnormal in 12 cases (46.1%) in the positive group and 10 cases (30.3%) in the negative group. Epileptiform sharp waves were the most prevalent in the parieto-occipital region (27.2%), and other abnormal findings include scattered sharp waves, slow paroxysmal waves, and beta excess activity in patients on anticonvulsant or benzodiazepines (Table 2).

5. Discussion

The result of the present study indicates the advantage of HUTT in differentiating syncope from epileptic seizures. The test result of 44% of the children in this study was positive, and they had symptoms of syncope. Two patients had clonic seizures and loss of consciousness during the test. The head-up tilt test can be helpful in children with repeated paroxysmal attacks, normal EEG, and a lack of suitable response to antiepileptic drugs (AED).

Although syncope and pre-syncope are common in children, they have low mortality and complications (18, 19). Convulsive movements and recurrent loss of consciousness can be considered epileptic events, especially in the presence of an abnormal EEG, while, in fact, it is a syncope or pre-syncope event.

In many cases, retaking the medical history of the patient's repeated convulsions and monitoring can be helpful. However, an accurate description of attacks and access to video monitoring (with video EEG monitoring (VEM)) is needed, which is not always available due to costs. In such cases, less expensive and more functional tools, such as a tilt table test, can be helpful (20-22).

able 2. Comparison of Paraclinical Findings Between Patients in the Positive and Negative Head-Up Tilt Test Group ^a				
Property	Head-Up Tilt Test (+)	Head-Up Tilt Test (-)	P Value	
Abnormal MRI findings	23.1	24.2	0.984	
Electroencephalogram findings				
Normal	61.5	63.6	0.432	
Focal epileptiform sharp wave	34.6	9.1	0.009	
Paroxysmal sharp wave	3.8	27.3	0.010	

^a Values are expressed as %.

Antiepileptic drugs were tapered for these patients who were diagnosed with VVS, and they did not show any seizures after 18 \pm 6 months of follow-up. When considering the time elapsed between medication withdrawal and seizure recurrence, 23.3% relapsed during weaning or within a month of medication discontinuation. Cumulative relapse rates were 53.3% of children within 6 months, 66.7% during the first year, and 90% within 3 years (23). A recent official report from the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) suggests that before starting treatment, it is imperative that the diagnosis of epilepsy be definite. It is also critical before prescribing antiepileptic medicines that the proposed benefits of treatment are greater than the potential consequences of treatment (24).

Stereotypical and tonic gaze is one of the reasons why syncope and pre-syncope are misdiagnosed for convulsive seizures. Also, differences between epileptic convulsions and convulsive syncope may be difficult for observers and physicians. The pathology of syncope and pre-syncope disorder is not clear (25-27). Despite similar symptoms in syncope and convulsive seizures, there are sometimes differentiating items in medical history and patients' symptoms. These items include perspiration, nausea, vomiting, and pallor prior to the patient's movements.

In similar studies, HUTT was positive in 40% to 70% of patients with syncope and pre-syncope compared with 44% in our study. Unlike other studies, the rate of positive response did not increase with subligual nitroglycerin TNG in our study (28, 29).

If the distinction between seizure and syncope is not clear, despite the patient's medical history and EEG, probably unnecessary anticonvulsants will be prescribed for them and lead to strategic errors (4, 30). In our study, all patients had interictal EEG, and 37.5% were reported as abnormal. Half of the patients diagnosed with epilepsy and receiving anticonvulsants had positive HUTT results. As we know, HUTT is a complementary test, and the patients must be selected correctly to increase its predicting value. Although the tilt test is effective in differentiating cardiogenic syncope from neutrally mediated loss of consciousness, it has moderate specificity and sensitivity. On the other hand, the positive tilt test is not the reason for implanting a cardiac pacemaker; however, a detailed history and electrocardiographic studies, in addition to the tilt test, are determinants of deciding to implant a pacemaker in patients with loss of consciousness.

In cases that are resistant to seizures or are atypical, cardiologists and neurologists need to work together to accurately diagnose the type of disease. This cooperation is important in cases such as autonomic neuropathies, pseudosyncope, and pseudoseizures.

In this study, we would like to underline that despite the detailed, careful, evidence-based history taking, complete examination, and appropriate workups (as well as considering that VEM is an excellent but not available and also expensive test), distinguishing between seizures and syncope is still a big dilemma. Whereas HUTT can be useful in distinguishing syncope from seizure but cannot be useful in differentiating other types of a decrease in loss of consciousness LOC levels such as hypoglycemia, keep in mind that HUTT is an accessible test that requires less skill and time to interpret and has a cost benefit for patients, insurance companies, and health care system.

A number of patients and their parents did not cooperate properly to perform HUTT. In addition to the small sample size, our participants were highly selected by experienced pediatric neurologists, and this bias in case selection led to the high number of positive head-upright tilt test results in our study.

5.1. Conclusions

Our study showed that HUTT is a non-invasive test that can be a good modality for early and proper diagnosis in children with a history of poorly controlled epilepsy or patients with poor response to treatment and non-diagnostic FFG.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: M. B., M. M. N., and M. R. Kh.; acquisition of data: M. B., M. M. N.,

and M. R. Kh.; analysis and interpretation of data: M. B., M. M. N., and M. R. Kh.; drafting of the manuscript: M. R. Kh., M. R., H. T., and F. F.; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: M. M. N. and M. R. Kh.; statistical analysis: M. B., M. M. N., and M. R. Kh.; study supervision: M. B., M. M. N., and M. R. Kh.

Conflict of Interests: No conflict of interests.

Data Reproducibility: The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval: The study was designed and performed according to the principles of Good Clinical Practice Guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. Data were obtained as part of the thesis of Meisam Babaei, which was approved by the Committee for Clinical Ethics of the Pediatric Neurology Research Center in Mofid Children's Hospital (code: IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1396.152).

Funding/Support: No funding.

References

- Furukawa T. Role of head-up tilt table testing in patients with syncope or transient loss of consciousness. *J Arrhythm*. 2017;**33**(6):568–71. doi: 10.1016/j.joa.2017.08.002. [PubMed: 29255502]. [PubMed Central: PMC5728999].
- Sheldon R, Faris P, Tang A, Ayala-Paredes F, Guzman J, Marquez M, et al. Midodrine for the Prevention of Vasovagal Syncope : A Randomized Clinical Trial. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174(10):1349–56. doi: 10.7326/M20-5415. [PubMed: 34339231].
- Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Sivilotti MLA, Le Sage N, Yan JW, Huang P, Hegdekar M, et al. Multicenter Emergency Department Validation of the Canadian Syncope Risk Score. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(5):737-44. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0288. [PubMed: 32202605]. [PubMed Central: PMC7091474].
- Shmuely S, Bauer PR, van Zwet EW, van Dijk JG, Thijs RD. Differentiating motor phenomena in tilt-induced syncope and convulsive seizures. *Neurology*. 2018;**90**(15):e1339–46. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000005301. [PubMed: 29549227].
- El-Hussein MT, Cuncannon A. Syncope in the Emergency Department: A Guide for Clinicians. J Emerg Nurs. 2021;47(2):342–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jen.2020.11.002. [PubMed: 33317859].
- Rawlings GH, Jamnadas-Khoda J, Broadhurst M, Grunewald RA, Howell SJ, Koepp M, et al. Panic symptoms in transient loss of consciousness: Frequency and diagnostic value in psychogenic nonepileptic seizures, epilepsy and syncope. *Seizure*. 2017;48:22-7. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2017.03.015. [PubMed: 28371670].
- Marzuillo P, Guarino S, Tipo V, Apicella A, Grandone A, Diplomatico M, et al. Micturition Syncope in Childhood: How to Recognize and Manage It. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2019;35(5):e86–9. doi: 10.1097/PEC.00000000001345. [PubMed: 29135903].
- White JL, Hollander JE, Pines JM, Mullins PM, Chang AM. Electrocardiogram and cardiac testing among patients in the emergency department with seizure versus syncope. *Clin Exp Emerg Med*. 2019;6(2):106– 12. doi: 10.15441/ceem.18.003. [PubMed: 31261481]. [PubMed Central: PMC6614053].
- Hussain S, Raza Z, Giacomini G, Goswami N. Support Vector Machine-Based Classification of Vasovagal Syncope Using Head-Up Tilt Test. *Biology (Basel)*. 2021;10(10). doi: 10.3390/biology10101029. [PubMed: 34681130]. [PubMed Central: PMC8533587].

- Hernandez-Ojeda J, Arbelo E, Jorda P, Borras R, Campuzano O, Sarquella-Brugada G, et al. The role of clinical assessment and electrophysiology study in Brugada syndrome patients with syncope. *Am Heart J.* 2020;**220**:213–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2019.10.016. [PubMed: 31864099].
- Brignole M, Rivasi G. New insights in diagnostics and therapies in syncope: a novel approach to non-cardiac syncope. *Heart.* 2021;**107**(11):864–73. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-318261. [PubMed: 33462120].
- Palmisano P, Dell'Era G, Russo V, Zaccaria M, Mangia R, Bortnik M, et al. Effects of closed-loop stimulation vs. DDD pacing on haemodynamic variations and occurrence of syncope induced by headup tilt test in older patients with refractory cardioinhibitory vasovagal syncope: the Tilt test-Induced REsponse in Closed-loop Stimulation multicentre, prospective, single blind, randomized study. *Europace*. 2018;20(5):859–66. doi: 10.1093/europace/eux015. [PubMed: 28407148].
- Buszko K, Kujawski S, Newton JL, Zalewski P. Hemodynamic Response to the Head-Up Tilt Test in Patients With Syncope as a Predictor of the Test Outcome: A Meta-Analysis Approach. *Front Physiol*. 2019;**10**:184. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00184. [PubMed: 30899228]. [PubMed Central: PMC6416221].
- Tiefenbach J, Cox ER, Paterson M, Iannoukos D, Scott S, Hoeritzauer I, et al. Testing the 'seizure scaffold': What can experimental simulation tell us about functional seizures? *Epilepsy Behav*. 2020;**113**:107518. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107518. [PubMed: 33217665].
- Charalambous M, Gomes SA, Papageorgiou S, Orioles M. Epileptic Seizures Versus Syncope: Pathophysiology and Clinical Approach. *Vet.* 2017;2(1). doi: 10.18849/ve.v2i1.58.
- Joo BE, Koo DL, Yim HR, Park J, Seo DW, Kim JS. Seizure-like activities in patients with head-up tilt test-induced syncope. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(51). e13602. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000013602. [PubMed: 30572468]. [PubMed Central: PMC6320058].
- Zou R, Wang S, Zhu L, Wu L, Lin P, Li F, et al. Calgary score and modified Calgary score in the differential diagnosis between neurally mediated syncope and epilepsy in children. *Neurol Sci.* 2017;**38**(1):143–9. doi: 10.1007/s10072-016-2740-5. [PubMed: 27747448].
- Guo Y, Chen X, Zeng T, Wang L, Cen L. Age Is a Predictor for the Syncope Recurrence in Elderly Vasovagal Syncope Patients With a Positive Head-Up Tilt Test. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:667171. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.667171. [PubMed: 34268342]. [PubMed Central: PMC8276848].
- Buszko K, Piatkowska A, Kozluk E, Fabiszak T, Opolski G. Entropy Measures in Analysis of Head up Tilt Test Outcome for Diagnosing Vasovagal Syncope. *Entropy (Basel)*. 2018;**20**(12). doi: 10.3390/e20120976. [PubMed: 33266699]. [PubMed Central: PMC7512576].
- Sartori S, Nosadini M, Tessarin G, Boniver C, Frigo AC, Toldo I, et al. First-ever convulsive seizures in children presenting to the emergency department: risk factors for seizure recurrence and diagnosis of epilepsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2019;61(1):82–90. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14015. [PubMed: 30191957].
- Onorati F, Regalia G, Caborni C, Migliorini M, Bender D, Poh MZ, et al. Multicenter clinical assessment of improved wearable multimodal convulsive seizure detectors. *Epilepsia*. 2017;**58**(11):1870–9. doi: 10.1111/epi.13899. [PubMed: 28980315].
- Kelly DM, Costello DJ. Can syncope cause convulsive seizures in adults? *Clin Auton Res*. 2017;**27**(4):283–7. doi: 10.1007/s10286-017-0443-5. [PubMed: 28667576].
- Hindley D, Staniforth H. Seizure relapse following medication withdrawal in childhood epilepsy. *Arch Dis Child*. 2011;96(1):113. doi: 10.1136/adc.2010.199455. [PubMed: 20930015].
- Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, Bogacz A, Cross JH, Elger CE, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(4):475–82. doi: 10.1111/epi.12550. [PubMed: 24730690].
- 25. Woo MH, Shin JW, Oh SH, Kim OJ. Tonic Upward Eyeball Deviation Mimicking Non-Convulsive Occipital Lobe Status Epilepticus

That Was Induced by Hydrocephalus. *J Epilepsy Res.* 2018;**8**(1):49–53. doi: 10.14581/jer.18008. [PubMed: 30090762]. [PubMed Central: PMC60666698].

- Samia P, Wilmshurst JM. Common Childhood Epilepsy Mimics. In: Salih MAM, editor. *Clinical Child Neurology*. 2020. p. 743–65. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-43153-6_23.
- 27. Milligan TA. Epilepsy: A Clinical Overview. *Am J Med*. 2021;**134**(7):840–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2021.01.038. [PubMed: 33775643].
- Hamrefors V, Fedorowski A, Strandberg K, Sutton R, Isma N. Procoagulatory changes induced by head-up tilt test in patients with syncope: observational study. *Thromb J*. 2017;15:16. doi: 10.1186/s12959-017-0139-z.

[PubMed: 28649180]. [PubMed Central: PMC5477734].

- 29. Tajdini M, Aminorroaya A, Rahimi B, Mortazavi SH, Vasheghani Farahani A, Sadeghian S, et al. Comparison of trinitroglycerin and adenosine as provocative agents for head-up tilt test in patients with unexplained syncope: a semi-crossover randomized clinical trial with prospective follow-up. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol.* 2021;**60**(1):31–9. doi: 10.1007/s10840-019-00652-6. [PubMed: 31907833].
- Heyer GL, Held T, Islam MP. Quantitative electroencephalography characteristics of tilt-induced neurally-mediated syncope among youth. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2019;130(5):752-8. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019.02.012. [PubMed: 30903828].