Published online 2020 March 3.

Case Report

A Multidisciplinary Approach to Manage of a Recurrent Congenial Diaphragmatic Hernia; A Case Report

Amir Pirooz¹, Gelareh Biazar ¹^{2,*}, Farnoush Farzi² and Mohammad Reza Habibi²

¹Department of Surgery, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

²Anesthesiology Research Center, Department of Anesthesiology, Alzahra Hospital, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology, Anesthesiology Research Center, Department of Anesthesiology, Alzahra Hospital, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran. Email: gelarehbiazar1386@gmail.com

Received 2019 October 24; Revised 2020 January 30; Accepted 2020 February 07.

Abstract

Recurrent congenial diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) causes challenging conditions. In spite of several advantageous of laparoscopic surgery, this method is associated with the higher risk of recurrence. We described a three- month infant with a recurrent CDH following a successful laparoscopic repair. First, he did not have any specific symptoms and was at a high risk of misdiagnosis. He underwent an emergency surgery with proper surgical and anesthesia management. In order to achieve desired goals in CDH recurrence cases, an early diagnosis, urgent intervention, and a constant communication between the surgeon and the anesthesiologist are crucial. The parents should also be informed about the potential risks of recurrence after even a successful surgical repair.

Keywords: Congenial Diaphragmatic Hernia, Recurrence, Repair

1. Introduction

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) is a malformation found in 1 in every 2500 - 4000 newborns (1), with a survival rate of 70% - 90% (2). It is characterized by incomplete formation of diaphragm causing defects allowing herniation of abdominal contents into the chest (3). This mass-like effect prevents the lungs development. Clinically, according to the severity of pulmonary hypoplasia, the range of this abnormality can vary from an asymptomatic case to severe respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms with unstable hemodynamics. These conditions are managed by surgery interventions including invasive methods or minimally invasive strategies (MIS) like laparoscopy and thoracoscopy (4-8). However, recurrence might occur as an unpredictable complication after a complete initial repair (9). In this paper, a case of a successful management of a re-herniated CDH is described. Considering that it is not a common abnormality and recurrence cases are even rarer, it seems worthy to report.

2. Case Presentation

In this case report, a three-month infant with a history of laparoscopic CDH repair at birth, with recurrence, is presented. After a successful surgery, he was discharged in a good health status. He had a normal life and development during the three months. However, he suddenly began agitation, poor nutrition, and crying with no specific reason. He was visited by a pediatrics physician administrating just Pedilok syrup. His conditions got worse; fever, vomiting, diarrhea, and dyspnea were over imposed to his symptoms. In the next visit, the diagnosis was a simple viral gastroenteritis. However, on the third day, he developed severe dyspnea with a scaphoid chest and was then immediately admitted at the pediatric hospital. Imaging evaluations confirmed the clinical findings supporting the recurrence of CHD (Figure 1) and an emergency operation was planned. At the time of admission, the three-month infant weighting 6 kg presented with respiratory rate 65/min, oxygen saturation (SPO₂) on room 85%-88% improved to 90% - 92% with four liters oxygen. Firstly, the standard monitoring and initial hydration were performed and he was pre-oxygenated with 100% oxygen for three minutes. Intravenous atropine 0.02 mg/kg and fentanyl 2 μ g/kg was administrated as premedication. Sevoflurane was started with 2% and increased to 4% and after receiving atracurium 0.5 mg/kg as the muscle relaxant; he was intubated with an un-cuffed four mm endotracheal tube and underwent ventilator support. Ventilator settings were 15-Hz frequency with peak inspiratory pressures (PIP) kept below 24 mmHg, positive end expi-

Copyright © 2020, Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

ratory pressure (PEEP) at 3 - 5 Cm H₂O and 55% FiO₂. The proper insertion site was confirmed by checking bilateral equal air entry. Sevoflurane 2% with oxygen were as anesthesia maintenance. In spite of being aware from the potential risks of general anesthetic agents neurotoxicity in infants exception $\alpha 2$ agonists and opioids, we could not avoid them due to the type of surgery requiring deep anesthesia with a proper muscle relaxation (10, 11). After confirming the proper depth of anesthesia, he underwent a laparotomy through a transverse left upper quadrant incision (Figure 2). The defect was repaired under direct vision with interrupted sutures. At the end of the surgery before complete closure of the defect a chest tube number 18 was inserted. At the end of the surgery, the effects of muscle relaxant were reversed by neostigmine 0.04 mg/kg and atropine 0.02 mg/kg and then a good respiratory effort started. Surgery was completed with no adverse event and he was transferred to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), and after 48 hours we planned for extubation. Fortunately it was well tolerated and he had an uneventful post extubation period. He was discharged with optimal conditions after 12 days (Figure 3). We followed him via telephone contacts for six months and fortunately no unexpected event was reported by his parents. We strongly believed that reporting this case could help the families with the similar conditions and awaring physicians from the threatening consequences of completely assuring the patients and the families. Therefore we clarified the importance of the issue to the parents and they permitted us to broadcast this case.

3. Discussion

The major causes of CDH mortality is pulmonary hypoplasia and severe pulmonary hypertension.

This is due to the hypo-plastic lungs of the neonate cannot, effectively excrete CO_2 , during the CDH repair (12). Additionally, gas insufflation results in raised intra-cavity pressure and the risk of barotrauma (13). CDH surgical repair is still challenging conditions, which are performed traditionally or by MIS. Each approach has some advantages and disadvantages. While open surgery is associated with musculoskeletal deformities in future, physiological, and respiratory complications; MIS provides less risk of adhesions but higher risk of recurrence, longer operation time, and difficult visualization (14). Lansdale et al., 2010, in a meta-analysis reported that MIS methods had greater risk of re-herniation (12). Considering suturing in a limited space of the neonate hemi thorax, restricted movements of native diaphragmatic tissue and less substantial tissue involved in suture and patches, recurrence following this surgical method could be anticipated (9). In an-



Figure 1. Recurrent CDH



Figure 2. Open surgical repair

other research, 3067 neonates were followed up after CDH repair and it was revealed that MIS were independently associated with higher risk of recurrence (15). Studies have emphasized that the influencing factors for re-herniation are not well known yet. Obviously surgeon's technique



Figure 3. Repaired diaphragmatic hernia

and experience are important (16). In a cohort study conducted by Putnam et al. (17), a total of 3332 neonates with the history of CDH repair were followed up. They reported that 2.3% of the cases with the median age of 78 (28 - 112) days experienced recurrence and MIS methods, larger defect, liver herniation, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) were associated with the higher risk of re-herniation (17). In these cases anesthesiologists are also faced by a number of difficulties such as pulmonary hypoplasia, complications of mask ventilation due to the fear of high volumes, and the proper decision for extubation (1). Furthermore, parents should be well informed about the risks and benefits of two surgical methods. In this case, the surgeon had discharged the neonate in a healthy status and had tried to eliminate all parents' fear and anxieties, thus, when his conditions were changed and even when he deteriorated more and more, they did not feel that informing the doctors from the mentioned operation might be so crucial. Obviously poor physical exam and medical history obtaining could not be ignored. The whole re-herniation status requires an early diagnosis and urgent intervention. To achieve the goal, informing the parents clearly about the potential risks of recurrence after a successful surgical repair and even a complete healthy status is vital. Spending enough time for the patient performing an exact physical

exam and obtaining a complete medical history is strongly recommended. Finally to minimize perioperative morbidity and mortality a constant communication between the surgeon and anesthesiologist is crucial.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Amir Pirooz the main surgeon, gave information about the previous and the current surgery, Gelareh Biazar wrote the manuscript, Farnoush Farzi provided anesthesia required information, and Mohammad Reza Habibi peered the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: None of the authors had any conflicts of interest regarding this paper.

Ethical Approval: We received ethical exemption status from the Research Ethics Committee of Guilan University of Medical Sciences.

Funding/Support: This study was not sponsored.

Informed Consent: We obtained informed consent from the parents. The name and other information of the child were secured.

References

- Rao SH, Natarajan S, Pallavi V. Anesthetic management of staged thoracoscopic repair of bilateral eventration of diaphragm in a neonate. *Anesth Essays Res.* 2016;10(1):118–21. doi: 10.4103/0259-1162.165497. [PubMed: 26957704]. [PubMed Central: PMC4767072].
- Testini M, Girardi A, Isernia RM, De Palma A, Catalano G, Pezzolla A, et al. Emergency surgery due to diaphragmatic hernia: Case series and review. World J Emerg Surg. 2017;12:23. doi: 10.1186/s13017-017-0134-5. [PubMed: 28529538]. [PubMed Central: PMC5437542].
- Kosinski P, Wielgos M. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia: Pathogenesis, prenatal diagnosis and management - literature review. *Ginekol* Pol. 2017;88(1):24-30. doi: 10.5603/GP.a2017.0005. [PubMed: 28157247].
- Clifton MS, Wulkan ML. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia and diaphragmatic eventration. *Clin Perinatol.* 2017;44(4):773–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2017.08.011. [PubMed: 29127959].
- Fujishiro J, Ishimaru T, Sugiyama M, Arai M, Suzuki K, Kawashima H, et al. Minimally invasive surgery for diaphragmatic diseases in neonates and infants. *Surg Today.* 2016;46(7):757-63. doi: 10.1007/s00595-015-1222-3. [PubMed: 27246508].
- McHoney M. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia, management in the newborn. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2015;**31**(11):1005–13. doi: 10.1007/s00383-015-3794-9. [PubMed: 26403792].
- Zani A, Zani-Ruttenstock E, Pierro A. Advances in the surgical approach to congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2014;19(6):364–9. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2014.09.002. [PubMed: 25447986].
- Burgos CM, Modee A, Ost E, Frenckner B. Addressing the causes of late mortality in infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2017;**52**(4):526–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2016.08.028. [PubMed: 27624564].
- Al-Iede MM, Karpelowsky J, Fitzgerald DA. Recurrent diaphragmatic hernia: Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2016;**51**(4):394–401. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23305. [PubMed: 26346806].
- Sedighinejad A, Soltanipour S, Rimaz S, Biazar G, Chaibakhsh Y, Badri Kouhi M. General anesthesia-related neurotoxicity in the developing brain and current knowledge and practice of physicians

at Guilan Academic Hospitals. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2019;**9**(4). e92366. doi: 10.5812/aapm.92366. [PubMed: 31750093]. [PubMed Central: PMC6820294].

- Biazar G, Farzi F, Naderi Nabi B, Atrkarroushan Z, Chaibakhsh Y, Rostami Lima S. General anesthesia-related neurotoxicity: Status of pediatric surgeries at an academic hospital in the north of Iran. *J Comp Ped.* 2019;**10**(4). doi: 10.5812/compreped.92316.
- Lansdale N, Alam S, Losty PD, Jesudason EC. Neonatal endosurgical congenital diaphragmatic hernia repair: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2010;252(1):20–6. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181dca0e8. [PubMed: 20505506].
- Guarino N, Teramoto H, Shima H, Oue T, Puri P. Effect of mechanical ventilation on the pulmonary expression and production of elastin in nitrofen-induced diaphragmatic hernia in rats. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2002;**37**(9):1253–7. doi: 10.1053/jpsu.2002.34974. [PubMed: 12194112].
- 14. Romano A, D'Amore D, Esposito G, Petrillo M, Pezzella M, Romano

FM, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of laparoscopic surgery in patients with large hiatal hernia. A single center study. *Int J Surg Case Rep.* 2018;**48**:142–4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2018.04.036. [PubMed: 29913430]. [PubMed Central: PMC6005792].

- Putnam LR, Tsao K, Lally KP, Blakely ML, Jancelewicz T, Lally PA, et al. Minimally invasive vs open congenital diaphragmatic hernia repair: Is there a superior approach? J Am Coll Surg. 2017;224(4):416-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2016.12.050. [PubMed: 28147253].
- Kamran A, Zendejas B, Demehri FR, Nath B, Zurakowski D, Smithers CJ. Risk factors for recurrence after thoracoscopic repair of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). J Pediatr Surg. 2018;53(11):2087–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2018.04.007. [PubMed: 30017067].
- Putnam LR, Gupta V, Tsao K, Davis CF, Lally PA, Lally KP, et al. Factors associated with early recurrence after congenital diaphragmatic hernia repair. J Pediatr Surg. 2017;52(6):928–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2017.03.011. [PubMed: 28359590].