Published online 2021 January 6.

Brief Report

The Effects of Oil Spill Eater II on Oil Degradation

Mahdieh Yaghoubi¹, Ali Haghmoradkhani², Mohammad Rafiee¹ and Mahsa Jahangiri-Rad^{3,*}

¹Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
²Arman Mohit Pak Iranian Company, Tehran, Iran
³Water Purification Research Center (WPRC), Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Water Purification Research Center (WPRC), Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. Email: mahsajahangiri_64@yahoo.com

Received 2020 June 28; Revised 2020 September 14; Accepted 2020 September 29.

Abstract

Background: Hydrocarbon contamination is considered to be a crucial environmental pollutant, which threatens the marine ecosystem and indirectly affects human health. Petrochemical industries are among the major sources of oil release into the environment. Several approaches are used to remove hydrocarbons from contaminated water, including biological, mechanical, and chemical methods. Oil Spill Eater II (OSE II) is an EPA-listed liquid nutrient with enzymes for the removal of hydrocarbons or other organic contaminants, which has also been proposed for the elimination of oil contaminates worldwide.

Objectives: The present study aimed to evaluate the effects of OSE II on hydrocarbon degradation from contaminated seawater. **Methods:** In accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer, a combination of seawater and crude oil of various regions was prepared with a specific proportion. The amount of total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) before the addition of OSE II and after the treatment with OSE II was analyzed via gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

Results: The maximum removal rate of TPH from seawater was within the range of 59-75.5% after the OSE II treatment and 7-15 days of aeration. In addition, GC-MS indicated that the initial sharp peaks declined substantially due to the impact of OSE II on the destruction of hydrocarbon chains.

Conclusions: According to the results, OSE II exhibited a high potential for the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons.

Keywords: Oil Spill Eater II, Marine, Hydrocarbons

1. Background

The release of oil spills, whether unintentionally or advertently, causes water and soil pollution (1). Water contamination with hydrocarbons endangers the health of aquatics as hydrocarbons easily accumulate in their tissues, thereby leading to death or mutations (2). Several techniques have been used to remediate the environments that are polluted with oils; such examples are mechanical methods (e.g., floatation) (3, 4), evaporation (5), adsorption (6), and chemical treatment (7). However, these methods are costly and may result in the deficient decomposition of the hydrocarbons with a complex structure (8).

Bioremediation is the process of using microorganisms to remove or convert pollutants into non-hazardous products. It is an evolving method with the advantages of cost- effectiveness and noninvasiveness to the species that are adapted to the environment (9). Many researchers have investigated the use of various natural microorganism populations to partially destroy or remove hydrocarbons (10, 11). Among the more soluble and toxic compounds found in polluted water, aromatic compounds (e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene isomers, and phenols) are of great concern as the removal of these compounds is extremely strenuous. Furthermore, they are highly toxic, which hinders the direct use of biological treatments (12, 13). To overcome this limitation, Oil Spill Eater II (OSE II) has been introduced and applied extensively for the reduction of clean-up costs and permanent elimination of hazardous wastes without the need for secondary clean-up (14).

OSE II is a biological enzyme that converts wastes into a natural food source for the native bacteria of the environment, with the end result of CO_2 and water. In fact, OSE II usage is preferred over other chemicals owing to its independency of any foreign bacteria or indigenous organisms. OSE II contains biosurfactants and nutrients that attract the indigenous bacteria found in the environment, which rapidly colonize in numbers, thereby accelerating spill remediation (15).

Copyright © 2021, International Journal of Health and Life Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Objectives

Due to the high concentration of oil contaminants around oil rigs, their spread in the affected water environment is highly likely. The present study aimed to assess the effects of OSE II on the reduction of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) provided by various oil companies (Bahregan, Lavan, Qeshm, and Siri crude oil) in the samples collected from the seawater in Bushehr, as an example of the aquatic environment hosting hydrocarbons, during summer 2019.

3. Methods

Initially, a specific amount of crude oil, which was collected from different regions, was mixed with seawater (obtained from Bushehr region) in accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer (15). In the next step, a combination of seawater and hydrocarbon was mixed with a specific ratio of OSE II in compliance with the relevant instructions (15), and the resulting mixture was oxygenated using an aerator with the air flow of 1.5 l/min.

Overall, the current research was conducted in three stages (1). Initially, 255 milliliters of OSE was mixed with 3.62 liters of seawater (2). Afterwards, 100 milliliters of various hydrocarbons was added to one liter of seawater, and the TPH level was measured (3). At the next stage, 100 milliliters of the prepared OSE mixture in the first stage was added to the mixture prepared in the third stage to assess the effect of OSE on TPH degradation. All the experiments were performed at the temperature of 250C. During the research periods, the solution was sampled, and the concentration of TPH was determined via gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in accordance with the EPA procedure (16). The proof of the TPH destruction effectiveness was evaluated by the decline of the hydrocarbons and calculated by its removal efficiency using the following equation:

$$Removal\% = \frac{C_{0-}Ce}{C_0} \tag{1}$$

where C₀ and C_e represent the initial and final TPH concentrations (ppm), respectively.

4. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the initial TPH values of each sample and their corresponding removal rates after the OSE II treatment and aeration. Accordingly, the maximum TPH concentration was observed in the Siri crude oil, followed by the crude oil of Bahregan and Lavan. The initial minimum TPH concentration belonged to Qeshm island crude oil. The oil removal rates were within the ranges of 36.28-55.01% and 56.63-70.58% following the treatment with OSE II and 7-15 days of aeration.

OSE II has been listed on the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) Schedule as a nutrient/enzyme additive and is composed of nitrogen, phosphorus, readily available carbon, and vitamins for the prompt colonization of indigenous and fast growing bacteria (17). In a survey conducted by Zwick et al. (1997), OSE II was reported to enhance hydrocarbon biodegradation in a fuel-contaminated vadose zone (18). Surprisingly, the findings presented in Table 1 imply that higher TPH values led to increased removal efficiencies, which suggests that higher hydrocarbons that might serve as carbon source favor the growth of oil-degrading bacteria. This is consistent with the findings of Tersagh et al. (2016), which demonstrated that bacterial growth increased with the higher substrate concentration (19), implying the utilization of petroleum hydrocarbons in all the treatment options.

In the current research, the TPH structures were within the range of C_{10} - C_{36} (Figure 1), indicating the presence of gasoline range organics (C_6 - C_{10}), diesel range organics (C_{11} - C_{28}), and oil range organics (C_{12} - C_{36}) (20). As is depicted in Figure 1, the TPH concentrations decreased substantially after 15 days of treatment.

5. Conclusion

Although the formulation of OSE II is not publicly known, its mineral nutrients, amylase and protease enzymes, molasses as a carbon source, and oleophilic surfactant could act as effective materials in the removal of oil contaminants.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: M. Y. and A. H. conducted the experiments; M. R. supervised all the stages of the study and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript; M. J. R. drafted the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support: The authors have no funding support.

| Table 1. Total Concentration of Hydrocarbons at Initial Stage in Samples (TPH1), after OSE II Addition and Seven-day Aeration (TPH2), and after 15-day Aeration (TPH3) | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Sample No. | Types of Crude Oil | TPH1 (ppm) | TPH2 (ppm) | Removal, % | TPH3 (ppm) | Removal, % |
| 1 | Bahregan | 7200 | 4360 | 39.44 | 3123 | 56.63 |
| 2 | Lavan | 7087 | 4516 | 36.28 | 2933 | 58.61 |
| 3 | Qeshm | 5181 | 2980 | 42.48 | 2197 | 57.60 |
| 4 | Siri | 10587 | 4763 | 55.01 | 3115 | 70.58 |



References

- Holliger C, Gaspard S, Glod G, Heijman C, Schumacher W, Schwarzenbach RP, et al. Contaminated environments in the subsurface and bioremediation: organic contaminants. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 1997;20(3-4):517–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00334.x. [PubMed: 9299718].
- Alvarez PJ, Vogel TM. Substrate interactions of benzene, toluene, and para-xylene during microbial degradation by pure cultures and mixed culture aquifer slurries. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1991;**57**(10):2981-5. doi: 10.1128/AEM.57.10.2981-2985.1991. [PubMed: 1746958]. [PubMed Central: PMC183908].
- Curbelo FDS, Garnica AIC, Oliveira EL, Neto ELB. Oil removal in produced water by adsorption using expanded and hydrophobized vermiculite as adsorbent. *Afinidad*. 2006;63(521):35–9.
- Garcia-Segura S, Ocon JD, Chong MN. Electrochemical oxidation remediation of real wastewater effluents – A review. *Process Saf Environ Prot.* 2018;113:48–67. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2017.09.014.
- Lawrence AW, Miller JA, Miller DL, Hayes TD. Regional Assessment of Produced Water Treatment and Disposal Practices and Research Needs. SPE/EPA Exploration and Production Environmental Conference. Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2013.
- Lin SH, Juang RS. Adsorption of phenol and its derivatives from water using synthetic resins and low-cost natural adsorbents: a review. *J Environ Manage*. 2009;**90**(3):1336–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.09.003. [PubMed: 18995949].
- Chakrabarty B, Ghoshal AK, Purkait MK. Ultrafiltration of stable oil-in-water emulsion by polysulfone membrane. *J Memb Sci.* 2008;**325**(1):427-37. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2008.08.007.
- Tchobanoglus G, Burton F, Stensel HD. Wastewater engineering: Treatment and reuse. J Am Water Works Assoc. 2003;95(5):201.
- Guerra AB, Oliveira JS, Silva-Portela RCB, Araujo W, Carlos AC, Vasconcelos ATR, et al. Metagenome enrichment approach used for selection of oil-degrading bacteria consortia for drill cutting residue bioremediation. *Environ Pollut*. 2018;235:869–80. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.014. [PubMed: 29353803].

- Tao K, Liu X, Chen X, Hu X, Cao L, Yuan X. Biodegradation of crude oil by a defined co-culture of indigenous bacterial consortium and exogenous Bacillus subtilis. *Bioresour Technol.* 2017;**224**:327–32. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.073. [PubMed: 27815043].
- Tremblay J, Yergeau E, Fortin N, Cobanli S, Elias M, King TL, et al. Chemical dispersants enhance the activity of oil- and gas condensatedegrading marine bacteria. *ISME J*. 2017;11(12):2793-808. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.129. [PubMed: 28800137]. [PubMed Central: PMC5702735].
- Coutinho FM, Neves MA, Dias ML, Alves GM. Large Surface Area Divinylbenzene Copolymers for Size Exclusion Chromatography. J High Resolut Chromatogr. 1999;22(1):63–6. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1521-4168(19990101)22:1<63::aid-jhrc63>3.0.co;2-l.
- Bader MSH. Seawater versus produced water in oil-fields water injection operations. *Desalination*. 2007;208(1-3):159–68. doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2006.05.024.
- 14. OSEI. *Oil Spill Eater International, Corp.* 2013, [cited 04 Apr]. Available from: http://www.osei.us/.
- 15. OSEI. Oil spill eater ii: Technical information. 2011. Available from: www. osei.us.
- Uhler RM, Healey EM, McCarthy KJ, Uhler AD, Stout SA. Molecular Fingerprinting of Gasoline by a Modified EPA 8260 Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Method. Int J Environ Anal Chem. 2003;83(1):1–20. doi: 10.1080/0306731031000104218.
- U.S. EPA. Spill NCP Product Schedule. 2002. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/oilspill.
- Zwick TC, Foote EA, Pollack AJ, Boone JL, Alleman BC, Hoeppel RE, et al. Effects of nutrient addition during bioventing of fuel contaminated soils in an arid environment. *In-situ on-site bioremediat*. 1997:403–9.
- Tersagh I, Bo O, Po O, Gc O. Growth Kinetics of Aerobic Heterotrophic Bacteria and Cyanobacteria During Biodegradation of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon in Bodo Creek. J Pet Environ Biotechnol. 2016;7(5). doi: 10.4172/2157-7463.1000299.
- Nsikak U. Benson, Joseph P. Essien, Akan B. Williams, Godwin A. Ebong. Petroleum Hydrocarbons Accumulation Potential of Shell-fishes from Littoral Waters of the Bight of Bonny, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Res J Environ Sci.* 2007;1(1):11–9. doi: 10.3923/rjes.2007.11.19.