Published online 2021 May 1.

Research Article

The Effects of Multiple Drilling Method on Improving the Functional Level and Reduction of Complaints in Patients with Knee Osteochondritis Dissecans

Mohammad Fakoor¹, Samaneh Rashidi¹ and Masoud Kalantari^{1,*}

¹Department of Orthopedics, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

Corresponding author: Department of Orthopedics, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. Email: masoudkal@gmail.com

Received 2020 November 29; Revised 2021 January 25; Accepted 2021 April 14.

Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the effects of multiple drilling technique on therapeutic outcomes of patients with osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) of the knee.

Methods: This controlled clinical trial was conducted on 24 patients aged 13 - 45 years with OCD types II and III according to the Dipaola classification and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) assessments. The patients were classified into two groups (n = 12), including multiple drilling and control. Assessments including radiographic examinations, Tegner-Lysholm knee score, knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score (KOOS), and visual analog scale (VAS) were performed before, three, and six months after treatment (surgery or conservative) for all patients, and the results were compared between the two groups.

Results: After three months, Lysholm score was "good" and "fair" in the intervention and control groups, respectively. After six months, this index was "excellent" in the intervention group and "poor" in the control group. The KOOS score was significantly higher, and pain intensity was significantly lower in the intervention group three and six months after follow-up (P < 0.05). The union was obtained in all patients of the intervention group in the last follow-up, and no surgical failure was reported in the patients. **Conclusions:** Significant improvements in the functional level and pain reduction were observed in patients treated with multiple drilling technique. Our results also showed high rates of union healing with low complication rates using multiple drilling technique.

Keywords: Osteochondritis Dissecans, Knee, Multiple Drilling, Functional Level, Lysholm Knee Score

1. Background

Osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) is a complication in which part of the joint bone separates from the rest of the bone due to a lack of blood supply. This disease usually affects the femoral condyles, and it is more common in the internal condyle of the femur in 85% of cases. Early detection causes the treatment to be more effective and reduces the risk of side effects. In the chronic type of OCD, when conventional treatments fail, surgical procedures including arthroscopic or open operations are recommended. In this regard, surgical methods are divided into two types of reparative and restorative surgeries. The most common reparative surgical procedures are arthroscopic debridement, abrasion arthroplasty, microfracture, and multiple drilling, and in the restorative surgical procedures, a new cartilage is inserted in the lesion area (1-3). When conservative management fails to heal OCD lesions, then drilling of the lesion to stimulate healing may

be beneficial. This method enables additional drill holes to be positioned perpendicular to the OCD lesions, particularly the posterior lesions with minimal intra-articular access. This procedure also prevents intraoperative damage to the superjacent intact articular cartilage and facilitates bone healing through the fenestration of the sclerotic rim around the OCD lesion. Also, because of using orthoscopic method, multiple drilling is the least invasive method. OCD drilling results are often desirable. Retroarticular drilling approaches have resulted in high rates of recoveries with low complications (4-6). Moreover, unlike surgical procedures of osteochondral, perichondrial, periosteal, or chondral autograft, there is no problem of morbidity of the removed site in this method (7, 8). Considering the various methods proposed with the advantages, deficiencies, and different levels of efficacy, the most appropriate method for treatment of OCD of the knee has not been introduced yet.

Copyright © 2021, Jundishapur Journal of Chronic Disease Care. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Objectives

This study aimed to investigate the clinical and functional outcome of treatment with multiple drilling technique and the satisfaction rate of treatment in patients with OCD of the knee.

3. Methods

After obtaining permission from the Research Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (Code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1396.906), this clinical trial (Code: IRCT20200819048462N1) was conducted on 24 patients aged 13 - 45 years with OCD types II and III according to Dipaola classification in Ahvaz Imam Khomeini Hospital. One year before the treatment, OCD was diagnosed based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), standing anterior-posterior, 45° posterior, anterior, and lateral radiographic images between symptomatic patients. In the multiple drilling group, the knee joint was completely investigated arthroscopic through anterolateral portal (standard portal), and lesions greater than 2 cm were excluded from the study.

Exclusion criteria were history of ligament or meniscus injury causing a major problem for the patient; fracture history in the last four weeks; valgus or varus deformity with 5 degree medial or lateral deviation; morphine consumption for more than three months; osteoarthritis caused by inflammatory diseases including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), gout, chondrocalcinosis, etc.; history of any surgery around the knee; history of intra-articular knee injection in the last six months; and severe pain in the patient or musculoskeletal dysfunction.

The eligible patients were divided into two groups (n = 12), including the multiple drilling and control groups. The patient selection flowchart is presented in Figure 1. In the intervention group, surgical treatment was performed with multiple drilling technique. The multiple drilling technique was performed using a Trocar, and 4 - 6 weeks after surgery, the knee movement was limited by a brace to control the range of motion and partial weight bearing. In the control group, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were prescribed for one month along with 15 sessions of physiotherapy in the form of isometric exercises and adjustment of daily activities. All patients signed written consent for participating in this study, and all procedures performed in our research were in accordance with the related ethical standards.

Assessments were performed before, three, and six months after surgery, including clinical examinations, duration of return to previous activities, and completion of Lysholm, knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score (KOOS), SF-36, and visual analog scale (VAS) (0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain imaginable)) questionnaires. The Tegner Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale equal to 100 indicated no problems, and 0 indicated extreme problems. Also, other scores were categorized as follows: 65 > (Poor), 65 - 83 (Fair), 84 - 90 (Good), and 90 > (Excellent).

To describe the qualitative data, frequency, and percentage and for quantitative variables mean, and standard deviation were used. chi-square, paired, and independent *t*-test were used to compare the data of the two groups. All analyses were performed using SPSS software version 22, and the significance level was less than 0.05.

4. Results

The demographic information (age and gender) in the two groups was not significantly different (Table 1).

To assess the severity of functional impairment of knee, both groups completed the Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale questionnaire at three different time points, including before treatment, three, and six months after treatment.

Before treatment, there was no significant difference in the Lysholm score between the two groups. Three months after treatment, the Lysholm score of the intervention group was significantly higher than the control group, so that according to the Lysholm criteria, the results of the treatment for the patients in the intervention and control groups were 'good' and 'fair', respectively. This criterion was also compared between the two groups at six months post-treatment (end-stage follow-up), which was significantly higher in the intervention group. In the final phase, patients in the intervention and control groups were in the 'excellent' and 'poor' categories, respectively (Table 2).

The KOOS questionnaire was completed in three different time points (before, three, and six months after treatment) to assess the outcome of knee injuries. Before treatment, there was no significant difference in the KOOS scores between the two groups. Three months after treatment, the KOOS score of the intervention group was significantly higher than the control group. In the last follow-up (six months after treatment), this criterion was also significantly higher in the intervention group (Table 3).

There was no significant difference in pain scores between the two groups before treatment. The VAS score of the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group three months after treatment, and patients in this group reported significantly lower pain compared to before the treatment. This criterion was also compared between the two groups six months after treatment (the latest follow-up). The pain score of intervention group was significantly lower than the control group so that some pa-



Figure 1. Patient Selection Flowchart

Fable 1. Demographic Information of Participants						
Variables	Grou	D Value				
	Multiple Drilling	Control	- r-value			
Age (y), Mean \pm SD	31.64 ± 7.31	30.81 ± 5.02	0.73			
Gender, No. (%)			0.82			
Male	10 (83.33)	11 (91.67)				
Female	2 (16.67)	1(8.33)				

 Table 2. Comparison of Lysholm Score at Three Different Time Points

Groups	Time Points		
utoups	Before Treatment	3 Months After Treatment	6 Months After Treatment
Multiple drilling	11.82 ± 4.42	66.90 ± 2.77	90.54 ± 0.52
Control	12.18 ± 4.04	45.54 ± 3.44	17.00 ± 1.84
P-value	0.844	< 0.001*	< 0.001*

 Table 3. Comparison of KOOS Score at Three Different Time Points

Grouns	Time Points			
Groups	Before Treatment	3 Months After Treatment	6 Months After Treatment	
Multiple drilling	19.07 ± 0.612	75.70 ± 1.03	79.2 ± 3.18	
Control	19.60 ± 1.04	45.21 ± 3.11	22.65 ± 2.41	
P-value	0.982	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	

tients in the intervention group reported the amount of pain as almost zero (Table 4).

In the intervention group, no surgery failure was reported among the patients, the union was obtained in all patients, and unexplained pain was reported only in patients who had osteochondritis along with knee osteoarthritis, which was considered as one of the confounding factors in the results of the study.

5. Discussion

Knee OCD management continues to be a controversial issue. The American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) issued a new Clinical Practice Guideline that did not offer any suggestion with a 'strong' grade about treatment (9). Since applying multiple drilling for treating adults with OCD has rarely been investigated so far, in this study, we reported the treatment outcome of multiple drilling in 12 patients with OCD of knee. The patients in multiple drilling group reported significant improvement in their functional level based on Lysholm and KOOS scores. The pain score also showed a significant reduction in the intervention group.

In a systematic review, Gunton et al. evaluated 12 studies which analyzed 111 retroarticular and 94 transarticular drillings of stable OCD lesions for their short-term clinical outcomes. During a mean of four to six months, both methods led to close radiographic healing of 86% and 91%, respectively, without any complications (10). In a retrospective research, 40 pediatric cases with femoral condyles osteochondritis treated through arthroscopic multiple transchondral drilling were studied by Hayan et al. based on the clinical and radiological scores. Desirable clinical and radiological results were obtained in 97.5% and 95% of cases, respectively, in which there was a significant correlation between clinical scores and radiological scores (P < 0.001). In their study, favorable clinical and radiological outcomes were observed in all patients exhibiting juvenile condylar osteochondritis with an open growth plate during their treatment. This demonstrated the validity and efficacy of multiple transchondral drilling in this form of the lesion (11). Goebel et al. investigated the outcome of minimally invasive retrograde drilling of femoral osteochondral lesions on 35 juvenile OCD and 19 adult OCD cases using an arthroscopic drill guide. Radiographic scoring showed an improvement in 81.6% of the knees. In general, more desirable radiographic outcomes were observed in juvenile OCD (88.2% healing) than adult OCD (66.7% healing) (12). Boughanem et al. prospectively evaluated outcomes of extra-articular retrograde drilling in OCD of the knee by functional and radiographic assessments. Their results showed that in most adolescents with OCD lesions who did not have a successful non-operative management, retrograde extra-articular drilling can result in clinical and radiographic improvement. They demonstrated that in stable OCD lesions, this technique can decompress the lesion and facilitate revascularization with no disruption on the articular cartilage surface (13). Yonetani et al. studied the outcomes of OCD of the medial femoral condyle treated with transarticular drilling. In their study, all 18 patients regained their former level of sports activity, and outstanding functional results were reported. They concluded that outstanding functional outcomes can be achieved by transarticular drilling for stable juvenile OCD. The osteochondral type, however, can affect the radiographic results (14). Edmonds et al. investigated the extra-articular and intra-epiphyseal drilling for OCD of the knee in 59 patients, and their results showed that both

Time Points			
Before Treatment	3 Months After Treatment	6 Months After Treatment	
8.98 ± 1.51	5.02 ± 2.41	2.46 ± 1.88	
9.11 ± 0.72	9.02 ± 0.08	8.12 ± 1.22	
0.82	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	
	Before Treatment 8.98 ± 1.51 9.11 ± 0.72 0.82	Time Points Before Treatment 3 Months After Treatment 8.98 ± 1.51 5.02 ± 2.41 9.11 ± 0.72 9.02 ± 0.08 0.82 < 0.001*	

controls by employing intra-articular drilling for patients with unsuccessful initial conservative management (15). Donaldson et al. identified the outcomes of extra-articular drilling for stable OCD in the skeletally immature knee. Based on the obtained results, they concluded that when non-operative management of stable JOCD is not effective, arthroscopic extra-articular drilling can be a beneficial approach to secure the articular surface and accelerate bone healing (16). Adachi et al. investigated functional and radiographic results of 20 stable juvenile OCDs of the knee treated with retroarticular drilling without bone grafting. A significant increase in the mean Lysholm score was reported after the operation (from 72.3 to 95.8), and healing was observed on plain radiographs after an average of 4.4 months and on MRI after 7.6 months. The results of their study showed that retroarticular drilling without bone grafting can result in better clinical results and increase the healing rate. They found that retroarticular drilling is a good method for patients with stable juvenile OCD of the knee who experienced an unsuccessful initial non-operative treatment (17). In a review study, Kumar et al. reviewed 25 articles, including outcomes of treatment for Grade I OCD in young professional athletes. They observed that most authors have reported good to excellent results of drilling of early OCD in skeletally mature patients. They recommended initial non-operative management in patients with open physis. If the lesion progresses or the conservative treatment fails, a reparative, restorative, or palliative surgical intervention may be performed. They recommended reparative surgery either through retro- or transarticular drilling for Stage I OCD lesions in patients having closed physis (18). In another study, Shaikh et al. evaluated 17 cases of juvenile OCD of the femoral condyle of the knee treated by transarticular drilling approach; they reported that in the case where conservative treatments do not result in any signs of healing or where the lesion is not stable, the lesion can be treated surgically, either just drilling or fixation accompanied by drilling to increase the level of healing (19). Chiang et al. reported the treatment outcome of multiple drilling in a 20-year-old male with a massive OCD lesion at the lateral femoral condyle, accompanied by a non-complete type discoid meniscus. After one year of

of them can lead to favorable outcomes over the historical

follow-up, both VAS and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores significantly improved; images also suggested nearly complete remission of the OCD lesion and meniscus tear. They suggested that multiple transchondral drilling would be an adequate treatment option for adult OCD of lateral femoral condyle (20).

The main limitations of this study were the small sample size due to the non-prevalence of the disease and the lack of access to all operated patients during follow-up, which reduced the number of studied patients.

5.1. Conclusion

The results of the study showed a significant improvement in the functional level and pain in patients treated with the multiple drilling technique. To more accurately evaluate the treatment outcomes and the recurrence rate of the disease, it is recommended to design a long-term prospective study (several years), as well as a study comparing the multiple drilling technique with other treatment techniques proposed for the treatment of OCD of knee.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences for supporting the study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Conceptualization: M.F., S.R. and M.K.; Methodology: M.F.; Software: S.R.; Validation: M.F. and M.K.; Formal analysis: M.K. and S.R.; Investigation: M.F. and M.K.; Resources: M.F., S.R. and M.K.; Data curation: M.F., S.R. and M.K.; Writing-original draft preparation: S.R.; Writing-review and editing: M.F.; Visualization: M.F. and M.K.; Supervision: M.F.; Project administration: M.F.; Funding acquisition: M.F.

Clinical Trial Registration Code: The present study was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (Code: IRCT20200819048462N1).

Conflict of Interests: Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: All procedures of the study were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran (Ethics Code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1396.906).

Funding/Support: This research was supported by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (U-96148).

Informed Consent: All patients signed written consent for participating in this study, and all procedures performed in our research were in accordance with the related ethical standards.

References

- Accadbled F, Vial J, Sales de Gauzy J. Osteochondritis dissecans of the knee. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2018;104(15):S97-S105. doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2017.02.016. [PubMed: 29197636].
- Andriolo L, Crawford DC, Reale D, Zaffagnini S, Candrian C, Cavicchioli A, et al. Osteochondritis dissecans of the knee: Etiology and pathogenetic mechanisms. A systematic review. *Cartilage*. 2020;**11**(3):273-90. doi: 10.1177/1947603518786557. [PubMed: 29998741]. [PubMed Central: PMC7298596].
- Andriolo L, Candrian C, Papio T, Cavicchioli A, Perdisa F, Filardo G. Osteochondritis dissecans of the knee - conservative treatment strategies: A systematic review. *Cartilage*. 2019;**10**(3):267-77. doi: 10.1177/1947603518758435. [PubMed: 29468901]. [PubMed Central: PMC6585290].
- Alsaad MA, Yassen MK. Arthroscopic screw fixation versus arthroscopic subchondral bone drilling in osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) of the knee. *Iraq Postgraduate Med J.* 2017;16(1).
- Lee CS, Larsen CG, Marchwiany DA, Chudik SC. Extra-articular, intraepiphyseal drilling for osteochondritis dissecans of the knee: Characterization of a safe and reproducible surgical approach. Orthop J Sports Med. 2019;7(2):2325967119830400. doi: 10.1177/2325967119830397. [PubMed: 30834281]. [PubMed Central: PMC6396050].
- Lewine EB, Miller PE, Micheli LJ, Waters PM, Bae DS. Early results of drilling and/or microfracture for grade iv osteochondritis dissecans of the capitellum. J Pediatr Orthop. 2016;36(8):803–9. doi: 10.1097/BPO.00000000000575. [PubMed: 26090983].
- Ikuta Y, Nakasa T, Ota Y, Kanemitsu M, Sumii J, Nekomoto A, et al. Retrograde drilling for osteochondral lesion of the talus in juvenile patients. *Foot Ankle Orthop.* 2020;5(2):247301142091613. doi: 10.1177/2473011420916139.
- Gracitelli GC, Moraes VY, Franciozi CE, Luzo MV, Belloti JC. Surgical interventions (microfracture, drilling, mosaicplasty, and allograft transplantation) for treating isolated cartilage defects of the knee in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;9. CD010675. doi:

10.1002/14651858.CD010675.pub2. [PubMed: 27590275]. [PubMed Central: PMC6457623].

- Shea KG, Carey JL, Brown GA, Murray JN, Pezold R, Sevarino KS. Management of osteochondritis dissecans of the femoral condyle. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2016;24(9):e102–4. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00226. [PubMed: 27479835].
- Gunton MJ, Carey JL, Shaw CR, Murnaghan ML. Drilling juvenile osteochondritis dissecans: retro- or transarticular? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013;**471**(4):1144–51. doi: 10.1007/s11999-011-2237-8. [PubMed: 22274726]. [PubMed Central: PMC3586042].
- Hayan R, Phillipe G, Ludovic S, Claude K, Jean-Michel C. Juvenile osteochondritis of femoral condyles: treatment with transchondral drilling. Analysis of 40 cases. *J Child Orthop.* 2010;4(1):39–44. doi: 10.1007/s11832-009-0225-2. [PubMed: 20016956]. [PubMed Central: PMC2811686].
- Goebel S, Steinert A, Rucker A, Rudert M, Barthel T. [Minimally invasive retrograde drilling of osteochondral lesions of the femur using an arthroscopic drill guide]. *Oper Orthop Traumatol.* 2011;23(2):111-20. Germany. doi: 10.1007/s00064-011-0014-1. [PubMed: 21455741].
- Boughanem J, Riaz R, Patel RM, Sarwark JF. Functional and radiographic outcomes of juvenile osteochondritis dissecans of the knee treated with extra-articular retrograde drilling. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;**39**(10):2212-7. doi: 10.1177/0363546511416594. [PubMed: 21828362].
- Yonetani Y, Tanaka Y, Shiozaki Y, Kanamoto T, Kusano M, Tsujii A, et al. Transarticular drilling for stable juvenile osteochondritis dissecans of the medial femoral condyle. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012;20(8):1528–32. doi: 10.1007/s00167-011-1736-1. [PubMed: 22072323].
- Edmonds EW, Albright J, Bastrom T, Chambers HG. Outcomes of extra-articular, intra-epiphyseal drilling for osteochondritis dissecans of the knee. J Pediatr Orthop. 2010;30(8):870–8. doi: 10.1097/BPO.0b013e3181f5a216. [PubMed: 21102215].
- Donaldson LD, Wojtys EM. Extraarticular drilling for stable osteochondritis dissecans in the skeletally immature knee. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2008;**28**(8):831–5. doi: 10.1097/BPO.0b013e31818ee248. [PubMed: 19034173].
- Adachi N, Deie M, Nakamae A, Ishikawa M, Motoyama M, Ochi M. Functional and radiographic outcome of stable juvenile osteochondritis dissecans of the knee treated with retroarticular drilling without bone grafting. *Arthroscopy*. 2009;25(2):145–52. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2008.09.008. [PubMed: 19171273].
- Kumar V, Bhatnagar N, Lodhi JS. Grade i osteochondritis dissecans in a young professional athlete. *Indian J Orthop*. 2018;**52**(4):344–52. doi: 10.4103/ortho.IJOrtho_322_17. [PubMed: 30078890]. [PubMed Central: PMC6055463].
- Shaikh HH, Vicha J, Procek T, Pavlata J, Kucera T. Osteochondritis dissecans of the knee in children and adolescents: Our experience with transchondral drilling. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)*. 2015;58(3):98–103. doi: 10.14712/18059694.2015.101. [PubMed: 26686950].
- Chiang C, Hong C, Yeh M, Chih W, Su W. Multiple transchondral drilling would be an adequate treatment option for adult osteochondritis dissecans: A case report. *Formos J Med Humanit*. 2019;10(3):120–4.