



Comparing Personality Types, Everyday Memory, and Rumination Among Stroke, Heart Attack, and Dialysis Patients

Fatemeh Barani,¹ Bahman Kord Tamini,^{2,*} and Hamed Amiri Fard³

¹M.A Student in General Psychology, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, IR Iran

²Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, IR Iran

³Department of Neurology, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Bahman Kord Tamini, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Department of Psychology, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, IR Iran. Tel: +915-3407469, Fax: +98-5431136768, E-mail: bahmanpsy@gmail.com

Received 2017 September 09; Revised 2017 September 28; Accepted 2017 October 08.

Abstract

Background: Psychological causes, in addition to some physiological factors, can play roles in the development of non-communicable diseases. Stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients experience physical and cognitive disorders. This study was carried out to compare personality types, everyday memory, and rumination among stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients.

Methods: In this descriptive study, which followed by a causal-comparative design, 90 stroke (30), heart attack (30), and dialysis (30) patients were selected in Zahedan, in 2017, using a convenience sampling method. Data were collected using NEO Personality Types Inventory (NEO - 60), Sunderland Everyday Memory Scale, as well as the Ghorbani Rumination-Reflection Questionnaire and were analyzed step by step via SPSS₂₃.

Results: Results indicated that there were significant differences among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients with regard to openness. However, no significant differences were found considering other personality types ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, mean scores on rumination and everyday memory of the stroke patients were greater than those of the dialysis and heart attack patients.

Conclusions: As long as a person's cognitive system is involved with stressful events related to a trauma, his/her memory structure has a low performance, which decreases adherence and response to rehabilitation and affects the person's quality of life as well as improvement of his/her performance.

Keywords: Personality Types, Everyday Memory Rumination, Stroke, Heart Attack, Dialysis

1. Background

Nowadays, patterns of diseases in the world have changed from contagious and infectious diseases to non-communicable diseases, which are caused by several factors, including culture, machine life, nutrition, industrialization, and, in general, lifestyle changes (1). In addition to physiological factors, psychological causes, especially in the form of people's personality traits, contribute to the incidence of such diseases (2). Although evidence has suggested that physical factors can predict up to 50% of these diseases, they are not able to explain the incidence and persistence of these diseases by themselves. Recent advances in behavioral medicine have attracted health psychologists' attentions to key roles that non-biological factors play in the development of these diseases. Research on such diseases has long focused on psychological and psychosocial factors (3). Moreover, stroke (4), heart attack (5), and dialysis (6) patients experience some sort of cognitive disorders. In the current study, we aimed to evaluate per-

sonality types, everyday memory, and rumination among stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients. Furthermore, we discussed each of them in the following section.

Stimulants and stressors in everyday life lead to psychological stress and emotional distress. There are some factors that make differences in responding to stressors. Among these factors, people's personality types and levels of exposure to stressful life events can be mentioned. Due to personal differences, some emotions caused by changes in these people affect their immune system and show themselves as psychosomatic diseases (7). A five-factor model has been introduced by many contemporary trait theorists as the basis for presenting a personality structure. This personality model, also applied as a questionnaire, has many potential applications for guiding and choosing professional backgrounds, health, longevity, personality detection, pathology, and decision making regarding carrying out psychological and psychotherapy treatments (8). According to this model, an interpersonal variance in personality traits can be explained by 5 signif-

icant personality dimensions, namely, extroversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, and openness (9). Khoosfi et al. (10), in a study entitled "A Comparative Study of Personality Factors, Stressful Life Events, and Social Support in 150 Coronary Heart Patients and 150 Non-Patients" figured out that people with coronary heart diseases experienced more stressful events compared to non-patients. Hence, it seems that people with a neuroticism personality trait benefit from less mental health compared to others. Furthermore, results of a study conducted by Shafiei et al. (11) showed that there were significant differences in personality traits among cardiovascular patients, renal patients, and normal individuals.

The next variable is everyday memory, which refers to a daily use of multiple aspects of a memory system such as training and recognition (12). Examples of everyday memory problems and cognitive impairments may include forgetting the location of familiar objects in the house, forgetting to take essential things when leaving the house/office, not recognizing acquaintances, or forgetting important events that occurred in the previous day (13). The prevalence of moderate to severe cognitive impairments among dialysis patients is twice as much as that in the general population. Although the risk of dealing with severe cognitive impairments is higher among stroke patients compared to hemodialysis patients (6), cognitive functioning plays an important role in the treatment of dialysis (14, 15). When examining cognitive disorders among patients with chronic renal diseases and brain diseases, researchers indicated that the prevalence of cognitive disorders was higher among the renal patients compared to that in the other group (16).

Rumination is another variable of this study. These thoughts are conscious and sustained cognitive functions in which a person focuses on negative aspects of himself/herself and the surrounding world and has difficulties in controlling these thoughts (17-19). Rumination can act as an effective factor in developing psychological issues such as depression, anxiety, and long-term health outcomes, including cardiovascular diseases and other chronic conditions (20). Researchers believe that rumination is a long lasting phenomenon accompanied with serious clinical consequences (21, 22), which lead to the formation and continuation of many mental disorders (23, 24). Cognitive and perceptual damages can influence people's ability to take part in everyday life activities. Therefore, identifying and solving their cognitive issues is of significant importance (25).

2. Objectives

Due to the lack of research in this area, the study aimed to compare personality types, everyday memory, and rumination among stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients. This study can provide useful information on these diseases and the patients' needs in this area.

3. Methods

This study was casual-comparative. The current study had a statistical population constituting of all stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients referred to healthcare centers in Zahedan to be examined and to undergo required treatments in 2016 - 2017. In the present study, given the limited statistical population, among all patients referred to these centers, a sample of 90 patients (30 stroke patients, 30 heart attack patients, and 30 dialysis patients) was selected and tested using the convenience sampling method. This study was conducted after obtaining an informed consent from all subjects participating. Patients with acute conditions and those who were not able to fill out questionnaires were not considered in the research sample.

In this study, 3 questionnaires were used, which are as follows:

1. NEO Personality Types Inventory: This inventory was designed by McCrae and Costa in 1985 based on a factor analysis. It has 2 forms (a long form that includes 240 items and a short form, which contains 60 items). In the current study, the short form of this inventory was applied to evaluate 5 main domains of neuroticism (N), extraversion (E), openness (O), agreeableness (A), and conscientiousness (C). The items are scored based on a 5-point Likert-type scale, i.e. totally disagree (0) to totally agree (4). Some items are scored diversely. McCrae and Costa demonstrated that its alpha coefficient ranged from 0.74 to 0.89 with a mean of 0.81. In the present study, using a Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the validity of each of these 5 factors, i.e. neuroticism, extraversion, openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness, was 0.74, 0.64, 0.41, 0.59, and 0.83, respectively.

2. Everyday Memory Scale: This scale was developed by Sunderland et al. (1983) to assess everyday memory. This scale includes 28 items. The items are scored based on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 to 9 (1 = not at all during the past 6 months to 9 = more than once a day). A total score in the range of 28 to 58 indicates that a person has a good memory. A score in the range of 58 to 116 shows a moderate memory and a score in the range of 116 to 243 demonstrated a lower than moderate memory. The content validity of this scale was confirmed by university professors and a number of experts. In a study conducted by Barghi Irani

(2013), using a Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the reliability of this scale was 0.85. In this study, using a Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the reliability of this scale was 0.84.

3. Rumination-Reflection Questionnaire: This questionnaire was introduced by Ghorbani et al. (2008). It includes 24 items and constitutes of 2 subscales of rumination and reflection. The items are scored based on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = totally disagree, 2 = somehow disagree, 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = somehow agree, and 5 = totally agree). A total score is obtained by summing up scores on these 24 items. A minimum score is 24 and a maximum score is 120. The validity of this questionnaires was confirmed in a study carried out by Ghorbani et al. (2008). Additionally, using a Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the reliability of this questionnaire was obtained greater than 80%. In this study, using a Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the reliability of this questionnaire was 0.70.

First, after referring to the Vice-Chancellor of Research and Technology of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, This study was approved by the Ethics Committee. After getting the code (IR.ZAUMS.OTH.REC.1395.3) to collect data, the authors referred to clinics and healthcare centers in Zahedan. After getting required permissions, the sample was selected among the patients referred to these centers. Then, the main objectives of carrying out this study were explained to the participants and the NEO Personality Types Inventory, the Everyday Memory Scale, and the Rumination-Reflection Questionnaire were respectively distributed among them. The participants were asked to fill out these questionnaires precisely, select their answers according to their characteristics, and do not leave any questions unanswered. Carrying out this study took 9 months and the questionnaires were completed individually in the clinics and healthcare centers. Whenever a question seemed vague, some additional explanations were also provided. It should be noted that these explanations were provided to avoid any kinds of ambiguity and/or bias.

Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, such as standard deviations and means, as well as statistical methods, including multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVA) and one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), via SPSS₂₃.

4. Results

Based on demographic results obtained from these 90 subjects (30 stroke patients, 30 heart attack patients, and 30 dialysis patients), the subjects' age ranged from 20 to 85 years. 44.4% of the subjects were female and 55.6% of them were male. 93.3% of these subjects were married and 72.2% of them did not return to their jobs after developing their diseases.

In [Table 1](#) the means and standard deviations of the variables are presented separately for each group (stroke, heart attack, and dialysis).

To examine differences in personality types of these 3 groups, the multivariate analysis of variance was used. The effect of the group on the linear combination of the dependent variables is significant ($F = 2.47$, $P < 0.009$, $\eta^2 = 0.13$). The eta-squared coefficient shows that the group variable is able to determine 13% of the group of the variance of the linear combination of the dependent variables. To evaluate whether the effect of the group on each of the dependent variables is significant or not, the multivariate analysis of variance was applied, the results of which are presented in [Table 2](#).

[Table 2](#) presents the results of examining the effects among the subjects (groups). These results indicate that there are no significant differences in the observed F values for neuroticism (1.992), extraversion (2.801), agreeableness (0.919), and conscientiousness (2.344). However, there is a significant difference among these 3 groups with regard to openness ($F = 3.773$, $P = 0.027 < 0.05$). To determine differences among these groups, a Tukey's post hoc test was used. Given the results of the Tukey's test, the difference between the means on openness obtained by the stroke and dialysis groups is significant ($P = 0.028 < 0.05$). The mean score obtained by the stroke group is higher than that of the other group.

To assess differences among these 3 groups in rumination, the one-way analysis of covariance was used.

The results presented in [Table 3](#) show that there is a significant difference in rumination among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients ($F = 7.414$, $P < 0.05$). To determine differences among these groups, the Tukey's post hoc test was used. According to the results of the Tukey's test, the stroke group obtained the highest mean score on rumination as well as the dialysis and heart attack groups respectively obtained the 2nd and 3rd highest mean scores on rumination. In fact, the mean score obtained by the stroke group is higher than that of the dialysis group but the difference between them is not significant.

To investigate differences among these 3 groups in everyday memory, the one-way analysis of covariance was used.

The results presented in [Table 4](#) show that there is a significant difference in everyday memory among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients ($F = 7.957$, $P < 0.05$). To determine differences among these groups, the Tukey's post hoc test was used. According to the results of the Tukey's test, the stroke group obtained the highest mean score on everyday memory and the dialysis and heart attack groups respectively obtained the 2nd and 3rd highest mean scores on everyday memory. In fact, the mean score

Table 1. The Means and (Standard Deviations) of the Variables Presented Separately for Each Group

Variable	Subscale	Stroke	MI	Dialysis	Total
Personality traits	Neuroticism	26.33 (46.6)	23.27 (8.83)	22.97 (6.08)	24.19 (7.31)
	Extraversion	24.70 (4.93)	27.33 (7.95)	28.20 (4.36)	26.74 (6.08)
	Openness	25.67 (4.03)	25.00 (4.87)	22.63 (4.52)	24.43 (4.63)
	Agreeableness	28.90 (5.96)	30.53 (5.42)	28.83 (5.07)	29.42 (5.49)
	Conscientiousness	30.80 (7.23)	34.70 (8.00)	33.37 (5.87)	32.96 (7.19)
Rumination	Rumination	44.40 (5.22)	39.30 (6.99)	43.73 (4.12)	42.48 (7.19)
Everyday memory	Everyday memory	124.37 (19.95)	102.10 (28.94)	123.97 (24.49)	116.81 (26.60)

Table 2. Results of the Multivariate Analysis of Variance

Source	Dependent Variable	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Partial Eta Squared
Groups	Neuroticism	208.289	2	104.144	1.992	0.143	0.044
	Extraversion	199.356	2	99.678	2.801	0.066	0.061
	Openness	152.467	2	76.233	3.773	0.027	0.080
	Agreeableness	55.622	2	27.811	0.919	0.403	0.021
	Conscientiousness	235.756	2	117.878	2.344	0.102	0.051
Error	Neuroticism	4549.500	87	52.293			
	Extraversion	3095.767	87	35.584			
	Openness	1757.633	87	20.203			
	Agreeableness	2632.333	87	30.257			
	Conscientiousness	4376.067	87	50.300			

Table 3. Results of the One-Way Analysis of Covariance

Variable	Source of Change	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Groups					
							1	2	1	3	2	3
Rumination	Between	461.089	2	230.544	7.414	0.001	0.002*		0.889		0.008*	
	Within	2705.367	87	31.096			0.002*		0.889		0.008*	
	Total	3166.456	89				0.002*		0.889		0.008*	

obtained by the stroke group is higher than that of the dialysis group, however the difference between them is not significant.

5. Discussion

The objective of this study was to compare personality types, everyday memory, and rumination among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients. The results of the current study showed that there was a significant difference between the stroke and dialysis groups in terms of openness; however, no significant differences were found among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients with

regard to neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness. These findings are not in line with the results of Khoosfi et al. (10) and Shafiei et al. (11). However, they are consistent with results of Tabaka et al. (26), who demonstrated that there was a significant difference in openness among chronic patients. However, it should be noted that chronic and serious diseases, like cancer, stroke, and heart diseases, have long-term consequences on personality traits. As a result, understanding and evaluating environmental characteristics are inherently related to sustainable and transferable personality traits. Hence, mental, psychological, and psychiatric measurements should be taken into consideration to improve

Table 4. Results of the One-Way Analysis of Covariance

Variable	Source of Change	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Groups					
							1	2	1	3	2	3
Everyday Memory	Between	9741.156	2	4870.578	7.957	0.001	0.002*		0.998		0.003*	
	Within	53256.633	87	612.145			0.002*		0.998		0.003*	
	Total	62997.789	89				0.002*		0.998		0.003*	

these patients' quality of life.

Other results of this study indicated that there were differences among the stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients with regard to the mean scores on rumination. Although there was a difference between the mean scores of the stroke and dialysis groups on rumination, this difference was not significant. In spite of the fact that all available databases and sources of information were searched, no previously carried out studies, which compared rumination among stroke, heart attack, and dialysis patients were found. However, since rumination is a cognitive mechanism (27), results of a study conducted by Anstey, Mack, and Von Sanden (28) are in line with the results of this study. These researchers figured out that cognitive functions and cognitive impairments played less important roles in the mortality of patients with cardiovascular diseases compared to roles they played in the mortality of stroke and cancer patients. Cognitive disorders occur at all stages of vascular diseases and are likely to have significant effects on the patients' health. These disorders potentially impact various aspects of taking care of a patient including his/her consent to treatment programs and quality of life (29, 30). Despite multiple causes of vascular diseases, especially brain diseases, cognitive disorders play key roles particularly in executive cognitive performance (31-33).

Another finding of the present study was that the mean score on everyday memory obtained by the stroke group was higher than that obtained by the other 2 groups. Although there was a difference in everyday memory between stroke and dialysis patients, this difference was not significant. This finding is not consistent with results of a study conducted by Murray (6) and Davey (16). To explain this finding, it can be stated that in addition to physical issues, cognitive disorders, including memory impairments, can be mentioned among issues with which stroke patients have to deal (34). Memory impairments are among the most debilitating and weakening cognitive impairments, which can occur following a brain damage. Furthermore, they usually prevent patients from returning to their jobs and have independent lives (35). As long as a person's cognitive system is involved with stressful events related to a trauma, his/her memory structure has a low performance (36), which decreases adherence and response

to rehabilitation (37) as well as affects the person's quality of life and improvement of his/her performance (38). On the other hand, the better memory a person has, the more he/she follows a treatment (39).

5.1. Conclusion

Since rumination and everyday memory can be problematic issues for patients and make their conditions worse than they already are, it is necessary to think about rumination. Owing to the fact that rumination is likely to increase symptoms of anxiety and depression and it affects everyday memory, an empirical suggestion, which can be proposed based on the results of this study is that a number of programs aimed at changing and controlling negative thoughts should be implemented in order to lead to rumination. It is also recommended that in case of having such thoughts, cognitive-behavioral treatments be applied in the procedure of treating these patients. Enhancing physician's awareness of the effects of cognitive disorders on daily functioning, quality of life, keeping a diet, and taking a medication is essential. This will improve the physician's knowledge about cognitive disorders and aids patients and their families to decide whether to start or terminate a treatment. Therefore, it is recommended that examining cognitive functioning be considered in all periodic examinations. In this regard, early diagnosis can be made and proper treatments can be provided. Since proper cognitive functioning is a critical factor for promoting and maintaining mental health and quality of life of dialysis patients, conducting cognitive rehabilitation programs for these patients is highly suggested.

The current study faced a number of limitations; hence, caution should be exercised when generalizing these findings. Among the limitations of the present study, the limited number of the participants and problems in accessing the sample can be mentioned. There is definitely a need for carrying out other studies to be able to generalize the obtained results. In this study, personality types, everyday memory, and rumination were only considered. However, it seems that there is a number of other effective variables. Moreover, taking medications by these patients might have influenced the results of this study. It is suggested that future studies examine the issue more

precisely and determine the type of relationships among these variables to be able to generalize the results and reach a richness of information.

Acknowledgments

This article, with an ethical code of IR.ZAUMS.OTH.REC.1395.3, was derived from an MA thesis in General Psychology approved by University of Sistan and Baluchestan. The authors would like to thank all the patients who took part in this study and answered the researchers' questions patiently.

References

- Laslett LJ, Alagona PJ, Clark B3, Drozda JJ, Saldivar F, Wilson SR, et al. The worldwide environment of cardiovascular disease: prevalence, diagnosis, therapy, and policy issues: a report from the American College of Cardiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;**60**(25 Suppl):S1-49. doi: [10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.002](#). [PubMed: [23257320](#)].
- Kapfhammer HP. [The relationship between depression, anxiety and heart disease - a psychosomatic challenge]. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2011;**23**(4):412-24. [PubMed: [22075746](#)].
- Akbari M, MahmoodAliloo M, Aslanabadi N. Effects of negative emotions, social inhibition and Role of gender factor on development of coronary heart disease [In Persian]. *Psychol Stud*. 2008;**4**(1):71-86.
- Robinson RG, Jorge RE. Post-Stroke Depression: A Review. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;**173**(3):221-31. doi: [10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15030363](#). [PubMed: [26684921](#)].
- Haring B, Leng X, Robinson J, Johnson KC, Jackson RD, Beyth R, et al. Cardiovascular disease and cognitive decline in postmenopausal women: results from the Women's Health Initiative Memory Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2013;**2**(6). e000369. doi: [10.1161/JAHA.113.000369](#). [PubMed: [24351701](#)].
- Murray AM, Tupper DE, Knopman DS, Gilbertson DT, Pederson SL, Li S, et al. Cognitive impairment in hemodialysis patients is common. *Neurology*. 2006;**67**(2):216-23. doi: [10.1212/01.wnl.0000225182.15532.40](#). [PubMed: [16864811](#)].
- Spigelman AD, Dwyer P. Is there a link between work-related stress and colorectal cancer?. *Med J Aust*. 2004;**180**(7):339-40. [PubMed: [15059055](#)].
- Lawton EM, Shields AJ, Oltmanns TF. Five-factor model personality disorder prototypes in a community sample: self- and informant-reports predicting interview-based DSM diagnoses. *Personal Disord*. 2011;**2**(4):279-92. doi: [10.1037/a0022617](#). [PubMed: [22200006](#)].
- Ozura A, Ihan A, Musek J. Can the big five factors of personality predict lymphocyte counts?. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2012;**24**(1):66-72. [PubMed: [22447088](#)].
- Khoosefi H, Monirpoor N, Birshak B, Peighambari M. Comparison personality factors, Stress making events and social support among heart coronary patients and healthy people [In Persian]. *Cur Psychol J*. 2007;**2**(3):41-9.
- Shafiei H, Javidi H, Kazemi SA. A Comparison of personality traits and mental health in men and women with heart and kidney disease [In Persian]. *J Woman Soci*. 2011;**2**(2):149-62.
- Lou Smith M, Elliott IM, Lach L. Memory outcome after pediatric epilepsy surgery: objective and subjective perspectives. *Child Neuropsychol*. 2006;**12**(3):151-64. doi: [10.1080/09297040591001076](#). [PubMed: [16837391](#)].
- Montgomery C, Fisk JE, Wareing M, Murphy P. Self reported sleep quality and cognitive performance in ecstasy users. *Hum Psychopharmacol*. 2007;**22**(8):537-48. doi: [10.1002/hup.879](#). [PubMed: [17960556](#)].
- Kalirao P, Pederson S, Foley RN, Kolste A, Tupper D, Zaun D, et al. Cognitive impairment in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2011;**57**(4):612-20. doi: [10.1053/j.ajkd.2010.11.026](#). [PubMed: [21295896](#)].
- Griva K, Stygal J, Hankins M, Davenport A, Harrison M, Newman SP. Cognitive impairment and 7-year mortality in dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2010;**56**(4):693-703. doi: [10.1053/j.ajkd.2010.07.003](#). [PubMed: [20800327](#)].
- Davey A, Elias MF, Robbins MA, Seliger SL, Dore GA. Decline in renal functioning is associated with longitudinal decline in global cognitive functioning, abstract reasoning and verbal memory. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2013;**28**(7):1810-9. doi: [10.1093/ndt/gfs470](#). [PubMed: [23166308](#)].
- Segerstrom SC, Stanton AL, Alden LE, Shortridge BE. A multidimensional structure for repetitive thought: what's on your mind, and how, and how much?. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2003;**85**(5):909-21. doi: [10.1037/0022-3514.85.5.909](#). [PubMed: [14599253](#)].
- Brosschot JF. Markers of chronic stress: prolonged physiological activation and (un)conscious perseverative cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2010;**35**(1):46-50. doi: [10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.01.004](#). [PubMed: [20096302](#)].
- Watkins ER. Constructive and unconstructive repetitive thought. *Psychol Bull*. 2008;**134**(2):163-206. doi: [10.1037/0033-2909.134.2.163](#). [PubMed: [18298268](#)].
- Brosschot JF, Gerin W, Thayer JF. The perseverative cognition hypothesis: a review of worry, prolonged stress-related physiological activation, and health. *J Psychosom Res*. 2006;**60**(2):13-24. doi: [10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.06.074](#). [PubMed: [16439263](#)].
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Wisco BE, Lyubomirsky S. Rethinking Rumination. *Perspect Psychol Sci*. 2008;**3**(5):400-24. doi: [10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x](#). [PubMed: [26158958](#)].
- Brinker JK, Dozois DJ. Ruminative thought style and depressed mood. *J Clin Psychol*. 2009;**65**(1):1-19. doi: [10.1002/jclp.20542](#). [PubMed: [19048597](#)].
- Chawla N, Ostafin B. Experiential avoidance as a functional dimensional approach to psychopathology: an empirical review. *J Clin Psychol*. 2007;**63**(9):871-90. doi: [10.1002/jclp.20400](#). [PubMed: [17674402](#)].
- Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, Lillis J. Acceptance and commitment therapy: model, processes and outcomes. *Behav Res Ther*. 2006;**44**(1):1-25. doi: [10.1016/j.brat.2005.06.006](#). [PubMed: [16300724](#)].
- Mok VC, Wong A, Lam WW, Fan YH, Tang WK, Kwok T, et al. Cognitive impairment and functional outcome after stroke associated with small vessel disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004;**75**(4):560-6. [PubMed: [15026497](#)].
- Tabala K, Wrzesinska M, Stecz P, Kocur J. Personality traits, level of anxiety and styles of coping with stress in people with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease - a comparative analysis. *Psychiatr Pol*. 2016;**50**(6):1167-80. doi: [10.12740/PP/62726](#). [PubMed: [28211555](#)].
- Michl LC, McLaughlin KA, Shepherd K, Nolen-Hoeksema S. Rumination as a mechanism linking stressful life events to symptoms of depression and anxiety: longitudinal evidence in early adolescents and adults. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2013;**122**(2):339-52. doi: [10.1037/a0031994](#). [PubMed: [23713497](#)].
- Anstey K, Mack HA, von Sanden C. The relationship between cognition and mortality in patients with stroke, coronary heart disease, or cancer. *Eur Psychol*. 2006;**11**(3):182-95.
- Sansone RA, Sansone LA. Antidepressant adherence: are patients taking their medications?. *Innov Clin Neurosci*. 2012;**9**(5-6):41-6. [PubMed: [22808448](#)].
- Sehgal AR, Grey SF, DeOreo PB, Whitehouse PJ. Prevalence, recognition, and implications of mental impairment among hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 1997;**30**(1):41-9. [PubMed: [9214400](#)].
- Kurella Tamura M, Xie D, Yaffe K, Cohen DL, Teal V, Kasner SE, et al. Vascular risk factors and cognitive impairment in chronic kidney disease: the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study. *Clin J*

- Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;**6**(2):248–56. doi: [10.2215/CJN.02660310](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.02660310). [PubMed: [20930087](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20930087/)].
32. Weiner DE, Scott TM, Giang LM, Agganis BT, Sorensen EP, Tighiouart H, et al. Cardiovascular disease and cognitive function in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2011;**58**(5):773–81. doi: [10.1053/j.ajkd.2011.03.034](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2011.03.034). [PubMed: [21778003](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21778003/)].
 33. Weiner DE, Bartolomei K, Scott T, Price LL, Griffith JL, Rosenberg I, et al. Albuminuria, cognitive functioning, and white matter hyperintensities in homebound elders. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2009;**53**(3):438–47. doi: [10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.08.022](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.08.022). [PubMed: [19070412](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19070412/)].
 34. Claesson L, Linden T, Skoog I, Blomstrand C. Cognitive impairment after stroke - impact on activities of daily living and costs of care for elderly people. The Goteborg 70+ Stroke Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2005;**19**(2):102–9. doi: [10.1159/000082787](https://doi.org/10.1159/000082787). [PubMed: [15608434](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15608434/)].
 35. Olsson E, Wik K, Ostling AK, Johansson M, Andersson G. Everyday memory self-assessed by adult patients with acquired brain damage and their significant others. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* 2006;**16**(3):257–71. doi: [10.1080/09602010500176328](https://doi.org/10.1080/09602010500176328). [PubMed: [16835151](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16835151/)].
 36. Ang RP, Klassen RM, Chong WH, Huan VS, Wong IY, Yeo LS, et al. Cross-cultural invariance of the Academic Expectations Stress Inventory: adolescent samples from Canada and Singapore. *J Adolesc.* 2009;**32**(5):1225–37. doi: [10.1016/j.adolescence.2009.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2009.01.009). [PubMed: [19232705](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19232705/)].
 37. Ahn DH, Lee YJ, Jeong JH, Kim YR, Park JB. The effect of post-stroke depression on rehabilitation outcome and the impact of caregiver type as a factor of post-stroke depression. *Ann Rehabil Med.* 2015;**39**(1):74–80. doi: [10.5535/arm.2015.39.1.74](https://doi.org/10.5535/arm.2015.39.1.74). [PubMed: [25750875](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25750875/)].
 38. Carota A, Berney A, Aybek S, Iaria G, Staub F, Ghika-Schmid F, et al. A prospective study of predictors of poststroke depression. *Neurology.* 2005;**64**(3):428–33. doi: [10.1212/01.WNL.0000150935.05940.2D](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000150935.05940.2D). [PubMed: [15699370](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15699370/)].
 39. Tronson NC, Taylor JR. Addiction: a drug-induced disorder of memory reconsolidation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2013;**23**(4):573–80. doi: [10.1016/j.conb.2013.01.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.01.022). [PubMed: [23415831](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23415831/)].