Published online 2022 January 9.

Research Article

Region Specificity in Endogenous Opioid Peptides and Mu-opioid Receptor Gene Expression in Rat Brain Areas Involved in Addiction After Frequent Morphine Treatment

Shamseddin Ahmadi ¹, ^{*}, Kayvan Masoudi ¹, ¹, Shiva Mohammadi Talvar¹, Mohammad Zobeiri ¹, Amir Khanizad¹, Shima Fotouhi¹ and Mohammad Majidi ¹

¹Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran

corresponding author: Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran. Email: sh.ahmadi@uok.ac.ir

Received 2021 December 11; Revised 2021 December 28; Accepted 2022 January 01.

Abstract

Backgrounds: Molecular mechanisms involved in adverse effects of morphine, including tolerance and dependence, have remained elusive. We examined possible alterations in the gene expression of proenkephalin (*Penk*), prodynorphin (*Pdyn*), and muojoid receptor (*Oprm1*) in reward brain areas following frequent morphine treatment.

Methods: Two groups of male Wistar rats were used. The groups received either saline (1 mL/kg) or morphine (10 mg/kg) twice daily for eight days. On day 8, rats were decapitated, brain areas involved in addiction were dissected, including the midbrain, striatum, prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and hypothalamus, and gene expression was evaluated with real-time PCR.

Results: Prolonged morphine treatment decreased *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions in the midbrain but upregulated them in the striatum compared to the control group treated with saline. Significant increases in *Pdyn* and *Oprm1* gene expressions were detected in the PFC, but there was no significant difference in *Penk* gene expression between the two groups. Besides, *Pdyn* gene expression was decreased in the hippocampus and hypothalamus; however, no significant differences in *Penk* and *Oprm1* gene expressions were detected between the groups in these areas.

Conclusions: The expression of endogenous opioid peptides and receptors after frequent use of morphine follows a region specificity in brain areas involved in addiction. These alterations may result in new physiological setpoints outside the normal range, which need to be considered when using morphine in medicine.

Keywords: Substance Abuse, Drug Dependence, Gene Expression, Mesocorticolimbic System, Endogenous Opioid Peptides

1. Background

Opioids such as morphine remain the first choice for treating severe acute and chronic pain in clinics (1). However, the long-term use of morphine has adverse side effects, including tolerance and dependence, limiting pain management using the drug, and increasing the risk of drug addiction (2-4). According to research, the repeated use of morphine induces complex neuroadaptive mechanisms in the central nervous system (CNS), leading to drug tolerance and dependence (5-8). Alterations at the gene and protein expression levels with mu-opioid receptors and the synaptic, cellular, and circuit levels may underlie drug tolerance and dependence (3, 9, 10). Tolerance to morphine also involves alterations in the endogenous opioid peptides (11).

Opioid receptors, including mu, delta, and kappa receptors, are expressed throughout the brain, especially in areas associated with pain and reward processing (12). These receptors are the active sites of endogenous opioid peptides and exogenous painkillers such as morphine (13, 14). Among opioid receptors, the mu-opioid receptors are mainly expressed in mesocorticolimbic areas (15). Morphine via binding to presynaptic opioid receptors in pain pathways suppresses the transmission of nociceptive signals and contributes to opioid-induced antinociception (16, 17). Besides, opioid receptor activation throughout cortical, limbic, and midbrain structures modulates emotional response to pain and is responsible for opioid-induced cognitive disorders (18, 19).

There are three different precursors for endogenous opioid peptides, including proopiomelanocortin (POMC), prodynorphin (*PDYN*), and proenkephalin (*PENK*) which produce the main opioid peptides in the body, including β -endorphin, dynorphins, and enkephalins, respectively

Copyright © 2022, Jentashapir Journal of Cellular and Molecular Biology. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

(20). It has been shown that long-term morphine utility can induce modifications in the homeostasis of the *PENK*-containing neurons localized predominantly in the substantia nigra, periaqueductal grey, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and striatum, which may affect emotional responses to pain (21, 22). Besides, *PDYN*-expressing neurons are mainly distributed in the CNS areas associated with pain processing, especially in the spinal cord, brain stem, hypothalamus, and other limbic system areas (23). According to research, the utility of exogenous opioid ligands for inhibiting pain signals affects the number of opioid receptors and influences the endogenous opioid peptides (24). On the other hand, the number of opioid peptides and receptors can influence the effects of exogenous agonists, including morphine, and vice versa (25).

2. Objectives

We aimed to examine possible alterations in the expression of the precursor proteins of endogenous peptides, including *Pdyn* and *Penk*, and mu-opioid receptor (*Oprm1*) at the gene expression level within the mesocorticolimbic pathway, including the midbrain, striatum, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex (PFC), and hypothalamus after an eight-day regimen of frequent morphine treatment.

3. Methods

3.1. Subjects

In the present study, we used male Wistar rats with an average weight of 280 ± 20 g at the beginning of the experiments. The animals were kept in an animal house at a constant temperature (22 ± 2 °C), appropriate humidity (50 - 60 %), and a 12 h light/dark cycle, with illumination beginning at 7:00 (a.m.). The animals had free access to animal feeding pellets and tap water. The international guide-lines for using laboratory animals were followed, consistent with the guidelines of the National Academy of Sciences Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (2011). The protocol of the current experiment was also accepted by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) at the University of Kurdistan (IR.UOK.REC.1398.021).

3.2. Repeated Morphine Treatment

Morphine sulfate was a product of Temad as part of Daroopakhsh Co. (Tehran, Iran) and was liquified in saline immediately before use. Morphine tolerance and dependence were induced according to previous reports at our lab (26, 27). Sixteen rats were randomly separated and allocated into two groups (n = 8 per group), including a control saline-treated group and a morphine-treated group using appropriate software (28). Rats in the control group received saline injections (1 mL/kg) subcutaneously, while rats in the morphine-treated group received morphine at a dose of 10 mg/kg. The drug treatments were done twice a day with eight hours intervals for eight consecutive days.

3.3. Brain Dissection

Four rats from each experimental group were randomly subjected to gene expression examination in the midbrain, striatum, PFC, hippocampus, and hypothalamus. On day 8 of the treatment schedule, all animals in both experimental groups received their treatments with 10 min intervals on the morning session, and then each rat was decapitated two hours after the saline or morphine injection. Then, the whole brain was quickly removed from the skull, and the above-mentioned brain areas were bilaterally dissected on an ice-chilled clean surface (29-31). Each tissue sample was moved to a tube, flooded in liquid nitrogen for fast freezing, and then stored in a -80 freezer before total RNA extraction.

3.4. Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Ten milligrams of tissue samples were subjected to total RNA extraction using a High Pure miRNA Isolation Kit based on the manufacturer's protocol (Roche, Germany). A product of Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA) and its provided manual protocol with the kit was used for complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis. A LightCycler 96 system was used for performing real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Roche, Germany). Each biological sample from each rat was examined in triplicate technical repeats. The PCRs were done in 20 μ L volumes, consisting of 2 μ L mixture of gene-specific primers (5 μ M), 8 μ L cDNA (4 ng/ μ L), and 10 μ L MasterMix (Yekta Tajhiz Azma Co., Tehran, Iran) (32). The thermal cycling initiated with a pre-incubation step at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of two-step amplification (denaturation at 95°C for 5 s and annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 s), and terminated after melting and cooling phases. The gene expression level was evaluated using the Livak $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ method (33). The sequences of the genespecific primers are summarized in Table 1.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test approved the normal distribution of the data. The Brown-Forsythe test was applied for assessing variance equality. An independent *t*-test was used to compare gene expression data between experimental groups. The statistical significance level was set at P < 0.05, and analyses were done using GraphPad Prism version 9.0 software (San Diego, California, USA).

Gene Symbol	Sequences (5′-3′)	Amplicon Size (bp)
Gapdh	F: AGTGCCAGCCTCGTCTCATA	- 77
	R: GGTAACCAGGCGTCCGATAC	
Oprm1	F: CGATTCCAGAAACCACATTTCA	- 66
	R: TGTTCGTGTAACCCAAAGCAAT	
Penk	F: GAAGACAGGACTCCCCAAGG	- 90
	R: GCATTCTGTCTTCCTGGAGGT	
Pdyn	F: AGGATGGGGATCAGGTAGGG	- 80
	R: CTTAAGCTTGGGGGCGAATGC	

Table 1. Primer Sequences Used for Amplifying Specified Genes in Re	eal-time PCR
---	--------------

Abbreviations: Gapdh, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Oprm1, Muopioid receptor 1; Penk, proenkephalin; Pdyn, prodynorphin.

4. Results

4.1. Penk, Pdyn, and Oprm1 Gene Expression Downregulated in the Midbrain After Frequent Morphine Injections

The qPCR results in the midbrain revealed that morphine treatment to induce analgesic tolerance and dependence significantly downregulated *Penk* [t (6) = 3.8, P < 0.01], *Pdyn* [t (6) = 5.94, P < 0.01], and *Oprm1* [t (6) = 3.76, P < 0.01] gene expressions compared to the control group (Figure 1).

4.2. Repeated Morphine Treatment Significantly Increased Penk, Pdyn, and Oprm1 Gene Expressions in the Striatum

The results of the qPCR in the striatum revealed that the continued administration of morphine for eight days significantly upregulated *Penk* [t (6) = 2.8, P < 0.05], *Pdyn* [t (6) = 3.1, P < 0.05], and *Oprm1* [t (6) = 5.1, P < 0.01] gene expressions compared to the control group treated with saline (Figure 2).

4.3. Pdyn and Oprm1 but not Penk Gene Expressions Upregulated in the PFC After Frequent Morphine Injections

The qPCR results in the PFC revealed that eight days of morphine injection significantly upregulated *Pdyn* [t (6) = 4.7, P < 0.01] and *Oprm1* [t (6) = 12.5, P < 0.001] gene expressions compared to the control group treated with saline. However, no significant difference was observed in the *Penk* gene expression in the PFC between the saline and morphine-treated groups [t (6) = 0.2, P > 0.05] (Figure 3).

4.4. Repeated Morphine Injections Significantly Decreased Pdyn But Not Penk and Oprm1 Gene Expressions in the Hippocampus

The qPCR results in the hippocampus revealed that frequent morphine treatment significantly downregulated *Pdyn* gene expression [t (6) = 5.8, P < 0.01]. However, there were no significant differences in the mRNA levels of *Penk* [t (6) = 0.7, P > 0.05] and *Oprm1* [t (6) = 0.3, P > 0.05] in the hippocampus between the experimental groups after morphine treatment (Figure 4).

4.5. Repeated Regimen of Morphine Significantly Decreased Pdyn But Not Penk and Oprm1 Gene Expressions in the Hypothalamus

The qPCR results in the hypothalamus revealed that eight days of morphine treatment significantly downregulated *Pdyn* gene expression [t (6) = 3.6, P < 0.05] compared to the control group. However, the results showed no significant between-group differences in the mRNA levels of *Penk* [t (6) = 0.36, P > 0.05] and *Oprm1* [t (6) = 0.4, P > 0.05] in the hypothalamus (Figure 5).

5. Discussion

We previously showed that frequent morphine injections for eight successive days induced morphine analgesic tolerance and dependence in rats (26, 27). Long-term drug abuse reorganizes cellular and molecular patterns, especially in the brain regions associated with reward and pain processing, which, in turn, alters the responsiveness of the brain to the abused drugs (34, 35). The repeated utilization of opioids such as morphine decreases drug effectiveness known as drug tolerance, limiting its usefulness in medicine and increasing the risk of drug addiction (3). A dominant hypothesis in morphine addiction is that it recruits neuronal circuits and neurotransmitters responding to natural rewards and gradually alters their functions (36, 37). The mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic system has been introduced as a core system in drug addiction. Besides, the internal opioid systems are also involved in the hedonic assessment of natural reinforcements and play a role in the harmful effects of abused drugs (38). The effects of many abused drugs, including morphine, depending on their binding to mu-opioid receptors, support the idea that this type of receptor is a possible molecular entry to drug addiction (39).

The present experiment revealed significant decreases in endogenous peptides, including *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1*, at the mRNA level in the midbrain after a schedule of longterm morphine treatment. On the contrary, the present results revealed significant upregulations in *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* mRNA levels in the striatum in rats receiving morphine compared to rats treated with saline as the control group. The current experiment results also revealed that *Pdyn* and *Oprm1* expressions significantly increased in the PFC, but no significant modification was detected in *Penk*



Figure 1. Effect of frequent morphine treatment on *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* mRNA levels in the midbrain. Data are shown as mean \pm SD; individual data (n = 4) in each group are also seen on each bar. *Penk*, proenkephalin; *Pdyn*, prodynorphin; *Oprm1*, Mu-opioid receptor 1; and Mid, midbrain (** P < 0.01)



Figure 2. Effect of long-term morphine injection on *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions in the striatum. Data are shown as mean ± SD; individual data (n = 4) in each group are also seen on each bar. *Penk*, proenkephalin; *Pdyn*, prodynorphin; *Oprm1*, Mu-opioid receptor 1; and Str, striatum. (* P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01)

expression after long-term morphine treatment compared to the control group. There were no significant alterations in *Penk* and *Oprm1* expressions at the mRNA level in the hippocampus and hypothalamus. However, *Pdyn* expression significantly diminished in the hippocampus and hypothalamus in the morphine-treated group compared to the control group.

Interestingly, the present results revealed a region specificity pattern for alteration in the *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* expressions at the mRNA level after prolonged use of morphine in some brain areas involved in reward and addiction. It is suggested that the alterations in *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions precede some other neuroad-aptations that may participate in the progress of morphine side effects, including tolerance and dependence. Differ-

ent reports have indicated that frequent morphine treatment has adverse effects on memory, pain perception, and anxiety-like behaviors in animal models (27, 40). Therefore, one may propose that morphine may alter cellular and molecular processes underlying these behaviors in relevant brain areas such as the midbrain, striatum, hippocampus, PFC, hypothalamus, and some other brain areas.

The midbrain includes the periaqueductal grey matter (PAG) that plays a key role in tolerance to the antinociceptive effects of morphine (9). In addition, the midbrain contains the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra involved in drug reinforcement and addiction via sending dopaminergic projections to forebrain structures (41). The direct action of morphine on mu-opioid receptors lo-



Figure 3. Effect of repeated morphine treatment on *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions in the PFC. Data are shown as mean ± SD; individual data (n = 4) in each group are also seen on each bar. *Penk*, proenkephalin; *Pdyn*, prodynorphin; *Oprm1*, Mu-opioid receptor 1; and PFC, prefrontal cortex. (ns: non-significant, ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001)



Figure 4. Effect of frequent morphine injection on *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions in the hippocampus. Data are shown as mean ± SD; individual data (n = 4) in each group are also seen on each bar. *Penk*, proenkephalin; *Pdyn*, prodynorphin; *Oprm1*, Mu-opioid receptor 1; and Hippo, hippocampus. (ns: non-significant and ** P < 0.01)



Figure 5. Effect of frequent morphine treatment on *Penk*, *Pdyn*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions in the hypothalamus. Data are shown as mean \pm SD; individual data (n = 4) in each group are also seen on each bar. *Penk*, proenkephalin; *Pdyn*, prodynorphin; *Oprm1*, Mu-opioid receptor 1; and Hypo, hypothalamus. (ns: non-significant and *P < 0.05)

cated on GABAergic interneurons in the midbrain disinhibits the dopaminergic projecting neurons and increases dopamine levels in the ventral striatum and PFC, which, in turn, mediates positive reinforcement of the drug (42). Therefore, mu-opioid receptors in the midbrain are gateways to morphine tolerance and drug addiction (39, 43). Accumulating data have shown that frequent morphine treatment induces phosphorylation and downregulation of mu-opioid receptors, which, in turn, causes endocytosis of membrane receptors to attenuate the increased input signaling (44-46). Therefore, the decreased Oprm1, Penk, and Pdyn mRNA levels in the midbrain are consistent with the downregulation of opioid receptors and peptides in the reports cited above. The decreased mRNA levels of Penk, Pdyn, and Oprm1 in the midbrain detected in the current study may be due to the negative feedback of the midbrain neurons to the frequent administration of morphine and the increased activation of mu-opioid receptor signaling. There are conflicting reports regarding *Penk* and *Pdyn* expressions after morphine treatment in different brain areas. In particular, repeated morphine administration in mice significantly upregulates the mRNA level of Pdyn, but Penk expression remains unchanged in the striatum (47). In another study, chronic injections of morphine for 14 days increased the mRNA level of Pdyn in the rat striatum (48). Some differences in methodology, such as different subjects and duration of repeated treatment between different studies, may explain the differences in the expression of endogenous opioid peptides.

Endogenous enkephalins mediate reinforcing actions but dynorphins, in contrast, produce the aversive states of at least some substances of abuse (49). In particular, the effects of dynorphin-like peptides on kappa-opioid receptors during the injection of a diversity of addictive drugs are aversive and act to prevent the reinforcing properties of those drugs and develop tolerance (50). Kappaopioid receptors placed on dopamine terminals also disrupt dopamine release (51). It has been shown that the repulsive effects of dynorphins are performed by their actions on presynaptic kappa-opioid receptors in the ventral striatum via inhibiting the dopamine release (52). Besides, some reports indicate that dopamine D2 receptor blockade increases, whereas D2 receptor stimulation decreases the striatal proenkephalin mRNA level (53). It has been recently reported that dopamine released from dopaminergic terminals in the striatum is rapidly controlled by local regulatory mechanisms such as the dynorphin system (54). Based on the data mentioned above, the present results may demonstrate that the frequent injection of morphine and the subsequent overflow of dopamine in the target areas may gradually increase Pdyn expression, which, in turn, increases Penk expression via dopamine release reduction and dopamine D2 receptor mechanism. The release of endogenous dynorphins in the prefrontal cortex disrupts cognition (55). Therefore, the increased expression of Pdyn in the PFC may be a possible explanation for cognitive impairments in morphine-dependent animals reported elsewhere (18, 56).

Chronic morphine treatment in rats decreased the Pdyn mRNA level in the hypothalamus (57). It has also been shown that Penk and Pdyn expressions decrease in the rat hippocampus after the administration of dextromethorphan, which is an opioid-like drug (58). Therefore, Pdyn downregulation in the hippocampus and hypothalamus in the current experiment is consistent with previous reports. However, we did not detect significant changes in Oprm1 and Penk gene expressions in the hippocampus and hypothalamus. A possible explanation may be that alterations in these molecules may occur at the posttranscriptional level. One limitation of this study is that we only examined the alterations in Pdyn, Penk, and Oprm1 at the mRNA levels without evaluating their protein levels. However, the alterations at the mRNA level may be a precedence for subsequent modifications in protein levels of the examined molecules, but further experiments are needed to confirm their exact roles in the brain adverse effects of morphine.

5.1. Conclusions

The present experiment showed that *Pdyn*, *Penk*, and *Oprm1* gene expressions follow a region-specific pattern in the mesocorticolimbic areas after prolonged administration of morphine. These results confirm that chronic morphine treatment affects not only *Oprm1* expression but also endogenous opioid peptides *Pdyn* and *Penk* in the brain reward circuits. These alterations may result in new physiological setpoints outside the normal range in the function of opioidergic systems, which, in turn, may affect animal behaviors. Therefore, the opioidergic systems may be considered a potential complementary drug target in pain management and addiction prevention.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the Cognitive Sciences and Technologies Council of Iran for supporting this study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: S. Ahmadi supervised the study, data analysis and prepared the original draft of the manuscript. M. Zobeiri, S. Mohammadi Talvar, K. Masoudi, A. Khanizad, S. Fotouhi, and M. Majidi contributed

to data acquisition and analysis and initial draft preparation. All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: There are no potential conflicts of interest to declare by the authors.

Data Reproducibility: The data presented in this study are openly available in one of the repositories or will be available on request from the corresponding author by this journal representative at any time during submission or after publication. Otherwise, all consequences of possible withdrawal or future retraction will be with the corresponding author.

Ethical Approval: The protocol of the current experiment was accepted by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) at the University of Kurdistan (IR.UOK.REC.1398.021).

Funding/Support: Cognitive Sciences and Technologies Council of Iran supported this study (Contract No. D/100/11988).

References

- Corder G, Castro DC, Bruchas MR, Scherrer G. Endogenous and Exogenous Opioids in Pain. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2018;41:453–73. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-080317-061522. [PubMed: 29852083]. [PubMed Central: PMC6428583].
- 2. McClung CA. The molecular mechanisms of morphine addiction. *Rev Neurosci.* 2006;**17**(4):393-402. doi: 10.1515/revneuro.2006.17.4.393. [PubMed: 17139840].
- Mercadante S, Arcuri E, Santoni A. Opioid-Induced Tolerance and Hyperalgesia. CNS Drugs. 2019;33(10):943–55. doi: 10.1007/s40263-019-00660-0. [PubMed: 31578704].
- Nafziger AN, Barkin RL. Opioid Therapy in Acute and Chronic Pain. J Clin Pharmacol. 2018;58(9):1111–22. doi: 10.1002/jcph.1276. [PubMed: 29985526].
- Kim J, Ham S, Hong H, Moon C, Im HI. Brain Reward Circuits in Morphine Addiction. *Mol Cells*. 2016;**39**(9):645–53. doi: 10.14348/molcells.2016.0137. [PubMed: 27506251]. [PubMed Central: PMC5050528].
- Yu G, Yan H, Gong ZH. Effects of acute and repeated morphine treatment on kappa-opioid receptor protein levels in mesocorticolimbic system. J Recept Signal Transduct Res. 2014;34(1):44–7. doi: 10.3109/10799893.2013.856919. [PubMed: 24219160].
- Robinson TE, Kolb B. Structural plasticity associated with exposure to drugs of abuse. *Neuropharmacology*. 2004;47 Suppl 1:33–46. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.06.025. [PubMed: 15464124].
- Bali P, Kenny PJ. Transcriptional mechanisms of drug addiction. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2019;**21**(4):379–87. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2019.21.4/pkenny. [PubMed: 31949405]. [PubMed Central: PMC6952748].
- Wilson-Poe AR, Jeong HJ, Vaughan CW. Chronic morphine reduces the readily releasable pool of GABA, a presynaptic mechanism of opioid tolerance. *J Physiol*. 2017;**595**(20):6541–55. doi: 10.1113/JP274157. [PubMed: 28815604]. [PubMed Central: PMC5638879].
- Koller G, Schwarzer A, Halfter K, Soyka M. Pain management in opioid maintenance treatment. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2019;20(16):1993– 2005. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2019.1652270. [PubMed: 31418602].
- Romualdi P, Lesa G, Ferri S. Morphine affects prodynorphin gene expression in some areas of rat brain. *Ann Ist Super Sanita*. 1990;**26**(1):43–6.

- Basbaum AI, Fields HL. Endogenous pain control systems: brainstem spinal pathways and endorphin circuitry. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1984;7:309–38. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.07.030184.001521. [PubMed: 6143527].
- Akil H, Watson SJ, Young E, Lewis ME, Khachaturian H, Walker JM. Endogenous opioids: biology and function. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1984;7:223-55. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.07.030184.001255. [PubMed: 6324644].
- Kimmey BA, McCall NM, Wooldridge LM, Satterthwaite TD, Corder G. Engaging endogenous opioid circuits in pain affective processes. J Neurosci Res. 2022;100(1). doi: 10.1002/jnr.24762. [PubMed: 33314372]. [PubMed Central: PMC8197770].
- Mansour A, Khachaturian H, Lewis ME, Akil H, Watson SJ. Anatomy of CNS opioid receptors. *Trends Neurosci*. 1988;11(7):308–14. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(88)90093-8.
- Ballantyne JC. Opioid therapy in chronic pain. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2015;**26**(2):201-18. doi: 10.1016/j.pmr.2014.12.001. [PubMed: 25952061].
- Benyamin R. Opioid Complications and Side Effects. Pain Physician. 2008;11(3):105–20. doi: 10.36076/ppj.2008/11/S105.
- Baiamonte BA, Lee FA, Gould H3, Soignier RD. Morphine-induced cognitive impairment is attenuated by induced pain in rats. *Behav Neurosci.* 2013;**127**(4):524–34. doi: 10.1037/a0033027. [PubMed: 23796238].
- Strang J, Volkow ND, Degenhardt L, Hickman M, Johnson K, Koob GF, et al. Opioid use disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2020;6(1):3. doi: 10.1038/s41572-019-0137-5. [PubMed: 31919349].
- Przewłocki R, Przewłocka B. Opioids in chronic pain. Eur J Pharmacol. 2001;429(1-3):79–91. doi: 10.1016/s0014-2999(01)01308-5.
- Yakovleva T, Bazov I, Cebers G, Marinova Z, Hara Y, Ahmed A, et al. Prodynorphin storage and processing in axon terminals and dendrites. *FASEB J.* 2006;**20**(12):2124–6. doi: 10.1096/fj.06-6174fje. [PubMed: 16966485].
- Brown JL, Liu H, Maggio JE, Vigna SR, Mantyh PW, Basbaum AI. Morphological characterization of substance Preceptor-immunoreactive neurons in the rat spinal cord and trigeminal nucleus caudalis. *J Comp Neurol.* 1995;**356**(3):327-44. doi: 10.1002/cne.903560302. [PubMed: 7642798].
- Lima D, Avelino A, Coimbra A. Morphological characterization of marginal (Lamina I) neurons immunoreactive for substance P, enkephalin, dynorphin and gamma-aminobutyric acid in the rat spinal cord. J Chem Neuroanat. 1993;6(1):43–52. doi: 10.1016/0891-0618(93)90006-p.
- Bagley EE, Ingram SL. Endogenous opioid peptides in the descending pain modulatory circuit. *Neuropharmacology*. 2020;**173**:108131. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108131. [PubMed: 32422213]. [PubMed Central: PMC7313723].
- Bodnar RJ. Endogenous Opiates and Behavior: 2016. *Peptides*. 2018;**101**:167–212. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2018.01.011. [PubMed: 29366859].
- 26. Ahmadi S, Parvini N. Morphine-induced analgesic tolerance is associated with alteration of protein kinase CY and transient receptor potential vanilloid type 1 genes expression in rat lumbosacral cord and midbrain. *Physiol Pharmacol.* 2016.
- Ahmadi S, Zobeiri M, Mohammadi Talvar S, Masoudi K, Khanizad A, Fotouhi S, et al. Differential expression of H19, BC1, MIAT1, and MALAT1 long non-coding RNAs within key brain reward regions after repeated morphine treatment. *Behav Brain Res.* 2021;**414**:113478. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2021.113478. [PubMed: 34302875].
- Saghaei M. Random allocation software for parallel group randomized trials. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2004;4:26. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-4-26. [PubMed: 15535880]. [PubMed Central: PMC533876].
- Ahmadi S, Poureidi M, Rostamzadeh J. Hepatic encephalopathy induces site-specific changes in gene expression of GluN1 subunit of NMDA receptor in rat brain. *Metab Brain Dis.* 2015;**30**(4):1035-41. doi: 10.1007/s11011-015-9669-x. [PubMed: 25896221].

- Chiu K, Lau WM, Lau HT, So K, Chang RC. Micro-dissection of Rat Brain for RNA or Protein Extraction from Specific Brain Region. J Vis Exp. 2007;(7):269. doi: 10.3791/269.
- Ahmadi S, Karami Z, Mohammadian A, Khosrobakhsh F, Rostamzadeh J. Cholestasis induced antinociception and decreased gene expression of MOR1 in rat brain. *Neuroscience*. 2015;284:78–86. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.08.063. [PubMed: 25290008].
- Ahmadi S, Faridi S, Tahmasebi S. Calcium-dependent kinases in the brain have site-specific associations with locomotion and rearing impairments in rats with bile duct ligation. *Behav Brain Res.* 2019;**372**:112009. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112009. [PubMed: 31173796].
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. 2001;25(4):402–8. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262. [PubMed: 11846609].
- Wang Y, Zhang H, Cui J, Zhang J, Yin F, Guo H, et al. Opiateassociated contextual memory formation and retrieval are differentially modulated by dopamine D1 and D2 signaling in hippocampalprefrontal connectivity. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2019;44(2):334– 43. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0068-y. [PubMed: 29728647]. [PubMed Central: PMC6300561].
- Quintero GC. Role of nucleus accumbens glutamatergic plasticity in drug addiction. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1499–512. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S45963. [PubMed: 24109187]. [PubMed Central: PMC3792955].
- Kelley AE, Berridge KC. The neuroscience of natural rewards: relevance to addictive drugs. *J Neurosci.* 2002;22(9):3306–11. [PubMed: 11978804]. [PubMed Central: PMC6758373].
- Nestler EJ. Is there a common molecular pathway for addiction? Nat Neurosci. 2005;8(11):1445–9. doi: 10.1038/nn1578. [PubMed: 16251986].
- Le Merrer J, Becker JA, Befort K, Kieffer BL. Reward processing by the opioid system in the brain. *Physiol Rev.* 2009;**89**(4):1379–412. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00005.2009. [PubMed: 19789384]. [PubMed Central: PMC4482114].
- Contet C, Kieffer BL, Befort K. Mu opioid receptor: a gateway to drug addiction. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2004;14(3):370–8. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2004.05.005. [PubMed: 15194118].
- Motaghinejad M, Fatima S, Banifazl S, Bangash MY, Karimian M. Study of the effects of controlled morphine administration for treatment of anxiety, depression and cognition impairment in morphineaddicted rats. *Adv Biomed Res.* 2016;5:178. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.188491. [PubMed: 28028518]. [PubMed Central: PMC5156972].
- Mesman S, Smidt MP. Acquisition of the Midbrain Dopaminergic Neuronal Identity. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(13). doi: 10.3390/ijms21134638. [PubMed: 32629812]. [PubMed Central: PMC7369932].
- Lisman JE, Grace AA. The hippocampal-VTA loop: controlling the entry of information into long-term memory. *Neuron*. 2005;46(5):703–13. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.002. [PubMed: 15924857].
- Bao F, Li CL, Chen XQ, Lu YJ, Bao L, Zhang X. Clinical opioids differentially induce co-internalization of mu- and delta-opioid receptors. *Mol Pain*. 2018;14:1744806918769490. doi: 10.1177/1744806918769492. [PubMed: 29587571]. [PubMed Central: PMC5898661].
- Arttamangkul S, Heinz DA, Bunzow JR, Song X, Williams JT. Cellular tolerance at the micro-opioid receptor is phosphorylation dependent. *Elife*. 2018;7. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34989. [PubMed: 29589831]. [PubMed Central: PMC5873894].
- Williams JT, Ingram SL, Henderson G, Chavkin C, von Zastrow M, Schulz S, et al. Regulation of mu-opioid receptors: desensitization, phosphorylation, internalization, and tolerance. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2013;65(1):223–54. doi: 10.1124/pr.112.005942. [PubMed: 23321159].

[PubMed Central: PMC3565916].

- Dang VC, Chieng B, Azriel Y, Christie MJ. Cellular morphine tolerance produced by betaarrestin-2-dependent impairment of muopioid receptor resensitization. J Neurosci. 2011;31(19):7122-30. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5999-10.2011. [PubMed: 21562274]. [PubMed Central: PMC6703228].
- Turchan J, Lasoń W, Budziszewska B, Przewłocka B. Effects of single and repeated morphine administration on the prodynorphin, proenkephalin and dopamine D2 receptor gene expression in the mouse brain. *Neuropeptides*. 1997;**31**(1):24–8. doi: 10.1016/s0143-4179(97)90015-9.
- 48. Przewłocka B, Turchan J, Lasoń W, Przewłocki R. The effect of single and repeated morphine administration on the prodynorphin system activity in the nucleus accumbens and striatum of the rat. *Neuroscience*. 1996;**70**(3):749–54. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(96)83012-0.
- Bals-Kubik R, Herz A, Shippenberg TS. Evidence that the aversive effects of opioid antagonists and kappa-agonists are centrally mediated. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1989;**98**(2):203–6. doi: 10.1007/BF00444692. [PubMed: 2569217].
- Kelsey JE, Verhaak AM, Schierberl KC. The kappa-opioid receptor antagonist, nor-binaltorphimine (nor-BNI), decreases morphine withdrawal and the consequent conditioned place aversion in rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2015;283:16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.01.008. [PubMed: 25591478].
- Britt JP, McGehee DS. Presynaptic opioid and nicotinic receptor modulation of dopamine overflow in the nucleus accumbens. *J Neurosci.* 2008;28(7):1672–81. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4275-07.2008. [PubMed: 18272687]. [PubMed Central: PMC6671549].
- Spanagel R, Herz A, Shippenberg TS. The effects of opioid peptides on dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens: an in vivo microdialysis study. J Neurochem. 1990;55(5):1734–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1990.tb04963.x. [PubMed: 1976759].
- Angulo JA. Involvement of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in the regulation of proenkephalin mRNA abundance in the striatum and accumbens of the rat brain. *J Neurochem*. 1992;**58**(3):1104–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1992.tb09368.x. [PubMed: 1346626].
- Nolan SO, Zachry JE, Johnson AR, Brady LJ, Siciliano CA, Calipari ES. Direct dopamine terminal regulation by local striatal microcircuitry. *J Neurochem*. 2020;**155**(5):475–93. doi: 10.1111/jnc.15034. [PubMed: 32356315]. [PubMed Central: PMC7606645].
- Abraham AD, Casello SM, Schattauer SS, Wong BA, Mizuno GO, Mahe K, et al. Release of endogenous dynorphin opioids in the prefrontal cortex disrupts cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2021;46(13):2330–9. doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-01168-2. [PubMed: 34545197]. [PubMed Central: PMC8580977].
- McLane VD, Kumar S, Leeming R, Rau S, Willis CL, Cao L. Morphinepotentiated cognitive deficits correlate to suppressed hippocampal iNOS RNA expression and an absent type 1 interferon response in LP-BM5 murine AIDS. J Neuroimmunol. 2018;319:117–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2018.02.017. [PubMed: 29526406]. [PubMed Central: PMC5915896].
- Basheer R, Tempel A. Morphine-induced reciprocal alterations in G alpha s and opioid peptide mRNA levels in discrete brain regions. J Neurosci Res. 1993;36(5):551-7. doi: 10.1002/jnr.490360507. [PubMed: 7908338].
- Kim HC, Suh HW, Bronstein D, Bing G, Wilson B, Hong JS. Dextromethorphan blocks opioid peptide gene expression in the rat hippocampus induced by kainic acid. *Neuropeptides*. 1997;**31**(2):105–12. doi: 10.1016/s0143-4179(97)90077-9.